

AD-A242 759



Research Product 91-17

DTIC
\$ 3.00
C

Soldier Survey Data Book

91-15001



July 1991

Personnel Utilization Technical Area
Manpower and Personnel Research Laboratory

U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

91 1104 018

U.S. ARMY RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR THE BEHAVIORAL AND SOCIAL SCIENCES

**A Field Operating Agency Under the Jurisdiction
of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel**

EDGAR M. JOHNSON
Technical Director

JON W. BLADES
COL, IN
Commanding

Research accomplished under contract for
the Department of the Army

Research Triangle Institute

Technical review by

Jacquelyn Scarville
Ronald B. Tiggie

NOTICES

FINAL DISPOSITION: This Research Product may be destroyed when it is no longer needed.
Please do not return it to the U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences.

NOTE: This Research Product is not to be construed as an official Department of the Army document, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified			1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS --	
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY ---			3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.	
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE ---				
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) ---			5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) ARI Research Product 91-17	
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Research Triangle Institute		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) --	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences	
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) P.O. Box 12194 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709			7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-5600	
8a. NAME OF FUNDING (SPONSORING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) PERI-R	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER MDA903-87-C-0540	
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-5600			10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS	
			PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 63007A	PROJECT NO. 792
			TASK NO. 2302	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO. C2
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Soldier Survey Data Book				
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Brinkley, Margo; Gabel, Tim; and Tastet, Lance				
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Final		13b. TIME COVERED FROM 86/11 TO 90/09		14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1991, July
15. PAGE COUNT 1134				
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Contracting Officer's Representative: D. Bruce Bell				
17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP		
			Army soldiers	
			Army families	
			Soldier attitudes	
			Soldier demographics	
			Family programs	
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) The Army Family Research Program (AFRP) was designed to address the research objectives outlined in the 1983 White Paper by Chief of Staff of the Army (CSA). Meyer and to investigate issues raised by subsequent Army Family Action Plans (1984-1991). To meet these objectives, a worldwide survey of a representative sample of Army soldiers and their spouses and supervisors was distributed in calendar year 1989. This data book provides frequencies of all variables and scales included in the 1989 AFRP Soldier Data File. The data for each variable are broken down by career stage, unit type, location, marital/parental status, employment status of spouse, and age of children.				
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL D. Bruce Bell			22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (703) 274-8119	22c. OFFICE SYMBOL PERI-RP

Research Product 91-17

Soldier Survey Data Book

Margo Brinkley, Tim Gabel, and Lance Tastet

Research Triangle Institute

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Avail and/or	
Dist	Special
A-1	

Personnel Utilization Technical Area

Nora K. Stewart, Chief

Manpower and Personnel Research Laboratory

Zita M. Simutis, Director

U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences
5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, Virginia 22333-5600

Office, Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel
Department of the Army

July 1991

Army Project Number
2Q263007A792

Manpower and Personnel

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

FOREWORD

The Army Family Research Program (AFRP) has served as the U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences (ARI) mechanism for supporting the U.S. Army Community and Family Support Center (CFSC) and the Army Chief of Staff's Army Family Action Plans since 1986. This data book provides the frequencies and cross tabulations of variables included in the Soldier Data File. The data were collected in calendar year 1989.

The Army Research Institute, with assistance from the Research Triangle Institute, Caliber Associates, HumRRO, and the University of North Carolina, is conducting the research as part of ARI 6.3, Advanced Development Program. This research is being performed under the sponsorship of CFSC pursuant to the LOA dated 18 December 1986, "Sponsorship of ARI Army Family Research."

The AFRP will provide additional information of interest to these Army professionals, including (1) service needs and access, (2) factors that influence adaptation to Army life, (3) how families influence career decisions, (4) how unit activities affect and are affected by families, and (5) how to better handle typical Army stressors, such as family separation and relocations.



EDGAR M. JOHNSON
Technical Director

SOLDIER SURVEY DATA BOOK

CONTENTS

Page

INTRODUCTION	1
DATA BOOK ORGANIZATION	1
TABLE FORMAT AND SUBGROUP DEFINITIONS	3
Format	3
Subgroup Definitions	3
TABLE ENTRIES	4
USE OF THE DATA BOOK TABLES	5

Soldier Survey Data Book

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This volume presents preliminary tabulations of data from the 1989 Soldier Survey conducted under the Army Family Research Program (AFRP).

The Army Family Research Program is designed to help meet the Army's needs for information on the role of family factors in retention, readiness and sense of community among Army members. The AFRP survey and its analyses are designed to provide information needed for the development, implementation and assessment of family programs and policies and to increase the knowledge base needed for informed decisionmaking.

Data for the soldier survey were collected in on-site administrations at Army installations around the world. The soldier data were collected in the period February-December 1989. In addition to the soldier survey, the soldiers' supervisors were asked to rate the readiness of survey sample members. Data were collected from a total of 11,035 soldiers serving in 528 Army units.¹

2.0 DATA BOOK ORGANIZATION

This volume presents data from the soldier questionnaire items, the supervisor ratings of soldiers, and the scales created from the soldier survey responses.

The tables are organized by the questionnaire sections, and these are followed by the supervisor ratings and the soldier scales. The sections and the survey items the cover are:

¹ The sample selection, survey development, and implementation are described in The AFRP Analysis Plan (May 1990) and the AFRP Survey Implementation Report (forthcoming).

<u>Sections</u>	<u>Survey Items</u>
• Your Background	1-15
• Your Unit Environment	16-22
• Unit Readiness Rating Scales	23-34
• Your Work	35-67
• You and the Army	68-78
• Your Army Plans	79-87
• Your Relationships	88-98
• Your Spouse	99-134
• Your Children	135-145
• Your Family	146-149
• Your Community	150-164
• Supervisor Ratings	Individual Readiness Ratings
• Soldier Scales	Scales created from soldier survey responses

For some soldier survey items, recoded versions were created. These are primarily of two kinds:

- Categorical versions of continuous variables (e.g., number of hours worked per week)
- Recodes for analytic use (e.g., spouse employment status, single parent and dual military indicators)

The tables for these recoded variables are shown in the same sections as the main survey items from which they were created.

3.0 TABLE FORMAT AND SUBGROUP DEFINITIONS

3.1 FORMAT

The tables are laid out in a fixed format. The first of the two pages of tables for an item presents data by major military categories. The second page is organized by family variables. The format is:

Page 1:

Column 1: Total (all eligible soldiers)

Columns 2-5: Rank (Junior Enlisted; NCO; Company Grade Officer; Field Grade Officer)

Columns 6-8: Location (CONUS; Europe; Other OCONUS)

Columns 9-12: Unit Type (Combat; Combat Support; Combat Service Support; and TDA)

Page 2:

Column 1-2: Single soldiers (no children, children)

Column 3-4: Soldiers in dual-military marriages (no children, children)

Columns 5-12: Soldiers married to civilian spouses

Columns 5-7: Enlisted (no children, youngest child age 5 or younger, youngest child 6 or older)

Columns 8-10: Officer (no children, youngest child age 5 or younger, youngest child 6 or older)

Columns 11-12: Spouse employment status (employed, not employed)

3.2 SUBGROUP DEFINITIONS

The subgroup definitions for the tables are:

Rank:

Junior Enlisted: Pay grades E2-E4
NCOs: Pay grades E5-E9
Company Grade Officer: Pay grades O1-O3
Field Grade Officer: Pay grades O4-O6
Enlisted: Pay grades E2-E9
Officer: Pay grades W1-W4 and O1-O6

Marital Status:

Single: never-married, legally separated, widowed or divorced
Married: current married (not legally separated)

Children:

Children variables are defined by dependent children in the soldier's household (not total dependent children)

Age categories are defined by the age of the youngest child in the soldier's household:

0-5 Youngest child 5 or younger
6+ Youngest child 6 or older

4.0 TABLE ENTRIES

The tables were run using data weighted to compensate for sample selection, probabilities and survey non-response.² The entries in the cells are the weighted percentages and the associated 95% confidence intervals, computed using the RTI survey data analysis (SUDAAN) software, which takes the sample design into account in computing the variances.

A 95 percent confidence interval will contain the population parameter being estimated in 95 out of 100 samples generated by the sample design. Differences between the estimates for two subgroups can be considered statistically significant at the 0.05 level of significance if their corresponding confidence intervals do not overlap. However, such tests of significance will be conservative in that some differences between estimates with overlapping confidence intervals may also be significant at the 0.05 level of significance.

² The weighting of the survey data is described in The AFRP Analysis Plan (May 1990) and the AFRP Survey Implementation Report (forthcoming).

The totals shown at the bottom of each table are the sample size and weighted totals of soldiers eligible for the survey. The difference between sample size given in the first column of page 1 (all soldiers) and 11,035 (the total number of respondents) indicates how much missing data there is for the variable presented in the table.

The tables exclude cases with missing data, those that skipped the item because it was not applicable to them, ones that indicated an item was not applicable in response to the question, and ones with multiple responses. Cases that responded "Don't Know" are included in the tables.

5.0 USE OF THE DATA BOOK TABLES

The data book tables provide preliminary results for major Army subgroups as well as totals for all eligible soldiers. The tables are designed to be used by researchers planning the data analyses being carried out under the AFRP. Subsequent analyses will be prepared in the form of reports and other materials designed to meet the needs of Army program and policy staff and other information users in the Army, military, and family research community.

BACKGROUND

Table S_1

Currently Working in PMOS or Basic Branch
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	85.9% + 1.3	84.7% + 1.6	87.7% + 1.6	87.3% + 5.2	77.5% + 9.1	85.5% + 1.8	86.5% + 1.9	88.5% + 3.9	89.0% + 1.3	87.2% + 2.4	82.0% + 2.5	82.6% + 4.2
No	14.1 + 1.3	15.3 + 1.6	12.3 + 1.6	12.7 + 5.2	22.5 + 9.1	14.5 + 1.8	13.5 + 1.9	11.5 + 3.9	11.0 + 1.3	12.8 + 2.4	18.0 + 2.5	17.4 + 4.2
Sample size	10,771	4,592	2,810	1,712	1,448	6,422	3,301	1,048	4,047	1,507	2,075	3,142
Weighted total	459,114	222,622	176,892	30,145	19,770	296,072	136,208	26,834	189,722	78,760	86,373	104,259

Table S.1 (cont.)

Currently Working in PMOS or Basic Branch
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse													
Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer						
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
Yes	85.9% + 1.6	77.7% + 6.1	84.9% + 4.5	84.6% + 3.8	87.7% + 2.3	87.7% + 2.1	85.8% + 3.4	85.5% + 7.1	84.7% + 7.7	80.7% + 8.2	85.9% + 2.1	86.9% + 2.0	
No	14.1 + 1.6	22.3 + 6.1	15.1 + 4.5	15.4 + 3.8	12.3 + 2.3	12.3 + 2.1	14.2 + 3.4	14.5 + 7.1	15.3 + 7.7	19.3 + 8.2	14.1 + 2.1	13.1 + 2.0	
Sample size	2,831	237	477	416	1,273	1,872	613	624	883	893	3,199	3,098	
Weighted total	173,172	10,664	15,113	13,739	53,977	84,488	39,553	10,051	13,774	15,299	118,153	105,510	

Table LNTH08L

Months Remaining In Current Obligation
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
0-5 months left in obligation	8.7% + 1.4	12.1% + 2.4	5.6% + 1.1	6.0% + 1.7	3.2% + 1.1	9.5% + 1.9	7.4% + 1.3	5.3% + 2.6	9.0% + 1.8	10.8% + 3.3	8.8% + 1.6
6-12 months left in obligation	15.4 + 1.2	18.7 + 1.8	15.0 + 1.5	4.6 + 1.3	2.4 + 0.9	16.0 + 1.5	14.6 + 1.9	12.4 + 4.2	15.8 + 1.9	16.9 + 2.7	14.8 + 2.1
13-24 months left in obligation	26.3 + 1.5	31.6 + 2.3	25.3 + 1.8	13.6 + 2.1	4.8 + 1.5	25.1 + 2.1	28.6 + 2.0	27.5 + 3.7	27.2 + 2.4	28.6 + 2.9	30.0 + 2.6
25-36 months left in obligation	21.7 + 1.5	24.9 + 2.5	22.6 + 2.1	10.9 + 2.5	3.2 + 1.2	19.2 + 1.6	26.9 + 2.9	23.5 + 5.9	23.1 + 2.7	23.8 + 3.6	21.0 + 2.9
37 or more months left in obligation	19.2 + 1.4	12.5 + 1.4	31.3 + 2.2	10.1 + 2.5	3.6 + 1.3	20.4 + 2.1	18.1 + 1.2	21.0 + 2.7	18.6 + 1.7	15.4 + 2.6	19.4 + 2.5
Indefinite obligation	8.8 + 1.9	0.2 + 0.1	0.2 + 0.1	54.7 + 4.7	82.7 + 3.5	9.8 + 2.8	6.3 + 1.9	10.2 + 3.6	6.4 + 1.0	4.4 + 1.3	5.9 + 0.9
Sample size	10,226	4,347	2,674	1,618	1,389	6,097	3,133	996	3,850	1,428	1,978
Weighted total	435,123	210,761	167,744	28,519	18,983	280,440	129,189	25,494	180,545	74,187	82,402
											97,989

Table LNT08BL (cont.)

Months Remaining in Current Obligation
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
0-5 months left in obligation	12.5% ± 2.6	8.5% ± 3.9	6.4% ± 2.6	5.7% ± 2.4	8.4% ± 1.8	5.5% ± 1.5	7.0% ± 2.2	3.2% ± 1.6	5.7% ± 1.7	2.8% ± 1.5	7.2% ± 1.4	5.2% ± 1.4
6-12 months left in obligation	17.6 ± 2.2	16.2 ± 7.0	16.2 ± 4.1	16.2 ± 4.8	16.4 ± 1.7	15.7 ± 2.6	14.2 ± 3.4	5.8 ± 2.4	2.2 ± 1.0	2.5 ± 1.0	14.1 ± 2.0	12.5 ± 1.7
13-24 months left in obligation	29.1 ± 2.7	21.3 ± 4.0	33.7 ± 4.7	24.9 ± 5.0	30.0 ± 2.8	28.2 ± 2.7	22.8 ± 4.4	11.8 ± 3.6	8.8 ± 2.5	4.6 ± 1.8	24.1 ± 1.9	24.2 ± 2.4
25-36 months left in obligation	23.8 ± 2.3	20.6 ± 6.6	21.1 ± 4.1	17.5 ± 5.6	23.3 ± 2.3	23.3 ± 2.9	25.0 ± 3.9	9.2 ± 2.7	6.3 ± 2.4	3.7 ± 1.9	19.3 ± 2.3	21.8 ± 2.3
37 or more months left in obligation	13.0 ± 1.7	28.2 ± 7.3	12.4 ± 3.7	26.7 ± 4.5	21.9 ± 2.7	27.0 ± 3.1	31.0 ± 5.0	7.2 ± 2.7	8.8 ± 3.4	4.7 ± 2.0	22.4 ± 2.5	23.2 ± 2.6
Indefinite obligation	4.0 ± 0.8	5.2 ± 3.2	10.2 ± 3.1	9.0 ± 2.4	0.0 ± 0.0	0.2 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	62.8 ± 6.4	68.2 ± 5.6	81.8 ± 3.9	12.9 ± 3.5	13.1 ± 2.9
Sample size	2,677	229	451	393	1,210	1,786	579	599	846	853	3,067	2,930
Weighted total	163,708	10,241	14,260	12,953	50,643	81,187	37,384	9,689	13,237	14,559	112,946	99,483

Table S_3
Marital Status at Time of Entry
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Single, never married	78.2% + 1.4	83.6% + 1.0	75.3% + 2.1	69.5% + 3.0	59.9% + 2.8	77.2% + 1.8	80.3% + 1.6	78.3% + 6.0	79.9% + 1.3	81.3% + 2.1	80.3% + 1.9	70.9% + 2.5
Remarried, was divorced or widowed	1.3 + 0.2	1.1 + 0.2	1.6 + 0.5	1.6 + 0.7	0.9 + 0.5	1.5 + 0.3	1.1 + 0.3	0.8 + 0.5	1.1 + 0.3	0.7 + 0.4	1.9 + 0.5	1.7 + 0.4
Married for the first time	18.0 + 1.1	13.0 + 0.8	20.2 + 1.6	26.3 + 2.9	37.9 + 2.6	18.9 + 1.5	15.9 + 1.1	19.1 + 4.6	16.6 + 1.0	16.2 + 1.9	14.8 + 1.5	24.4 + 2.4
Legally separated or filing for divorce	0.9 + 0.2	0.9 + 0.3	1.1 + 0.4	0.6 + 0.3	0.3 + 0.3	1.0 + 0.3	0.9 + 0.3	0.9 + 0.8	0.9 + 0.4	0.5 + 0.3	1.2 + 0.4	1.1 + 0.5
Divorced	1.5 + 0.3	1.5 + 0.4	1.6 + 0.4	1.9 + 1.0	1.1 + 0.5	1.4 + 0.3	1.8 + 0.5	0.9 + 0.6	1.5 + 0.5	1.2 + 0.5	1.7 + 0.5	1.7 + 0.4
Widowed	0.1 + 0.1	0.0 + 0.1	0.1 + 0.1	0.1 + 0.1	0.0 + 0.0	0.1 + 0.1	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.1	0.0 + 0.0	0.1 + 0.2	0.0 + 0.0	0.2 + 0.2
Sample size	10,998	4,698	2,890	1,731	1,469	6,547	3,374	1,077	4,132	1,539	2,117	3,210
Weighted total	470,097	227,865	181,867	30,582	20,055	302,577	139,735	27,785	194,448	80,588	88,224	106,837

Table S_3 (cont.)
 Marital Status at Time of Entry
 by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Single, never married	93.9% ± 1.0	69.9% ± 7.1	80.8% ± 4.7	72.0% ± 5.3	78.5% ± 2.8	66.2% ± 3.0	64.3% ± 4.4	65.7% ± 4.6	57.9% ± 6.0	57.1% ± 3.3	68.9% ± 2.3	68.4% ± 2.4		
Remarried, was divorced or widowed	0.3 ± 0.2	3.7 ± 2.9	1.0 ± 0.8	0.9 ± 0.8	1.4 ± 0.8	2.1 ± 0.5	3.2 ± 1.4	0.7 ± 0.8	1.3 ± 1.0	2.3 ± 1.5	1.9 ± 0.5	2.2 ± 0.5		
Married for the first time	3.8 ± 0.7	16.7 ± 4.7	14.5 ± 4.1	21.0 ± 4.6	17.7 ± 2.2	29.9 ± 2.9	29.4 ± 3.9	30.9 ± 4.6	40.0 ± 5.5	40.0 ± 3.2	27.3 ± 2.0	29.0 ± 2.4		
Legally separated or filing for divorce	0.6 ± 0.3	5.2 ± 3.4	1.4 ± 1.5	2.1 ± 1.2	0.9 ± 0.6	0.7 ± 0.5	1.6 ± 1.1	0.7 ± 0.6	0.1 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.5	0.6 ± 0.3	1.1 ± 0.5		
Divorced	1.4 ± 0.4	4.5 ± 2.5	2.4 ± 1.5	4.1 ± 2.0	1.5 ± 0.7	1.1 ± 0.5	1.4 ± 0.7	2.0 ± 1.3	0.5 ± 0.7	0.3 ± 0.4	1.2 ± 0.5	1.3 ± 0.6		
Widowed	0.1 ± 0.1	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.1	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.0		
Sample size	2,896	243	480	423	1,297	1,914	639	631	895	905	3,273	3,151		
Weighted total	177,213	10,922	15,131	14,137	54,776	86,655	41,253	10,170	14,008	15,443	121,493	107,548		

Table S.4
Presence of Children at Time of Entry
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type		
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCNUS	Combat	Combat Support
Yes	12.9% + 0.9	11.2% + 0.8	15.3% + 1.6	10.4% + 1.5	12.9% + 1.9	13.4% + 1.2	12.1% + 1.2	12.0% + 2.4	12.3% + 1.2	12.0% + 2.1
No	87.1% + 0.9	88.8% + 0.8	84.7% + 1.6	89.6% + 1.5	87.1% + 1.9	86.6% + 1.2	87.9% + 1.2	88.0% + 2.4	87.7% + 1.2	88.0% + 2.1
Sample size	10,983	4,686	2,894	1,726	1,468	6,540	3,373	1,070	4,125	1,538
Weighted total	469,470	227,086	182,135	30,516	20,047	302,193	139,822	27,455	194,093	80,481
									2,116	88,158
									3,204	106,739
									12.7% + 1.8	14.8% + 1.6
									87.3% + 1.8	85.2% + 1.6

Table S_4 (cont.)

Presence of Children at Time of Entry
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse												
Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
Yes	4.8% + 0.9	29.4% + 6.4	6.1% + 2.5	17.5% + 5.8	8.2% + 2.0	21.2% + 2.3	25.1% + 4.0	6.4% + 2.3	16.4% + 3.5	17.6% + 3.3	17.0% + 1.9	18.5% + 1.8
No	95.2 + 0.9	70.6 + 6.4	93.9 + 2.5	82.5 + 5.8	91.8 + 2.0	78.8 + 2.3	74.9 + 4.0	93.6 + 2.3	83.6 + 3.5	82.4 + 3.3	83.0 + 1.9	81.5 + 1.8
Sample size	2,882	242	481	423	1,296	1,919	638	629	894	905	3,273	3,151
Weighted total	176,393	10,877	15,258	14,137	54,813	86,831	41,201	10,159	13,998	15,443	121,516	107,558

Table S_5
Ever Been Single Parent (Child Living With You) While on Active Duty
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type		
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	6.2% ± 0.7	4.2% ± 0.8	9.3% ± 1.3	3.4% ± 1.2	5.3% ± 1.1	7.0% ± 1.0	4.7% ± 1.4	5.5% ± 0.9	4.9% ± 1.8	7.5% ± 1.4	10.8% ± 1.5
No	93.8 ± 0.7	95.8 ± 0.8	90.7 ± 1.3	96.6 ± 1.2	94.7 ± 1.1	93.0 ± 1.0	95.3 ± 1.4	94.5 ± 0.9	95.1 ± 1.8	92.5 ± 1.4	89.2 ± 1.5
Sample size	10,967	4,675	2,893	1,726	1,464	6,533	3,365	1,069	1,539	2,110	3,198
Weighted total	468,417	226,136	182,102	30,489	20,005	301,767	139,276	27,374	80,403	87,761	106,589

Table S 5 (cont.)

Civilian Spouse												
Single			Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
No Children	Children		No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
Yes	1.9% + 0.5	99.4% + 0.8	3.5% + 1.6	24.5% + 4.5	2.7% + 1.0	3.4% + 1.1	8.1% + 2.4	1.4% + 0.7	2.1% + 1.2	3.3% + 1.3	4.9% + 1.0	2.7% + 0.7
No	98.1 + 0.5	0.6 + 0.8	96.5 + 1.6	75.5 + 4.5	97.3 + 1.0	96.6 + 1.1	91.9 + 2.4	98.6 + 0.7	97.9 + 1.2	96.7 + 1.3	95.1 + 1.0	97.3 + 0.7
Sample size	2,869	245	480	423	1,296	1,919	638	629	893	902	3,268	3,150
Weighted total	175,363	11,007	15,248	14,115	54,813	86,820	41,192	10,159	13,979	15,411	121,377	107,592

Table S_6A

Importance of Developing Maturity as Reason for Entry
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Extremely Important	17.5% + 1.3	18.5% + 1.6	18.3% + 1.8	13.7% + 1.8	7.3% + 1.5	17.6% + 1.5	17.2% + 2.8	17.5% + 3.9	19.9% + 1.8	13.9% + 2.2	17.5% + 2.5	15.7% + 1.7
Very Important	27.3 + 1.1	29.1 + 1.6	26.6 + 1.9	25.5 + 2.4	19.1 + 2.4	27.7 + 1.5	26.4 + 1.7	27.5 + 2.1	29.0 + 1.5	28.0 + 2.3	26.7 + 2.2	24.1 + 2.5
Somewhat Important	27.3 + 0.9	29.2 + 1.5	25.0 + 1.8	25.7 + 2.5	27.7 + 3.0	26.7 + 1.2	28.5 + 1.8	28.0 + 1.2	27.2 + 1.7	28.5 + 2.8	27.2 + 2.5	26.6 + 1.7
Not very Important	13.8 + 0.8	12.5 + 0.9	13.9 + 1.5	16.7 + 1.7	19.1 + 2.7	14.1 + 0.9	13.2 + 1.7	12.6 + 2.8	12.5 + 1.2	14.9 + 2.0	13.4 + 1.5	15.6 + 1.8
Not at all Important	14.1 + 1.0	10.7 + 0.9	16.1 + 1.5	18.4 + 2.5	26.9 + 5.0	13.9 + 1.3	14.7 + 1.4	14.4 + 1.4	11.4 + 1.3	14.7 + 2.1	15.1 + 1.7	18.0 + 2.1
Sample size	10,465	4,611	2,670	1,686	1,324	6,208	3,243	1,014	3,968	1,490	2,028	2,979
Weighted total	446,022	223,845	166,094	29,856	18,186	285,643	134,131	26,248	185,820	77,440	84,023	98,739

Table S-6A (cont.)

Importance of Developing Maturity as Reason for Entry
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Enlisted	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Officer
Extremely important	19.4% ± 2.1	16.2% ± 5.3	17.9% ± 4.2	18.7% ± 5.4	17.0% ± 2.8	16.1% ± 2.1	14.5% ± 3.1	10.4% ± 2.1	8.7% ± 2.5	15.8% ± 1.7
Very important	30.1 ± 2.2	27.7 ± 6.8	28.8 ± 4.7	25.0 ± 4.4	27.3 ± 2.5	25.5 ± 2.3	25.6 ± 4.1	21.9 ± 3.1	18.7 ± 3.1	23.1 ± 2.4
Somewhat important	27.8 ± 1.9	25.9 ± 6.1	26.1 ± 4.5	26.1 ± 5.1	30.0 ± 2.6	27.7 ± 2.6	26.4 ± 4.5	25.2 ± 3.2	26.5 ± 3.2	27.8 ± 1.5
Not very important	12.4 ± 1.2	10.6 ± 3.6	13.7 ± 3.0	13.0 ± 4.8	13.1 ± 2.1	14.7 ± 2.1	16.8 ± 2.8	21.6 ± 3.7	20.2 ± 3.2	15.8 ± 1.3
Not at all important	10.4 ± 1.3	19.6 ± 6.4	13.6 ± 4.2	17.2 ± 3.6	12.6 ± 2.4	16.0 ± 2.0	16.6 ± 3.5	20.9 ± 4.0	26.0 ± 3.8	17.5 ± 1.8
Sample size	2,815	232	468	405	1,255	1,860	559	860	798	3,063
Weighted total	173,004	10,359	14,849	13,150	52,410	83,381	35,255	13,480	13,279	111,991
										2,995
										101,494

Table S 68

Importance of Training for Profession as Reason for Entry
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Extremely important	27.5% + 1.6	25.8% + 1.7	31.9% + 3.0	20.0% + 3.1	17.0% + 2.6	28.1% + 2.2	26.3% + 2.5	26.9% + 2.6	23.0% + 2.2	29.3% + 2.7	31.8% + 2.2	30.7% + 3.7
Very important	30.5 + 1.2	30.0 + 1.6	32.4 + 2.3	27.6 + 2.7	21.3 + 3.1	30.3 + 1.6	30.7 + 1.8	31.2 + 3.1	28.5 + 1.9	32.2 + 2.7	33.6 + 2.0	30.1 + 2.8
Somewhat important	23.2 + 1.1	25.1 + 1.4	20.5 + 1.7	25.4 + 3.0	22.2 + 3.0	22.6 + 1.5	24.3 + 1.8	23.0 + 3.3	25.4 + 1.7	23.8 + 1.9	19.7 + 1.7	21.3 + 2.7
Not very important	10.3 + 1.0	11.2 + 1.5	7.7 + 1.2	14.5 + 1.7	17.5 + 2.5	10.4 + 1.1	10.4 + 2.2	9.5 + 3.5	13.2 + 1.8	7.5 + 1.7	8.7 + 1.7	8.5 + 1.5
Not at all important	8.6 + 0.8	7.9 + 1.0	7.4 + 1.3	12.5 + 1.9	22.0 + 2.9	8.6 + 1.1	8.3 + 1.2	9.3 + 1.7	9.8 + 1.5	7.2 + 1.7	6.3 + 1.5	9.4 + 2.0
Sample size	10,491	4,615	2,689	1,688	1,325	6,217	3,252	1,022	3,977	1,492	2,034	2,988
Weighted total	447,421	224,073	167,243	29,866	18,199	286,427	134,524	26,471	186,402	77,675	84,293	99,051

Importance of Training for Profession as Reason for Entry by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

21

Table S 6C

Importance of Serving Country as Reason for Entry
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Extremely Important	25.5%	20.0%	31.5%	29.4%	30.2%	25.9%	24.4%	27.7%	27.2%	22.3%	22.2%	27.7%
	+ 1.4	+ 1.6	+ 2.3	+ 3.9	+ 3.7	+ 1.7	+ 2.8	+ 4.9	+ 2.4	+ 2.6	+ 2.2	+ 2.7
Very Important	32.0	30.9	31.6	37.4	38.1	31.9	32.1	32.7	32.5	31.9	31.8	31.4
	+ 1.2	+ 1.6	+ 1.8	+ 3.2	+ 3.9	+ 1.6	+ 2.1	+ 2.7	+ 2.1	+ 2.4	+ 2.9	+ 1.9
Somewhat Important	29.5	32.1	27.4	25.5	24.4	29.2	30.2	28.6	27.7	33.2	30.5	29.0
	+ 1.4	+ 1.9	+ 1.8	+ 3.6	+ 3.6	+ 1.8	+ 2.2	+ 4.6	+ 2.5	+ 2.6	+ 2.3	+ 2.1
Not very Important	7.5	9.7	5.5	5.0	4.3	7.5	7.9	6.4	7.4	6.5	9.4	7.0
	+ 0.6	+ 0.7	+ 0.9	+ 1.1	+ 1.2	+ 0.8	+ 0.7	+ 1.6	+ 1.0	+ 1.1	+ 1.6	+ 1.3
Not at all Important	5.5	7.2	3.9	2.7	3.1	5.6	5.4	4.5	5.2	6.1	6.1	4.8
	+ 0.5	+ 0.9	+ 0.6	+ 1.0	+ 1.3	+ 0.7	+ 1.0	+ 1.2	+ 0.9	+ 1.0	+ 1.5	+ 0.7
Sample size	10,476	4,599	2,685	1,689	1,330	6,215	3,240	1,021	3,978	1,485	2,025	2,988
Weighted total	446,215	222,953	167,046	29,944	18,262	285,638	134,042	26,535	186,315	77,320	83,855	98,726

Table S 6C (cont.)

Importance of Serving Country as Reason for Entry
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+			
Extremely important	22.1% ± 2.1	20.4% ± 5.6	21.5% ± 4.2	23.9% ± 3.9	26.0% ± 3.1	27.1% ± 3.0	34.3% ± 4.8	26.1% ± 4.0	29.9% ± 3.3	31.8% ± 4.7	28.8% ± 1.8	27.8% ± 2.8		
Very important	31.2% ± 2.0	33.3% ± 7.3	30.7% ± 5.1	30.5% ± 5.3	30.4% ± 3.9	32.4% ± 2.9	30.1% ± 4.5	39.0% ± 4.5	37.3% ± 3.8	38.8% ± 4.5	32.3% ± 2.3	33.2% ± 2.3		
Somewhat important	30.5% ± 2.4	28.7% ± 6.6	33.6% ± 5.8	32.1% ± 5.3	32.9% ± 2.9	29.3% ± 2.9	26.0% ± 3.9	25.8% ± 4.3	25.1% ± 4.5	22.9% ± 4.2	28.7% ± 2.0	28.5% ± 1.9		
Not very important	9.2% ± 1.2	9.4% ± 3.7	8.1% ± 3.4	9.1% ± 3.0	5.7% ± 1.1	7.0% ± 1.3	5.7% ± 1.8	5.8% ± 2.7	4.8% ± 1.7	4.2% ± 1.5	5.6% ± 0.8	6.8% ± 1.1		
Not at all important	7.1% ± 1.1	8.1% ± 3.6	6.1% ± 2.7	4.3% ± 1.8	4.9% ± 1.2	4.2% ± 1.0	4.0% ± 1.8	3.4% ± 1.9	2.9% ± 1.5	2.3% ± 1.4	4.6% ± 0.7	3.7% ± 0.7		
Sample size	2,811	231	469	402	1,252	1,863	562	594	862	805	3,069	3,001		
Weighted total	172,687	10,219	14,900	13,093	52,208	83,725	35,418	9,516	13,503	13,412	112,097	101,856		

Table S 60

Importance of Time to Consider Life Plan as Reason for Entry
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Extremely Important	20.0%	24.8%	18.0%	6.2%	4.3%	19.4%	20.9%	20.8%	21.5%	21.1%	21.8%	14.6%
	+ 1.1	+ 1.4	+ 1.6	+ 1.3	+ 1.6	+ 1.6	+ 1.5	+ 2.1	+ 1.5	+ 2.6	+ 2.1	+ 1.7
Very Important	27.7	31.2	27.3	14.8	12.2	27.2	29.4	25.0	30.4	27.0	28.0	23.1
	+ 1.3	+ 1.6	+ 2.0	+ 2.6	+ 2.2	+ 1.7	+ 2.1	+ 0.8	+ 1.7	+ 2.3	+ 2.4	+ 2.4
Somewhat Important	23.6	23.2	25.5	19.7	17.0	23.6	23.6	22.9	22.5	24.9	24.8	23.7
	+ 1.0	+ 1.5	+ 1.4	+ 2.3	+ 2.5	+ 1.3	+ 1.5	+ 1.0	+ 1.6	+ 2.6	+ 2.2	+ 1.6
Not very Important	13.5	11.8	13.1	23.1	21.9	13.8	12.4	15.1	12.3	13.8	12.7	16.1
	+ 0.8	+ 1.1	+ 1.6	+ 2.3	+ 2.1	+ 1.2	+ 1.2	+ 1.9	+ 0.9	+ 2.2	+ 1.4	+ 1.9
Not at all Important	15.2	9.1	16.1	36.2	44.6	15.9	13.7	16.2	13.4	13.1	12.7	22.5
	+ 1.3	+ 1.1	+ 1.7	+ 2.8	+ 2.9	+ 1.8	+ 2.1	+ 2.9	+ 1.7	+ 1.8	+ 2.0	+ 2.4
Sample size	10,457	4,608	2,668	1,688	1,322	6,200	3,238	1,019	3,968	1,484	2,031	2,974
Weighted total	445,802	223,710	166,097	29,885	18,172	285,301	134,058	26,443	185,976	77,237	84,167	98,421

Table S_6D (cont.)

Importance of Time to Consider Life Plan as Reason for Entry
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+			
Extremely Important	25.4% + 2.0	20.1% + 6.4	23.2% + 4.7	22.2% + 4.8	22.2% + 4.8	18.4% + 1.8	15.8% + 2.1	15.8% + 3.7	4.0% + 1.7	4.5% + 1.6	6.6% + 3.1	14.7% + 1.6	15.2% + 1.5	
Very Important	30.3 + 2.0	28.6 + 6.2	27.1 + 4.5	24.8 + 4.6	24.8 + 4.6	29.6 + 3.1	29.0 + 2.6	22.9 + 3.8	15.1 + 3.1	13.4 + 3.3	16.2 + 3.4	26.5 + 2.0	24.3 + 2.2	
Somewhat Important	22.3 + 1.6	20.9 + 6.1	23.8 + 4.3	26.7 + 6.1	26.7 + 6.1	23.7 + 2.4	27.1 + 2.3	26.1 + 3.4	20.7 + 5.5	19.9 + 3.0	16.6 + 3.2	23.8 + 1.8	25.4 + 1.9	
Not very Important	11.7 + 1.5	14.9 + 4.5	13.9 + 3.8	12.7 + 3.1	12.7 + 3.1	15.6 + 2.5	12.3 + 1.8	14.9 + 3.5	24.1 + 3.8	22.0 + 3.9	20.4 + 3.1	15.5 + 1.7	14.7 + 1.5	
Not at all Important	10.2 + 1.5	15.4 + 5.4	12.0 + 3.3	13.7 + 4.5	13.7 + 4.5	12.8 + 2.2	15.8 + 2.2	20.3 + 3.9	36.1 + 5.6	40.2 + 3.9	40.2 + 3.8	19.5 + 2.0	20.3 + 2.4	
Sample size	2,815	231	470	402	402	1,253	1,860	555	595	862	796	3,060	2,996	
Weighted total	173,076	10,236	14,896	13,082	13,082	52,304	83,636	34,898	9,526	13,498	13,225	111,622	101,710	

Table S 6E

Importance of Getting Money for Education as Reason for Entry
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Extremely important	24.3% + 1.3	33.8% + 1.8	15.7% + 1.7	11.7% + 1.9	8.4% + 1.7	24.6% + 1.6	23.5% + 2.5	24.8% + 4.3	26.8% + 1.5	24.1% + 2.6	23.4% + 2.3	20.4% + 2.8
Very important	23.7 + 1.1	27.7 + 1.8	21.8 + 1.3	13.3 + 2.4	7.5 + 1.3	23.1 + 1.3	24.9 + 2.4	22.9 + 3.1	23.7 + 1.7	24.1 + 3.0	25.7 + 2.0	21.5 + 2.1
Somewhat important	22.0 + 0.8	19.0 + 1.3	27.7 + 1.6	18.4 + 2.8	13.2 + 1.5	21.3 + 0.7	23.2 + 2.0	23.5 + 2.1	21.4 + 1.2	23.5 + 1.8	23.9 + 2.2	20.3 + 2.1
Not very important	15.6 + 0.7	11.3 + 1.0	19.3 + 1.2	22.5 + 2.8	22.5 + 2.6	15.7 + 0.9	15.6 + 1.5	14.3 + 1.8	14.7 + 1.3	15.9 + 1.8	15.4 + 1.8	17.2 + 2.1
Not at all important	14.5 + 1.2	8.2 + 0.8	15.4 + 1.3	34.2 + 3.0	48.4 + 3.1	15.2 + 1.7	12.8 + 1.5	14.5 + 1.2	13.4 + 1.2	12.3 + 2.0	11.6 + 2.1	20.5 + 3.0
Sample size	10,417	4,591	2,654	1,679	1,322	6,183	3,225	1,009	3,950	1,484	2,016	2,967
Weighted total	444,000	223,113	165,108	29,697	18,161	284,736	133,071	26,193	185,259	77,184	83,404	98,152

Table S 6E (cont.)

	Civilian Spouse										
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer	
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+
Extremely important	33.5% + 2.1	27.8% + 7.2	30.0% + 5.3	28.2% + 5.0	23.7% + 3.1	15.4% + 2.2	11.6% + 3.5	11.8% + 3.4	11.0% + 3.4	10.5% + 2.9	15.8% + 1.9
Very important	27.2 + 2.1	26.9 + 5.9	24.6 + 5.3	23.7 + 5.2	23.9 + 2.1	22.6 + 2.4	21.1 + 3.5	11.7 + 3.0	12.2 + 3.4	11.9 + 3.0	19.3 + 1.9
Somewhat important	19.0 + 1.6	20.7 + 6.1	20.9 + 6.3	26.0 + 4.8	22.5 + 2.2	27.8 + 2.0	25.6 + 4.5	15.8 + 3.5	17.8 + 2.8	13.5 + 2.5	25.4 + 1.6
Not very important	10.7 + 1.5	11.6 + 5.2	11.1 + 3.1	11.5 + 3.1	17.5 + 2.1	20.3 + 1.9	22.7 + 3.7	20.7 + 3.9	23.2 + 3.5	22.4 + 3.8	20.1 + 1.8
Not at all important	9.6 + 1.2	12.9 + 3.7	13.4 + 3.9	10.5 + 3.1	12.4 + 2.1	14.0 + 1.6	19.0 + 3.4	39.9 + 3.9	35.8 + 4.2	41.7 + 6.6	18.5 + 1.9
Sample size	2,808	229	465	402	1,250	1,837	555	595	856	797	2,979
Weighted total	172,444	10,163	14,876	13,149	52,129	82,585	35,031	9,522	13,373	13,234	100,990

Table S 6f
Importance of Gaining Job Experience as Reason for Entry
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOJ	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Extremely important	23.2% + 1.6	25.3% + 2.3	24.4% + 2.2	13.4% + 1.7	4.5% + 1.1	22.9% + 2.3	24.1% + 2.4	22.1% + 1.9	19.9% + 2.5	26.5% + 2.7	28.7% + 2.7	22.0% + 2.5
Very important	25.9 + 1.2	26.5 + 1.6	26.7 + 2.1	23.0 + 2.6	13.6 + 1.9	25.6 + 1.7	26.5 + 1.5	26.2 + 3.7	23.5 + 2.0	27.2 + 2.7	30.8 + 2.7	25.2 + 2.9
Somewhat important	23.6 + 1.1	23.2 + 1.6	23.1 + 2.2	26.7 + 2.1	25.7 + 2.5	23.5 + 1.5	23.8 + 1.3	23.7 + 3.1	25.0 + 1.5	24.1 + 2.5	20.5 + 1.5	23.2 + 1.8
Not very important	14.1 + 1.2	13.9 + 1.7	13.1 + 1.6	17.3 + 2.7	21.5 + 2.2	14.3 + 1.5	13.7 + 2.0	12.7 + 3.6	16.6 + 1.9	11.9 + 2.3	10.4 + 1.5	14.1 + 2.1
Not at all important	13.3 + 0.9	11.1 + 1.2	12.8 + 1.5	19.6 + 2.1	34.7 + 2.4	13.7 + 1.3	11.9 + 1.2	15.3 + 1.3	15.0 + 2.0	10.3 + 1.6	9.5 + 1.8	15.4 + 2.8
Sample size	10,438	4,591	2,665	1,683	1,326	6,184	3,239	1,015	3,954	1,483	2,027	2,974
Weighted total	444,536	222,832	165,696	29,786	18,207	284,300	133,864	26,373	184,884	77,225	84,027	98,400

Table S 6F (cont.)

Importance of Gaining Job Experience as Reason for Entry
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted				Officer				Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+				
Extremely important	22.8% ± 2.6	35.5% ± 7.2	27.8% ± 6.1	32.9% ± 6.1	24.9% ± 3.3	23.9% ± 2.7	19.8% ± 4.0	8.3% ± 3.1	12.3% ± 3.2	8.0% ± 2.4	21.2% ± 2.3	21.5% ± 2.2		
Very important	24.7 ± 1.6	30.2 ± 6.5	30.6 ± 4.3	28.1 ± 5.1	28.7 ± 3.1	27.3 ± 2.3	28.4 ± 4.4	23.9 ± 3.9	21.2 ± 3.1	18.1 ± 3.4	26.2 ± 2.5	26.3 ± 2.1		
Somewhat important	23.5 ± 1.7	16.5 ± 4.6	21.5 ± 5.1	22.9 ± 5.3	21.5 ± 2.4	23.5 ± 2.4	26.0 ± 3.7	25.1 ± 3.7	27.7 ± 2.5	25.7 ± 3.8	24.9 ± 2.0	23.3 ± 2.0		
Not very important	15.2 ± 2.0	8.3 ± 4.4	10.7 ± 3.1	6.9 ± 2.4	13.5 ± 2.6	14.9 ± 2.2	11.7 ± 3.2	18.4 ± 3.5	16.9 ± 2.8	21.0 ± 2.8	14.0 ± 1.8	15.0 ± 1.6		
Not at all important	13.8 ± 1.4	9.5 ± 4.7	9.4 ± 3.4	9.4 ± 2.5	11.4 ± 1.8	10.4 ± 1.6	14.2 ± 3.9	24.3 ± 3.7	21.8 ± 2.9	27.2 ± 3.4	13.6 ± 1.8	13.9 ± 1.8		
Sample size	2,803	230	472	399	1,252	1,858	556	593	857	799	3,062	2,985		
Weighted total	171,893	10,217	15,059	12,980	52,236	83,639	35,069	9,458	13,424	13,300	111,627	101,519		

Table S_66

Importance of Fulfilling ROTC Commitment as Reason for Entry
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Extremely Important	5.2% + 0.6	2.8% + 0.5	2.3% + 0.7	24.4% + 2.4	28.6% + 2.0	5.6% + 0.9	3.9% + 0.7	7.0% + 2.4	4.3% + 0.5	3.9% + 0.9	5.1% + 1.2	7.9% + 1.8
Very Important	8.0 + 0.7	5.1 + 0.9	4.2 + 0.9	17.0 + 2.1	17.6 + 1.9	8.0 + 1.0	5.9 + 0.8	6.6 + 0.9	5.7 + 0.9	4.0 + 1.2	6.5 + 1.3	7.8 + 1.9
Somewhat Important	8.5 + 0.8	8.2 + 1.1	7.9 + 1.4	13.3 + 2.5	9.6 + 1.9	8.5 + 1.2	8.0 + 0.9	9.9 + 0.9	8.8 + 1.2	9.1 + 1.3	8.6 + 1.6	7.1 + 1.6
Not very Important	11.5 + 0.8	13.1 + 1.2	10.5 + 1.2	9.5 + 1.6	6.3 + 1.1	11.7 + 1.0	11.4 + 1.5	10.5 + 3.0	12.9 + 1.1	10.3 + 1.6	12.3 + 1.8	9.1 + 1.3
Not at all Important	68.8 + 1.6	70.8 + 2.1	75.0 + 2.0	35.9 + 3.4	38.0 + 2.9	68.2 + 2.4	70.8 + 1.5	66.0 + 3.0	68.2 + 2.2	72.7 + 2.6	67.6 + 2.3	68.1 + 3.7
Sample size	10,231	4,506	2,561	1,678	1,321	6,081	3,144	1,006	3,886	1,453	1,964	2,928
Weighted total	434,414	219,085	159,790	29,686	18,153	278,640	129,807	25,966	181,365	75,762	81,269	96,019

Table S_66 (cont.)

Importance of Fulfilling ROTC Commitment as Reason for Entry
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse															
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
Extremely important	4.6% ± 0.8	5.2% ± 3.1	6.6% ± 2.0	3.9% ± 1.7	2.0% ± 0.6	2.3% ± 0.7	1.3% ± 0.9	22.2% ± 4.0	24.9% ± 3.5	19.1% ± 4.9	5.4% ± 1.1	5.8% ± 1.2				
Very important	5.4 ± 1.1	7.3 ± 3.4	7.4 ± 2.4	5.8 ± 2.6	4.6 ± 1.4	4.5 ± 1.0	5.0 ± 2.3	17.2 ± 3.5	15.0 ± 2.8	13.9 ± 3.3	6.1 ± 0.9	6.6 ± 1.2				
Somewhat important	8.4 ± 1.6	8.7 ± 3.6	7.6 ± 2.8	10.9 ± 3.4	9.9 ± 1.9	7.6 ± 1.4	5.5 ± 1.8	9.9 ± 2.8	12.4 ± 2.6	7.6 ± 1.8	8.6 ± 1.4	7.9 ± 1.1				
Not very important	13.0 ± 1.7	14.9 ± 5.8	11.3 ± 3.3	10.0 ± 2.9	10.2 ± 1.9	11.7 ± 1.9	7.4 ± 2.9	8.0 ± 2.9	8.2 ± 2.3	7.9 ± 2.6	9.0 ± 1.3	10.8 ± 1.5				
Not at all important	68.5 ± 2.7	64.0 ± 7.4	67.0 ± 4.3	69.4 ± 5.0	73.2 ± 2.1	74.0 ± 2.4	80.7 ± 3.5	42.7 ± 6.1	39.5 ± 4.6	51.6 ± 7.3	70.9 ± 2.2	68.8 ± 2.3				
Sample size	2,748	228	464	396	1,212	1,808	533	594	854	789	2,996	2,925				
Weighted total	169,150	10,044	14,738	12,812	50,652	81,028	33,589	9,524	13,367	12,995	108,555	98,636				

Table S_6H

Importance of Lack of Civilian Jobs as Reason for Entry
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Extremely Important	7.5% + 0.6	7.7% + 0.9	9.0% + 1.3	1.7% + 0.8	1.7% + 0.8	7.1% + 0.9	8.0% + 0.9	8.8% + 1.0	7.1% + 0.8	7.0% + 1.1	9.2% + 1.2	7.2% + 1.0
Very Important	11.9 + 0.9	12.1 + 1.2	14.0 + 1.5	4.6 + 1.1	3.3 + 0.9	12.0 + 1.2	11.8 + 1.6	11.0 + 2.5	11.3 + 1.4	13.0 + 2.0	13.1 + 1.5	10.9 + 1.8
Somewhat Important	19.7 + 1.2	20.3 + 1.3	21.5 + 1.9	13.0 + 2.0	8.1 + 1.3	20.0 + 1.2	19.1 + 2.9	18.9 + 2.5	20.1 + 1.7	21.1 + 2.5	20.0 + 2.3	17.5 + 1.8
Not very Important	18.3 + 1.1	18.8 + 1.3	17.4 + 1.8	20.3 + 3.1	18.0 + 2.7	18.4 + 1.5	18.4 + 1.8	16.4 + 1.8	18.8 + 1.5	19.0 + 2.0	19.2 + 2.1	16.1 + 2.1
Not at all Important	42.7 + 1.7	41.1 + 1.8	38.1 + 2.3	60.5 + 4.9	69.0 + 3.1	42.5 + 2.3	42.7 + 3.0	44.8 + 2.8	42.8 + 2.5	39.9 + 2.7	38.4 + 2.3	48.3 + 3.2
Sample size	10,382	4,572	2,640	1,679	1,321	6,151	3,219	1,012	3,938	1,481	2,007	2,956
Weighted total	442,469	222,132	164,595	29,689	18,137	283,245	133,052	26,172	184,669	77,172	83,139	97,540

Table S 61
Importance of Opportunity to Travel as Reason for Entry
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOJ	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Extremely important	13.5% + 1.1 -	12.9% + 1.5 -	15.9% + 1.4 -	11.0% + 2.2 -	7.1% + 1.7 -	12.7% + 1.2 -	15.2% + 2.3 -	14.4% + 4.3 -	12.8% + 1.5 -	13.3% + 2.4 -	15.7% + 1.8 -	13.2% + 1.9 -
Very important	25.5% + 0.9 -	24.6% + 1.4 -	26.6% + 1.7 -	26.4% + 2.5 -	28.0% + 2.9 -	24.7% + 1.1 -	26.2% + 1.5 -	30.3% + 5.5 -	25.4% + 1.6 -	25.3% + 2.4 -	28.4% + 2.1 -	25.1% + 1.7 -
Somewhat important	34.2% + 1.1 -	34.2% + 1.6 -	33.3% + 2.2 -	36.1% + 3.2 -	36.6% + 2.9 -	34.8% + 1.1 -	33.5% + 2.6 -	31.8% + 4.1 -	34.6% + 1.8 -	34.3% + 3.4 -	34.1% + 3.0 -	33.7% + 1.8 -
Not very important	12.6% + 1.0 -	13.5% + 1.3 -	11.5% + 1.2 -	13.0% + 2.2 -	13.9% + 3.0 -	12.7% + 1.2 -	12.8% + 1.8 -	11.0% + 2.3 -	13.0% + 1.6 -	14.1% + 2.1 -	11.2% + 1.4 -	12.1% + 1.9 -
Not at all important	14.1% + 0.9 -	14.7% + 1.3 -	12.7% + 1.3 -	13.4% + 2.2 -	16.3% + 2.7 -	15.1% + 1.3 -	12.3% + 0.7 -	12.5% + 1.5 -	14.3% + 1.2 -	13.0% + 1.8 -	12.6% + 2.2 -	15.9% + 2.1 -
Sample size	10,445	4,594	2,662	1,689	1,327	6,176	3,243	1,026	3,958	1,483	2,025	2,979
Weighted total	444,113	222,873	165,116	29,862	18,246	283,493	134,031	26,589	185,042	77,036	83,781	98,254

Table S 61 (cont.)

Importance of Opportunity to Travel as Reason for Entry
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	
Extremely important	14.2% + 1.8	17.1% + 5.3	19.2% + 4.5	17.2% + 4.4	13.1% + 2.2	13.0% + 1.8	7.9% + 2.2	6.1% + 1.8	7.4% + 2.5	11.6% + 1.4
Very important	26.8 + 1.7	28.6 + 6.4	26.0 + 4.6	29.0 + 5.7	23.9 + 2.5	22.5 + 1.8	26.9 + 4.1	25.5 + 3.1	21.1 + 4.2	24.2 + 1.9
Somewhat important	33.5 + 2.0	34.3 + 7.0	26.7 + 5.5	31.3 + 4.1	34.5 + 3.1	36.5 + 2.6	35.6 + 4.4	38.0 + 4.4	38.1 + 4.9	35.9 + 1.8
Not very important	12.4 + 1.6	7.3 + 4.0	12.5 + 3.5	12.3 + 3.9	13.5 + 2.0	13.5 + 1.6	14.1 + 3.9	12.1 + 2.5	14.7 + 4.1	12.9 + 1.5
Not at all important	13.0 + 1.5	12.7 + 6.3	15.7 + 4.7	10.2 + 3.2	15.0 + 2.3	14.5 + 1.5	15.4 + 4.2	18.3 + 3.3	18.8 + 4.9	15.5 + 1.8
Sample size	2,810	231	468	403	1,250	1,847	558	595	802	3,061
Weighted total	172,617	10,177	14,762	13,110	52,004	82,705	35,176	9,520	13,356	111,720
										2,988
										100,866

Table S 6J

Importance of Family Military Tradition as Reason for Entry
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCNUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Extremely Important	6.6% + 0.6 -	5.5% + 0.7 -	8.0% + 1.2 -	6.7% + 1.6 -	6.9% + 1.5 -	8.8% + 0.8 -	6.0% + 1.2 -	7.5% + 2.5 -	7.2% + 1.1 -	6.5% + 1.5 -	5.7% + 1.3 -	6.1% + 0.9 -
Very Important	9.4 + 0.5 -	9.1 + 0.9 -	9.1 + 0.9 -	11.2 + 1.9 -	11.7 + 1.5 -	9.5 + 0.6 -	8.9 + 0.9 -	9.8 + 1.9 -	10.2 + 0.9 -	9.5 + 2.1 -	8.4 + 1.5 -	8.4 + 1.2 -
Somewhat Important	15.3 + 0.7 -	15.4 + 1.0 -	14.9 + 1.7 -	17.3 + 2.0 -	15.0 + 2.0 -	15.6 + 0.8 -	14.5 + 1.5 -	16.8 + 1.4 -	15.5 + 0.9 -	15.4 + 2.2 -	14.8 + 1.5 -	15.5 + 1.5 -
Not very Important	15.0 + 0.8 -	15.1 + 1.3 -	15.5 + 1.5 -	14.7 + 2.1 -	12.4 + 2.4 -	15.4 + 1.2 -	14.3 + 1.2 -	13.9 + 1.4 -	15.2 + 1.1 -	15.5 + 2.2 -	14.7 + 1.8 -	14.3 + 2.0 -
Not at all Important	53.7 + 1.2 -	54.9 + 1.8 -	52.5 + 2.1 -	50.0 + 3.7 -	54.1 + 3.7 -	52.7 + 1.7 -	56.3 + 1.8 -	52.1 + 2.4 -	51.8 + 1.8 -	53.1 + 2.9 -	56.4 + 2.4 -	55.6 + 3.1 -
Sample size	10,380	4,563	2,641	1,681	1,325	6,150	3,219	1,011	3,943	1,473	2,009	2,955
Weighted total	442,569	222,168	164,566	29,731	18,211	263,000	133,409	26,160	184,735	76,852	83,234	97,748

Table S-6J (cont.)

Importance of Family Military Tradition as Reason for Entry
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Extremely important	5.8%	5.2%	7.3%	5.7%	6.3%	8.3%	6.0%	6.7%	6.0%	5.8%	6.6%	7.4%
	+ 0.9	+ 3.5	+ 3.6	+ 3.3	+ 1.6	+ 1.8	+ 2.5	+ 2.2	+ 2.0	+ 2.3	+ 1.3	+ 1.3
Very important	9.7	9.0	6.6	6.5	9.0	7.7	12.3	11.8	12.4	12.5	9.7	9.2
	+ 1.1	+ 4.3	+ 2.3	+ 2.7	+ 1.9	+ 1.1	+ 2.7	+ 3.2	+ 2.6	+ 2.6	+ 1.2	+ 1.0
Somewhat important	15.2	11.3	13.0	13.8	15.5	16.4	14.6	16.3	16.3	16.0	15.8	15.9
	+ 1.2	+ 4.5	+ 4.1	+ 3.2	+ 2.0	+ 1.8	+ 3.4	+ 3.7	+ 3.6	+ 2.5	+ 1.8	+ 1.8
Not very important	15.2	11.8	15.4	14.3	15.6	15.8	15.9	12.4	12.4	11.0	15.2	14.4
	+ 1.6	+ 4.9	+ 4.0	+ 4.1	+ 2.6	+ 1.9	+ 3.2	+ 2.8	+ 3.0	+ 2.5	+ 1.8	+ 1.4
Not at all important	54.0	62.6	57.7	59.6	53.6	51.8	51.2	52.8	53.0	54.8	52.6	53.2
	+ 2.0	+ 6.4	+ 5.3	+ 5.6	+ 3.4	+ 3.0	+ 5.3	+ 5.3	+ 5.9	+ 3.9	+ 2.2	+ 2.3
Sample size	2,797	229	467	400	1,228	1,840	550	593	861	798	3,030	2,976
Weighted total	172,115	10,160	14,811	13,066	51,492	82,846	34,635	9,501	13,483	13,242	110,357	101,161

Table S 6K

Importance of Job Security and Stability as Reason for Entry
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type		
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
Extremely Important	16.6% + 0.8 - 1.9	14.8% + 1.1 - 1.3	20.9% + 1.3 - 1.9	12.4% + 1.9 - 2.5	9.2% + 1.4 - 1.9	16.9% + 1.1 - 1.7	16.1% + 1.2 - 1.8	16.4% + 3.1 - 3.7	15.1% + 1.6 - 2.1	17.4% + 2.2 - 2.8
Very Important	24.1% + 1.1 - 2.2	22.7% + 1.5 - 2.3	26.0% + 1.9 - 2.9	26.6% + 2.3 - 3.3	23.5% + 3.4 - 4.4	23.9% + 1.4 - 2.0	24.0% + 1.7 - 2.3	26.5% + 4.3 - 4.9	23.6% + 2.3 - 2.9	25.2% + 2.2 - 2.8
Somewhat Important	26.9% + 0.9 - 1.1	27.2% + 1.3 - 1.5	25.9% + 1.5 - 1.7	30.3% + 2.5 - 3.1	26.3% + 1.9 - 2.5	27.2% + 1.3 - 1.9	26.5% + 1.1 - 1.7	25.5% + 1.1 - 1.7	28.5% + 2.5 - 3.1	28.4% + 2.1 - 2.7
Not very Important	13.1% + 0.9 - 1.1	14.3% + 1.2 - 1.4	10.9% + 1.6 - 1.8	13.7% + 2.0 - 2.6	16.4% + 1.9 - 2.5	12.8% + 1.2 - 1.8	13.8% + 1.0 - 1.6	12.8% + 3.1 - 3.7	13.4% + 2.1 - 2.7	11.9% + 1.7 - 2.3
Not at all Important	19.2% + 1.1 - 1.3	21.1% + 1.5 - 1.7	16.4% + 1.6 - 1.8	17.1% + 2.6 - 3.1	24.4% + 3.3 - 3.9	19.1% + 1.5 - 2.1	19.6% + 1.7 - 2.3	18.8% + 2.6 - 3.2	19.4% + 2.1 - 2.7	17.0% + 2.3 - 2.9
Sample size	10,468	4,605	2,672	1,687	1,332	6,199	3,247	1,022	1,484	2,025
Weighted total	445,924	223,640	166,198	29,857	18,293	285,125	134,332	26,467	77,193	83,820

Table S_6K (cont.)

Importance of Job Security and Stability as Reason for Entry
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Extremely important	12.2% ± 1.6	30.6% ± 7.8	16.4% ± 4.0	22.6% ± 5.9	16.4% ± 2.4	21.2% ± 2.2	20.0% ± 3.3	10.7% ± 2.6	11.6% ± 2.8	10.8% ± 2.4	17.6% ± 1.7	19.4% ± 1.9		
Very important	20.2 ± 1.7	26.5 ± 7.3	25.6 ± 4.2	30.6 ± 5.0	25.0 ± 2.9	28.4 ± 2.6	26.9 ± 4.4	26.1 ± 4.3	24.8 ± 3.0	23.4 ± 2.7	27.0 ± 1.9	26.1 ± 2.6		
Somewhat important	28.1 ± 1.9	19.7 ± 6.3	28.5 ± 4.8	27.0 ± 5.5	28.0 ± 3.1	26.4 ± 2.2	24.5 ± 4.6	29.8 ± 4.0	28.8 ± 3.3	26.0 ± 3.4	25.5 ± 2.0	27.5 ± 2.4		
Not very important	15.5 ± 1.4	8.2 ± 3.9	9.9 ± 2.8	10.4 ± 3.7	13.3 ± 2.3	10.2 ± 1.9	10.9 ± 2.8	14.5 ± 3.8	15.2 ± 3.1	16.8 ± 3.3	13.0 ± 1.8	10.7 ± 1.6		
Not at all important	24.0 ± 1.7	15.0 ± 5.2	19.7 ± 3.9	9.5 ± 3.1	17.3 ± 2.0	13.8 ± 1.8	17.7 ± 3.6	19.1 ± 4.0	19.6 ± 3.5	23.0 ± 3.7	16.9 ± 2.1	16.1 ± 1.6		
Sample size	2,813	229	470	404	1,251	1,857	560	597	863	803	3,068	2,999		
Weighted total	172,898	10,155	14,973	13,157	52,169	83,412	35,271	9,543	13,526	13,327	111,948	101,630		

Table S 6L

Importance of Retirement Benefits as Reason for Entry
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Extremely important	18.0% + 1.0	10.8% + 0.9	28.4% + 1.8	13.2% + 1.8	20.2% + 2.5	18.3% + 1.4	17.3% + 1.5	17.8% + 3.8	17.0% + 1.0	13.6% + 2.0	18.6% + 2.0	22.6% + 2.1
Very important	17.4 + 1.0	12.8 + 1.2	21.7 + 1.7	22.2 + 2.3	24.3 + 2.2	17.6 + 1.4	16.8 + 1.5	18.5 + 1.6	16.2 + 1.5	16.8 + 2.3	17.6 + 2.0	20.1 + 1.6
Somewhat important	21.3 + 1.0	20.6 + 1.5	20.9 + 1.7	27.2 + 3.0	21.1 + 2.2	20.7 + 1.2	21.9 + 2.2	24.3 + 1.0	21.5 + 1.5	21.1 + 2.6	21.1 + 2.2	21.1 + 2.1
Not very important	15.3 + 0.9	18.5 + 1.3	10.8 + 1.3	17.8 + 2.1	13.8 + 2.1	15.8 + 1.2	14.7 + 1.4	14.1 + 1.3	15.2 + 1.2	17.3 + 1.8	15.6 + 2.2	13.7 + 1.1
Not at all important	28.0 + 1.4	37.2 + 1.7	18.2 + 1.8	19.7 + 2.4	20.7 + 3.6	27.6 + 2.0	29.4 + 1.8	25.2 + 4.1	30.0 + 2.1	31.2 + 2.5	27.1 + 2.5	22.5 + 2.3
Sample size	10,465	4,595	2,679	1,690	1,329	6,197	3,248	1,020	3,970	1,488	2,020	2,987
Weighted total	445,727	223,079	166,578	29,887	18,247	285,073	134,217	26,437	186,052	77,301	83,558	98,816

Table S.6L (cont.)

Importance of Retirement Benefits as Reason for Entry
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Enlisted	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Officer
Extremely important	10.0% + 1.1 -	22.9% + 6.4 -	12.9% + 4.2 -	24.1% + 7.2 -	19.4% + 2.9 -	23.2% + 2.0 -	17.0% + 2.7 -	20.0% + 2.6 -	23.3% + 2.3 -	23.1% + 1.7 -
Very important	12.6 + 1.5 -	20.1 + 5.5 -	14.9 + 4.2 -	18.5 + 4.2 -	17.8 + 2.5 -	21.6 + 2.4 -	22.0 + 3.2 -	27.5 + 3.9 -	22.0 + 1.7 -	19.8 + 1.6 -
Somewhat important	20.6 + 1.7 -	17.1 + 5.5 -	18.8 + 4.6 -	23.5 + 4.6 -	22.3 + 2.6 -	22.7 + 2.6 -	27.8 + 3.4 -	21.0 + 3.1 -	21.0 + 1.7 -	23.3 + 1.6 -
Not very important	18.5 + 1.5 -	17.9 + 5.6 -	20.9 + 4.6 -	13.0 + 3.8 -	13.6 + 2.2 -	12.7 + 1.8 -	12.5 + 2.8 -	11.7 + 2.6 -	13.0 + 1.6 -	12.1 + 1.2 -
Not at all important	38.2 + 1.8 -	22.0 + 6.0 -	32.5 + 4.1 -	21.0 + 5.2 -	26.8 + 2.9 -	19.9 + 1.9 -	20.8 + 3.9 -	19.8 + 3.8 -	20.8 + 2.4 -	21.8 + 1.7 -
Sample size	2,808	232	471	403	1,250	1,859	864	800	3,063	3,001
Weighted total	172,397	10,286	14,956	13,154	52,200	83,673	13,519	13,278	111,811	101,910

Table S 7

Career/Reenlistment Plans at Time of Entry
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Planned to make the military a career	18.7% + 1.0	13.0% + 0.9	23.3% + 1.9	28.9% + 2.8	26.2% + 2.7	18.9% + 1.4	17.9% + 1.7	19.7% + 2.4	18.6% + 1.7	15.3% + 2.3	18.8% + 1.9	21.1% + 2.2
Wanted to try it/decide whether to stay	38.4 + 1.0	41.7 + 1.5	30.0 + 1.5	43.0 + 3.9	29.9 + 2.8	35.6 + 1.3	37.9 + 1.7	37.4 + 4.5	37.9 + 1.7	38.1 + 2.6	36.9 + 2.3	31.9 + 1.7
Planned to stay in short time and leave	21.3 + 1.0	25.1 + 1.7	16.5 + 1.8	14.8 + 2.6	28.1 + 2.6	21.0 + 1.2	22.0 + 2.0	20.6 + 3.2	20.7 + 1.6	22.4 + 2.7	20.3 + 2.2	22.2 + 2.1
Undecided about career plan when entered	23.7 + 0.8	20.2 + 1.3	30.2 + 1.9	13.3 + 2.7	15.9 + 1.7	24.5 + 1.0	22.2 + 1.3	22.3 + 2.5	22.8 + 1.5	24.1 + 2.5	23.9 + 2.0	24.7 + 1.8
Sample size	10,964	4,680	2,880	1,729	1,467	6,524	3,367	1,073	4,112	1,540	2,111	3,201
Weighted total	467,992	226,580	181,257	30,499	20,036	301,071	139,332	27,589	193,245	80,556	87,728	106,465

Table S_7 (cont..)

Career/Reenlistment Plans at Time of Entry
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children
	Enlisted					Officer				
	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Planned to make the military a career	13.0% + 1.2	23.4% + 4.8	18.0% + 4.7	21.3% + 3.9	21.3% + 2.1	18.2% + 2.8	21.3% + 2.1	21.7% + 3.4	28.0% + 4.4	20.2% + 1.6
Wanted to try it/decide whether to stay	40.1 + 2.0	35.4 + 7.5	38.2 + 5.4	34.9 + 5.7	35.2 + 2.4	38.8 + 3.5	35.2 + 2.4	27.3 + 4.8	38.6 + 5.7	32.1 + 2.1
Planned to stay in short time and leave	25.9 + 2.0	17.1 + 5.9	22.3 + 3.9	18.8 + 4.5	15.8 + 2.1	19.6 + 2.6	15.8 + 2.1	20.8 + 4.0	18.9 + 4.6	19.7 + 2.1
Undecided about career plan when entered	21.0 + 1.6	24.0 + 4.7	21.5 + 4.7	25.0 + 4.6	27.7 + 2.0	23.4 + 2.9	27.7 + 2.0	30.2 + 3.4	14.5 + 3.4	27.9 + 1.9
Sample size	2,872	243	481	423	1,914	1,295	1,914	634	629	3,263
Weighted total	175,703	10,922	15,258	14,036	86,602	54,737	86,602	40,900	13,984	121,050
										107,293

Table S 8A
Active Duty Service of Father/Male Guardian
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Now serving	1.4% ± 0.3	1.9% ± 0.5	1.0% ± 0.4	1.0% ± 0.6	0.1% ± 0.2	1.5% ± 0.4	1.4% ± 0.5	1.2% ± 0.4	1.9% ± 0.5	1.3% ± 0.6	1.0% ± 0.5	1.0% ± 0.4
Served less than 8 years and left	39.6 ± 1.1	38.5 ± 1.6	38.9 ± 2.0	45.6 ± 3.0	45.1 ± 2.7	40.5 ± 1.4	37.7 ± 2.0	40.0 ± 3.6	37.9 ± 1.7	41.5 ± 2.5	38.7 ± 2.6	42.2 ± 2.5
Served 8 years or more and left/retired	17.4 ± 1.1	16.2 ± 1.3	16.5 ± 1.7	26.5 ± 3.0	24.4 ± 2.5	17.8 ± 1.5	16.3 ± 1.6	18.5 ± 3.4	18.1 ± 1.8	15.7 ± 2.2	16.1 ± 1.6	18.4 ± 1.8
Never served	36.9 ± 1.2	38.6 ± 1.7	37.8 ± 2.1	25.6 ± 2.2	29.7 ± 2.6	35.7 ± 1.5	39.7 ± 2.8	34.8 ± 1.6	37.0 ± 2.4	37.0 ± 2.0	39.8 ± 3.2	34.1 ± 2.2
Don't know	4.7 ± 0.6	4.7 ± 0.8	5.8 ± 1.0	1.1 ± 0.8	0.6 ± 0.6	4.5 ± 0.7	4.9 ± 1.0	5.5 ± 4.0	5.1 ± 0.8	4.4 ± 1.5	4.3 ± 1.2	4.3 ± 1.1
Sample size	10,872	4,636	2,836	1,725	1,466	6,482	3,326	1,064	4,078	1,527	2,089	3,178
Weighted total	462,991	224,403	178,459	30,438	20,005	298,327	137,353	27,311	191,476	79,792	86,731	104,992

Table S 8A (cont.)

Active Duty Service of Father/Male Guardian
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	
Now serving	1.9% ± 0.6	0.2% ± 0.4	0.9% ± 0.9	1.3% ± 1.4	0.9% ± 1.4	1.9% ± 0.9	1.2% ± 0.5	0.3% ± 0.4	1.9% ± 1.5	1.4% ± 1.5
Served less than 8 years and left	39.6 ± 2.0	35.5 ± 6.9	34.9 ± 4.9	35.0 ± 5.4	41.1 ± 3.3	45.6 ± 4.7	36.9 ± 2.6	45.6 ± 4.7	45.4 ± 4.8	46.6 ± 4.4
Served 8 years or more and left/retired	16.2 ± 1.5	19.8 ± 6.0	18.7 ± 4.2	19.8 ± 4.3	14.6 ± 2.1	16.0 ± 2.7	18.1 ± 2.3	16.0 ± 2.7	24.8 ± 4.6	26.4 ± 3.9
Never served	37.8 ± 2.0	38.7 ± 7.1	39.4 ± 5.6	38.6 ± 5.4	37.5 ± 3.0	33.8 ± 4.6	38.5 ± 3.1	33.8 ± 4.6	27.0 ± 3.9	25.0 ± 3.6
Don't know	4.5 ± 1.0	5.7 ± 4.0	6.1 ± 2.7	5.2 ± 2.6	4.9 ± 1.8	4.4 ± 1.7	5.3 ± 1.2	4.4 ± 1.7	0.8 ± 1.4	0.6 ± 0.8
Spouse not Employed	1.3% ± 0.5	1.3% ± 0.5	1.3% ± 0.5	1.3% ± 0.5	1.3% ± 0.5	1.3% ± 0.5	1.3% ± 0.5	1.3% ± 0.5	1.3% ± 0.5	1.3% ± 0.5
Spouse Employed	1.1% ± 0.5	1.1% ± 0.5	1.1% ± 0.5	1.1% ± 0.5	1.1% ± 0.5	1.1% ± 0.5	1.1% ± 0.5	1.1% ± 0.5	1.1% ± 0.5	1.1% ± 0.5
Sample size	2,846	239	478	420	1,277	1,896	631	893	629	903
Weighted total	174,071	10,662	15,207	13,896	53,878	85,614	40,739	13,970	10,150	15,416
									120,027	105,936

Table S 88

Active Duty Service of Mother/Female Guardian
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other DCOMUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
Now serving	1.0% ± 0.2	0.9% ± 0.3	1.2% ± 0.5	0.3% ± 0.3	0.1% ± 0.2	1.0% ± 0.3	0.9% ± 0.4	0.6% ± 0.7	1.0% ± 0.4	1.5% ± 0.8	0.7% ± 0.6	0.8% ± 0.4
Served less than 8 years and left	1.7 ± 0.3	1.7 ± 0.4	1.4 ± 0.5	3.2 ± 0.8	2.7 ± 0.7	1.9 ± 0.4	1.3 ± 0.5	0.9 ± 0.7	1.6 ± 0.5	1.4 ± 0.7	1.8 ± 0.7	1.8 ± 0.6
Served 8 years or more and left/retired	0.3 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.3	0.2 ± 0.3	0.1 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.3	0.1 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.3	0.1 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.3
Never served	94.7 ± 0.5	94.9 ± 0.7	93.8 ± 0.8	95.8 ± 1.2	96.8 ± 0.7	94.6 ± 0.5	94.7 ± 1.1	95.7 ± 1.6	94.1 ± 0.9	94.5 ± 1.3	95.6 ± 1.0	95.1 ± 1.1
Don't know	2.4 ± 0.4	2.2 ± 0.5	3.2 ± 0.7	0.5 ± 0.4	0.3 ± 0.3	2.2 ± 0.4	2.8 ± 0.9	2.7 ± 1.0	2.9 ± 0.9	2.4 ± 0.8	1.7 ± 0.9	2.1 ± 0.7
Sample size	10,286	4,395	2,645	1,630	1,416	6,138	3,141	1,007	3,823	1,453	1,974	3,036
Weighted total	436,257	213,091	165,857	28,741	19,288	281,543	129,048	25,666	178,894	76,079	81,585	99,699

Table S_88 (cont..)

Active Duty Service of Mother/Female Guardian
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Now serving	0.7% ± 0.4	1.1% ± 2.1	0.2% ± 0.3	0.6% ± 0.8	1.5% ± 0.7	0.7% ± 0.4	1.8% ± 1.4	0.4% ± 0.6	0.4% ± 0.5	0.8% ± 1.1	1.3% ± 0.6	0.9% ± 0.4
Served less than 8 years and left	1.6% ± 0.5	1.9% ± 1.5	1.5% ± 1.3	1.5% ± 1.1	2.2% ± 1.0	1.4% ± 0.7	1.3% ± 0.9	3.0% ± 1.2	3.3% ± 1.4	1.3% ± 0.7	1.5% ± 0.6	2.1% ± 0.6
Served 8 years or more and left/retired	0.2% ± 0.2	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.5% ± 0.5	0.4% ± 0.4	0.0% ± 0.0	0.9% ± 1.3	0.2% ± 0.3	0.1% ± 0.2	0.3% ± 0.3	0.3% ± 0.4
Never served	95.1% ± 0.9	93.1% ± 4.4	96.5% ± 2.0	95.2% ± 2.4	93.5% ± 1.3	94.2% ± 1.2	94.9% ± 1.6	95.2% ± 1.8	95.9% ± 1.5	97.4% ± 1.4	94.9% ± 1.1	94.2% ± 1.0
Don't know	2.4% ± 0.7	3.9% ± 3.7	1.8% ± 1.8	2.7% ± 2.1	2.2% ± 0.9	3.3% ± 1.0	2.0% ± 1.1	0.4% ± 0.5	0.1% ± 0.3	0.4% ± 0.8	1.9% ± 0.7	2.6% ± 0.7
Sample size	2,689	228	464	397	1,210	1,799	577	600	848	872	3,089	2,951
Weighted total	164,275	10,226	14,695	13,105	51,435	80,864	37,566	9,689	13,313	14,852	113,487	99,922

Table S_9

Active Duty Service of Brothers or Sisters
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, brother or sister has served	42.7% + 1.2	35.1% + 1.7	51.5% + 2.0	42.3% + 2.9	44.4% + 3.1	42.8% + 1.5	42.4% + 2.5	43.5% + 2.7	40.2% + 1.8	42.2% + 3.1	43.3% + 3.2	47.3% + 2.1
No, brothers and sisters haven't served	57.3 + 1.2	64.9 + 1.7	48.5 + 2.0	57.7 + 2.9	55.6 + 3.1	57.2 + 1.5	57.6 + 2.5	56.5 + 2.7	59.8 + 1.8	57.8 + 3.1	56.7 + 3.2	52.7 + 2.1
Sample size	10,541	4,508	2,806	1,663	1,362	6,267	3,245	1,029	3,957	1,500	2,039	3,045
Weighted total	451,832	217,784	176,920	29,328	18,421	290,715	134,548	26,569	185,773	78,583	85,013	102,463

Table S_9 (cont.)

Active Duty Service of Brothers or Sisters
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse															
		Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer			
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Yes, brother or sister has served		35.8% + 2.0	50.6% + 7.2	43.3% + 5.4	50.8% + 4.8	43.7% + 2.9	50.8% + 4.8	45.3% + 2.4	53.6% + 4.8	43.0% + 4.7	43.9% + 3.7	50.1% + 3.5	48.5% + 2.5	44.4% + 1.9			
No, brothers and sisters haven't served		64.2 + 2.0	49.4 + 7.2	56.7 + 5.4	49.2 + 4.8	56.3 + 2.9	54.7 + 2.4	54.7 + 2.4	46.4 + 4.8	57.0 + 4.7	56.1 + 3.7	49.9 + 3.5	51.5 + 2.5	55.6 + 1.9			
Sample size		2,753	234	464	417	1,244	1,868	618	595	855	842	3,127	3,033				
Weighted total		168,609	10,528	14,596	13,986	52,901	84,647	39,954	9,593	13,358	14,471	117,091	104,186				

Table S 10

Gender
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type					
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
Male	89.4% + 1.6	87.5% + 1.9	92.4% + 1.5	82.3% + 4.4	90.4% + 2.4	89.7% + 1.9	89.4% + 3.1	86.2% + 3.4	97.2% + 0.8	90.1% + 2.7	79.3% + 3.2	82.9% + 3.0
Female	10.6 + 1.6	12.5 + 1.9	7.6 + 1.5	17.7 + 4.4	9.6 + 2.4	10.3 + 1.9	10.6 + 3.1	13.8 + 3.4	2.8 + 0.8	9.9 + 2.7	20.7 + 3.2	17.1 + 3.0
Sample size	11,035	4,709	2,903	1,742	1,470	6,574	3,379	1,082	4,147	1,544	2,124	3,220
Weighted total	471,497	228,255	182,636	30,753	20,060	303,589	139,946	27,962	195,090	80,818	88,495	107,094

Table S_10 (cont.)

Gender
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Male	89.1% + 2.1	43.4% + 8.3	52.3% + 5.1	53.1% + 5.1	94.1% + 1.4	97.3% + 0.8	97.2% + 1.2	89.8% + 3.3	96.6% + 1.6	97.7% + 1.2	94.9% + 1.1	97.6% + 0.6	
Female	10.9 + 2.1	56.6 + 8.3	47.7 + 5.1	46.9 + 5.1	5.9 + 1.4	2.7 + 0.8	2.8 + 1.2	10.2 + 3.3	3.4 + 1.6	2.3 + 1.2	5.1 + 1.1	2.4 + 0.6	
Sample size	2,899	245	483	426	1,301	1,923	639	633	898	907	3,283	3,162	
Weighted total	177,385	11,007	15,274	14,170	55,050	86,998	41,253	10,222	14,055	15,528	121,887	107,906	

Table S_11

Race
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
American Indian or Aleut/Eskimo	1.8% ± 0.3	2.2% ± 0.5	1.5% ± 0.4	1.2% ± 0.7	0.7% ± 0.5	1.7% ± 0.4	1.9% ± 0.6	2.4% ± 1.6	1.7% ± 0.4	2.6% ± 1.1	1.6% ± 0.8	1.4% ± 0.5
Asian or Pacific Islander	2.4 ± 0.5	2.1 ± 0.6	2.9 ± 0.8	2.0 ± 0.8	1.7 ± 1.0	2.4 ± 0.7	2.0 ± 0.5	4.7 ± 1.8	2.7 ± 0.5	2.5 ± 1.4	2.2 ± 0.9	2.0 ± 0.7
Black	28.4 ± 1.8	27.6 ± 2.1	35.3 ± 2.9	14.8 ± 2.5	6.5 ± 1.4	27.8 ± 2.5	30.6 ± 2.5	24.6 ± 5.7	26.1 ± 1.7	26.7 ± 3.3	36.6 ± 4.5	27.2 ± 3.8
White	67.4 ± 1.8	68.0 ± 2.1	60.3 ± 3.0	82.1 ± 2.7	91.1 ± 2.1	68.2 ± 2.5	65.4 ± 2.8	68.3 ± 8.2	69.5 ± 1.6	68.1 ± 3.7	59.6 ± 4.4	69.4 ± 3.8
Sample size	10,661	4,525	2,762	1,713	1,455	6,347	3,274	1,040	3,985	1,493	2,060	3,123
Weighted total	452,078	219,034	173,479	30,178	19,841	291,152	134,610	28,316	186,494	77,805	85,115	102,663

Table S_11 (cont.)

Race
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed
	Enlisted					Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
American Indian or Aleut/Eskimo	2.3% ± 0.5	1.2% ± 1.8	0.7% ± 0.8	1.1% ± 1.2	1.9% ± 0.8	1.7% ± 0.6	1.5% ± 1.1	0.2% ± 0.3	1.8% ± 1.3	1.4% ± 0.5
Asian or Pacific Islander	2.1% ± 0.6	0.2% ± 0.5	1.6% ± 1.3	1.1% ± 1.1	3.2% ± 1.0	2.9% ± 0.9	2.9% ± 1.7	1.4% ± 1.2	1.8% ± 1.2	2.1% ± 0.7
Black	25.9% ± 2.3	46.9% ± 6.9	37.1% ± 6.3	48.1% ± 7.2	26.8% ± 2.8	29.0% ± 2.5	29.7% ± 4.6	11.7% ± 4.2	7.8% ± 2.4	28.3% ± 2.6
White	69.8% ± 2.5	51.7% ± 6.9	60.6% ± 6.2	49.6% ± 6.8	68.0% ± 3.2	66.4% ± 2.8	65.8% ± 4.6	86.6% ± 4.1	88.7% ± 3.2	68.2% ± 2.4
Sample size	2,796	236	465	411	1,252	1,828	616	627	894	3,186
Weighted total	170,462	10,416	14,379	13,737	52,719	82,262	39,732	10,092	15,244	117,214
										103,137

Table S_12
Hispanic Background
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
Hispanic	8.6% + 0.9 -	9.1% + 1.1 -	9.5% + 1.5 -	5.6% + 1.2 -	3.1% + 1.1 -	8.6% + 1.2 -	8.5% + 1.4 -	9.9% + 3.5 -	9.8% + 1.2 -	7.4% + 2.0 -	7.2% + 1.2 -	8.6% + 1.7 -
Non-Hispanic	91.4 + 0.9 -	90.9 + 1.1 -	90.5 + 1.5 -	94.4 + 1.2 -	96.9 + 1.1 -	91.4 + 1.2 -	91.5 + 1.4 -	90.1 + 3.5 -	90.2 + 1.2 -	92.6 + 2.0 -	92.8 + 1.2 -	91.4 + 1.7 -
Sample size	10,805	4,609	2,837	1,700	1,452	6,441	3,308	1,056	4,061	1,511	2,079	3,154
Weighted total	461,416	223,356	178,499	30,125	19,801	297,098	137,134	27,184	191,033	78,908	86,521	104,953

Table S_12 (cont.)

Hispanic Background
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	
Hispanic	8.3% + 1.2	5.7% + 4.3	7.6% + 2.9	5.9% + 2.9	9.8% + 1.6	11.7% + 2.1	7.7% + 1.8	5.1% + 1.9	4.0% + 1.6	2.5% + 1.3	7.6% + 1.2	10.3% + 1.7	
Non-Hispanic	91.7 + 1.2	94.3 + 4.3	92.4 + 2.9	94.1 + 2.9	90.2 + 1.6	88.3 + 2.1	92.3 + 1.8	94.9 + 1.9	96.0 + 1.6	97.5 + 1.3	92.4 + 1.2	89.7 + 1.7	
Sample size	2,837	239	472	417	1,268	1,889	629	620	883	890	3,215	3,099	
Weighted total	173,643	10,680	14,910	13,897	53,638	85,205	40,583	10,001	13,850	15,227	119,130	105,673	

Table RACE

Race/Ethnicity
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
White non-Hispanic	61.5% + 1.7 -	62.0% + 2.1 -	54.1% + 2.8 -	77.3% + 2.9 -	88.2% + 2.5 -	62.3% + 2.3 -	59.7% + 2.8 -	61.3% + 7.2 -	62.7% + 1.6 -	63.2% + 4.0 -	55.3% + 4.6 -	63.0% + 3.4 -
Black non-Hispanic	26.8 + 1.8 -	26.0 + 2.1 -	33.1 + 3.0 -	14.1 + 2.5 -	6.4 + 1.4 -	26.2 + 2.5 -	28.9 + 2.5 -	23.1 + 5.4 -	24.7 + 1.7 -	25.4 + 3.2 -	34.4 + 4.5 -	25.5 + 3.8 -
Hispanic	8.5 + 0.9 -	9.0 + 1.1 -	9.4 + 1.5 -	5.6 + 1.2 -	3.1 + 1.1 -	8.5 + 1.2 -	8.3 + 1.4 -	9.8 + 3.5 -	9.7 + 1.2 -	7.3 + 2.0 -	7.2 + 1.2 -	8.5 + 1.7 -
Other non-Hispanic	3.2 + 0.4 -	3.1 + 0.6 -	3.4 + 0.8 -	3.0 + 1.0 -	2.3 + 0.9 -	3.0 + 0.6 -	3.0 + 0.5 -	5.7 + 2.6 -	2.9 + 0.5 -	4.1 + 1.3 -	3.2 + 0.9 -	3.0 + 0.8 -
Sample size	10,922	4,664	2,870	1,721	1,459	6,497	3,358	1,067	4,104	1,532	2,105	3,181
Weighted total	466,218	225,891	180,461	30,326	19,892	299,718	139,040	27,459	192,839	80,100	87,463	105,816

Table RACE (cont.)

Race/Ethnicity
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
White non-Hispanic	64.2% ± 2.5	47.3% ± 7.4	55.5% ± 6.0	46.7% ± 6.4	61.0% ± 3.1	58.2% ± 2.7	61.1% ± 5.0	82.6% ± 4.4	82.3% ± 3.3	86.4% ± 3.2	62.6% ± 2.5	64.2% ± 2.4
Black non-Hispanic	24.5 ± 2.3	45.6 ± 7.0	34.7 ± 6.1	45.9 ± 7.5	25.4 ± 2.7	26.6 ± 2.8	28.0 ± 4.5	10.9 ± 4.4	11.2 ± 2.7	7.7 ± 2.5	26.8 ± 2.7	21.5 ± 2.7
Hispanic	8.3 ± 1.2	5.7 ± 4.3	7.6 ± 3.0	5.9 ± 2.8	9.6 ± 1.6	11.6 ± 2.1	7.6 ± 1.7	5.0 ± 1.9	4.0 ± 1.6	2.5 ± 1.3	7.5 ± 1.2	10.2 ± 1.6
Other non-Hispanic	3.0 ± 0.6	1.4 ± 1.9	2.2 ± 1.4	1.5 ± 1.4	4.0 ± 1.0	3.7 ± 0.9	3.3 ± 1.9	1.5 ± 1.2	2.5 ± 1.3	3.4 ± 2.3	3.0 ± 0.7	4.2 ± 1.1
Sample size	2,867	239	477	420	1,294	1,903	636	628	889	897	3,251	3,137
Weighted total	175,326	10,636	14,948	13,993	54,648	85,969	41,016	10,106	13,934	15,308	120,594	106,960

Table S_13

Highest Level of Education Completed
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOJ	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Some high school or less, no diploma	0.4% ± 0.2	0.7% ± 0.3	0.2% ± 0.2	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.4% ± 0.3	0.5% ± 0.3	0.3% ± 0.3	0.7% ± 0.3	0.5% ± 0.5	0.3% ± 0.2	0.0% ± 0.1
High school completed with diploma	51.0 ± 3.1	69.3 ± 1.5	44.6 ± 3.2	0.2 ± 0.5	0.0 ± 0.0	49.3 ± 4.4	55.3 ± 4.4	46.8 ± 4.7	58.0 ± 2.8	59.7 ± 3.4	52.4 ± 3.4	30.4 ± 4.9
High school completed with GED	8.8 ± 1.0	8.2 ± 0.9	12.4 ± 1.7	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	8.3 ± 1.3	9.8 ± 1.7	8.8 ± 2.2	10.6 ± 1.5	8.8 ± 1.5	8.5 ± 2.0	5.9 ± 1.6
Up to 2 years of college, but no degree	17.1 ± 1.1	15.1 ± 1.2	23.8 ± 2.3	0.2 ± 0.2	0.1 ± 0.3	17.1 ± 1.5	16.8 ± 1.8	18.8 ± 3.8	15.2 ± 1.6	16.4 ± 1.9	18.5 ± 2.1	20.1 ± 2.8
Associate degree	4.0 ± 0.6	1.7 ± 0.4	7.2 ± 1.4	0.0 ± 0.1	0.0 ± 0.0	4.1 ± 0.8	3.8 ± 1.1	2.8 ± 1.0	2.6 ± 0.6	3.0 ± 1.0	5.4 ± 1.3	6.1 ± 1.3
From 3-4 years of college, but no degree	3.6 ± 0.5	2.1 ± 0.6	5.8 ± 1.0	0.7 ± 0.5	0.0 ± 0.1	3.9 ± 0.7	2.9 ± 0.8	4.2 ± 1.2	2.7 ± 0.6	3.2 ± 1.0	3.6 ± 1.0	5.7 ± 1.3
Bachelor's degree	7.3 ± 0.6	1.4 ± 0.3	3.0 ± 0.8	68.1 ± 5.3	13.1 ± 2.6	7.8 ± 0.9	5.9 ± 0.7	8.3 ± 2.5	6.8 ± 0.8	5.2 ± 1.1	5.9 ± 1.1	10.8 ± 1.2
1+ yrs of graduate credit, no degree	2.7 ± 0.5	1.3 ± 0.4	2.4 ± 0.7	10.7 ± 2.7	8.0 ± 1.4	2.9 ± 0.6	2.0 ± 0.6	3.5 ± 1.2	1.8 ± 0.5	1.9 ± 0.6	2.8 ± 0.8	4.9 ± 1.3
Master's degree (MA, MS, MFA)	3.7 ± 1.4	0.2 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.3	12.0 ± 2.6	58.4 ± 7.2	4.2 ± 2.1	2.5 ± 1.6	3.7 ± 1.6	1.5 ± 0.4	1.0 ± 0.6	2.2 ± 0.6	10.9 ± 4.7
Doctorate degree (PhD, DPH)	0.2 ± 0.1	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.3	2.3 ± 1.6	0.2 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.1	0.0 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.3
Professional degree (MD, DDS, or LLB)	1.3 ± 0.5	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	7.7 ± 3.1	18.0 ± 7.3	1.6 ± 0.8	0.3 ± 0.2	2.7 ± 1.0	0.2 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.4	4.7 ± 1.8
Sample size	10,977	4,684	2,885	1,731	1,469	6,534	3,371	1,072	4,118	1,537	2,115	3,207
Weighted total	468,516	226,689	181,581	30,557	20,042	301,380	139,562	27,574	193,372	80,310	88,130	106,704

Table S-13 (cont.)

Highest Level of Education Completed
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	Children	No Children
	Enlisted					Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	Children	Spouse Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Children	Children	Children	Spouse Employed
Some high school or less, no diploma	0.6% ± 0.4	0.0% ± 0.0	0.8% ± 1.6	0.3% ± 0.6	0.7% ± 0.5	0.4% ± 0.4	0.1% ± 0.1	0.3% ± 0.5	0.0% ± 0.0	0.2% ± 0.2
High school completed with diploma	63.6 ± 2.3	40.9 ± 7.9	49.5 ± 5.3	47.2 ± 6.5	55.3 ± 4.2	53.6 ± 3.7	37.2 ± 4.4	0.0 ± 0.0	1.5 ± 1.9	38.9 ± 3.7
High school completed with GED	6.8 ± 1.0	10.2 ± 5.3	4.3 ± 3.1	2.6 ± 1.8	12.0 ± 2.2	12.8 ± 1.9	14.1 ± 2.8	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	10.5 ± 1.8
Up to 2 years of college, but no degree	15.0 ± 1.5	22.9 ± 7.3	18.6 ± 4.3	21.7 ± 5.3	19.5 ± 2.6	20.5 ± 3.0	25.5 ± 3.9	5.2 ± 3.5	2.9 ± 2.1	19.6 ± 2.1
Associate degree	2.0 ± 0.5	7.3 ± 4.2	3.8 ± 2.2	5.3 ± 2.4	3.8 ± 1.3	4.5 ± 1.2	10.1 ± 3.3	2.0 ± 2.1	2.5 ± 1.8	5.9 ± 1.2
From 3-4 years of college, but no degree	2.3 ± 0.7	4.7 ± 2.7	3.6 ± 2.1	5.8 ± 2.9	4.2 ± 1.4	4.2 ± 1.0	6.1 ± 2.0	2.7 ± 2.4	4.0 ± 2.3	5.0 ± 0.9
Bachelor's degree	6.3 ± 1.0	6.8 ± 3.6	11.3 ± 2.5	6.9 ± 2.0	2.2 ± 0.9	2.2 ± 0.8	3.0 ± 1.3	48.9 ± 6.8	40.1 ± 5.8	8.3 ± 0.9
1+ yrs of graduate credit, no degree	1.7 ± 0.4	4.7 ± 2.8	4.3 ± 1.6	4.9 ± 2.9	1.6 ± 0.7	1.2 ± 0.7	3.4 ± 1.8	6.0 ± 2.5	9.0 ± 2.2	3.3 ± 0.8
Master's degree (MA, MS, MFA)	1.1 ± 0.4	2.2 ± 1.8	2.1 ± 1.3	4.0 ± 1.6	0.7 ± 0.8	0.4 ± 0.3	0.3 ± 0.5	23.5 ± 7.0	25.0 ± 6.6	6.4 ± 2.7
Doctorate degree (PhD, DPH)	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.5	0.9 ± 0.7	1.2 ± 0.8	0.3 ± 0.2
Professional degree (MD, DDS, or LLB)	0.5 ± 0.3	0.3 ± 0.4	1.7 ± 0.9	1.3 ± 1.3	0.0 ± 0.1	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	10.6 ± 4.9	13.9 ± 6.2	1.5 ± 0.6
Sample size	2,875	241	480	424	1,298	1,917	636	631	895	3,272
Weighted total	175,773	10,810	15,228	14,132	54,880	86,652	41,038	10,170	14,005	121,343

Table EDUC
Soldier's Level of Education
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Less than high school	0.4% ± 0.2	0.7% ± 0.3	0.2% ± 0.2	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.4% ± 0.3	0.5% ± 0.3	0.3% ± 0.3	0.7% ± 0.3	0.5% ± 0.5	0.3% ± 0.2
High school graduate, GED	8.8 ± 1.0	8.2 ± 0.9	12.4 ± 1.7	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	8.3 ± 1.3	9.8 ± 1.7	8.8 ± 2.2	10.6 ± 1.5	8.8 ± 1.5	8.5 ± 2.0
High school graduate, diploma	51.0 ± 3.1	69.3 ± 1.5	44.6 ± 3.2	0.2 ± 0.5	0.0 ± 0.0	49.3 ± 4.4	55.3 ± 4.4	46.8 ± 4.7	58.0 ± 2.8	59.7 ± 3.4	52.4 ± 3.4
Some post-secondary	24.7 ± 1.6	18.9 ± 1.4	36.8 ± 3.2	0.9 ± 0.5	0.2 ± 0.3	25.2 ± 2.2	23.5 ± 2.7	25.9 ± 4.4	20.5 ± 1.9	22.6 ± 2.8	27.4 ± 2.3
Bachelor's degree	7.3 ± 0.6	1.4 ± 0.3	3.0 ± 0.8	68.1 ± 5.3	13.1 ± 2.6	7.8 ± 0.9	5.9 ± 0.7	8.3 ± 2.5	6.8 ± 0.8	5.2 ± 1.1	5.9 ± 1.1
Beyond bachelor's degree	7.8 ± 2.1	1.5 ± 0.3	3.0 ± 0.8	30.7 ± 5.3	86.7 ± 2.7	8.9 ± 3.0	4.9 ± 2.3	9.9 ± 3.1	3.5 ± 0.6	3.1 ± 0.9	5.4 ± 1.2
Sample size	10,977	4,684	2,885	1,731	1,469	6,534	3,371	1,072	4,118	1,537	2,115
Weighted total	468,516	226,689	181,581	30,557	20,042	301,380	139,562	27,574	193,372	80,310	88,130
											106,704

Table EDUC (cont.)

Soldier's Level of Education
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Less than high school	0.6% ± 0.4	0.0% ± 0.0	0.8% ± 1.6	0.3% ± 0.6	0.7% ± 0.5	0.4% ± 0.4	0.1% ± 0.1	0.3% ± 0.5	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.2% ± 0.2	0.4% ± 0.3		
High school graduate, GED	6.8 ± 1.0	10.2 ± 5.3	4.3 ± 3.1	2.6 ± 1.8	12.0 ± 2.2	12.8 ± 1.9	14.1 ± 2.8	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	10.5 ± 1.8	11.3 ± 1.6		
High school graduate, diploma	63.6 ± 2.3	40.9 ± 7.9	49.5 ± 5.3	47.2 ± 6.5	55.3 ± 4.2	53.6 ± 3.7	37.2 ± 4.4	0.0 ± 0.0	1.5 ± 1.9	1.6 ± 1.3	38.9 ± 3.7	44.6 ± 4.1		
Some post-secondary	19.3 ± 1.7	34.8 ± 7.4	26.1 ± 4.6	32.8 ± 5.6	27.5 ± 3.7	29.2 ± 3.4	41.7 ± 4.8	9.9 ± 4.2	9.3 ± 4.0	15.6 ± 6.5	30.5 ± 3.0	24.9 ± 2.5		
Bachelor's degree	6.3 ± 1.0	6.8 ± 3.6	11.3 ± 2.5	6.9 ± 2.0	2.2 ± 0.9	2.2 ± 0.8	3.0 ± 1.3	48.9 ± 6.8	40.1 ± 5.8	19.4 ± 3.9	8.3 ± 0.9	7.7 ± 0.9		
Beyond bachelor's degree	3.4 ± 0.8	7.2 ± 3.8	8.1 ± 2.6	10.2 ± 3.5	2.3 ± 1.1	1.7 ± 0.8	3.9 ± 1.9	40.9 ± 8.3	49.0 ± 7.9	63.4 ± 9.2	11.5 ± 3.5	11.1 ± 3.0		
Sample size	2,875	241	480	424	1,298	1,917	636	631	895	905	3,272	3,152		
Weighted total	175,773	10,810	15,228	14,132	54,880	86,652	41,038	10,170	14,005	15,443	121,343	107,453		

Table ENLEDC

Enlisted Soldier's Level of Education
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
GED or less than high school completed	10.6% ± 1.1	9.0% ± 1.1	12.6% ± 1.7	—	—	10.2% ± 1.5	11.4% ± 1.7	10.8% ± 2.1	12.4% ± 1.8	10.0% ± 1.5	9.7% ± 2.3	8.1% ± 2.0
High school diploma	58.3 ± 2.5	69.3 ± 1.5	44.6 ± 3.2	—	—	57.4 ± 3.4	60.7 ± 3.9	55.4 ± 4.3	63.6 ± 2.7	63.9 ± 2.9	57.9 ± 3.3	41.4 ± 4.2
1-2 years of college, no degree	19.0 ± 1.4	15.1 ± 1.2	23.8 ± 2.3	—	—	19.4 ± 1.9	17.7 ± 2.1	21.6 ± 3.6	16.0 ± 1.8	17.1 ± 2.0	19.5 ± 2.1	27.2 ± 3.2
Associate degree or higher	12.1 ± 1.6	6.6 ± 0.9	18.9 ± 2.8	—	—	13.0 ± 2.3	10.2 ± 2.4	12.2 ± 4.1	8.0 ± 1.1	9.0 ± 1.8	12.9 ± 2.3	23.4 ± 3.2
Sample size	7,569	4,684	2,885	0	0	4,333	2,535	701	3,153	1,285	1,635	1,496
Weighted total	408,270	226,689	181,581	0	0	258,499	126,540	23,231	175,411	75,008	79,489	78,362

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table ENLEUDC (cont.)
Enlisted Soldier's Level of Education
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children 0-5	Child 6+	No Children 0-5	Child 6+
GED or less than high school completed	8.0% ± 1.2	11.0% ± 5.7	6.1% ± 3.7	3.3% ± 2.3	6.1% ± 3.7	12.6% ± 2.0	13.3% ± 1.8	14.1% ± 2.8	--	--
High school diploma	68.6 ± 1.9	44.2 ± 8.5	59.1 ± 5.8	54.0 ± 6.8	54.0 ± 6.8	55.3 ± 4.2	53.6 ± 3.7	37.2 ± 4.4	--	--
1-2 years of college, no degree	15.9 ± 1.7	24.4 ± 7.4	21.5 ± 5.4	24.4 ± 6.2	24.4 ± 6.2	19.5 ± 2.6	20.5 ± 3.0	25.5 ± 3.9	--	--
Associate degree or higher	7.4 ± 1.3	20.4 ± 7.0	13.4 ± 3.7	18.2 ± 5.9	18.2 ± 5.9	12.6 ± 2.9	12.7 ± 2.2	23.1 ± 4.6	--	--
Sample size	2,336	203	321	304	304	1,298	1,917	636	0	0
Weighted total	162,442	10,018	12,764	12,342	12,342	54,880	86,652	41,038	0	0
									1,990	1,975
									99,590	88,969

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table OFFEDUC
Officer's Level of Education
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type					
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Bachelor's degree or less	53.9% ± 7.6	—	—	69.3% ± 5.3	13.3% ± 2.7	50.6% ± 9.6	65.7% ± 13.2	50.4% ± 6.9	78.5% ± 3.7	75.5% ± 6.0	65.6% ± 6.0	30.6% ± 4.9
Some graduate work, no degree	8.6 ± 1.7	—	—	10.7 ± 2.7	8.0 ± 1.4	9.3 ± 2.2	5.9 ± 2.0	9.9 ± 4.8	5.9 ± 1.8	10.1 ± 3.5	7.3 ± 2.6	10.4 ± 2.9
Master's degree	26.6 ± 6.5	—	—	12.0 ± 2.6	58.4 ± 7.2	27.7 ± 8.4	24.5 ± 10.4	22.6 ± 5.5	13.1 ± 2.7	12.7 ± 4.6	21.2 ± 3.6	39.5 ± 8.4
Doctorate or professional degree	10.9 ± 3.7	—	—	8.1 ± 3.1	20.3 ± 7.6	12.4 ± 5.1	3.8 ± 2.2	17.1 ± 4.1	2.4 ± 1.0	1.7 ± 2.2	5.9 ± 3.8	19.5 ± 7.8
Sample size	3,408	0	0	1,731	1,469	2,201	836	371	965	252	480	1,711
Weighted total	60,246	0	0	30,557	20,042	42,881	13,021	4,343	17,960	5,302	8,641	28,342

(—) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table OFFEDUC (cont.)

Officer's Level of Education
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Bachelor's degree or less	72.7% + 5.9 -	40.2% + 27.3 -	64.1% + 11.3 -	45.2% + 12.9 -	--	--	--	--	59.1% + 8.3 -	51.0% + 7.9 -	36.6% + 9.2 -	49.2% + 9.1 -	45.7% + 7.5 -
Some graduate work, no degree	7.1 + 2.4 -	28.9 + 19.6 -	13.4 + 5.9 -	13.1 + 6.0 -	--	--	--	--	6.0 + 2.5 -	9.0 + 2.2 -	9.0 + 2.5 -	8.0 + 1.9 -	8.4 + 2.3 -
Master's degree	12.6 + 3.8 -	26.3 + 14.1 -	13.0 + 6.7 -	31.6 + 9.6 -	--	--	--	--	23.5 + 7.0 -	25.0 + 6.6 -	44.2 + 8.8 -	33.0 + 8.7 -	30.9 + 6.8 -
Doctorate or professional degree	7.5 + 2.5 -	4.6 + 5.7 -	9.5 + 4.5 -	10.0 + 9.3 -	--	--	--	--	11.5 + 4.9 -	15.1 + 6.3 -	10.2 + 3.5 -	9.9 + 3.5 -	14.9 + 6.1 -
Sample size	539	38	159	120	0	0	0	0	631	895	905	1,282	1,177
Weighted total	13,331	793	2,464	1,790	0	0	0	0	10,170	14,005	15,443	21,753	18,484

(—) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 14

Present Pay Grade
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
E2	2.0% + 0.5	4.1% + 1.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	2.0% + 0.7	1.3% + 0.4	4.6% + 1.9	2.8% + 0.9	2.2% + 1.3	1.9% + 0.7	0.4% + 0.5
E3	12.7 + 1.6	26.1 + 2.3	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	12.5 + 2.1	13.3 + 3.2	10.8 + 3.2	18.0 + 2.1	12.9 + 2.7	10.4 + 2.4	4.6 + 2.2
E4	33.8 + 2.2	69.8 + 2.7	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	32.4 + 3.0	37.5 + 2.7	30.0 + 8.4	35.3 + 2.9	43.6 + 3.4	41.8 + 3.3	17.0 + 1.9
E5	17.1 + 0.7	0.0 + 0.0	44.2 + 2.9	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	16.1 + 0.8	19.4 + 1.4	16.8 + 2.5	16.8 + 1.1	18.8 + 2.3	19.2 + 2.2	14.7 + 2.3
E6	12.4 + 1.4	0.0 + 0.0	31.9 + 2.4	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	12.4 + 2.1	12.0 + 1.5	13.4 + 2.6	11.0 + 1.2	10.1 + 2.0	8.9 + 1.5	19.4 + 3.7
E7	6.6 + 1.0	0.0 + 0.0	17.1 + 1.9	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	7.2 + 1.4	5.2 + 1.2	7.0 + 0.8	4.8 + 0.9	4.0 + 1.1	5.4 + 1.2	12.9 + 3.0
E8	2.1 + 0.4	0.0 + 0.0	5.4 + 1.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	2.3 + 0.6	1.7 + 0.5	1.3 + 0.3	1.7 + 0.6	1.3 + 0.7	2.1 + 1.0	3.3 + 1.0
E9	0.5 + 0.3	0.0 + 0.0	1.4 + 0.7	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.7 + 0.4	0.3 + 0.2	0.4 + 0.6	0.4 + 0.2	0.3 + 0.3	0.5 + 0.4	1.1 + 0.8
W1	0.2 + 0.1	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.2 + 0.1	0.3 + 0.2	0.0 + 0.0	0.4 + 0.2	0.2 + 0.3	0.1 + 0.2	0.0 + 0.0
W2	1.0 + 0.3	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	1.0 + 0.4	1.1 + 0.4	0.8 + 0.4	1.1 + 0.4	0.9 + 0.7	1.5 + 0.6	0.6 + 0.5
W3	0.5 + 0.2	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.6 + 0.3	0.5 + 0.2	0.2 + 0.1	0.3 + 0.2	0.2 + 0.3	0.8 + 0.3	0.8 + 0.7
W4	0.3 + 0.1	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.3 + 0.2	0.4 + 0.3	0.1 + 0.1	0.3 + 0.3	0.1 + 0.2	0.0 + 0.1	0.6 + 0.4
O1	0.8 + 0.2	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	12.6 + 2.6	0.0 + 0.0	0.9 + 0.3	0.5 + 0.2	1.3 + 0.7	0.9 + 0.2	0.9 + 0.4	0.8 + 0.3	0.8 + 0.5

Table S_14

Present Pay Grade
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
02	1.7% ± 0.3	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	26.0% ± 4.4	0.0% ± 0.0	1.6% ± 0.4	1.6% ± 0.3	2.9% ± 0.3	2.2% ± 0.3	1.1% ± 0.4	1.7% ± 0.5	1.2% ± 0.5
03	4.0 ± 0.7	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	61.5 ± 5.6	0.0 ± 0.0	4.7 ± 1.1	2.4 ± 0.5	4.5 ± 1.4	2.6 ± 0.3	2.3 ± 0.8	2.8 ± 0.7	8.8 ± 2.2
04	2.2 ± 0.7	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	52.5 ± 6.3	2.5 ± 1.0	1.4 ± 0.8	3.2 ± 1.6	0.9 ± 0.3	0.6 ± 0.4	1.4 ± 0.4	6.6 ± 2.1
05	1.4 ± 0.7	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	33.3 ± 4.4	1.6 ± 1.0	0.9 ± 0.7	1.8 ± 0.8	0.4 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.2	4.9 ± 2.3
06	0.6 ± 0.4	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	14.2 ± 3.1	0.7 ± 0.5	0.3 ± 0.3	0.7 ± 0.3	0.1 ± 0.1	0.0 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.1	2.3 ± 1.2
Sample size	11,035	4,709	2,903	1,742	1,470	6,574	3,379	1,082	4,147	1,544	2,124	3,220
Weighted total	471,497	228,255	182,636	30,753	20,060	303,589	139,946	27,962	195,090	80,818	88,495	107,094

Table S_14 (cont.)

Present Pay Grade
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse															
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
E2	4.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	2.3%	0.7%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.1%
	+ 1.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.4	+ 0.0	+ 1.1	+ 0.5	+ 0.3	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.4	+ 0.6
E3	24.8	6.0	11.2	2.4	10.9	5.6	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	6.1
	+ 2.5	+ 3.4	+ 3.0	+ 1.7	+ 1.8	+ 1.3	+ 0.5	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.9	+ 1.3
E4	49.3	38.9	40.6	29.1	39.4	30.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	25.5
	+ 3.1	+ 6.7	+ 4.7	+ 5.1	+ 3.4	+ 2.0	+ 1.5	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 2.2	+ 2.3
E5	9.7	16.4	18.6	27.5	23.6	29.9	17.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.0	21.3
	+ 0.9	+ 5.3	+ 5.1	+ 4.2	+ 2.8	+ 2.3	+ 3.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 1.8	+ 2.0
E6	3.1	19.9	9.3	14.7	13.5	23.7	31.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.2	17.4
	+ 0.7	+ 7.8	+ 4.0	+ 3.6	+ 2.4	+ 3.0	+ 5.3	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 3.0	+ 2.0
E7	1.1	10.6	2.1	11.8	5.7	8.5	29.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.0	7.9
	+ 0.5	+ 4.0	+ 1.9	+ 4.1	+ 1.7	+ 1.9	+ 4.5	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 1.7	+ 2.0
E8	0.4	1.0	1.6	1.5	3.2	1.3	11.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.9	2.7
	+ 0.2	+ 1.5	+ 1.9	+ 1.8	+ 2.0	+ 0.6	+ 3.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 1.1	+ 0.8
E9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	1.5	0.3	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.7
	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.7	+ 1.0	+ 0.4	+ 1.5	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.7	+ 0.5
W1	0.1	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	2.7	0.9	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.2
	+ 0.1	+ 0.0	+ 0.8	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 1.7	+ 2.0	+ 1.1	+ 0.3	+ 0.2	+ 0.2	+ 0.2	+ 0.3	+ 0.2
W2	0.4	1.1	0.3	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.2	7.9	11.8	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.7	1.5
	+ 0.2	+ 1.2	+ 0.4	+ 1.2	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 3.5	+ 3.9	+ 5.8	+ 0.7	+ 0.6	+ 0.6	+ 0.6	+ 0.7	+ 0.6
W3	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	1.4	9.6	1.2	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.2	0.5
	+ 0.2	+ 0.0	+ 0.4	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 2.3	+ 1.7	+ 3.8	+ 0.5	+ 0.3	+ 0.3	+ 0.3	+ 0.5	+ 0.3
W4	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.7	0.7	4.3	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5
	+ 0.0	+ 0.7	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 3.3	+ 1.0	+ 2.5	+ 0.4	+ 0.4	+ 0.4	+ 0.4	+ 0.4	+ 0.4
O1	1.3	0.0	2.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.8	2.6	0.3	0.7	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.3
	+ 0.4	+ 0.0	+ 0.9	+ 0.2	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 3.3	+ 1.4	+ 0.4	+ 0.2	+ 0.2	+ 0.2	+ 0.2	+ 0.2	+ 0.1

Table S_14 (cont.)

Present Pay Grade
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Officer	Spouse Employed
	Child 6+	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
02	2.3 ± 0.5	0.3 ± 0.5	2.8 ± 1.1	0.6 ± 0.4	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	16.7 ± 5.0	10.0 ± 2.5	1.1 ± 0.8	1.6 ± 0.3
03	2.3 ± 0.7	3.2 ± 2.3	6.9 ± 2.4	5.9 ± 1.8	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	32.5 ± 4.5	46.7 ± 4.5	12.9 ± 3.9	4.5 ± 1.0
04	0.6 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.7	2.8 ± 1.3	3.9 ± 1.8	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	12.6 ± 3.2	20.8 ± 4.2	24.7 ± 3.8	3.7 ± 1.2
05	0.4 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.7	0.4 ± 0.4	0.7 ± 0.5	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	8.8 ± 2.8	5.9 ± 2.5	24.4 ± 6.1	2.5 ± 1.3
06	0.1 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.5	0.2 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	7.2 ± 2.9	1.2 ± 0.8	9.9 ± 4.0	1.0 ± 0.6
Sample size	2,899	245	483	426	1,301	1,923	639	633	898	907	3,283	3,162
Weighted total	177,385	11,007	15,274	14,170	55,050	86,998	41,253	10,222	14,055	15,528	121,887	107,906

Table PAYGRADE
Soldier's Paygrade
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
E2-E4	48.4% + 3.4	100.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	47.0% + 4.8	52.0% + 4.2	45.5% + 6.1	58.0% + 2.2	58.7% + 2.7	54.1% + 3.5	22.1% + 3.6
E5-E6	39.5 + 1.6	0.0 + 0.0	76.1 + 2.3	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	28.5 + 2.1	31.4 + 2.3	30.2 + 3.1	27.8 + 1.5	28.9 + 2.3	28.2 + 2.3	34.1 + 4.7
E7-E9	9.2 + 1.2	0.0 + 0.0	23.9 + 2.3	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	10.2 + 1.8	7.2 + 1.2	8.8 + 1.5	6.9 + 1.3	5.7 + 1.5	7.9 + 2.1	17.3 + 3.4
W1-W4	2.1 + 0.5	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	2.1 + 0.7	2.2 + 0.7	1.1 + 0.5	2.1 + 0.7	1.5 + 0.9	2.5 + 0.8	2.0 + 1.3
O1-O3	6.5 + 0.8	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	100.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	7.2 + 1.2	4.5 + 0.5	8.7 + 1.5	5.7 + 0.5	4.3 + 1.1	5.2 + 1.1	10.7 + 2.3
O4-O6	4.3 + 1.6	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	100.0 + 0.0	4.9 + 2.4	2.6 + 1.7	5.7 + 2.6	1.4 + 0.4	0.8 + 0.5	2.0 + 0.7	13.8 + 5.4
Sample size	11,035	4,709	2,903	1,742	1,470	6,574	3,379	1,082	4,147	1,544	2,124	3,220
Weighted total	471,497	228,255	182,636	30,753	20,060	303,589	139,946	27,962	195,090	80,818	88,495	107,094

Table PAYGRADE (cont.)

Soldier's Paygrade
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Children	Children	Children	Children
	Enlisted					Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Children	Children	Children	Children
	6+	0-5	6+	0-5	0-5	6+	0-5	0-5	6+	Spouse Employed
	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed
E2-E4	78.1% + 2.5%	44.9% + 7.4%	52.0% + 5.2%	31.5% + 5.7%	52.6% + 3.8%	36.3% + 2.9%	7.8% + 1.8%	0.0% + 0.0%	0.0% + 0.0%	24.7% + 2.8%
E5-E6	12.8% + 1.1%	36.3% + 7.3%	28.0% + 5.6%	42.2% + 5.0%	37.1% + 3.4%	53.6% + 2.6%	49.5% + 5.2%	0.0% + 0.0%	0.0% + 0.0%	40.2% + 3.2%
E7-E9	1.5% + 0.5%	11.6% + 4.2%	3.7% + 2.7%	13.7% + 4.0%	10.3% + 3.2%	10.1% + 1.9%	42.7% + 4.9%	0.0% + 0.0%	0.0% + 0.0%	17.2% + 2.3%
W1-W4	0.7% + 0.4%	1.5% + 1.3%	1.0% + 1.0%	1.1% + 1.2%	0.0% + 0.0%	0.0% + 0.0%	0.0% + 0.0%	14.4% + 4.8%	26.6% + 9.9%	3.9% + 1.2%
O1-O3	5.8% + 1.1%	3.4% + 2.3%	11.8% + 3.0%	6.6% + 1.8%	0.0% + 0.0%	0.0% + 0.0%	0.0% + 0.0%	56.9% + 7.9%	14.4% + 4.3%	6.9% + 1.0%
O4-O6	1.0% + 0.4%	2.3% + 1.5%	3.4% + 1.7%	5.0% + 2.1%	0.0% + 0.0%	0.0% + 0.0%	0.0% + 0.0%	28.7% + 6.8%	59.1% + 10.3%	7.2% + 3.0%
Sample size	2,899	245	483	426	1,301	1,923	639	633	907	3,283
Weighted total	177,385	11,007	15,274	14,170	55,050	86,998	41,253	10,222	15,528	121,887
										107,906

Table PAYGRD2

Soldier's Paygrade - Recode #2
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
E2-E4	48.4% ± 3.4	100.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	47.0% ± 4.8	52.0% ± 4.2	45.5% ± 6.1	56.0% ± 2.2	58.7% ± 2.7	54.1% ± 3.5	22.1% ± 3.6
E5	17.1 ± 0.7	0.0 ± 0.0	44.2 ± 2.9	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	16.1 ± 0.8	19.4 ± 1.4	16.8 ± 2.5	16.8 ± 1.1	18.8 ± 2.3	19.2 ± 2.2	14.7 ± 2.3
E6	12.4 ± 1.4	0.0 ± 0.0	31.9 ± 2.4	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	12.4 ± 2.1	12.0 ± 1.5	13.4 ± 2.6	11.0 ± 1.2	10.1 ± 2.0	8.9 ± 1.5	19.4 ± 3.7
E7-E9	9.2 ± 1.2	0.0 ± 0.0	23.9 ± 2.3	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	10.2 ± 1.8	7.2 ± 1.2	8.8 ± 1.5	6.9 ± 1.3	5.7 ± 1.5	7.9 ± 2.1	17.3 ± 3.4
W1-W4	2.1 ± 0.5	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	2.1 ± 0.7	2.2 ± 0.7	1.1 ± 0.5	2.1 ± 0.7	1.5 ± 0.9	2.5 ± 0.8	2.0 ± 1.3
O1-O2	2.5 ± 0.4	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	38.5 ± 5.6	0.0 ± 0.0	2.5 ± 0.5	2.1 ± 0.4	4.2 ± 0.7	3.1 ± 0.4	2.0 ± 0.5	2.5 ± 0.7	2.0 ± 0.9
O3	4.0 ± 0.7	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	61.5 ± 5.6	0.0 ± 0.0	4.7 ± 1.1	2.4 ± 0.5	4.5 ± 1.4	2.6 ± 0.3	2.3 ± 0.8	2.8 ± 0.7	8.8 ± 2.2
O4-O6	4.3 ± 1.6	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	100.0 ± 0.0	4.9 ± 2.4	2.6 ± 1.7	5.7 ± 2.6	1.4 ± 0.4	0.8 ± 0.5	2.0 ± 0.7	13.8 ± 5.4
Sample size	11,035	4,709	2,903	1,742	1,470	6,574	3,379	1,082	4,147	1,544	2,124	3,220
Weighted total	471,497	228,255	182,636	30,753	20,060	303,589	139,946	27,962	195,090	80,818	88,495	107,094

Table PAYGRD2 (cont.)

Soldier's Paygrade - Recode #2
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
E2-E4	78.1% + 2.5	44.9% + 7.4	52.0% + 5.2	31.5% + 5.7	52.6% + 3.8	36.3% + 2.9	7.8% + 1.8	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	24.7% + 2.8	32.8% + 3.2
E5	9.7 + 0.9	16.4 + 5.3	18.6 + 5.1	27.5 + 4.2	23.6 + 2.8	29.9 + 2.3	17.8 + 3.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	21.0 + 1.8	21.3 + 2.0
E6	3.1 + 0.7	19.9 + 7.8	9.3 + 4.0	14.7 + 3.6	13.5 + 2.4	23.7 + 3.0	31.7 + 5.3	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	19.2 + 3.0	17.4 + 2.0
E7-E9	1.5 + 0.5	11.6 + 4.2	3.7 + 2.7	13.7 + 4.0	10.3 + 3.2	10.1 + 1.9	42.7 + 4.9	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	17.2 + 2.3	11.3 + 2.3
W1-W4	0.7 + 0.4	1.5 + 1.3	1.0 + 1.0	1.1 + 1.2	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	14.4 + 4.8	12.7 + 4.8	26.6 + 9.9	3.9 + 1.2	2.7 + 0.8
O1-O2	3.6 + 0.7	0.3 + 0.5	4.9 + 1.4	0.7 + 0.5	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	24.4 + 7.1	12.6 + 3.1	1.4 + 1.0	2.4 + 0.4	1.4 + 0.4
O3	2.3 + 0.7	3.2 + 2.3	6.9 + 2.4	5.9 + 1.8	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	32.5 + 4.5	46.7 + 4.5	12.9 + 3.9	4.5 + 1.0	6.0 + 1.1
O4-O6	1.0 + 0.4	2.3 + 1.5	3.4 + 1.7	5.0 + 2.1	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	28.7 + 6.8	28.0 + 5.9	59.1 + 10.3	7.2 + 3.0	7.0 + 2.5
Sample size	2,899	245	483	426	1,301	1,923	639	633	898	907	3,283	3,162
Weighted total	177,385	11,007	15,274	14,170	55,050	86,998	41,253	10,222	14,055	15,528	121,887	107,906

Table PAYGRD4

Soldier's Paygrade - Defined for early career stages
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
E2-E4	57.3% ± 2.7	100.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	--	56.8% ± 3.9	59.2% ± 3.4	53.9% ± 5.5	62.6% ± 1.8	63.8% ± 2.2	61.8% ± 3.1	33.0% ± 4.3
E5	20.3 ± 0.9	0.0 ± 0.0	58.1 ± 3.1	0.0 ± 0.0	--	19.4 ± 1.0	22.1 ± 1.8	19.9 ± 3.1	18.8 ± 1.2	20.4 ± 2.4	22.0 ± 2.5	22.0 ± 3.0
E6	14.6 ± 1.8	0.0 ± 0.0	41.9 ± 3.1	0.0 ± 0.0	--	15.0 ± 2.7	13.6 ± 1.9	15.9 ± 3.2	12.3 ± 1.4	11.0 ± 2.1	10.2 ± 1.8	28.9 ± 4.1
01-02	3.0 ± 0.4	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	38.5 ± 5.6	--	3.1 ± 0.6	2.4 ± 0.4	5.0 ± 0.9	3.4 ± 0.4	2.2 ± 0.5	2.8 ± 0.8	2.9 ± 1.3
03	4.7 ± 1.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	61.5 ± 5.6	--	5.7 ± 1.5	2.7 ± 0.6	5.4 ± 1.8	3.0 ± 0.4	2.5 ± 0.9	3.2 ± 0.8	13.1 ± 4.0
Sample size	8,737	4,709	2,286	1,742	0	5,095	2,801	841	3,681	1,411	1,820	1,825
Weighted total	398,045	228,255	139,037	30,753	0	251,333	123,097	23,615	174,651	74,320	77,425	71,650

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Soldier's Paygrade - Defined for early career stages by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

75

Table S 15
Selected for Promotion to Next Rank
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, selected for promotion	33.5% + 1.5	39.7% + 1.9	29.1% + 1.9	33.5% + 3.7	11.3% + 2.1	31.9% + 2.0	37.3% + 2.4	32.1% + 3.9	34.6% + 1.9	35.5% + 3.4	38.3% + 2.3	26.0% + 2.2
No, not selected for promotion	66.5 + 1.5	60.3 + 1.9	70.9 + 1.9	66.5 + 3.7	88.7 + 2.1	68.1 + 2.0	62.7 + 2.4	67.9 + 3.9	65.4 + 1.9	64.5 + 3.4	61.7 + 2.3	74.0 + 2.2
Sample size	10,760	4,606	2,825	1,681	1,444	6,410	3,302	1,048	4,045	1,513	2,068	3,134
Weighted total	459,242	222,813	177,685	29,655	19,698	295,478	136,807	26,956	189,905	79,064	86,216	104,057

Table S_15 (cont.)

Selected for Promotion to Next Rank
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
Yes, selected for promotion	34.9% + 2.2	31.4% + 6.4	38.2% + 6.2	34.9% + 5.6	36.2% + 3.1	38.1% + 2.5	22.5% + 3.7	22.7% + 4.7	17.5% + 3.8	Spouse not Employed 32.4% + 2.4
No, not selected for promotion	65.1% + 2.2	68.6% + 6.4	61.8% + 6.2	65.1% + 5.6	63.8% + 3.1	61.9% + 2.5	77.5% + 3.7	77.3% + 4.7	82.5% + 3.8	Spouse Employed 67.6% + 2.4
Sample size	2,817	236	469	415	1,285	1,886	615	878	888	3,103
Weighted total	172,593	10,502	14,882	13,909	54,278	85,206	39,720	13,793	15,063	118,467
										105,834

Table AGER
Current Age
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
22 years old or younger	28.1% + 2.6	55.7% + 1.9	2.8% + 0.7	0.3% + 0.3	0.0% + 0.0	28.1% + 3.6	28.4% + 3.7	27.7% + 3.4	36.4% + 1.9	33.6% + 3.0	26.1% + 2.9	10.6% + 2.6
23-24 years old	13.9 + 1.0	20.3 + 1.5	8.2 + 1.1	12.9 + 2.1	0.0 + 0.0	12.8 + 1.3	16.0 + 1.8	14.9 + 2.9	15.2 + 1.2	16.8 + 2.3	16.2 + 2.1	7.3 + 1.4
25-29 years old	24.7 + 1.0	18.9 + 1.4	32.3 + 2.4	42.0 + 5.0	0.2 + 0.4	23.5 + 1.2	27.6 + 1.8	22.4 + 2.6	24.2 + 1.1	27.0 + 3.1	29.2 + 2.2	20.0 + 2.6
30-34 years old	15.3 + 1.2	4.0 + 0.5	26.8 + 2.0	33.8 + 4.9	7.3 + 1.7	15.9 + 1.7	13.7 + 1.4	16.4 + 2.5	11.9 + 1.1	11.6 + 1.8	14.3 + 1.6	25.1 + 2.6
35-39 years old	10.9 + 1.2	1.0 + 0.3	20.2 + 1.9	8.9 + 1.9	33.1 + 4.7	11.4 + 1.7	9.6 + 1.9	11.4 + 2.8	8.1 + 1.3	8.4 + 1.7	9.1 + 2.0	19.2 + 1.7
40 years old or older	7.1 + 1.7	0.1 + 0.1	9.6 + 1.6	2.0 + 0.7	59.4 + 5.9	8.2 + 2.5	4.8 + 1.8	7.2 + 1.5	4.1 + 0.8	2.6 + 1.1	5.0 + 1.1	17.8 + 4.8
Sample size	11,035	4,709	2,903	1,742	1,470	6,574	3,379	1,082	4,147	1,544	2,124	3,220
Weighted total	471,497	228,255	182,636	30,753	20,060	303,589	139,946	27,962	195,090	80,818	88,495	107,094

Table AGER (cont.)

Current Age
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse										
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted		
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+
									Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+
22 years old or younger	52.9% + 2.7	12.2% + 5.0	28.5% + 5.1	13.4% + 4.9	28.4% + 3.0	13.4% + 1.9	1.3% + 0.7	1.2% + 1.3	0.1% + 0.3	0.0% + 0.0	14.9% + 1.9
23-24 years old	17.4 + 1.7	15.9 + 5.3	22.3 + 4.4	12.3 + 4.7	17.8 + 2.1	14.3 + 1.7	2.8 + 1.0	9.2 + 3.5	2.8 + 1.1	0.0 + 0.0	11.7 + 1.4
25-29 years old	19.1 + 1.6	25.9 + 6.3	26.1 + 5.4	29.7 + 4.3	28.2 + 3.6	39.1 + 2.4	14.0 + 3.0	29.9 + 6.4	23.2 + 5.2	1.4 + 1.0	28.4 + 2.3
30-34 years old	6.4 + 0.8	23.1 + 5.9	14.0 + 3.7	23.9 + 3.6	11.1 + 2.1	21.1 + 2.3	28.4 + 4.7	18.4 + 3.1	40.5 + 4.8	10.4 + 3.6	21.3 + 2.2
35-39 years old	2.6 + 0.7	14.4 + 5.1	6.6 + 2.5	14.4 + 3.8	9.2 + 2.2	9.3 + 1.9	34.3 + 4.8	12.7 + 2.3	23.3 + 2.9	32.7 + 6.1	15.1 + 1.9
40 years old or older	1.5 + 0.5	8.5 + 4.3	2.4 + 1.5	6.4 + 3.4	5.4 + 1.6	2.7 + 1.0	19.2 + 4.6	28.5 + 7.4	10.1 + 3.4	55.5 + 8.2	8.7 + 2.4
Sample size	2,899	245	483	426	1,301	1,923	639	633	898	907	3,162
Weighted total	177,385	11,007	15,274	14,170	55,050	86,998	41,253	10,222	14,055	15,528	107,906

Table NTRYAGE

Age at Time of Entry Into the Army
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
19 years old or younger at time of entry	50.9% + 1.9	59.1% + 1.7	54.7% + 1.8	1.8% + 0.7	2.5% + 0.7	49.7% + 2.9	54.0% + 2.2	49.0% + 3.3	54.8% + 2.1	55.9% + 2.9	51.5% + 3.1	39.8% + 5.1
20-22 years old at time of entry	30.6 + 1.4	27.0 + 1.6	28.9 + 1.7	52.8 + 4.4	50.3 + 6.0	31.2 + 1.8	29.4 + 2.6	29.5 + 1.1	30.4 + 2.4	29.2 + 2.8	29.5 + 2.2	32.7 + 4.2
23 years old or older at time of entry	18.5 + 1.5	13.9 + 0.9	16.4 + 1.8	45.4 + 4.5	47.2 + 5.7	19.1 + 2.2	16.6 + 2.0	21.5 + 3.3	14.8 + 1.3	15.0 + 1.7	19.1 + 2.5	27.5 + 3.3
Sample size	11,035	4,709	2,903	1,742	1,470	6,574	3,379	1,082	4,147	1,544	2,124	3,220
Weighted total	471,497	228,255	182,636	30,753	20,060	303,589	139,946	27,962	195,090	80,818	88,495	107,094

Table N1RYAGE (cont.)

	Civilian Spouse														
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
	No Children	Children		No Children	Children		No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+			
19 years old or younger at time of entry	59.1% ± 2.2	44.5% ± 7.8		47.0% ± 4.4	51.8% ± 6.1		56.2% ± 3.1	53.3% ± 2.6		47.5% ± 4.7	7.7% ± 3.0	9.8% ± 4.1	11.5% ± 4.8	45.4% ± 2.7	44.7% ± 2.2
20-22 years old at time of entry	27.4 ± 2.0	27.3 ± 6.1		37.4 ± 5.7	28.5 ± 5.1		29.2 ± 2.9	29.5 ± 2.7		29.8 ± 4.3	50.6 ± 5.1	46.4 ± 6.0	47.3 ± 6.9	32.6 ± 2.6	33.1 ± 2.2
23 years old or older at time of entry	13.5 ± 1.6	28.2 ± 7.5		15.6 ± 3.5	19.7 ± 5.2		14.6 ± 2.1	17.2 ± 2.0		22.7 ± 4.0	41.7 ± 5.7	43.8 ± 6.3	41.3 ± 4.6	22.0 ± 2.0	22.2 ± 2.4
Sample size	2,899	245		483	426		1,301	1,923		639	633	898	907	3,283	3,162
Weighted total	177,385	11,007		15,274	14,170		55,050	86,998		41,253	10,222	14,055	15,528	121,887	107,906

Table LNTHSV1
Length of Active Duty Service
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
0-2 years	33.9% + 2.9 -	64.8% + 2.3 -	1.4% + 0.4 -	28.3% + 4.2 -	0.7% + 0.6 -	33.5% + 4.0 -	34.6% + 4.3 -	35.6% + 2.4 -	43.6% + 2.4 -	37.7% + 3.5 -	32.3% + 2.8 -	14.8% + 3.7 -
3-4 years	15.5% + 1.1 -	22.5% + 1.5 -	8.5% + 1.5 -	18.5% + 2.9 -	0.5% + 0.3 -	15.6% + 1.5 -	15.6% + 1.6 -	13.6% + 3.1 -	15.0% + 1.3 -	19.6% + 2.4 -	19.7% + 2.2 -	9.7% + 1.5 -
5-9 years	22.6% + 1.1 -	11.9% + 1.2 -	36.1% + 2.0 -	35.8% + 2.7 -	6.0% + 3.1 -	20.7% + 1.2 -	26.9% + 2.1 -	21.5% + 2.9 -	21.6% + 1.5 -	23.8% + 2.8 -	24.5% + 1.8 -	22.0% + 2.4 -
10-14 years	14.0% + 1.4 -	0.7% + 0.2 -	29.0% + 1.8 -	14.3% + 4.4 -	24.5% + 4.3 -	14.5% + 1.9 -	12.4% + 1.9 -	16.6% + 2.3 -	10.1% + 1.0 -	11.3% + 1.9 -	13.3% + 2.0 -	23.6% + 2.2 -
15-19 years	10.6% + 1.3 -	0.0% + 0.0 -	20.2% + 1.6 -	2.9% + 1.0 -	40.8% + 3.6 -	12.0% + 1.7 -	7.9% + 1.7 -	9.1% + 2.5 -	7.7% + 1.0 -	6.2% + 2.0 -	7.4% + 1.6 -	21.7% + 2.3 -
20 or more years	3.4% + 1.0 -	0.0% + 0.0 -	4.7% + 1.1 -	0.1% + 0.1 -	27.5% + 5.8 -	3.7% + 1.5 -	2.7% + 1.1 -	3.5% + 1.0 -	1.9% + 0.6 -	1.4% + 0.7 -	2.7% + 1.0 -	8.1% + 3.1 -
Sample size	11,035	4,709	2,903	1,742	1,470	6,574	3,379	1,082	4,147	1,544	2,124	3,220
Weighted total	471,497	228,255	182,636	30,753	20,060	303,589	139,946	27,962	195,090	80,818	88,495	107,094

Table LNIHSV1 (cont.)
Length of Active Duty Service
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed
	Enlisted					Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed
0-2 years	60.9% ± 2.7	18.2% ± 4.7	35.9% ± 6.1	10.3% ± 3.0	17.4% ± 2.4	33.3% ± 3.2	18.4% ± 5.2	11.9% ± 3.7	1.3% ± 1.1	15.1% ± 2.1
3-4 years	18.0% ± 1.5	19.3% ± 5.7	20.8% ± 4.3	18.5% ± 4.3	17.7% ± 2.1	18.1% ± 2.1	11.8% ± 3.4	10.0% ± 2.8	1.0% ± 1.0	11.7% ± 1.4
5-9 years	14.3% ± 1.4	30.2% ± 6.4	27.2% ± 5.5	33.1% ± 3.8	35.3% ± 2.7	26.3% ± 3.1	25.4% ± 5.1	31.7% ± 4.1	6.4% ± 2.5	26.2% ± 1.9
10-14 years	4.0% ± 0.8	18.0% ± 5.6	10.9% ± 3.7	23.4% ± 5.7	20.5% ± 2.5	11.1% ± 2.2	13.6% ± 3.3	27.2% ± 4.8	19.6% ± 4.5	20.9% ± 2.0
15-19 years	2.1% ± 0.6	12.1% ± 4.2	3.8% ± 2.0	10.5% ± 3.6	8.0% ± 1.6	8.2% ± 2.3	14.4% ± 3.2	16.0% ± 4.2	44.7% ± 4.3	19.2% ± 2.2
20 or more years	0.6% ± 0.3	2.3% ± 1.9	1.4% ± 1.7	4.1% ± 3.0	1.2% ± 0.7	2.9% ± 1.2	16.4% ± 6.9	3.2% ± 1.5	27.0% ± 6.8	6.9% ± 1.9
Sample size	2,899	245	483	426	1,923	639	633	898	907	3,283
Weighted total	177,385	11,007	15,274	14,170	86,998	41,253	10,222	14,055	15,528	121,887

Table LNTHSV2

Length of Active Duty Service
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
0-2 years	33.9% ± 2.9	64.8% ± 2.3	1.4% ± 0.4	28.3% ± 4.2	0.7% ± 0.6	33.5% ± 4.0	34.6% ± 4.3	35.6% ± 2.4	43.6% ± 2.4	37.7% ± 3.5	32.3% ± 2.8	14.8% ± 3.7
3-4 years	15.5% ± 1.1	22.5% ± 1.5	8.5% ± 1.5	18.5% ± 2.9	0.5% ± 0.3	15.6% ± 1.5	15.6% ± 1.6	13.6% ± 3.1	15.0% ± 1.3	19.6% ± 2.4	19.7% ± 2.2	9.7% ± 1.5
5-9 years	22.6% ± 1.1	11.9% ± 1.2	36.1% ± 2.0	35.8% ± 2.7	6.0% ± 3.1	20.7% ± 1.2	26.9% ± 2.1	21.5% ± 2.9	21.6% ± 1.5	23.8% ± 2.8	24.5% ± 1.8	22.0% ± 2.4
10 or more years	28.0% ± 3.1	0.7% ± 0.3	54.0% ± 2.5	17.3% ± 4.8	92.8% ± 3.5	30.2% ± 4.4	23.0% ± 3.9	29.3% ± 3.8	19.8% ± 1.5	18.9% ± 2.8	23.5% ± 3.0	53.5% ± 4.7
Sample size	11,035	4,709	2,903	1,742	1,470	6,574	3,379	1,082	4,147	1,544	2,124	3,220
Weighted total	471,497	228,255	182,636	30,753	20,060	303,589	139,946	27,962	195,090	80,818	88,495	107,094

Table LNTHSV2 (cont.)

Length of Active Duty Service
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse																
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
0-2 years	60.9% + 2.7	18.2% + 4.7	35.9% + 6.1	10.3% + 3.0	33.3% + 3.2	17.4% + 2.4	3.5% + 1.0	18.4% + 5.2	11.9% + 3.7	1.3% + 1.1	15.1% + 2.1	19.3% + 2.3					
3-4 years	18.0 + 1.5	19.3 + 5.7	20.8 + 4.3	18.5 + 4.3	18.1 + 2.1	17.7 + 2.1	2.5 + 0.9	11.8 + 3.4	10.0 + 2.8	1.0 + 1.0	11.7 + 1.4	15.0 + 1.9					
5-9 years	14.3 + 1.4	30.2 + 6.4	27.2 + 5.5	33.1 + 3.8	26.3 + 3.1	35.3 + 2.7	16.0 + 2.6	25.4 + 5.1	31.7 + 4.1	6.4 + 2.5	26.2 + 1.9	27.8 + 1.8					
10 or more years	6.8 + 1.3	32.3 + 7.7	16.1 + 4.1	38.0 + 6.4	22.3 + 3.6	29.7 + 3.1	78.0 + 3.2	44.4 + 9.9	46.4 + 7.1	91.2 + 3.4	47.1 + 3.6	37.9 + 4.1					
Sample size	2,899	245	483	426	1,301	1,923	639	633	898	907	3,283	3,162					
Weighted total	177,385	11,007	15,274	14,170	55,050	86,998	41,253	10,222	14,055	15,528	121,887	107,906					

Table CMOR

Unit Commander Indicator
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
Soldier is unit commander	0.9% + 0.1	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	10.9% + 2.7	4.0% + 1.4	0.8% + 0.1	1.0% + 0.1	0.9% + 0.2	0.9% + 0.1	0.9% + 0.2	0.8% + 0.2
Soldier is not unit commander	99.1 + 0.1	100.0 + 0.0	100.0 + 0.0	89.1 + 2.7	96.0 + 1.4	99.2 + 0.1	99.0 + 0.1	99.1 + 0.2	99.1 + 0.1	99.1 + 0.2	99.2 + 0.2
Sample size	11,035	4,709	2,903	1,742	1,470	6,574	3,379	1,082	4,147	1,544	3,220
Weighted total	471,497	228,255	182,636	30,753	20,060	303,589	139,946	27,962	195,090	80,818	88,495
											107,094

Table CMDR (cont.)

Unit Commander Indicator
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse															
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
Soldier is unit commander	0.5%	0.7%	1.4%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.4%	9.5%	4.9%	1.1%	1.4%	
	+ 0.2	+ 1.0	+ 0.9	+ 0.6	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.1	+ 0.0	+ 0.1	+ 2.1	+ 3.0	+ 1.6	+ 0.2	+ 0.3	
Soldier is not unit commander	99.5	99.3	98.6	99.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	93.6	90.5	95.1	98.9	98.6	
	+ 0.2	+ 1.0	+ 0.9	+ 0.6	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.1	+ 0.0	+ 0.1	+ 2.1	+ 3.0	+ 1.6	+ 0.2	+ 0.3	
Sample size	2,899	245	483	426	1,301	1,923	639	633	898	907	3,283	3,162				
Weighted total	177,385	11,007	15,274	14,170	55,050	86,998	41,253	10,222	14,055	15,528	121,887	107,906				

Table UMACOM

Major Command of Unit
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank					Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
FORSCOM	49.3% + 9.1	56.4% + 8.5	45.0% + 9.7	42.1% + 11.3	18.6% + 9.5	74.3% + 11.5	0.0% + 0.0	24.9% + 37.5	64.3% + 10.4	53.7% + 13.8	56.6% + 9.2	12.8% + 7.9
TRADOC	8.4 + 5.2	3.8 + 2.5	12.8 + 8.3	12.2 + 8.8	16.7 + 17.1	13.0 + 8.1	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	36.8 + 16.2
USAREUR	26.8 + 4.6	30.0 + 6.0	25.8 + 4.7	19.0 + 3.4	12.0 + 5.9	0.0 + 0.0	90.3 + 7.7	0.0 + 0.0	32.5 + 9.3	32.4 + 11.7	38.0 + 8.9	3.0 + 3.3
Health Services Command (HSC)	5.4 + 2.5	3.1 + 1.3	4.8 + 2.1	16.0 + 8.3	23.6 + 13.1	7.3 + 3.9	0.0 + 0.0	11.5 + 6.4	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	23.8 + 9.2
Other	10.1 + 4.7	6.9 + 3.7	11.7 + 5.2	10.8 + 4.8	29.0 + 19.1	5.4 + 4.3	9.7 + 7.7	63.6 + 40.2	3.3 + 3.7	13.9 + 7.8	5.4 + 2.8	23.7 + 12.5
Sample size	11,035	4,709	2,903	1,742	1,470	6,574	3,379	1,082	4,147	1,544	2,124	3,220
Weighted total	471,497	228,255	182,636	30,753	20,060	303,589	139,946	27,962	195,090	80,818	88,495	107,094

Table UMACOM (cont.)

Major Command of Unit
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
FORSCOM	54.2% ± 9.1	46.1% ± 11.7	43.5% ± 11.5	40.0% ± 12.1	48.9% ± 8.8	50.2% ± 9.2	46.2% ± 11.3	34.6% ± 12.1	39.6% ± 12.3	29.8% ± 12.4	46.6% ± 9.7	46.0% ± 9.3
TRADOC	4.6 ± 3.1	13.6 ± 9.4	8.1 ± 6.3	14.6 ± 9.8	6.6 ± 4.0	8.2 ± 5.6	17.6 ± 11.8	14.2 ± 13.8	14.7 ± 11.8	13.6 ± 13.6	9.9 ± 6.4	11.3 ± 6.8
USAREUR	29.4 ± 5.9	20.6 ± 6.3	27.0 ± 9.5	18.9 ± 6.1	30.7 ± 5.5	28.8 ± 5.5	20.7 ± 5.4	22.0 ± 7.1	16.5 ± 4.2	16.7 ± 5.7	25.6 ± 4.4	26.4 ± 5.3
Health Services Command (HSC)	3.8 ± 1.8	8.7 ± 4.6	10.5 ± 5.7	14.0 ± 7.6	3.0 ± 1.5	3.8 ± 2.1	4.6 ± 2.2	14.7 ± 8.1	16.4 ± 8.6	11.6 ± 7.7	5.8 ± 2.8	5.4 ± 3.1
Other	8.0 ± 4.2	11.0 ± 6.8	10.8 ± 5.1	12.6 ± 5.9	10.7 ± 4.7	8.9 ± 5.1	10.9 ± 5.4	14.5 ± 11.4	12.9 ± 7.4	28.3 ± 16.5	12.1 ± 6.3	10.9 ± 5.2
Sample size	2,899	245	483	426	1,301	1,923	639	633	898	907	3,283	3,162
Weighted total	177,385	11,007	15,274	14,170	55,050	86,998	41,253	10,222	14,055	15,528	121,887	107,906

UNIT ENVIRONMENT

Table S_16
Number of Months been In Current Unit
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	12.0 + 0.5	11.9 + 0.6	12.7 + 0.8	9.5 + 0.8	11.7 + 0.7	10.7 + 0.7	15.2 + 0.4	10.4 + 2.3	12.2 + 1.1	12.4 + 0.9	12.1 + 0.9	11.2 + 0.8
50th percentile	18.2 + 0.6	17.4 + 0.7	20.0 + 1.0	14.4 + 0.9	19.3 + 1.8	17.0 + 0.9	20.7 + 1.0	15.0 + 5.8	18.2 + 1.2	17.9 + 0.9	18.1 + 1.1	18.7 + 1.5
75th percentile	27.3 + 0.9	24.4 + 0.6	32.2 + 1.0	23.2 + 1.9	30.5 + 2.0	25.9 + 1.1	30.2 + 0.9	25.1 + 6.4	26.6 + 1.4	25.5 + 2.1	27.6 + 1.6	30.2 + 1.7
Sample size	10,953	4,679	2,878	1,724	1,463	6,514	3,367	1,072	4,111	1,533	2,110	3,199
Weighted total	467,535	226,532	180,996	30,416	19,923	300,604	139,365	27,566	193,168	80,145	87,835	106,386

Table S_16 (cont.)

Number of Months been in Current Unit
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	
25th percentile	11.6 + 0.7	13.9 + 2.1	12.1 + 1.1	13.0 + 1.5	12.5 + 0.7	12.9 + 0.7	11.8 + 1.0	11.2 + 0.9	10.2 + 0.9	11.9 + 0.9	12.6 + 0.7	11.8 + 0.6	
50th percentile	17.1 + 0.7	22.1 + 2.6	18.3 + 1.3	20.9 + 2.8	18.9 + 1.2	20.2 + 0.9	19.2 + 1.5	16.5 + 2.1	15.7 + 1.9	19.7 + 1.8	20.3 + 0.9	18.3 + 0.9	
75th percentile	23.8 + 1.0	33.9 + 2.4	26.1 + 1.8	31.2 + 2.2	29.8 + 1.7	30.2 + 1.2	35.0 + 2.7	25.0 + 5.7	25.5 + 3.4	29.7 + 3.5	31.7 + 1.1	28.8 + 1.2	
Sample size	2,873	241	479	424	1,293	1,914	633	629	890	902	3,264	3,139	
Weighted total	175,773	10,713	15,205	14,061	54,657	86,558	40,816	10,138	13,937	15,370	120,909	107,099	

Table S_17
Daily Army Work Performed with Assigned Unit
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	Company Grade Officer NCO	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCNUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, I work with my assigned unit	89.4% + 2.1	92.1% + 1.5	86.8% + 3.1	82.4% + 4.3	88.5% + 2.9	90.7% + 3.3	92.8% + 1.1	94.5% + 1.0	92.8% + 2.5	91.3% + 1.9	76.1% + 4.5
No, I work some place else	10.6 + 2.1	7.9 + 1.5	13.2 + 3.1	17.6 + 4.3	11.5 + 2.9	9.3 + 3.3	7.2 + 1.1	5.5 + 1.0	7.2 + 2.5	8.7 + 1.9	23.9 + 4.5
Sample size	10,916	4,659	2,868	1,725	6,491	3,362	1,063	4,104	1,529	2,101	3,182
Weighted total	468,252	225,780	180,560	30,461	299,699	139,324	27,229	192,905	79,940	87,502	105,905

Table S_18A

How Often Skills are Needed to Get Job Done
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	3.4% + 0.5 -	5.2% + 0.8 -	2.0% + 0.5 -	1.3% + 0.9 -	0.6% + 0.5 -	3.4% + 0.6 -	3.6% + 1.0 -	3.4% + 0.7 -	3.3% + 0.7 -	4.9% + 1.3 -	3.9% + 1.4 -	2.3% + 0.7 -
Seldom	5.9 + 0.7 -	8.5 + 1.1 -	4.0 + 0.6 -	2.5 + 0.8 -	0.9 + 0.6 -	5.8 + 1.0 -	6.5 + 1.1 -	4.0 + 1.5 -	6.8 + 1.1 -	7.6 + 1.7 -	5.2 + 1.3 -	3.5 + 1.0 -
Sometimes	16.2 + 1.2 -	21.0 + 1.6 -	12.6 + 1.4 -	10.9 + 2.1 -	5.9 + 2.1 -	16.4 + 1.6 -	16.0 + 1.7 -	15.2 + 2.1 -	18.9 + 1.6 -	19.7 + 3.1 -	14.3 + 1.7 -	10.3 + 1.8 -
Often	29.4 + 1.2 -	30.9 + 1.6 -	27.9 + 1.8 -	31.9 + 3.7 -	24.3 + 3.3 -	29.7 + 1.7 -	29.1 + 1.8 -	27.0 + 2.2 -	31.8 + 1.8 -	32.1 + 2.6 -	27.9 + 2.6 -	24.1 + 2.1 -
Very often or always	45.1 + 2.5 -	34.4 + 2.4 -	53.5 + 2.4 -	53.4 + 5.3 -	68.3 + 5.0 -	44.7 + 3.5 -	44.9 + 3.1 -	50.4 + 3.5 -	39.3 + 2.4 -	35.6 + 3.6 -	48.7 + 3.5 -	59.8 + 3.7 -
Sample size	10,962	4,672	2,887	1,730	1,465	6,518	3,371	1,073	4,117	1,533	2,112	3,200
Weighted total	468,064	226,284	181,639	30,545	19,978	301,010	139,465	27,589	193,599	80,158	87,896	106,411

Table S_18A (cont.)

How Often Skills are Needed to Get Job Done
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Enlisted				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Officer
Very seldom or never	5.1% ± 1.0	1.2% ± 1.7	2.4% ± 1.6	2.3% ± 1.2	3.4% ± 1.1	2.7% ± 0.7	1.1% ± 0.8	1.1% ± 0.5	1.4% ± 1.9	2.3% ± 0.6
Seldom	8.0 ± 1.3	3.0 ± 2.4	5.0 ± 2.0	4.2 ± 2.5	6.5 ± 1.5	4.9 ± 0.8	4.2 ± 1.5	1.7 ± 0.9	0.7 ± 0.4	5.0 ± 1.0
Sometimes	20.7 ± 1.8	15.4 ± 5.2	13.6 ± 3.3	13.8 ± 5.5	15.5 ± 2.4	15.7 ± 1.7	9.7 ± 1.8	10.3 ± 3.2	6.5 ± 2.8	12.7 ± 1.9
Often	30.7 ± 1.9	27.2 ± 7.3	25.5 ± 4.8	21.3 ± 4.2	32.2 ± 3.2	29.7 ± 2.2	27.0 ± 4.1	29.5 ± 4.2	23.4 ± 3.2	29.6 ± 2.1
Very often or always	35.5 ± 2.9	53.3 ± 7.1	53.5 ± 6.7	58.4 ± 7.8	42.3 ± 3.5	47.0 ± 2.3	58.0 ± 4.4	58.0 ± 5.4	67.9 ± 5.0	50.3 ± 2.5
Sample size	2,875	244	478	424	1,293	1,913	636	890	903	3,267
Weighted total	175,904	10,937	15,117	14,135	54,667	86,437	41,086	13,936	15,407	121,208
										106,993

Table S 188

How Often Encouraged to Do Things New Way
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	10.7% + 0.9 - 1.1	15.8% + 1.2 - 1.4	6.7% + 1.1 - 1.3	4.0% + 1.4 - 1.6	2.7% + 1.2 - 1.4	10.9% + 1.2 - 1.4	10.8% + 1.1 - 1.3	8.8% + 1.6 - 1.8	12.1% + 1.2 - 1.4	12.6% + 2.4 - 1.6	9.4% + 1.6 - 1.4	8.0% + 1.4 - 1.4
Seldom	17.9 + 1.1 - 1.3	24.0 + 1.2 - 1.4	12.6 + 1.5 - 1.7	11.3 + 1.8 - 2.0	8.8 + 1.3 - 1.5	17.7 + 1.7 - 1.9	18.6 + 1.2 - 1.4	16.8 + 2.3 - 2.5	18.8 + 1.2 - 1.4	20.4 + 2.2 - 2.4	19.2 + 2.7 - 2.7	13.4 + 1.5 - 1.5
Sometimes	30.8 + 1.0 - 1.2	32.4 + 1.4 - 1.6	29.6 + 1.7 - 1.9	31.5 + 2.7 - 2.9	23.9 + 3.0 - 3.3	29.9 + 1.3 - 1.5	32.4 + 1.6 - 1.8	33.1 + 3.0 - 3.3	30.4 + 1.3 - 1.5	32.5 + 2.8 - 2.6	34.0 + 2.6 - 2.6	27.7 + 1.9 - 1.9
Often	27.5 + 1.1 - 1.3	20.1 + 1.4 - 1.6	32.6 + 2.1 - 2.4	39.3 + 3.9 - 4.1	41.6 + 2.5 - 2.8	28.3 + 1.6 - 1.9	25.8 + 1.6 - 1.9	27.6 + 2.8 - 3.0	27.3 + 1.5 - 1.7	26.1 + 3.4 - 3.6	25.0 + 2.1 - 2.1	31.0 + 2.5 - 2.5
Very often or always	13.0 + 1.2 - 1.4	7.6 + 0.9 - 1.1	18.4 + 2.0 - 2.2	13.9 + 2.1 - 2.3	22.9 + 2.8 - 3.0	13.3 + 1.8 - 2.0	12.4 + 1.2 - 1.4	13.7 + 3.2 - 3.4	11.4 + 1.3 - 1.5	8.3 + 1.9 - 2.1	12.5 + 2.0 - 2.0	19.9 + 2.0 - 2.0
Sample size	10,951	4,670	2,884	1,728	1,461	6,512	3,368	1,071	4,114	1,533	2,107	3,197
Weighted total	467,716	226,214	181,456	30,534	19,921	300,778	139,385	27,554	193,376	80,228	87,889	106,423

Table S_188 (cont.)

How Often Encouraged to Do Things New Way
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse										
	Single					Dual Military					Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Enlisted	No Children	Children	Child 6+	Child 0-5	
Very seldom or never	14.5% ± 1.7	6.5% ± 3.8	10.2% ± 2.8	7.7% ± 2.9	12.1% ± 2.0	9.8% ± 1.5	6.3% ± 1.7	3.8% ± 1.9	3.3% ± 1.6	3.9% ± 2.3	8.4% ± 1.7
Seldom	22.3 ± 1.4	22.1 ± 6.6	15.0 ± 4.0	14.9 ± 4.2	17.8 ± 2.5	16.4 ± 1.7	11.7 ± 2.9	9.1 ± 2.2	9.8 ± 2.2	12.6 ± 3.6	14.7 ± 1.7
Sometimes	31.8 ± 1.7	32.8 ± 6.3	31.9 ± 5.9	29.7 ± 6.4	34.6 ± 2.7	30.8 ± 2.6	27.4 ± 3.1	30.6 ± 4.0	33.4 ± 3.2	23.1 ± 3.4	29.8 ± 1.8
Often	22.5 ± 1.9	25.1 ± 5.7	29.4 ± 6.3	31.2 ± 6.4	24.0 ± 2.5	29.0 ± 2.4	35.2 ± 3.4	41.1 ± 3.3	38.4 ± 3.6	38.3 ± 3.4	31.0 ± 2.1
Very often or always	8.9 ± 1.1	13.4 ± 4.4	13.6 ± 4.0	16.5 ± 5.3	11.5 ± 2.7	14.0 ± 2.2	19.4 ± 3.4	15.4 ± 2.8	15.1 ± 3.1	22.1 ± 3.5	16.1 ± 2.1
Sample size	2,875	243	478	424	1,291	1,914	634	626	890	900	3,138
Weighted total	175,849	10,912	15,117	14,122	54,658	86,544	40,860	10,041	13,893	15,374	120,888
											107,086

Table S_18C

How Often Don't Know When Workday Will End
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	14.2% + 1.4 -	16.6% + 1.7 -	13.5% + 2.4 -	6.0% + 1.7 -	9.1% + 2.0 -	15.1% + 1.9 -	12.8% + 1.8 -	11.4% + 2.8 -	11.5% + 1.5 -	13.1% + 2.9 -	13.2% + 2.0 -	20.9% + 2.8 -
Seldom	15.3 + 1.0 -	16.1 + 1.3 -	14.6 + 1.4 -	12.5 + 2.0 -	16.6 + 2.9 -	15.3 + 1.1 -	15.1 + 2.2 -	16.4 + 2.9 -	12.7 + 1.2 -	15.6 + 1.8 -	17.0 + 2.5 -	18.5 + 1.7 -
Sometimes	22.5 + 1.1 -	21.7 + 1.3 -	24.0 + 2.1 -	21.9 + 2.6 -	19.7 + 2.2 -	22.4 + 1.6 -	22.5 + 1.3 -	23.3 + 2.9 -	21.5 + 1.8 -	23.3 + 2.7 -	24.7 + 2.1 -	21.6 + 2.5 -
Often	21.3 + 1.2 -	19.4 + 1.5 -	21.6 + 1.7 -	27.7 + 2.6 -	27.0 + 2.8 -	21.3 + 1.4 -	21.3 + 2.6 -	21.8 + 2.6 -	22.3 + 1.7 -	21.6 + 2.2 -	21.5 + 2.2 -	19.1 + 2.3 -
Very often or always	26.7 + 1.8 -	26.3 + 2.0 -	26.4 + 2.3 -	31.8 + 3.3 -	27.6 + 3.5 -	25.9 + 2.5 -	28.4 + 2.9 -	27.1 + 5.7 -	31.9 + 3.0 -	26.4 + 2.9 -	23.6 + 2.4 -	20.0 + 2.7 -
Sample size	10,944	4,666	2,879	1,728	1,462	6,507	3,368	1,069	4,107	1,533	2,106	3,198
Weighted total	467,275	225,913	181,253	30,512	19,947	300,441	139,390	27,445	192,968	80,287	87,586	106,434

Table S 18C (cont.)

How Often Don't Know When Workday Will End
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
Very seldom or never	15.8%	16.9%	15.0%	21.6%	14.2%	12.8%	12.6%	8.9%	6.9%	8.5%	11.9%	12.6%
	+ 1.9	+ 4.7	+ 3.6	+ 4.8	+ 2.7	+ 2.1	+ 3.8	+ 3.6	+ 1.9	+ 3.0	+ 1.9	+ 1.9
Seldom	15.6	17.4	22.6	20.0	15.3	14.3	13.4	16.1	12.9	15.7	13.8	15.4
	+ 1.7	+ 5.7	+ 4.3	+ 5.4	+ 1.9	+ 2.0	+ 3.1	+ 3.7	+ 2.0	+ 4.5	+ 1.8	+ 1.8
Sometimes	22.1	23.9	23.5	22.1	23.6	22.2	23.3	18.8	20.7	20.2	23.0	21.7
	+ 1.5	+ 6.8	+ 4.2	+ 4.4	+ 2.4	+ 2.0	+ 3.8	+ 4.0	+ 3.0	+ 3.3	+ 1.9	+ 1.6
Often	20.7	21.2	17.5	18.5	18.7	22.3	21.9	28.9	28.0	30.0	23.3	21.3
	+ 1.9	+ 4.9	+ 2.9	+ 4.8	+ 2.5	+ 2.5	+ 3.0	+ 4.5	+ 3.9	+ 3.5	+ 2.2	+ 1.8
Very often or always	25.8	20.7	21.4	17.9	28.1	28.3	28.7	27.4	31.6	25.6	27.9	29.1
	+ 2.6	+ 4.9	+ 3.4	+ 4.1	+ 3.2	+ 2.4	+ 3.8	+ 4.8	+ 3.2	+ 5.0	+ 2.6	+ 2.0
Sample size	2,872	244	479	424	1,292	1,911	633	628	889	902	3,259	3,140
Weighted total	175,562	10,937	15,184	14,130	54,684	86,443	40,830	10,063	13,916	15,399	120,910	107,058

Table S 180

How Often Work Beyond Normal Hours
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	11.5%	12.5%	12.2%	4.4%	7.2%	12.5%	10.1%	8.2%	8.4%	7.8%	11.8%	20.0%
	+ 1.5	+ 1.4	+ 2.5	+ 2.0	+ 2.1	+ 2.0	+ 2.2	+ 3.1	+ 1.5	+ 1.9	+ 1.6	+ 3.0
Seldom	17.3	18.7	17.0	10.9	14.2	18.0	15.7	17.5	13.1	18.8	20.0	21.5
	+ 1.4	+ 1.9	+ 1.8	+ 2.2	+ 2.7	+ 1.6	+ 3.1	+ 2.4	+ 1.6	+ 2.8	+ 3.3	+ 2.3
Sometimes	31.8	33.8	31.4	26.4	22.2	31.4	32.8	31.9	31.7	34.9	33.4	28.3
	+ 1.1	+ 1.6	+ 1.7	+ 2.8	+ 2.9	+ 1.4	+ 2.1	+ 1.7	+ 2.0	+ 2.4	+ 2.6	+ 1.6
Often	22.4	20.9	22.8	27.4	25.5	21.6	24.0	23.7	26.7	23.1	19.9	16.2
	+ 1.5	+ 1.7	+ 2.5	+ 2.6	+ 3.0	+ 2.1	+ 2.6	+ 1.8	+ 2.1	+ 2.9	+ 2.9	+ 1.9
Very often or always	16.9	14.1	16.6	30.8	30.9	16.6	17.4	18.8	20.1	15.4	14.9	14.0
	+ 1.3	+ 1.3	+ 2.1	+ 3.9	+ 3.9	+ 1.8	+ 2.1	+ 3.9	+ 2.1	+ 2.6	+ 2.4	+ 3.1
Sample size	10,876	4,643	2,861	1,714	1,450	6,470	3,345	1,061	4,085	1,526	2,094	3,171
Weighted total	465,064	225,292	180,006	30,338	19,782	299,062	138,704	27,298	192,356	79,938	87,308	105,463

Table S 180 (cont.)

How Often Work Beyond Normal Hours
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Enlisted	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+
Very seldom or never	11.8% ± 1.6	16.1% ± 6.4	14.8% ± 4.6	18.0% ± 5.1	10.1% ± 2.4	11.3% ± 2.3	12.8% ± 3.8	7.4% ± 3.4	4.4% ± 1.5	6.8% ± 2.8
Seldom	18.8 ± 2.1	18.3 ± 5.2	22.8 ± 4.4	20.7 ± 5.8	19.2 ± 2.5	14.2 ± 1.8	16.6 ± 3.2	13.3 ± 3.8	10.1 ± 2.5	14.6 ± 4.3
Sometimes	33.3 ± 1.8	34.2 ± 7.4	28.6 ± 5.4	33.8 ± 5.7	30.2 ± 2.5	35.2 ± 2.0	30.1 ± 4.4	24.2 ± 4.0	24.6 ± 3.9	23.3 ± 3.2
Often	21.5 ± 2.4	18.4 ± 5.1	16.2 ± 3.6	16.6 ± 4.2	23.6 ± 3.1	23.2 ± 2.7	23.1 ± 4.6	26.1 ± 4.0	29.0 ± 3.5	30.6 ± 3.7
Very often or always	14.7 ± 1.5	13.0 ± 4.6	17.5 ± 3.6	11.0 ± 3.3	16.9 ± 2.5	16.3 ± 2.2	17.4 ± 3.7	29.1 ± 4.2	31.8 ± 4.0	24.7 ± 4.3
Spouse not Employed	2,868	241	476	422	1,278	1,901	626	623	882	892
Spouse Employed	175,536	10,781	15,058	13,989	54,155	86,070	40,341	10,020	13,841	15,270
Sample size										
Weighted total										

Table S 18E

How Often Get Recognition from Leaders
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	19.0% + 1.0	25.0% + 1.6	15.4% + 1.3	7.9% + 1.8	7.5% + 1.5	18.4% + 1.3	20.2% + 1.4	19.8% + 4.9	19.1% + 1.5	21.7% + 2.5	21.1% + 2.2	15.2% + 2.1
Seldom	22.5 + 1.2	25.4 + 1.5	20.5 + 1.9	18.7 + 2.1	12.1 + 1.6	22.3 + 1.6	22.9 + 1.9	22.0 + 2.0	24.8 + 2.0	24.0 + 2.4	20.5 + 2.2	18.7 + 1.9
Sometimes	33.7 + 1.3	31.1 + 1.4	35.4 + 2.3	39.3 + 3.4	38.6 + 2.3	33.3 + 1.7	34.4 + 2.0	34.9 + 4.3	33.1 + 2.0	32.3 + 2.8	35.9 + 3.3	34.2 + 1.8
Often	18.0 + 1.0	13.8 + 1.0	19.9 + 1.6	26.8 + 2.6	30.0 + 2.3	19.0 + 1.4	15.9 + 1.4	17.1 + 5.4	15.8 + 1.5	16.3 + 2.1	16.8 + 1.9	22.6 + 1.8
Very often or always	6.8 + 0.5	4.8 + 0.7	8.7 + 0.9	7.4 + 1.5	11.7 + 2.0	7.0 + 0.8	6.5 + 0.7	6.2 + 1.5	6.2 + 0.9	5.8 + 1.3	5.9 + 1.2	9.3 + 1.2
Sample size	10,898	4,656	2,871	1,719	1,445	6,487	3,349	1,062	4,100	1,526	2,097	3,175
Weighted total	465,839	225,609	180,707	30,315	19,684	299,879	138,675	27,286	192,811	79,969	87,368	105,692

Table S_18E (cont.)

How Often Get Recognition from Leaders
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed		
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+				
Very seldom or never	21.8% + 1.9	27.2% + 6.6	20.8% + 4.4	20.3% + 5.3	20.0% + 1.9	18.7% + 2.2	14.2% + 2.5	6.9% + 2.2	8.4% + 2.1	6.9% + 3.2	14.7% + 1.5	18.0% + 1.6		
Seldom	24.2% + 1.9	21.4% + 6.7	21.1% + 4.5	20.0% + 4.1	23.7% + 2.4	23.1% + 2.5	20.0% + 2.8	18.0% + 3.6	17.9% + 3.1	14.9% + 3.8	22.5% + 1.9	20.8% + 2.2		
Sometimes	32.4% + 1.8	32.8% + 7.2	29.9% + 4.6	35.4% + 5.2	33.1% + 3.1	34.6% + 2.6	35.4% + 4.6	35.1% + 3.9	37.7% + 3.8	37.8% + 3.5	35.7% + 2.4	34.0% + 2.3		
Often	16.5% + 1.5	12.9% + 4.8	19.0% + 3.9	17.8% + 5.2	16.9% + 2.9	16.6% + 2.2	19.6% + 3.4	33.1% + 3.7	29.0% + 3.1	29.2% + 3.8	20.0% + 2.2	18.8% + 1.8		
Very often or always	5.1% + 0.8	5.7% + 4.0	9.2% + 3.3	6.5% + 2.8	6.3% + 1.5	6.9% + 1.4	10.8% + 2.5	6.9% + 2.4	7.0% + 2.1	11.2% + 2.6	7.2% + 0.9	8.4% + 1.2		
Sample size	2,862	240	477	422	1,288	1,908	634	624	885	893	3,245	3,128		
Weighted total	175,442	10,744	15,069	14,066	54,514	88,367	40,854	9,924	13,858	15,202	120,368	106,733		

Table S_18f

How Often Called Back to Work for Extra Detail
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	33.8% + 2.6 -	33.4% + 2.3 -	35.0% + 3.9 -	31.7% + 4.2 -	33.4% + 4.6 -	36.2% + 3.4 -	29.5% + 4.3 -	30.0% + 5.3 -	28.1% + 2.5 -	28.6% + 3.8 -	35.2% + 2.5 -	48.5% + 3.8 -
Seldom	31.1 + 1.2 -	30.5 + 1.7 -	30.4 + 1.7 -	35.7 + 3.3 -	36.9 + 2.8 -	31.7 + 1.6 -	30.0 + 1.9 -	29.8 + 4.2 -	32.1 + 1.6 -	34.7 + 2.8 -	30.2 + 2.2 -	27.1 + 1.5 -
Sometimes	26.3 + 1.6 -	26.5 + 2.0 -	26.7 + 2.6 -	24.5 + 3.2 -	22.7 + 3.1 -	24.3 + 2.0 -	30.5 + 3.3 -	28.4 + 4.7 -	30.5 + 2.4 -	27.6 + 2.5 -	26.4 + 2.3 -	17.9 + 2.5 -
Often	6.4 + 0.9 -	6.7 + 1.2 -	6.1 + 1.1 -	6.4 + 1.8 -	5.3 + 1.3 -	5.5 + 0.8 -	7.7 + 2.3 -	9.2 + 3.9 -	6.8 + 1.1 -	8.4 + 3.0 -	5.6 + 1.3 -	4.7 + 1.3 -
Very often or always	2.4 + 0.4 -	2.9 + 0.5 -	1.8 + 0.6 -	1.7 + 0.7 -	1.8 + 0.9 -	2.4 + 0.5 -	2.3 + 0.4 -	2.6 + 1.1 -	2.5 + 0.5 -	2.7 + 1.3 -	2.5 + 0.7 -	1.8 + 0.7 -
Sample size	10,962	4,675	2,885	1,730	1,463	6,518	3,371	1,073	4,117	1,533	2,111	3,201
Weighted total	468,131	226,489	181,494	30,531	19,953	300,987	139,554	27,589	193,543	80,165	87,862	106,561

Table S_18F (cont.)

How Often Called Back to Work for Extra Detail
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
Very seldom or never	30.0% ± 2.7	44.4% ± 5.8	43.9% ± 6.4	44.7% ± 6.7	35.1% ± 3.5	36.5% ± 3.7	34.2% ± 5.3	33.6% ± 5.7	27.9% ± 4.4	34.0% ± 5.4	33.2% ± 3.6	36.7% ± 3.1
Seldom	31.2 ± 2.0	24.8 ± 7.1	30.2 ± 5.4	29.8 ± 5.4	30.5 ± 2.9	30.6 ± 2.1	32.1 ± 3.6	34.2 ± 4.6	33.3 ± 4.0	37.5 ± 3.4	31.7 ± 1.9	31.7 ± 1.8
Sometimes	28.4 ± 2.4	25.1 ± 5.5	18.9 ± 4.3	19.8 ± 5.5	26.6 ± 3.0	25.8 ± 2.5	26.1 ± 4.1	24.0 ± 3.5	28.5 ± 4.3	23.2 ± 4.3	27.0 ± 2.4	24.5 ± 2.3
Often	7.4 ± 1.3	4.9 ± 2.8	3.3 ± 1.5	4.3 ± 2.1	5.6 ± 1.5	5.2 ± 1.4	6.5 ± 2.0	5.8 ± 1.5	7.5 ± 2.4	4.5 ± 1.4	6.2 ± 1.3	5.1 ± 1.0
Very often or always	3.1 ± 0.6	0.7 ± 1.2	3.7 ± 2.0	1.4 ± 1.5	2.2 ± 0.8	1.9 ± 0.9	1.1 ± 0.9	2.4 ± 1.5	2.8 ± 1.5	0.8 ± 0.6	1.8 ± 0.6	2.0 ± 0.7
Sample size	2,877	244	479	423	1,293	1,915	635	630	891	902	3,263	3,144
Weighted total	175,966	10,937	15,184	14,076	54,714	86,587	40,951	10,104	13,949	15,399	121,010	107,245

Table S.18G

How Often Work Requires Cancellation of Leave or Plans
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	31.4% + 1.9 -	33.4% + 2.2 -	30.5% + 2.5 -	27.7% + 3.6 -	24.5% + 2.5 -	32.1% + 2.5 -	29.2% + 3.4 -	34.2% + 4.2 -	26.3% + 2.1 -	29.7% + 3.8 -	34.1% + 2.7 -	39.5% + 3.5 -
Seldom	22.5 + 1.1 -	22.3 + 1.4 -	21.1 + 1.6 -	26.5 + 2.4 -	30.4 + 2.7 -	22.3 + 1.4 -	22.5 + 1.7 -	23.9 + 1.1 -	21.3 + 1.1 -	21.1 + 2.6 -	23.4 + 2.4 -	24.9 + 2.3 -
Sometimes	26.1 + 1.1 -	24.1 + 1.2 -	27.6 + 1.8 -	27.4 + 3.0 -	31.3 + 2.8 -	25.6 + 1.3 -	27.3 + 2.3 -	25.3 + 4.3 -	28.9 + 1.9 -	24.8 + 2.7 -	25.0 + 1.8 -	22.9 + 2.2 -
Often	13.5 + 1.2 -	13.4 + 1.3 -	13.7 + 2.0 -	13.2 + 2.4 -	11.4 + 2.3 -	13.6 + 1.6 -	13.7 + 1.9 -	10.9 + 1.4 -	15.6 + 1.5 -	17.4 + 2.7 -	11.1 + 1.8 -	8.7 + 2.4 -
Very often or always	6.6 + 0.8 -	6.7 + 0.9 -	7.0 + 1.3 -	5.2 + 1.1 -	2.5 + 1.0 -	6.4 + 1.0 -	7.2 + 1.5 -	5.7 + 1.1 -	8.0 + 1.1 -	7.1 + 1.4 -	6.5 + 1.5 -	3.9 + 0.8 -
Sample size	10,954	4,666	2,883	1,731	1,464	6,515	3,368	1,071	4,110	1,532	2,111	3,201
Weighted total	467,462	225,802	181,400	30,562	19,971	300,690	139,318	27,454	193,057	80,090	87,759	106,556

Table S_18G (cont.)

How Often Work Requires Cancellation of Leave or Plans
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
Very seldom or never	35.2% + 2.8	36.5% + 6.8	31.5% + 5.3	31.7% + 6.4	28.7% + 3.1	26.9% + 2.6	31.5% + 4.8	23.0% + 3.4	22.9% + 4.1	27.4% + 2.6
Seldom	23.1 + 1.7	20.9 + 7.0	25.3 + 4.3	24.8 + 4.4	23.0 + 2.6	20.4 + 2.3	23.8 + 3.9	27.0 + 3.5	30.8 + 3.9	22.4 + 2.0
Sometimes	23.1 + 1.7	23.1 + 5.5	24.5 + 4.8	28.7 + 6.1	24.6 + 2.7	30.3 + 2.6	29.9 + 4.3	28.7 + 3.4	29.5 + 3.4	29.4 + 2.4
Often	12.7 + 1.7	12.1 + 4.3	12.0 + 3.9	10.2 + 4.3	15.4 + 2.5	15.5 + 2.0	9.6 + 2.2	14.6 + 2.8	12.2 + 3.8	14.0 + 2.1
Very often or always	5.9 + 1.2	7.4 + 4.4	6.7 + 2.9	4.5 + 2.1	8.4 + 1.7	8.9 + 1.3	5.2 + 2.0	6.7 + 1.9	4.7 + 1.8	6.9 + 1.2
Sample size	2,871	244	479	424	1,291	1,913	631	892	901	3,262
Weighted total	175,364	10,937	15,184	14,125	54,633	86,519	10,170	13,966	15,385	120,983
										107,236

Table S-18H

How Often Procedure Changes with No Explanation
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	16.1% + 1.1	14.9% + 1.3	17.7% + 1.3	14.3% + 2.4	19.3% + 2.8	16.6% + 1.5	15.0% + 1.9	16.5% + 3.2	13.0% + 1.3	12.7% + 2.2	17.8% + 1.9	23.0% + 1.7
Seldom	24.9 + 1.3	22.0 + 1.4	24.7 + 1.8	36.3 + 2.8	39.9 + 2.6	25.7 + 1.5	22.7 + 2.6	28.2 + 2.5	23.1 + 1.8	23.0 + 2.8	25.7 + 2.3	29.2 + 2.4
Sometimes	28.5 + 1.0	28.5 + 1.5	28.5 + 1.4	30.4 + 2.5	27.5 + 2.4	28.1 + 1.4	29.4 + 1.7	28.8 + 3.7	28.8 + 1.8	29.7 + 1.9	28.8 + 2.3	27.1 + 1.6
Often	19.8 + 1.1	21.8 + 1.5	19.1 + 1.7	14.1 + 2.2	9.9 + 1.7	18.9 + 1.4	22.0 + 2.2	18.4 + 2.4	23.4 + 1.8	21.1 + 2.8	17.9 + 2.1	13.8 + 1.1
Very often or always	10.6 + 0.9	12.8 + 1.2	9.9 + 1.1	4.9 + 1.3	3.4 + 1.4	10.6 + 1.1	11.0 + 1.5	8.0 + 1.1	11.7 + 1.3	13.5 + 2.0	9.8 + 1.8	7.0 + 1.3
Sample size	10,934	4,663	2,876	1,727	1,459	6,503	3,367	1,064	4,103	1,532	2,108	3,191
Weighted total	466,895	225,958	180,942	30,455	19,891	300,135	139,463	27,297	192,723	80,222	87,851	106,099

How Often Procedure Changes with No Explanation
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

111

Table S_181

How Often Sent to Field Training without Notice
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	49.7% + 2.9 -	48.3% + 2.9 -	51.4% + 3.7 -	50.4% + 4.0 -	55.0% + 3.4 -	50.8% + 4.0 -	46.9% + 4.0 -	51.4% + 7.2 -	41.3% + 2.5 -	43.1% + 3.0 -	49.4% + 3.4 -	70.2% + 3.4 -
Seldom	25.8 + 1.3 -	24.4 + 1.7 -	26.0 + 2.3 -	30.4 + 2.4 -	30.6 + 2.2 -	24.9 + 1.7 -	27.6 + 2.0 -	25.9 + 3.6 -	27.8 + 1.6 -	31.8 + 2.7 -	24.4 + 2.1 -	18.7 + 2.6 -
Sometimes	15.9 + 1.2 -	17.0 + 1.3 -	15.0 + 1.9 -	14.0 + 2.2 -	10.9 + 1.4 -	15.4 + 1.7 -	17.0 + 2.0 -	15.4 + 4.4 -	19.7 + 1.3 -	16.2 + 2.0 -	16.5 + 2.2 -	8.1 + 1.5 -
Often	5.6 + 0.6 -	6.3 + 0.9 -	5.1 + 0.9 -	3.8 + 1.4 -	2.9 + 0.7 -	5.6 + 0.9 -	5.7 + 1.0 -	5.2 + 1.0 -	7.5 + 0.9 -	5.5 + 1.4 -	5.8 + 1.3 -	1.9 + 0.6 -
Very often or always	3.1 + 0.5 -	4.0 + 0.9 -	2.5 + 0.6 -	1.4 + 0.8 -	0.8 + 0.3 -	3.3 + 0.8 -	2.9 + 0.6 -	2.1 + 0.8 -	3.7 + 0.8 -	3.3 + 1.1 -	3.9 + 1.5 -	1.1 + 0.5 -
Sample size	10,943	4,663	2,883	1,728	1,460	6,505	3,367	1,071	4,110	1,534	2,111	3,188
Weighted total	467,318	225,941	181,278	30,540	19,910	300,418	139,384	27,516	193,145	80,245	87,977	105,951

Table S-181 (cont.)

How Often Sent to Field Training without Notice
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Very seldom or never	48.5% ± 3.2	51.1% ± 6.7	56.0% ± 6.3	56.8% ± 7.7	50.2% ± 3.7	48.5% ± 3.8	53.1% ± 6.0	52.4% ± 5.8	47.7% ± 4.6	50.5% ± 4.2	49.4% ± 4.0	50.0% ± 3.1	
Seldom	25.5 ± 1.8	23.4 ± 5.5	22.4 ± 4.6	25.3 ± 5.3	22.3 ± 2.6	27.0 ± 2.6	25.9 ± 4.0	28.9 ± 5.1	30.9 ± 3.1	30.1 ± 3.8	26.9 ± 2.5	25.9 ± 2.0	
Sometimes	16.4 ± 1.5	17.0 ± 5.5	13.0 ± 3.9	11.4 ± 3.9	17.1 ± 2.5	16.9 ± 2.0	13.9 ± 3.6	14.0 ± 3.2	14.1 ± 2.9	13.0 ± 3.7	15.4 ± 1.9	16.6 ± 1.7	
Often	6.1 ± 1.1	5.5 ± 3.0	5.2 ± 2.4	2.8 ± 1.7	6.4 ± 1.6	5.0 ± 1.0	4.6 ± 1.9	3.2 ± 1.9	6.6 ± 2.9	4.4 ± 2.4	5.8 ± 1.0	4.8 ± 0.8	
Very often or always	3.5 ± 1.2	3.0 ± 2.7	3.4 ± 2.1	3.7 ± 2.3	4.1 ± 1.4	2.6 ± 0.7	2.5 ± 1.3	1.4 ± 1.3	0.8 ± 0.5	2.0 ± 1.5	2.5 ± 0.6	2.8 ± 0.7	
Sample size	2,875	243	479	424	1,291	1,909	632	628	887	901	3,256	3,133	
Weighted total	175,883	10,856	15,184	14,125	54,540	86,474	40,702	10,065	13,915	15,381	120,658	106,870	

Table S 18J

How Often Assigned Work with No Value to the Army
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	33.4% + 1.7	28.4% + 1.7	38.8% + 2.6	35.0% + 3.5	39.4% + 3.8	34.3% + 2.5	30.9% + 2.2	36.3% + 4.1	28.1% + 1.7	26.8% + 3.0	35.2% + 3.0	46.5% + 3.0
Seldom	25.8 + 1.2	22.8 + 1.5	27.1 + 2.2	32.7 + 2.7	34.4 + 2.8	26.1 + 1.7	25.4 + 1.8	24.9 + 1.1	26.3 + 1.7	27.0 + 2.5	24.8 + 2.5	25.0 + 2.5
Sometimes	25.3 + 1.2	28.2 + 1.6	22.5 + 1.7	23.8 + 3.0	20.1 + 2.7	24.4 + 1.5	27.3 + 2.1	24.3 + 3.2	27.7 + 1.7	29.4 + 2.6	23.6 + 2.3	19.2 + 1.9
Often	10.3 + 0.9	13.4 + 1.3	8.0 + 1.3	5.7 + 1.5	4.4 + 1.4	10.0 + 1.1	11.1 + 1.5	10.0 + 1.5	12.5 + 1.5	10.8 + 1.9	10.2 + 1.8	6.1 + 1.0
Very often or always	5.2 + 0.5	7.2 + 0.9	3.6 + 0.8	2.8 + 1.5	1.6 + 1.1	5.2 + 0.7	5.3 + 1.0	4.4 + 1.3	5.5 + 0.8	6.0 + 2.0	6.1 + 1.3	3.2 + 0.8
Sample size	10,906	4,650	2,869	1,721	1,457	6,484	3,355	1,067	4,096	1,521	2,104	3,185
Weighted total	465,598	225,216	180,477	30,403	19,852	299,266	138,931	27,401	192,304	79,790	87,681	105,824

Table S-18J (cont.)

How Often Assigned Work with No Value to the Army
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+
Very seldom or never	29.8% ± 1.9	37.3% ± 6.3	36.8% ± 5.4	41.6% ± 6.8	31.7% ± 3.2	33.5% ± 2.2	39.2% ± 5.1	32.1% ± 3.2	36.0% ± 4.7	39.0% ± 4.9	34.7% ± 2.6	34.9% ± 2.0
Seldom	23.2 ± 1.9	24.9 ± 6.2	25.5 ± 3.6	28.0 ± 5.0	26.0 ± 3.8	27.6 ± 2.5	28.3 ± 3.2	35.5 ± 3.9	31.4 ± 3.3	34.6 ± 4.1	28.4 ± 1.9	27.7 ± 2.6
Sometimes	27.9 ± 2.2	23.1 ± 5.7	25.6 ± 5.5	19.1 ± 4.8	23.7 ± 2.9	25.6 ± 2.1	21.6 ± 4.4	23.5 ± 3.9	23.3 ± 3.4	19.3 ± 3.4	23.8 ± 2.2	23.8 ± 1.7
Often	12.3 ± 1.4	6.4 ± 3.9	7.9 ± 2.8	7.1 ± 3.0	14.2 ± 2.1	9.2 ± 1.4	7.0 ± 1.8	6.0 ± 2.1	7.5 ± 2.3	4.6 ± 1.6	9.2 ± 1.2	9.5 ± 1.4
Very often or always	6.9 ± 1.0	8.3 ± 4.1	4.2 ± 2.2	4.1 ± 2.1	4.4 ± 1.0	4.1 ± 1.1	3.9 ± 1.6	3.0 ± 1.8	1.8 ± 1.0	2.5 ± 1.8	4.0 ± 0.7	4.0 ± 0.7
Sample size	2,865	244	476	422	1,285	1,905	630	624	891	897	3,237	3,134
Weighted total	175,238	10,937	15,074	13,988	54,470	86,129	40,526	10,009	13,952	15,325	120,021	106,797

Table S 18K

How Often Supervisor Enthusiasm for the Army Inspires Performance
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	21.1%	27.1%	17.1%	11.3%	8.2%	20.5%	22.2%	21.8%	21.9%	22.5%	23.2%	16.7%
	+ 1.0	+ 1.2	+ 1.4	+ 2.0	+ 1.9	+ 1.4	+ 1.3	+ 3.1	+ 1.5	+ 2.4	+ 2.1	+ 1.8
Seldom	22.1	24.6	20.2	18.0	16.6	22.2	21.9	22.8	22.8	22.9	21.9	20.5
	+ 1.1	+ 1.7	+ 1.7	+ 2.7	+ 1.7	+ 1.5	+ 1.6	+ 3.3	+ 1.4	+ 3.0	+ 2.5	+ 1.7
Sometimes	25.7	24.9	25.6	29.0	26.0	26.0	25.0	24.7	25.7	26.3	23.8	26.5
	+ 0.8	+ 1.2	+ 1.4	+ 2.8	+ 2.7	+ 1.0	+ 1.8	+ 3.1	+ 1.5	+ 2.8	+ 2.3	+ 1.6
Often	20.0	15.6	22.5	29.1	32.1	20.0	20.2	19.6	19.6	19.6	19.7	21.5
	+ 0.9	+ 1.1	+ 1.6	+ 2.9	+ 2.7	+ 1.2	+ 1.5	+ 2.0	+ 1.5	+ 2.6	+ 1.8	+ 1.8
Very often or always	11.1	7.8	14.5	12.7	17.1	11.3	10.6	11.1	9.9	8.7	11.4	14.8
	+ 0.8	+ 0.9	+ 1.1	+ 2.3	+ 2.8	+ 1.1	+ 1.6	+ 1.9	+ 1.1	+ 1.8	+ 1.7	+ 1.3
Sample size	10,866	4,645	2,849	1,718	1,447	6,460	3,340	1,066	4,085	1,522	2,093	3,166
Weighted total	463,689	225,097	178,948	30,386	19,700	298,041	138,243	27,404	191,906	79,878	86,919	104,985

How Often Supervisor Enthusiasm for the Army Inspires Performance by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

117

Table S 18L

How Often Discipline Administered Fairly
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	13.1%	17.6%	10.9%	3.7%	1.7%	12.5%	14.5%	13.8%	14.2%	13.6%	16.0%	8.4%
	+ 1.0	+ 1.5	+ 1.4	+ 1.1	+ 1.1	+ 1.3	+ 1.8	+ 3.2	+ 1.6	+ 2.4	+ 2.1	+ 1.8
Seldom	15.2	19.4	13.1	5.1	4.3	14.3	17.5	13.0	15.6	17.4	16.9	11.3
	+ 1.2	+ 1.8	+ 1.4	+ 1.2	+ 1.0	+ 1.4	+ 2.7	+ 1.3	+ 1.8	+ 2.5	+ 1.8	+ 1.7
Sometimes	27.3	30.3	26.4	19.3	14.9	26.6	28.0	30.8	27.3	29.7	27.5	25.2
	+ 1.3	+ 1.5	+ 1.7	+ 2.6	+ 1.6	+ 1.4	+ 2.6	+ 3.4	+ 1.9	+ 2.9	+ 2.5	+ 1.8
Often	29.2	23.9	31.2	44.2	39.2	30.1	27.7	26.7	28.7	28.8	28.1	31.3
	+ 1.2	+ 1.5	+ 1.8	+ 3.2	+ 2.1	+ 1.3	+ 2.8	+ 4.0	+ 2.2	+ 2.8	+ 3.2	+ 2.0
Very often or always	15.2	8.9	18.4	27.6	39.9	16.5	12.4	15.7	14.2	10.5	11.5	23.8
	+ 1.4	+ 1.2	+ 1.8	+ 3.2	+ 3.4	+ 1.9	+ 2.2	+ 4.1	+ 1.8	+ 1.3	+ 1.6	+ 2.8
Sample size	10,738	4,597	2,820	1,696	1,421	6,378	3,308	1,052	4,041	1,503	2,083	3,111
Weighted total	458,878	222,900	177,154	29,993	19,352	294,723	137,187	26,968	190,028	78,781	86,745	103,323

Table S_18L (cont.)

How Often Discipline Administered Fairly
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Enlisted	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 6+	Child 0-5	Child 6+
Very seldom or never	15.4% + 1.6	20.6% + 5.8	13.9% + 3.8	13.2% + 4.5	14.5% + 2.5	13.0% + 1.9	8.1% + 2.6	4.2% + 1.8	3.6% + 1.7	2.2% + 1.4
Seldom	17.7 + 2.0	10.5 + 4.5	18.4 + 4.4	16.1 + 5.4	16.9 + 1.9	14.9 + 1.6	11.8 + 2.1	4.3 + 1.8	6.2 + 2.5	4.4 + 2.4
Sometimes	29.3 + 1.9	30.1 + 6.1	26.8 + 5.1	28.0 + 5.1	26.2 + 2.6	29.5 + 3.0	24.3 + 3.1	16.8 + 3.3	17.0 + 3.2	16.3 + 3.5
Often	26.7 + 1.8	23.8 + 5.3	27.0 + 4.7	25.0 + 5.8	28.8 + 2.7	29.9 + 2.8	31.8 + 3.8	43.0 + 6.1	43.9 + 3.9	40.5 + 4.2
Very often or always	10.9 + 1.4	15.0 + 4.7	14.0 + 3.0	17.7 + 4.5	13.6 + 2.8	12.6 + 1.9	10.9 + 2.2	31.6 + 5.8	29.3 + 4.7	36.5 + 4.7
Sample size	2,835	243	471	411	1,262	1,881	622	610	876	876
Weighted total	173,461	10,893	14,884	13,527	53,466	85,049	40,031	9,733	13,764	15,020
									3,175	3,089
									117,788	105,535

Table S-18M

How Often Soldiers Help Each Other with Personal Problems
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	8.6% + 0.9	12.1% + 1.4	6.6% + 1.1	1.6% + 0.7	1.2% + 0.7	8.1% + 1.3	9.5% + 1.0	9.3% + 2.4	9.1% + 1.4	8.6% + 1.7	10.9% + 2.0	5.9% + 1.0
	14.7 + 1.1	17.5 + 1.4	14.4 + 1.6	6.2 + 1.7	3.4 + 1.3	13.8 + 1.5	17.2 + 1.6	12.5 + 3.6	16.8 + 1.5	13.7 + 1.9	15.7 + 1.7	11.0 + 1.9
Seldom												
Sometimes	33.0 + 1.1	33.7 + 1.5	33.8 + 2.3	30.0 + 2.7	23.0 + 2.8	32.3 + 1.2	34.1 + 2.5	35.4 + 1.4	32.7 + 1.8	37.0 + 2.8	33.9 + 1.4	30.2 + 2.4
	31.6 + 1.3	26.1 + 1.7	32.4 + 2.2	49.7 + 3.3	52.9 + 3.0	32.8 + 1.7	29.0 + 1.7	31.9 + 3.5	30.1 + 2.1	31.2 + 2.9	29.7 + 2.7	36.3 + 2.4
Often												
	12.0 + 1.0	10.7 + 1.1	12.8 + 1.6	12.6 + 1.9	19.4 + 3.3	12.9 + 1.5	10.2 + 1.4	10.8 + 2.2	11.2 + 1.5	9.8 + 1.6	10.1 + 1.4	18.6 + 2.0
Very often or always												
Sample size	10,870	4,641	2,862	1,715	1,444	6,464	3,343	1,063	4,082	1,522	2,101	3,165
Weighted total	464,335	224,966	179,820	30,242	19,687	298,350	138,675	27,310	191,765	79,870	87,468	105,233

Table S_18M (cont.)

How Often Soldiers Help Each Other with Personal Problems
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Officer	Spouse not Employed
	10.1% + 1.4	16.0% + 5.0	10.8% + 4.2	9.9% + 4.2	9.1% + 1.7	8.3% + 1.6	5.1% + 1.7	1.4% + 1.6	1.0% + 0.8	1.2% + 0.9	6.4% + 1.1	7.3% + 1.2
Very seldom or never												
Seldom	15.6 + 1.5	19.6 + 6.0	16.6 + 3.0	17.1 + 5.0	16.1 + 2.4	14.6 + 1.9	15.1 + 3.4	4.5 + 1.9	4.2 + 1.7	4.7 + 2.1	13.8 + 1.7	12.7 + 1.6
Sometimes	33.0 + 1.8	28.1 + 6.5	32.9 + 4.4	35.5 + 5.8	34.1 + 3.2	36.6 + 3.3	29.0 + 3.6	26.7 + 3.9	31.3 + 3.9	24.7 + 3.3	31.9 + 2.1	34.6 + 2.7
Often	29.6 + 1.9	25.5 + 7.0	29.5 + 5.8	26.6 + 5.8	27.9 + 2.5	30.9 + 3.3	34.7 + 4.3	53.4 + 5.5	47.5 + 3.4	53.6 + 4.6	35.3 + 2.5	33.2 + 2.1
Very often or always	11.8 + 1.3	10.8 + 4.4	10.2 + 3.1	10.9 + 4.3	12.8 + 1.9	9.7 + 1.7	16.2 + 2.8	13.9 + 3.4	16.0 + 3.1	15.8 + 4.7	12.6 + 1.8	12.2 + 1.9
Sample size	2,851	243	477	422	1,285	1,896	627	620	887	892	3,230	3,116
Weighted total	174,654	10,893	15,050	13,988	54,430	85,761	40,300	9,957	13,909	15,251	119,548	106,510

Table S 18N

How Often Supervisor Listens to Personal Problems
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
Very seldom or never	5.9% + 0.6 -	8.3% + 0.8 -	4.3% + 0.8 -	2.1% + 0.9 -	1.7% + 0.7 -	5.4% + 0.7 -	6.9% + 1.0 -	6.8% + 1.9 -	6.3% + 0.8 -	6.6% + 1.7 -	7.2% + 1.1 -	3.7% + 0.9 -
Seldom	9.0 + 0.7 -	11.6 + 0.9 -	7.4 + 1.2 -	3.6 + 1.0 -	3.7 + 0.9 -	8.5 + 1.1 -	10.1 + 0.9 -	8.2 + 2.4 -	9.6 + 0.9 -	9.9 + 1.8 -	10.1 + 1.9 -	6.1 + 1.1 -
Sometimes	21.6 + 1.1 -	25.5 + 1.7 -	18.8 + 1.3 -	16.7 + 2.2 -	13.3 + 1.8 -	21.1 + 1.5 -	22.2 + 1.9 -	24.9 + 0.6 -	23.3 + 1.6 -	22.6 + 3.2 -	21.5 + 1.9 -	17.8 + 2.0 -
Often	32.8 + 1.0 -	30.4 + 1.6 -	33.8 + 2.1 -	40.1 + 3.2 -	35.8 + 2.7 -	32.6 + 1.3 -	33.1 + 1.6 -	32.5 + 2.5 -	32.0 + 1.4 -	34.2 + 3.6 -	32.6 + 3.0 -	33.1 + 1.7 -
Very often or always	30.7 + 1.5 -	24.2 + 1.6 -	35.8 + 2.1 -	37.6 + 3.2 -	45.5 + 3.0 -	32.4 + 2.0 -	27.8 + 2.7 -	27.6 + 4.2 -	28.7 + 1.9 -	26.6 + 2.1 -	28.5 + 2.5 -	39.2 + 2.1 -
Sample size	10,880	4,643	2,860	1,719	1,449	6,472	3,344	1,064	4,090	1,521	2,101	3,168
Weighted total	464,782	224,966	180,080	30,355	19,730	298,939	138,519	27,323	192,172	79,843	87,451	105,315

Table S_18N (cont.)

How Often Supervisor Listens to Personal Problems
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Very seldom or never	7.5%	8.4%	5.3%	6.5%	7.0%	5.7%	2.4%	0.9%	2.0%	1.6%	4.3%	5.1%
	+ 0.9	+ 3.7	+ 2.1	+ 2.3	+ 1.6	+ 1.0	+ 1.1	+ 0.9	+ 1.1	+ 1.0	+ 0.8	+ 1.1
Seldom	10.3	9.8	8.1	8.0	9.5	8.5	6.8	4.8	2.7	4.1	8.1	7.4
	+ 1.1	+ 4.0	+ 2.5	+ 3.2	+ 2.0	+ 1.7	+ 1.7	+ 1.7	+ 1.2	+ 1.7	+ 1.4	+ 1.3
Sometimes	24.2	23.0	25.5	20.4	22.9	21.1	17.9	15.4	15.2	12.5	19.6	19.9
	+ 1.7	+ 5.8	+ 5.4	+ 5.0	+ 2.6	+ 2.2	+ 3.4	+ 2.8	+ 3.0	+ 2.9	+ 1.9	+ 1.7
Often	32.0	32.1	29.8	27.7	29.6	35.6	31.2	39.5	41.8	35.0	32.3	35.4
	+ 1.7	+ 7.4	+ 5.2	+ 5.6	+ 2.6	+ 1.9	+ 3.7	+ 4.4	+ 3.7	+ 3.6	+ 2.2	+ 2.3
Very often or always	26.0	26.7	31.4	37.4	31.0	29.0	41.7	39.4	38.3	46.9	35.7	32.2
	+ 1.9	+ 5.7	+ 4.4	+ 6.1	+ 3.3	+ 2.6	+ 4.1	+ 3.5	+ 3.4	+ 4.0	+ 2.4	+ 2.6
Sample size	2,851	243	475	422	1,286	1,901	630	620	890	894	3,230	3,131
Weighted total	174,628	10,857	14,961	13,988	54,549	86,039	40,569	9,936	13,943	15,280	119,956	106,837

Table S.180

How Often Supervisor Listens to Family Problems
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	5.0% ± 0.5	6.8% ± 0.8	3.8% ± 0.7	1.9% ± 0.8	2.0% ± 0.6	4.7% ± 0.6	5.7% ± 0.7	4.8% ± 1.5	5.4% ± 0.7	5.1% ± 1.6	6.1% ± 1.3	3.3% ± 0.6
Seldom	9.2 ± 0.9	12.0 ± 1.3	7.3 ± 1.2	3.6 ± 1.1	3.8 ± 0.7	8.9 ± 1.3	10.2 ± 1.2	7.0 ± 1.3	9.4 ± 1.1	10.9 ± 2.0	10.4 ± 2.0	6.3 ± 1.0
Sometimes	21.5 ± 1.0	25.0 ± 1.6	19.0 ± 1.2	16.9 ± 2.3	13.9 ± 2.1	20.5 ± 1.1	22.6 ± 2.3	26.6 ± 1.8	22.7 ± 1.8	23.5 ± 2.7	21.4 ± 2.0	17.8 ± 1.7
Often	33.3 ± 0.8	31.3 ± 1.5	33.9 ± 2.1	40.3 ± 2.9	35.3 ± 3.1	33.0 ± 1.1	33.9 ± 1.2	32.7 ± 5.2	33.2 ± 1.8	33.4 ± 3.3	32.7 ± 2.7	33.7 ± 1.9
Very often or always	31.1 ± 1.5	24.9 ± 2.0	36.0 ± 2.3	37.3 ± 3.4	45.2 ± 3.1	32.9 ± 2.0	27.7 ± 2.6	28.9 ± 4.9	29.2 ± 2.1	27.1 ± 2.7	29.4 ± 2.5	38.9 ± 1.9
Sample size	10,847	4,629	2,853	1,714	1,442	6,459	3,332	1,056	4,079	1,516	2,090	3,162
Weighted total	463,573	224,169	179,794	30,302	19,658	298,295	138,286	26,992	191,713	79,728	86,902	105,230

Table S_180 (cont.)

How Often Supervisor Listens to Family Problems
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Officer
Very seldom or never	5.8% + 1.0	8.3% + 3.7	5.3% + 2.1	5.8% + 2.3	5.7% + 1.4	5.0% + 1.1	2.7% + 1.2	0.5% + 0.6	1.5% + 1.0	1.8% + 1.0	3.8% + 0.7	4.6% + 0.9
Seldom	11.1 + 1.6	7.5 + 3.5	7.4 + 2.8	6.7 + 2.4	10.0 + 2.2	8.8 + 1.6	6.9 + 2.0	4.2 + 1.8	3.3 + 1.2	4.3 + 1.7	8.4 + 1.3	7.3 + 1.2
Sometimes	23.6 + 1.6	25.8 + 5.3	22.3 + 4.5	21.7 + 5.2	22.1 + 2.9	21.0 + 1.8	18.2 + 3.6	15.3 + 2.8	15.8 + 3.4	13.5 + 2.3	19.3 + 2.2	20.2 + 1.6
Often	33.5 + 1.5	28.7 + 6.7	31.9 + 4.2	26.8 + 4.8	31.6 + 3.0	34.7 + 2.1	31.5 + 4.1	39.6 + 4.1	41.3 + 4.1	34.9 + 3.4	32.5 + 2.1	35.5 + 2.4
Very often or always	26.0 + 1.9	29.6 + 5.5	33.1 + 4.2	39.0 + 5.7	30.6 + 3.4	30.5 + 2.9	40.8 + 3.8	40.4 + 3.6	38.2 + 3.7	45.6 + 3.5	36.0 + 2.5	32.4 + 2.6
Sample size	2,835	244	474	421	1,286	1,901	629	617	888	891	3,224	3,123
Weighted total	173,762	10,937	14,889	13,970	54,491	86,065	40,575	9,915	13,918	15,251	119,940	106,608

Table S-18P

How Often Supervisor Shows Interest in Family Welfare
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	7.9%	10.4%	6.5%	3.0%	3.4%	7.3%	9.1%	9.2%	8.3%	8.4%	9.4%	5.8%
	+ 0.7	+ 1.1	+ 1.1	+ 1.0	+ 1.0	+ 0.9	+ 0.9	+ 2.1	+ 1.0	+ 2.6	+ 1.5	+ 1.2
Seldom	12.2	15.5	10.3	5.9	5.0	11.5	13.7	12.1	12.7	14.6	13.9	8.1
	+ 0.9	+ 1.3	+ 1.2	+ 1.3	+ 1.6	+ 1.2	+ 1.4	+ 2.1	+ 1.1	+ 2.4	+ 1.9	+ 1.2
Sometimes	25.5	27.7	24.4	21.7	17.1	24.5	27.3	27.4	26.4	26.3	25.9	22.9
	+ 1.0	+ 1.6	+ 1.9	+ 2.8	+ 2.0	+ 1.0	+ 2.6	+ 3.3	+ 1.8	+ 2.6	+ 2.0	+ 1.8
Often	29.0	27.5	29.1	32.8	34.2	29.6	28.2	26.7	28.6	30.2	27.3	30.4
	+ 1.3	+ 1.5	+ 2.3	+ 2.0	+ 2.5	+ 1.5	+ 2.5	+ 4.0	+ 1.7	+ 3.4	+ 2.5	+ 2.3
Very often or always	25.3	18.9	29.7	36.6	40.3	27.1	21.7	24.6	24.1	20.4	23.4	32.9
	+ 1.5	+ 1.6	+ 2.3	+ 3.2	+ 2.7	+ 1.9	+ 2.7	+ 4.3	+ 1.8	+ 2.8	+ 2.7	+ 1.9
Sample size	10,833	4,605	2,852	1,717	1,452	6,446	3,330	1,057	4,070	1,515	2,087	3,161
Weighted total	461,723	222,417	179,578	30,332	19,797	296,816	137,789	27,119	190,850	79,243	86,767	104,863

How Often Supervisor Shows Interest In Family Welfare by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

127

Table S_180

How Often Time Off Allowed for Urgent Family Matters
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCCINUS	Combat Support	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	3.5% + 0.5 -	4.9% + 0.8 -	2.6% + 0.6 -	1.2% + 0.9 -	0.5% + 0.4 -	3.1% + 0.7 -	4.0% + 0.7 -	5.0% + 1.8 -	3.9% + 0.8 -	4.4% + 1.1 -	3.8% + 0.9 -	1.6% + 0.5 -
Seldom	5.8 + 0.7 -	7.6 + 0.8 -	4.4 + 1.0 -	2.0 + 0.7 -	1.4 + 0.7 -	5.5 + 0.8 -	5.8 + 1.3 -	5.8 + 0.7 -	6.4 + 1.1 -	6.9 + 1.5 -	5.4 + 1.3 -	3.4 + 0.7 -
Sometimes	18.0 + 1.1 -	20.7 + 1.7 -	16.9 + 1.4 -	10.4 + 1.7 -	9.1 + 1.6 -	18.8 + 1.5 -	20.0 + 2.1 -	20.4 + 3.7 -	19.6 + 1.2 -	19.1 + 3.0 -	19.1 + 2.2 -	13.2 + 1.7 -
Often	31.9 + 1.1 -	32.1 + 1.9 -	30.4 + 1.9 -	37.2 + 2.3 -	32.7 + 2.6 -	31.1 + 1.5 -	32.9 + 1.6 -	35.5 + 2.7 -	32.0 + 1.4 -	32.5 + 2.3 -	32.4 + 2.1 -	30.8 + 2.5 -
Very often or always	41.1 + 1.8 -	34.7 + 1.7 -	45.7 + 2.5 -	49.2 + 3.1 -	56.3 + 3.0 -	43.5 + 2.6 -	37.3 + 2.4 -	33.4 + 3.4 -	38.1 + 1.9 -	37.1 + 3.3 -	39.2 + 1.7 -	51.0 + 2.5 -
Sample size	10,870	4,629	2,864	1,719	1,449	8,467	3,344	1,059	4,084	1,518	2,098	3,170
Weighted total	464,317	224,280	180,243	30,384	19,760	298,544	138,527	27,246	191,879	79,649	87,339	105,451

Table S. 18R

How Often Time Off Allowed for Non-urgent Family Matters
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NJO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	17.0% + 1.5	24.3% + 1.6	12.1% + 1.5	5.3% + 1.5	4.3% + 1.2	16.0% + 2.3	19.2% + 1.5	16.8% + 2.9	20.7% + 2.1	19.9% + 3.0	16.5% + 1.9	8.5% + 1.5
Seldom	19.6 + 1.0	23.7 + 1.2	16.9 + 1.6	13.2 + 2.9	9.1 + 1.9	18.8 + 1.3	20.4 + 1.6	24.0 + 2.7	20.9 + 1.8	22.1 + 2.3	21.3 + 1.8	13.8 + 1.5
Sometimes	36.7 + 1.1	33.2 + 1.5	39.7 + 1.9	42.3 + 2.5	36.0 + 2.8	37.2 + 1.4	36.0 + 1.9	35.4 + 1.4	36.1 + 1.9	37.3 + 2.4	36.0 + 2.2	38.0 + 2.4
Often	18.8 + 1.3	13.5 + 1.4	21.6 + 1.9	29.4 + 2.8	33.2 + 2.9	19.5 + 1.6	17.7 + 2.3	16.4 + 2.2	16.8 + 1.4	15.3 + 2.1	17.9 + 2.2	25.8 + 2.5
Very often or always	7.8 + 1.2	5.3 + 1.0	9.6 + 1.7	9.8 + 2.1	17.3 + 1.9	8.4 + 1.5	6.6 + 2.1	7.4 + 3.2	5.4 + 0.9	5.4 + 1.4	8.2 + 2.0	13.9 + 1.8
Sample size	10,847	4,619	2,862	1,713	1,444	6,452	3,341	1,054	4,078	1,523	2,094	3,152
Weighted total	463,379	223,685	180,070	30,288	19,686	297,769	138,501	27,108	191,703	79,912	87,073	104,691

Table S_188 (cont.)

How Often Time Off Allowed for Non-urgent Family Matters
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed
	Enlisted					Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Very seldom or never	20.5% + 2.0	18.9% + 5.4	15.4% + 3.7	11.6% + 3.8	19.9% + 2.1	18.3% + 1.8	9.0% + 2.1	4.4% + 1.9	8.0% + 2.1	13.8% + 1.7
Seldom	22.5 + 1.5	21.8 + 5.6	16.1 + 3.4	18.6 + 5.1	21.5 + 2.5	18.7 + 2.3	15.9 + 2.5	11.0 + 3.1	10.6 + 3.1	16.8 + 1.7
Sometimes	35.4 + 2.0	34.3 + 6.8	37.7 + 5.4	37.8 + 5.8	33.9 + 2.9	38.8 + 2.7	39.0 + 3.2	43.3 + 4.8	39.4 + 5.0	38.5 + 1.7
Often	15.7 + 1.8	15.4 + 5.1	19.4 + 4.4	18.9 + 5.0	17.3 + 3.1	18.2 + 2.5	24.8 + 4.1	30.1 + 4.9	31.6 + 4.3	21.3 + 2.2
Very often or always	5.9 + 1.2	9.5 + 4.7	11.3 + 3.7	12.9 + 4.5	7.4 + 1.9	6.0 + 1.4	11.3 + 3.2	11.3 + 2.6	10.4 + 2.3	9.5 + 1.8
Sample size	2,833	242	477	422	1,280	1,901	630	622	882	3,122
Weighted total	173,582	10,824	15,100	13,988	54,165	88,084	40,556	9,980	13,830	119,875
										106,427

Table S. 19A

To What Extent Do Leaders Encourage Unit Family Activities
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very great extent	4.8% + 0.7 -	3.0% + 0.7 -	5.9% + 1.2 -	7.2% + 1.4 -	10.6% + 2.2 -	5.2% + 1.0 -	3.9% + 1.1 -	4.2% + 2.4 -	4.4% + 0.8 -	3.3% + 1.1 -	4.0% + 1.2 -	7.2% + 1.7 -
Great extent	13.5 + 0.8 -	9.6 + 0.9 -	15.3 + 1.6 -	23.3 + 2.5 -	22.0 + 2.8 -	13.8 + 1.0 -	13.0 + 1.5 -	12.0 + 4.2 -	13.5 + 1.1 -	10.8 + 1.2 -	11.9 + 2.1 -	16.6 + 1.4 -
Moderate extent	32.9 + 1.0 -	31.3 + 1.6 -	32.8 + 2.2 -	41.5 + 3.5 -	34.9 + 3.1 -	32.1 + 1.2 -	34.1 + 2.2 -	34.8 + 1.5 -	32.7 + 1.8 -	34.6 + 3.2 -	33.0 + 2.5 -	31.7 + 1.9 -
Slight extent	32.0 + 1.2 -	35.4 + 1.7 -	30.8 + 1.7 -	20.0 + 2.6 -	23.6 + 2.7 -	31.8 + 1.3 -	32.5 + 2.7 -	31.8 + 2.7 -	32.4 + 1.6 -	33.7 + 3.0 -	32.6 + 2.8 -	29.5 + 1.7 -
Not at all	16.9 + 1.0 -	20.7 + 1.5 -	15.2 + 1.3 -	8.0 + 2.4 -	8.8 + 2.5 -	17.1 + 1.3 -	16.4 + 1.5 -	17.2 + 6.5 -	17.0 + 1.7 -	17.6 + 3.7 -	18.5 + 2.7 -	15.0 + 1.8 -
Sample size	10,874	4,634	2,866	1,714	1,452	6,476	3,347	1,051	4,082	1,522	2,101	3,169
Weighted total	464,428	224,484	180,220	30,309	19,790	299,012	138,355	27,061	191,988	79,722	87,462	105,256

To What Extent Do Leaders Encourage Unit Family Activities by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

133

Table S. 198

To What Extent Do Leaders Know About Army Family Program
• by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location					Unit Type		
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support
Very great extent											
Great extent	11.1% ± 1.0	7.9% ± 0.9	14.4% ± 1.7	12.5% ± 2.0	16.7% ± 2.4	11.9% ± 1.5	9.6% ± 1.5	10.0% ± 2.9	10.6% ± 1.4	8.9% ± 1.7	9.6% ± 2.1
Moderate extent	28.0 ± 1.0	24.0 ± 1.3	31.1 ± 1.7	33.8 ± 2.6	33.8 ± 3.4	28.8 ± 1.2	26.7 ± 2.1	26.4 ± 1.9	29.6 ± 1.9	26.2 ± 3.3	25.9 ± 2.5
Slight extent	39.5 ± 1.2	42.4 ± 1.9	36.8 ± 1.9	37.8 ± 2.2	30.9 ± 2.8	38.6 ± 1.4	40.7 ± 2.3	42.1 ± 2.6	39.1 ± 2.0	42.6 ± 3.7	40.1 ± 2.4
Not at all	16.5 ± 1.0	19.8 ± 1.4	13.6 ± 1.3	12.3 ± 2.3	13.7 ± 2.7	15.8 ± 1.4	17.9 ± 1.3	16.8 ± 3.1	16.4 ± 1.7	16.8 ± 2.7	19.5 ± 2.5
Sample size	4.9 ± 0.5	5.9 ± 0.7	4.1 ± 0.8	3.8 ± 1.3	4.9 ± 2.3	4.8 ± 0.7	5.1 ± 0.9	4.7 ± 1.4	4.3 ± 0.7	5.5 ± 1.3	4.9 ± 1.0
Weighted total	10,783 460,311	4,581 221,812	2,849 179,127	1,708 30,177	1,438 19,800	6,414 295,965	3,321 137,356	1,048 26,930	4,049 189,988	1,514 79,363	2,080 86,891
											3,140 104,269

Table S_198 (cont.)

To What Extent Do Leaders Know About Army Family Program
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Very great extent	9.3% + 1.2	7.6% + 3.8	12.8% + 3.7	12.5% + 3.5	10.9% + 2.5	9.5% + 1.8	16.4% + 3.5	15.8% + 3.8	12.6% + 2.2	14.2% + 2.8	12.9% + 2.1	11.4% + 1.3
Great extent	26.4 + 1.6	29.7 + 5.6	24.9 + 4.2	27.8 + 4.4	27.9 + 2.8	27.5 + 2.2	31.9 + 4.3	33.1 + 3.9	31.9 + 3.8	35.5 + 4.9	30.1 + 2.1	28.3 + 1.9
Moderate extent	41.7 + 1.9	37.2 + 7.5	38.4 + 3.8	38.8 + 5.0	39.0 + 2.5	41.4 + 2.5	34.5 + 4.3	34.5 + 5.1	40.1 + 4.4	33.8 + 4.4	37.6 + 1.9	39.3 + 2.0
Slight extent	17.8 + 1.9	18.9 + 5.4	17.4 + 2.6	14.8 + 4.6	16.7 + 2.2	16.3 + 2.1	13.2 + 3.0	13.5 + 2.9	13.5 + 3.7	12.4 + 3.7	15.0 + 1.7	16.1 + 1.6
Not at all	4.7 + 0.9	6.5 + 3.4	6.5 + 2.6	6.1 + 3.2	5.5 + 1.4	5.2 + 1.2	3.9 + 1.4	3.0 + 1.5	1.9 + 1.2	4.1 + 1.9	4.3 + 0.9	4.9 + 0.9
Sample size	2,818	243	473	419	1,268	1,883	629	616	883	885	3,196	3,107
Weighted total	172,157	10,886	14,837	13,866	53,788	85,310	40,577	9,911	13,817	15,115	118,812	106,206

Table S 19C

To What Extent are Leaders Concerned for Welfare of Soldier's Family in Case of War
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very great extent	14.7% + 1.3	11.5% + 1.3	16.2% + 2.0	21.4% + 2.2	27.1% + 3.2	14.6% + 1.8	14.5% + 1.6	16.5% + 4.7	14.7% + 1.6	11.5% + 1.9	13.7% + 2.1	18.0% + 2.6
Great extent	23.9 + 1.1	21.3 + 1.2	23.6 + 1.8	35.4 + 2.7	34.6 + 2.4	24.2 + 1.4	23.4 + 2.0	23.0 + 3.1	24.3 + 1.9	22.2 + 2.7	21.9 + 2.6	26.2 + 2.2
Moderate extent	29.8 + 1.3	31.4 + 1.5	29.1 + 2.2	28.6 + 2.6	22.5 + 2.5	29.6 + 1.6	30.0 + 2.6	31.6 + 2.7	28.3 + 1.7	30.8 + 3.3	32.5 + 2.4	29.6 + 2.3
Slight extent	19.3 + 1.1	20.7 + 1.4	19.9 + 1.8	11.6 + 2.6	10.4 + 2.0	19.1 + 1.3	20.0 + 2.3	17.9 + 3.0	20.5 + 1.7	20.4 + 2.2	19.0 + 2.3	16.6 + 1.7
Not at all	12.3 + 1.0	15.1 + 1.3	11.2 + 1.3	5.0 + 1.8	5.4 + 1.5	12.5 + 1.3	12.0 + 1.6	11.0 + 2.7	12.2 + 1.6	15.0 + 3.1	13.0 + 2.0	9.7 + 1.7
Sample size	10,794	4,595	2,840	1,710	1,442	6,426	3,322	1,046	4,053	1,511	2,087	3,143
Weighted total	460,719	222,426	178,867	30,191	19,640	236,421	137,417	26,881	190,520	79,161	86,820	104,219

Table S-19C (cont.)

To What Extent are Leaders Concerned for Welfare of Soldier's Family in Case of War
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Very great extent	13.9% ± 1.6	9.0% ± 4.2	13.6% ± 3.5	12.7% ± 3.7	13.4% ± 2.2	11.6% ± 2.0	20.1% ± 3.5	23.6% ± 4.3	20.3% ± 2.6	25.6% ± 3.4	16.5% ± 2.2	14.9% ± 1.8
Great extent	23.7 ± 1.5	30.4 ± 7.3	21.2 ± 3.6	23.0 ± 5.5	22.9 ± 2.5	20.5 ± 2.5	23.6 ± 3.9	36.9 ± 5.1	34.5 ± 3.4	33.0 ± 3.3	25.0 ± 2.3	23.0 ± 1.3
Moderate extent	32.0 ± 1.8	26.0 ± 6.6	31.9 ± 4.7	30.6 ± 5.9	28.2 ± 2.7	30.5 ± 2.5	27.9 ± 4.2	24.6 ± 3.6	26.6 ± 3.3	22.7 ± 3.6	28.2 ± 2.5	28.5 ± 2.2
Slight extent	18.3 ± 1.6	21.6 ± 5.2	17.5 ± 3.8	20.2 ± 4.8	20.7 ± 2.3	23.0 ± 3.0	18.9 ± 3.1	9.8 ± 2.3	14.7 ± 3.6	12.3 ± 3.2	18.8 ± 1.9	20.9 ± 2.4
Not at all	12.0 ± 1.4	13.0 ± 4.3	15.7 ± 5.0	13.5 ± 4.8	14.7 ± 2.4	14.4 ± 2.2	9.5 ± 2.1	5.0 ± 2.1	3.9 ± 1.6	6.4 ± 2.5	11.6 ± 1.7	12.6 ± 1.6
Sample size	2,817	242	474	416	1,268	1,889	627	619	884	891	3,210	3,106
Weighted total	172,195	10,868	14,916	13,805	53,866	85,506	40,514	9,941	13,851	15,173	119,292	106,052

Table S 20

Relationship Between Officers and Enlisted Soldiers in Unit
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very good	18.5% + 1.5	14.7% + 1.3	17.4% + 1.7	32.4% + 3.0	46.9% + 3.9	19.9% + 2.0	15.8% + 2.7	17.2% + 3.7	16.2% + 1.3	14.0% + 2.5	14.1% + 2.4	29.8% + 3.5
Good	36.8 + 1.5	33.2 + 1.8	37.4 + 2.1	52.1 + 3.4	43.0 + 3.5	37.1 + 2.0	35.4 + 2.6	41.1 + 3.2	36.9 + 2.2	35.3 + 2.9	35.6 + 3.1	38.6 + 2.6
So-so	33.2 + 1.6	37.5 + 1.9	34.1 + 2.1	13.8 + 2.2	9.0 + 1.6	31.8 + 1.9	36.6 + 3.1	30.3 + 1.5	34.8 + 1.8	36.4 + 3.6	36.9 + 3.2	24.5 + 2.2
Bad	6.6 + 0.6	7.9 + 0.8	6.8 + 1.1	1.2 + 0.9	1.0 + 0.7	6.5 + 0.9	7.0 + 0.8	6.0 + 2.0	6.9 + 1.1	7.9 + 2.0	7.4 + 1.5	4.6 + 1.2
Very bad	4.9 + 0.8	6.6 + 1.2	4.3 + 0.7	0.5 + 0.5	0.1 + 0.1	4.7 + 1.0	5.3 + 1.1	5.5 + 2.6	5.2 + 1.2	6.4 + 2.3	6.0 + 1.9	2.5 + 0.7
Sample size	10,818	4,636	2,829	1,703	1,441	6,437	3,333	1,048	4,073	1,517	2,103	3,125
Weighted total	462,337	224,831	178,168	30,043	19,645	297,491	137,987	26,860	191,588	79,680	87,408	103,661

Table S_20 (cont.)

Relationship Between Officers and Enlisted Soldiers in Unit
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Officer	Spouse not Employed
	18.4% + 1.2	18.4% + 5.5	19.2% + 5.5	16.5% + 4.5	14.7% + 2.1	14.8% + 2.0	22.8% + 4.3	35.0% + 5.0	35.7% + 4.3	44.5% + 5.7	20.6% + 2.3	20.0% + 2.3
Very good												
Good	35.4 + 2.1	27.1 + 7.1	37.0 + 4.9	39.7 + 6.0	35.7 + 2.6	37.4 + 2.5	38.7 + 3.8	50.7 + 5.3	49.2 + 4.3	41.4 + 5.1	38.5 + 1.9	38.8 + 2.8
So-so	35.2 + 2.0	39.8 + 6.7	32.8 + 5.9	32.1 + 5.9	36.8 + 3.2	35.3 + 2.2	26.9 + 4.2	12.8 + 3.1	13.7 + 3.6	12.4 + 3.5	30.1 + 2.5	31.0 + 2.1
Bad	7.0 + 1.1	5.8 + 3.4	5.5 + 2.0	6.1 + 2.3	7.5 + 1.6	7.8 + 1.3	7.5 + 2.3	1.1 + 0.8	1.1 + 0.9	1.5 + 1.2	6.7 + 1.2	6.4 + 1.3
Very bad	5.9 + 1.3	8.9 + 4.0	5.5 + 3.0	5.5 + 2.4	5.2 + 1.4	4.6 + 1.1	4.1 + 1.6	0.4 + 0.5	0.3 + 0.4	0.2 + 0.4	4.1 + 0.9	3.8 + 0.9
Sample size	2,851	238	474	419	1,276	1,891	620	620	878	888	3,199	3,112
Weighted total	174,758	10,585	14,971	13,928	54,009	85,201	40,092	9,942	13,761	15,197	118,688	106,034

Table S.21A
Level of Morale in Unit
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
Very low	16.7%	22.8%	12.9%	4.9%	2.7%	16.0%	18.6%	13.4%	17.1%	19.6%	20.8%	10.2%
	+ 1.5	+ 1.7	+ 1.8	+ 2.2	+ 1.1	+ 2.1	+ 2.4	+ 2.6	+ 2.4	+ 4.3	+ 3.3	+ 1.7
Low	24.6	29.0	23.0	13.9	9.5	23.8	26.3	25.6	25.5	25.6	27.6	19.8
	+ 1.2	+ 1.4	+ 1.9	+ 1.9	+ 1.8	+ 1.5	+ 2.0	+ 3.3	+ 1.8	+ 2.9	+ 2.8	+ 2.4
Moderate	38.1	34.5	42.2	42.2	33.8	38.1	37.5	41.9	35.3	40.1	37.7	42.2
	+ 1.4	+ 1.5	+ 2.3	+ 3.1	+ 3.1	+ 1.9	+ 2.2	+ 2.1	+ 2.4	+ 2.7	+ 2.5	+ 2.2
High	16.0	11.0	17.0	31.0	37.3	16.9	14.3	15.1	17.3	12.2	11.4	20.3
	+ 1.2	+ 1.5	+ 1.6	+ 3.2	+ 3.5	+ 1.7	+ 1.8	+ 3.5	+ 2.1	+ 1.8	+ 1.9	+ 2.0
Very high	4.6	2.7	5.0	7.9	16.7	5.2	3.3	4.0	4.8	2.4	2.6	7.5
	+ 0.6	+ 0.5	+ 0.8	+ 1.5	+ 2.8	+ 0.9	+ 0.9	+ 1.5	+ 1.0	+ 0.9	+ 1.0	+ 1.3
Sample size	10,954	4,688	2,882	1,724	1,452	6,508	3,372	1,074	4,121	1,538	2,118	3,177
Weighted total	468,170	227,041	181,259	30,474	19,796	300,869	139,617	27,684	193,745	80,425	88,184	105,816

Table S_21A (cont.)

Level of Morale In Unit
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
Very low	20.0% + 1.8	25.2% + 6.7	18.4% + 5.9	20.0% + 5.2	20.2% + 3.4	14.7% + 1.8	9.4% + 2.4	3.8% + 2.1	4.9% + 1.9	3.1% + 1.9	14.2% + 1.9	12.5% + 1.7
Low	27.7 + 1.9	18.4 + 5.7	19.8 + 3.8	24.1 + 4.4	23.1 + 2.6	27.7 + 2.3	20.8 + 4.0	10.1 + 2.3	11.3 + 2.9	12.9 + 4.6	22.1 + 2.3	23.1 + 1.9
Moderate	35.2 + 1.7	39.3 + 7.7	45.3 + 4.9	40.8 + 6.5	37.4 + 3.5	40.9 + 3.0	42.6 + 3.7	44.2 + 4.8	41.5 + 3.3	32.3 + 4.1	38.3 + 2.2	41.1 + 2.0
High	13.5 + 1.7	14.4 + 5.4	13.5 + 3.3	11.9 + 3.6	15.6 + 2.2	13.4 + 2.1	20.3 + 3.1	32.5 + 5.3	33.4 + 3.4	34.8 + 5.0	19.2 + 1.8	18.1 + 1.8
Very high	3.6 + 0.7	2.6 + 1.5	2.9 + 1.6	3.2 + 2.3	3.7 + 1.2	3.4 + 0.8	7.0 + 2.0	9.5 + 3.0	8.9 + 2.4	16.9 + 3.8	6.2 + 1.1	5.2 + 0.9
Sample size	2,881	242	478	422	1,296	1,915	637	623	889	897	3,254	3,143
Weighted total	176,286	10,902	15,165	14,091	54,591	86,571	41,096	9,981	13,929	15,297	120,834	107,134

Table S_21B

Confidence in Other Members of Unit in Case of Combat
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very low	13.4% + 1.1 -	17.4% + 1.8 -	11.1% + 1.3 -	3.1% + 1.3 -	1.7% + 0.9 -	13.0% + 1.5 -	14.5% + 1.8 -	11.2% + 3.0 -	11.5% + 1.7 -	14.3% + 2.3 -	18.0% + 2.2 -	11.9% + 2.0 -
Low	19.9 + 1.1 -	22.8 + 1.9 -	19.2 + 1.5 -	9.8 + 1.9 -	5.3 + 1.4 -	19.3 + 1.5 -	20.9 + 1.8 -	19.9 + 1.5 -	17.9 + 1.5 -	21.5 + 2.7 -	25.2 + 2.2 -	17.0 + 1.8 -
Moderate	36.8 + 1.1 -	36.6 + 1.7 -	39.1 + 1.9 -	30.6 + 2.6 -	22.7 + 2.8 -	37.0 + 1.6 -	36.3 + 1.5 -	37.0 + 2.9 -	36.0 + 1.8 -	37.9 + 3.0 -	37.2 + 2.0 -	37.1 + 3.0 -
High	21.0 + 1.5 -	16.8 + 2.1 -	21.9 + 2.2 -	37.9 + 3.5 -	40.2 + 4.1 -	21.4 + 2.1 -	20.4 + 2.1 -	20.3 + 2.6 -	23.9 + 2.6 -	20.7 + 2.5 -	14.2 + 2.5 -	21.8 + 1.9 -
Very high	9.0 + 0.8 -	6.4 + 1.0 -	8.8 + 1.3 -	18.7 + 2.5 -	30.0 + 3.6 -	9.3 + 1.1 -	7.9 + 1.3 -	11.6 + 3.7 -	10.7 + 1.3 -	5.5 + 1.4 -	5.4 + 1.0 -	12.1 + 2.2 -
Sample size	9,880	4,516	2,673	1,488	1,003	5,646	3,233	1,001	4,087	1,521	2,053	2,219
Weighted total	436,818	220,233	167,345	26,387	13,569	274,499	136,073	26,246	192,510	79,690	86,010	78,608

Confidence in Other Members of Unit in Case of Combat by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

143

Table S 21C
Unit Readiness for Combat
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very low	12.1% + 1.3 -	14.5% + 1.8 -	10.6% + 1.7 -	6.6% + 1.8 -	4.7% + 1.6 -	12.9% + 1.9 -	10.7% + 1.5 -	11.5% + 4.3 -	8.8% + 1.6 -	10.5% + 2.3 -	15.2% + 2.4 -	18.9% + 2.1 -
Low	20.3 + 1.3 -	21.4 + 2.0 -	20.2 + 1.6 -	14.2 + 3.1 -	12.8 + 2.9 -	21.7 + 1.8 -	18.4 + 2.3 -	16.5 + 4.0 -	16.4 + 1.9 -	19.6 + 2.5 -	25.5 + 3.0 -	25.3 + 2.0 -
Moderate	38.0 + 1.4 -	36.2 + 1.7 -	40.9 + 2.3 -	40.4 + 3.2 -	31.5 + 4.3 -	37.6 + 1.8 -	38.0 + 2.4 -	42.6 + 1.6 -	37.7 + 2.7 -	40.2 + 3.3 -	39.5 + 2.7 -	34.8 + 2.7 -
High	20.4 + 1.7 -	18.6 + 2.0 -	20.1 + 2.3 -	29.7 + 4.4 -	34.6 + 3.8 -	19.6 + 2.4 -	22.3 + 2.5 -	19.0 + 6.8 -	24.3 + 2.9 -	22.3 + 2.7 -	14.1 + 2.7 -	15.8 + 2.3 -
Very high	9.1 + 1.4 -	9.3 + 1.9 -	8.2 + 1.5 -	9.0 + 2.2 -	16.4 + 3.4 -	8.3 + 1.9 -	10.6 + 2.4 -	10.3 + 2.8 -	12.8 + 2.5 -	7.4 + 2.5 -	5.7 + 1.5 -	5.3 + 1.5 -
Sample size	9,685	4,497	2,620	1,440	932	5,483	3,220	982	4,071	1,517	2,050	2,047
Weighted total	429,602	219,074	163,426	25,379	12,617	268,402	135,392	25,808	191,492	79,581	85,838	72,691

Table S_21C (cont.)

Unit Readiness for Combat
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Very low	13.9% ± 1.7	15.7% ± 4.8	12.5% ± 4.3	16.8% ± 4.6	11.9% ± 2.5	11.5% ± 2.5	7.6% ± 2.2	7.7% ± 3.2	4.5% ± 1.6	6.2% ± 3.2	10.7% ± 2.0	9.4% ± 1.7
Low	20.2 ± 2.0	29.1 ± 7.6	23.6 ± 4.4	27.3 ± 5.2	21.0 ± 3.0	20.9 ± 2.5	18.9 ± 3.2	16.2 ± 4.8	17.5 ± 4.6	11.6 ± 3.6	20.0 ± 2.0	19.5 ± 2.1
Moderate	36.3 ± 1.7	31.4 ± 6.8	39.1 ± 7.1	41.3 ± 5.4	39.2 ± 3.5	40.0 ± 2.9	42.1 ± 5.4	37.3 ± 5.4	36.7 ± 4.9	31.2 ± 5.7	39.2 ± 3.1	39.3 ± 2.6
High	20.2 ± 2.4	17.8 ± 6.5	18.2 ± 4.7	10.0 ± 3.4	18.7 ± 3.2	19.8 ± 3.5	20.3 ± 3.6	29.9 ± 5.0	29.8 ± 4.5	33.1 ± 4.9	20.5 ± 2.6	22.2 ± 2.4
Very high	9.5 ± 2.1	6.0 ± 3.5	6.6 ± 2.8	4.6 ± 3.3	9.1 ± 1.6	7.8 ± 1.7	11.1 ± 3.7	8.8 ± 3.4	11.5 ± 2.9	18.0 ± 3.9	9.5 ± 1.6	9.5 ± 1.4
Sample size	2,702	206	422	367	1,228	1,810	567	485	676	600	2,775	2,718
Weighted total	168,593	9,367	13,720	12,419	51,257	80,562	35,839	7,943	10,836	10,957	106,972	96,374

Table S 22A
Proud of My Unit
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly disagree	10.2% ± 1.0	15.1% ± 1.5	6.7% ± 1.0	2.7% ± 1.4	1.5% ± 0.7	9.8% ± 1.3	11.3% ± 1.7	8.4% ± 1.7	10.6% ± 1.5	12.0% ± 3.0	12.9% ± 2.2	5.9% ± 1.4
Disagree	15.0 ± 1.4	19.4 ± 2.0	12.8 ± 1.7	5.1 ± 1.4	4.6 ± 1.1	13.7 ± 1.9	18.3 ± 2.2	13.6 ± 2.3	16.7 ± 2.0	17.6 ± 3.0	16.7 ± 2.0	8.7 ± 1.8
Can't say	23.6 ± 1.0	27.1 ± 1.6	23.4 ± 1.7	11.9 ± 2.7	7.8 ± 1.5	23.0 ± 1.4	25.0 ± 1.7	23.5 ± 2.6	22.7 ± 1.5	26.4 ± 2.8	26.1 ± 2.0	21.2 ± 1.5
Agree	36.0 ± 1.4	29.7 ± 1.9	40.5 ± 2.0	46.6 ± 2.9	43.9 ± 3.0	36.6 ± 1.9	34.3 ± 2.1	38.5 ± 2.6	34.3 ± 2.2	34.2 ± 2.3	33.0 ± 2.4	42.9 ± 2.4
Strongly agree	15.2 ± 1.5	8.7 ± 1.5	16.6 ± 2.3	33.7 ± 3.8	42.2 ± 4.0	17.0 ± 2.0	11.1 ± 1.9	15.9 ± 2.8	15.8 ± 2.1	9.8 ± 2.4	11.3 ± 2.5	21.3 ± 2.0
Sample size	10,708	4,550	2,812	1,703	1,440	6,385	3,281	1,042	4,026	1,495	2,059	3,128
Weighted total	456,632	220,222	177,434	29,982	19,614	294,197	135,676	26,758	188,760	77,759	86,168	103,944

**Proud of My Unit
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status**

147

Table S_228

Closest Friendships are With the People I Work With
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly disagree	12.1% + 0.7	12.1% + 1.0	13.3% + 1.3	8.4% + 1.8	8.7% + 1.4	11.7% + 0.8	12.8% + 1.3	12.0% + 3.3	11.3% + 1.5	13.6% + 1.9	13.1% + 1.8	11.3% + 1.4
Disagree	26.1 + 1.3	21.8 + 1.5	29.0 + 1.6	32.6 + 3.0	34.5 + 3.9	26.3 + 1.7	25.9 + 2.1	24.4 + 1.8	23.7 + 1.4	24.2 + 2.2	26.3 + 2.4	31.6 + 2.1
Can't say	12.6 + 0.8	11.9 + 1.0	13.6 + 1.4	12.8 + 1.7	11.3 + 1.4	13.2 + 1.1	11.5 + 1.1	11.7 + 0.9	12.8 + 1.6	12.0 + 1.6	12.1 + 1.4	13.1 + 1.9
Agree	33.2 + 1.3	35.8 + 1.8	30.1 + 1.7	31.8 + 2.1	32.1 + 3.9	32.9 + 1.9	33.7 + 1.4	33.3 + 2.9	34.8 + 2.2	33.7 + 2.6	34.4 + 3.1	29.0 + 1.8
Strongly agree	16.1 + 1.2	18.4 + 1.9	14.1 + 1.3	14.4 + 2.4	13.4 + 2.1	15.9 + 1.7	16.0 + 1.4	18.6 + 3.2	17.4 + 2.0	16.5 + 2.6	14.2 + 1.8	15.0 + 1.5
Sample size	10,717	4,553	2,811	1,706	1,442	6,395	3,276	1,046	4,023	1,500	2,056	3,138
Weighted total	457,189	220,448	177,418	30,228	19,634	294,907	135,389	26,892	188,926	77,929	86,076	104,258

Table S 22C
Superiors Attempt to Treat Me as a Person
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly disagree	10.3% + 1.0 -	14.8% + 1.5 -	6.9% + 1.2 -	3.1% + 1.3 -	3.8% + 1.1 -	9.4% + 1.3 -	12.1% + 1.8 -	11.0% + 2.8 -	11.7% + 1.1 -	11.7% + 2.7 -	11.1% + 2.1 -	6.0% + 1.4 -
Disagree	15.7 + 1.2 -	19.8 + 1.7 -	13.0 + 1.0 -	9.0 + 1.8 -	6.8 + 1.5 -	15.7 + 1.7 -	16.1 + 1.5 -	14.0 + 0.9 -	18.1 + 1.4 -	16.1 + 1.8 -	15.6 + 3.0 -	11.1 + 1.1 -
Can't say	16.4 + 1.0 -	18.4 + 1.4 -	15.9 + 1.6 -	10.8 + 2.3 -	7.8 + 1.0 -	15.6 + 1.2 -	18.5 + 2.1 -	15.3 + 3.5 -	17.6 + 1.8 -	18.4 + 1.9 -	16.3 + 1.9 -	12.8 + 1.3 -
Agree	41.7 + 1.5 -	36.6 + 1.9 -	46.2 + 2.0 -	48.2 + 2.9 -	43.3 + 2.8 -	42.4 + 2.0 -	39.7 + 2.7 -	43.6 + 3.0 -	39.6 + 2.2 -	41.8 + 3.2 -	42.7 + 3.3 -	44.5 + 2.4 -
Strongly agree	15.9 + 1.4 -	10.4 + 1.2 -	18.0 + 1.6 -	28.8 + 2.7 -	38.3 + 3.3 -	16.9 + 1.9 -	13.7 + 2.2 -	16.1 + 3.2 -	12.9 + 1.5 -	12.1 + 3.0 -	14.3 + 1.8 -	25.6 + 2.0 -
Sample size	10,714	4,548	2,814	1,708	1,440	6,401	3,275	1,038	4,025	1,496	2,055	3,138
Weighted total	457,289	220,302	177,727	30,245	19,588	295,225	135,446	26,619	189,162	77,793	85,967	104,368

Table S_22C (cont.)

Superiors Attempt to Treat Me as a Person
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse										
	Single					Dual Military					Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Child 6+	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	
	13.0% + 1.7	16.9% + 6.1	9.3% + 2.8	8.3% + 3.1	11.1% + 2.0	9.6% + 1.8	5.8% + 2.0	3.4% + 1.9	2.3% + 1.1	3.2% + 1.6	7.7% + 1.3
Strongly disagree											
Disagree	18.3 + 1.9	12.6 + 5.3	13.5 + 3.7	15.1 + 4.2	18.9 + 2.6	15.7 + 2.1	10.9 + 2.1	7.0 + 1.8	10.1 + 3.0	8.3 + 3.0	14.1 + 1.3
Can't say	17.9 + 1.7	18.8 + 6.3	18.2 + 4.0	11.6 + 3.4	16.9 + 2.6	16.8 + 1.7	13.7 + 2.9	11.7 + 2.8	10.5 + 3.1	9.8 + 2.7	15.4 + 1.6
Agree	38.1 + 2.5	37.0 + 7.3	43.3 + 5.5	45.3 + 5.3	37.8 + 3.2	44.4 + 2.7	50.0 + 4.0	47.7 + 4.7	46.8 + 4.8	44.2 + 4.2	44.7 + 2.3
Strongly agree	12.7 + 1.5	14.6 + 5.0	15.7 + 4.0	19.7 + 4.4	15.3 + 2.8	13.4 + 1.9	19.6 + 3.4	30.1 + 4.1	30.3 + 4.1	34.5 + 3.8	18.2 + 2.2
Sample size	2,793	239	474	414	1,274	1,863	624	884	621	885	3,199
Weighted total	171,341	10,762	15,022	13,800	54,081	84,546	40,170	13,854	9,921	15,110	118,633
											105,145

Table S 220
Unit Officers Would Lead Well In Combat
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly disagree	13.2% ± 1.2	16.2% ± 1.9	12.4% ± 1.5	3.2% ± 1.2	2.3% ± 0.8	12.8% ± 1.8	14.1% ± 1.4	13.5% ± 3.0	12.1% ± 2.1	13.9% ± 2.0	17.0% ± 2.4	11.4% ± 1.8
Disagree	16.2 ± 1.0	17.8 ± 1.3	16.5 ± 1.8	7.4 ± 1.8	6.3 ± 1.2	15.4 ± 1.2	18.1 ± 2.0	14.3 ± 1.9	16.4 ± 1.7	16.7 ± 1.9	17.9 ± 2.0	13.6 ± 1.7
Can't say	38.1 ± 1.3	40.0 ± 1.7	39.8 ± 2.3	24.1 ± 2.8	19.5 ± 1.9	38.3 ± 1.9	37.8 ± 1.2	37.6 ± 2.7	36.0 ± 1.6	41.4 ± 3.2	38.6 ± 2.9	39.1 ± 3.1
Agree	23.8 ± 1.3	19.3 ± 2.0	23.9 ± 1.6	44.1 ± 3.2	41.5 ± 3.7	23.9 ± 1.6	23.1 ± 2.5	25.7 ± 3.1	26.1 ± 2.3	21.4 ± 3.1	20.4 ± 2.4	24.0 ± 2.1
Strongly agree	8.7 ± 0.8	6.7 ± 1.0	7.4 ± 1.3	21.1 ± 3.1	30.4 ± 3.1	9.5 ± 1.1	7.0 ± 1.5	8.9 ± 1.6	9.4 ± 1.2	6.5 ± 1.6	6.1 ± 1.3	11.8 ± 2.1
Sample size	9,974	4,433	2,671	1,538	1,135	5,818	3,196	960	4,001	1,481	2,010	2,482
Weighted total	434,902	215,526	167,674	27,181	15,412	276,197	133,689	25,015	188,254	77,069	84,809	84,769

Table S 22D (cont.)

Unit Officers Would Lead Well In Combat
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Officer	Spouse not Employed
	14.4% ± 1.9	16.7% ± 5.1	14.9% ± 4.0	12.3% ± 3.8	15.3% ± 2.2	14.0% ± 2.1	10.7% ± 2.7	3.4% ± 1.9	3.3% ± 1.5	3.1% ± 1.5	12.1% ± 1.5	12.2% ± 1.7
Strongly disagree												
Disagree	17.4 ± 1.5	14.9 ± 6.2	17.2 ± 3.9	19.3 ± 5.0	17.3 ± 3.0	16.7 ± 1.8	14.7 ± 2.9	6.9 ± 2.4	7.5 ± 2.9	9.6 ± 3.7	15.5 ± 2.0	14.4 ± 1.6
Can't say	38.2 ± 2.0	41.8 ± 6.6	37.8 ± 4.9	39.9 ± 5.8	39.8 ± 3.4	39.9 ± 2.6	39.5 ± 5.7	23.2 ± 4.2	26.0 ± 3.7	23.0 ± 4.2	37.0 ± 1.9	37.8 ± 2.3
Agree	22.1 ± 2.5	23.6 ± 6.7	22.0 ± 3.7	22.1 ± 4.5	21.1 ± 2.2	22.4 ± 2.5	25.9 ± 4.0	43.7 ± 5.3	41.3 ± 4.0	38.8 ± 4.0	24.6 ± 2.1	26.7 ± 2.3
Strongly agree	7.9 ± 1.1	3.0 ± 2.0	8.1 ± 2.7	6.3 ± 2.7	6.6 ± 1.9	7.0 ± 1.4	9.2 ± 2.8	22.8 ± 3.7	21.9 ± 3.2	25.4 ± 4.5	10.7 ± 1.7	8.9 ± 1.1
Sample size	2,685	218	437	381	1,238	1,803	586	540	750	726	2,950	2,816
Weighted total	166,753	10,000	14,024	12,825	52,375	80,796	37,418	8,740	11,867	12,837	111,502	98,310

Table S.22E
Unit NCOs Would Lead Well in Combat
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly disagree	7.4%	11.0%	4.0%	3.3%	1.5%	7.4%	7.6%	5.3%	6.2%	7.0%	11.0%	6.6%
	+ 0.7	+ 1.3	+ 0.8	+ 1.1	+ 0.7	+ 1.0	+ 1.3	+ 0.9	+ 0.9	+ 1.7	+ 2.1	+ 1.0
Disagree	13.5	17.6	9.5	9.9	8.2	13.1	14.8	11.5	13.5	14.2	15.5	11.0
	+ 1.1	+ 1.8	+ 1.1	+ 2.0	+ 1.9	+ 1.6	+ 1.6	+ 1.4	+ 2.2	+ 2.0	+ 1.9	+ 1.6
Can't say	30.7	33.9	28.1	24.8	22.9	30.6	31.1	29.8	28.4	33.1	33.0	31.4
	+ 1.4	+ 1.9	+ 2.0	+ 3.9	+ 4.1	+ 1.9	+ 2.1	+ 1.7	+ 2.2	+ 3.2	+ 2.9	+ 2.4
Agree	34.7	28.5	40.2	43.8	44.1	34.6	34.6	37.0	35.8	36.8	30.6	34.6
	+ 1.2	+ 2.2	+ 1.9	+ 3.2	+ 3.3	+ 1.5	+ 2.3	+ 2.9	+ 2.3	+ 2.7	+ 2.6	+ 2.3
Strongly agree	13.7	9.0	18.2	18.2	23.3	14.3	12.0	16.5	16.1	8.9	9.9	16.5
	+ 1.1	+ 1.2	+ 2.0	+ 2.8	+ 2.8	+ 1.5	+ 1.6	+ 3.0	+ 1.8	+ 2.0	+ 2.0	+ 2.5
Sample size	9,950	4,437	2,714	1,539	1,064	5,794	3,182	974	3,998	1,487	2,001	2,464
Weighted total	436,736	215,540	170,577	27,183	14,346	277,972	133,245	25,519	187,918	77,427	84,516	86,875

Table S-22F
Trust the Skills of Unit Soldiers in Combat
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly disagree	18.4% + 1.0 -	24.0% + 2.0 -	14.9% + 1.4 -	6.6% + 1.9 -	5.1% + 1.5 -	19.3% + 1.3 -	19.1% + 2.0 -	15.6% + 2.3 -	16.2% + 1.4 -	18.8% + 2.2 -	23.3% + 2.6 -	18.0% + 1.8 -
Disagree	19.3 + 1.1 -	20.9 + 2.0 -	19.0 + 1.6 -	13.6 + 2.0 -	11.7 + 2.5 -	19.3 + 1.6 -	19.3 + 1.5 -	20.2 + 4.3 -	17.9 + 1.9 -	20.4 + 2.1 -	22.9 + 2.1 -	18.0 + 2.3 -
Can't say	29.5 + 1.2 -	30.3 + 1.8 -	29.4 + 2.0 -	28.9 + 3.2 -	22.7 + 4.4 -	29.6 + 1.8 -	28.8 + 1.3 -	32.5 + 3.6 -	28.5 + 1.7 -	31.7 + 2.7 -	28.2 + 2.6 -	31.0 + 3.0 -
Agree	25.1 + 1.5 -	19.7 + 2.4 -	28.0 + 1.8 -	39.3 + 4.2 -	40.8 + 5.2 -	24.8 + 2.1 -	26.0 + 2.1 -	24.3 + 1.7 -	28.3 + 2.8 -	23.7 + 2.5 -	20.7 + 2.4 -	23.8 + 2.4 -
Strongly agree	7.6 + 1.0 -	5.0 + 1.1 -	8.7 + 1.4 -	13.6 + 2.2 -	19.8 + 3.1 -	8.1 + 1.3 -	6.8 + 1.5 -	7.3 + 1.7 -	9.0 + 1.5 -	5.4 + 1.5 -	5.0 + 1.5 -	9.2 + 1.7 -
Sample size	9,936	4,449	2,697	1,524	1,067	5,783	3,179	974	4,000	1,485	2,006	2,445
Weighted total	436,009	216,069	169,508	26,841	14,419	277,154	133,289	25,566	188,049	77,346	84,778	85,836

Table S 22F (cont.)

Trust the Skills of Unit Soldiers in Combat
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse																
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted					Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
Strongly disagree	20.7% + 2.0	28.2% + 5.8	19.8% + 4.8	21.3% + 5.0	20.8% + 2.9	17.2% + 1.8	13.0% + 2.9	4.7% + 2.0	6.0% + 2.3	5.8% + 2.9	15.5% + 1.7	15.4% + 1.5					
Disagree	19.7 + 2.1	22.7 + 5.9	19.5 + 4.4	22.6 + 5.2	21.1 + 2.5	19.5 + 2.2	18.4 + 3.2	12.1 + 3.0	14.0 + 3.0	10.8 + 2.9	18.5 + 1.7	18.8 + 1.5					
Can't say	30.2 + 2.3	24.4 + 6.1	35.0 + 6.4	27.6 + 6.6	31.9 + 3.1	30.3 + 2.0	26.5 + 3.6	28.9 + 5.3	25.1 + 4.3	24.5 + 5.5	28.7 + 1.9	29.8 + 2.2					
Agree	23.5 + 2.6	17.2 + 5.8	21.2 + 5.0	23.6 + 5.6	19.0 + 2.9	25.9 + 2.8	31.4 + 4.3	40.6 + 4.3	38.4 + 4.6	39.2 + 5.5	27.4 + 2.2	27.4 + 2.3					
Strongly agree	5.9 + 1.3	7.6 + 3.5	4.6 + 2.2	4.9 + 2.9	7.3 + 2.5	7.1 + 1.6	10.7 + 2.9	13.7 + 2.8	16.4 + 2.9	19.8 + 5.1	9.9 + 1.9	8.7 + 1.3					
Sample size	2,696	222	439	377	1,242	1,809	598	534	728	681	2,920	2,793					
Weighted total	167,209	10,191	14,105	12,768	52,477	81,207	38,341	8,635	11,569	12,214	111,777	98,349					

UNIT READINESS

Table S 23

Unit Readiness to Work Effectively as a Unit
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
1 - Low levels of camaraderie in unit	4.9% ± 0.7	6.2% ± 1.0	4.3% ± 0.8	1.9% ± 1.1	0.9% ± 0.5	5.0% ± 0.9	4.8% ± 1.2	4.0% ± 1.6	4.9% ± 1.0	4.3% ± 1.6	7.0% ± 1.3	3.5% ± 1.2
2	10.8 ± 0.9	13.0 ± 1.5	10.1 ± 1.1	4.1 ± 1.3	3.3 ± 1.2	10.0 ± 1.2	12.8 ± 1.3	8.2 ± 1.6	10.8 ± 1.6	11.2 ± 2.5	12.9 ± 2.4	8.6 ± 1.6
3	14.9 ± 1.0	17.7 ± 1.4	13.2 ± 1.4	8.7 ± 1.5	5.8 ± 1.1	14.8 ± 1.4	15.1 ± 1.2	14.4 ± 2.2	14.7 ± 1.5	18.9 ± 2.9	17.1 ± 1.8	11.7 ± 1.3
4 - Intermediate levels of camaraderie	28.9 ± 0.9	30.6 ± 1.3	29.6 ± 1.5	22.1 ± 2.3	16.6 ± 2.4	27.8 ± 1.0	30.9 ± 1.6	30.6 ± 3.9	27.8 ± 1.5	31.7 ± 4.0	29.3 ± 2.3	28.4 ± 2.0
5	24.7 ± 1.4	22.1 ± 2.0	25.8 ± 2.0	33.8 ± 2.3	27.8 ± 3.3	25.0 ± 1.9	23.6 ± 1.8	28.1 ± 4.7	25.2 ± 2.2	25.8 ± 2.9	21.6 ± 2.5	25.7 ± 2.2
6	12.6 ± 1.0	8.0 ± 1.0	13.8 ± 1.4	23.4 ± 2.9	33.7 ± 2.5	13.6 ± 1.4	10.5 ± 1.2	11.9 ± 2.7	13.6 ± 1.7	8.3 ± 1.9	9.2 ± 1.8	16.7 ± 2.2
7 - High levels of camaraderie in unit	3.3 ± 0.5	2.3 ± 0.6	3.2 ± 0.7	6.0 ± 1.3	11.8 ± 2.6	3.8 ± 0.8	2.3 ± 0.8	2.8 ± 1.4	3.0 ± 0.7	1.8 ± 0.8	2.9 ± 1.0	5.3 ± 1.2
Sample size	10,854	4,643	2,864	1,715	1,424	6,447	3,343	1,064	4,089	1,522	2,111	3,132
Weighted total	464,138	224,946	179,967	30,238	19,398	297,991	138,709	27,438	192,344	79,709	87,755	104,330

Table S_23 (cont.)

Unit Readiness to Work Effectively as a Unit
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
1 - Low levels of camaraderie in unit	5.9% + 1.0	8.7% + 4.1	5.5% + 2.4	7.8% + 3.5	5.1% + 1.6	4.0% + 1.1	3.3% + 1.4	0.6% + 0.6	1.6% + 1.3	1.2% + 0.9	3.6% + 1.0	3.5% + 0.9		
2	11.6 + 1.4	14.6 + 4.5	11.5 + 3.2	13.3 + 4.6	11.3 + 2.2	11.9 + 1.6	8.4 + 2.4	4.3 + 1.6	4.3 + 2.2	3.6 + 1.6	9.9 + 1.3	9.5 + 1.4		
3	17.1 + 1.6	14.4 + 4.3	14.8 + 3.2	12.7 + 3.6	18.1 + 2.7	14.7 + 1.7	9.6 + 2.3	9.1 + 2.5	8.1 + 2.2	9.3 + 2.7	13.6 + 1.6	13.3 + 1.8		
4 - Intermediate levels of camaraderie	29.6 + 1.6	30.1 + 5.8	25.4 + 4.4	31.0 + 5.7	29.1 + 2.5	29.8 + 2.4	29.9 + 3.4	22.9 + 3.0	21.1 + 2.4	17.2 + 3.4	28.1 + 1.7	28.2 + 2.3		
5	23.8 + 2.2	17.4 + 5.8	28.0 + 4.7	23.6 + 5.1	22.8 + 3.0	25.6 + 2.8	27.0 + 3.6	33.9 + 4.5	31.3 + 4.2	27.5 + 4.0	25.3 + 2.2	26.5 + 2.1		
6	9.6 + 1.0	10.3 + 4.6	11.0 + 2.9	10.3 + 3.2	11.4 + 2.6	11.1 + 2.0	17.8 + 3.5	22.2 + 5.0	26.6 + 3.7	30.9 + 3.5	15.7 + 1.8	15.0 + 1.8		
7 - High levels of camaraderie in unit	2.5 + 0.6	4.5 + 3.3	3.8 + 2.0	1.2 + 0.8	2.3 + 0.8	3.0 + 1.0	4.0 + 1.6	7.0 + 2.3	7.1 + 1.8	10.3 + 3.6	3.7 + 0.9	3.9 + 0.8		
Sample size	2,863	240	477	422	1,277	1,900	628	619	881	880	3,228	3,095		
Weighted total	175,048	10,747	15,127	14,005	53,970	85,813	40,516	9,929	13,810	15,070	119,979	105,674		

Table S_24

Unit Readiness to Meet Inspection Standards
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type		
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
1 - Unit lax in meeting standards	2.4% + 0.4	3.0% + 0.6	2.1% + 0.7	1.3% + 0.7	0.7% + 0.5	2.4% + 0.5	2.1% + 0.5	3.2% + 0.5	2.4% + 1.2	3.2% + 1.0
2	5.3 + 0.6	5.3 + 0.9	5.9 + 0.9	3.1 + 1.1	3.4 + 1.1	5.2 + 0.8	5.8 + 0.8	3.6 + 0.7	5.2 + 1.2	6.0 + 1.3
3	12.2 + 1.0	12.8 + 1.4	12.2 + 1.4	9.6 + 1.9	8.4 + 2.3	11.7 + 1.5	13.3 + 1.2	11.9 + 2.1	12.5 + 1.8	13.1 + 1.7
4 - Unit meets most inspection standards	24.8 + 1.2	25.2 + 1.5	25.9 + 2.0	21.7 + 2.9	17.5 + 2.5	25.5 + 1.3	23.2 + 2.5	25.6 + 3.7	23.1 + 2.1	28.1 + 2.4
5	29.1 + 1.1	28.5 + 1.6	28.2 + 2.1	34.5 + 3.4	33.7 + 3.1	28.5 + 1.4	29.7 + 1.5	33.1 + 1.8	29.1 + 1.7	27.5 + 2.8
6	20.1 + 1.0	18.8 + 1.5	19.7 + 1.1	24.9 + 2.4	28.4 + 2.7	20.3 + 1.4	20.1 + 1.4	18.1 + 2.8	21.2 + 2.0	17.1 + 2.3
7 - Unit meets all inspection standards	6.2 + 0.7	6.4 + 1.1	5.9 + 1.0	4.8 + 1.2	7.8 + 1.6	6.5 + 1.0	5.8 + 1.1	4.4 + 1.5	6.8 + 1.2	5.1 + 1.7
Sample size	10,842	4,647	2,864	1,711	1,413	6,429	3,346	1,067	4,092	2,114
Weighted total	463,858	225,173	179,846	30,078	19,235	297,604	138,721	27,533	79,766	87,923

103,728

Table S-24 (cont.)

Unit Readiness to Meet Inspection Standards
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+
1 - Unit lax in meeting standards	2.7% ± 0.8	2.4% ± 2.3	2.7% ± 1.8	2.8% ± 1.7	2.8% ± 1.1	2.6% ± 1.0	1.7% ± 0.9	0.6% ± 0.7	0.5% ± 0.5	1.0% ± 0.8	2.3% ± 0.8	1.8% ± 0.6
2	5.1 ± 1.0	7.6 ± 3.6	5.4 ± 1.9	5.3 ± 2.3	5.5 ± 1.9	5.5 ± 1.0	5.1 ± 1.8	4.6 ± 2.9	2.9 ± 1.3	2.8 ± 1.7	4.8 ± 1.0	5.3 ± 1.0
3	12.0 ± 1.8	16.5 ± 6.4	14.5 ± 4.0	12.3 ± 3.5	13.9 ± 2.6	11.9 ± 1.9	12.5 ± 2.3	10.0 ± 3.7	11.6 ± 2.5	7.5 ± 1.6	11.6 ± 1.5	12.2 ± 1.7
4 - Unit meets most inspection standards	25.2 ± 2.1	20.1 ± 4.9	25.5 ± 5.9	25.5 ± 4.8	26.5 ± 2.9	26.6 ± 2.2	22.8 ± 3.5	19.8 ± 3.5	19.6 ± 2.9	17.0 ± 4.0	25.9 ± 2.7	23.1 ± 1.5
5	29.2 ± 2.0	31.5 ± 6.6	29.8 ± 4.6	31.4 ± 5.1	27.4 ± 2.5	26.8 ± 2.3	30.9 ± 4.4	34.3 ± 4.8	35.2 ± 4.2	32.8 ± 4.2	29.9 ± 1.9	28.3 ± 2.0
6	19.5 ± 1.7	12.3 ± 5.0	16.9 ± 3.6	19.0 ± 5.1	18.4 ± 2.3	21.1 ± 1.7	19.9 ± 3.7	25.0 ± 4.8	24.9 ± 3.8	29.6 ± 3.4	19.5 ± 1.8	23.3 ± 1.5
7 - Unit meets all inspection standards	6.4 ± 1.3	9.6 ± 5.2	5.1 ± 2.4	3.7 ± 2.1	5.5 ± 1.5	5.5 ± 1.3	7.1 ± 2.3	5.7 ± 2.1	5.4 ± 1.4	9.2 ± 2.0	6.0 ± 1.1	6.1 ± 1.2
Sample size	2,868	237	479	419	1,278	1,900	628	614	882	873	3,218	3,094
Weighted total	175,280	10,681	15,225	13,884	53,869	85,804	40,487	9,799	13,829	14,926	119,673	105,627

Table S_25

Availability of Materials Needed to Accomplish Unit Mission
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank					Location			Unit Type		
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
1 - Unit lacks needed material/equipment	6.0% + 0.7	7.0% + 1.1	5.9% + 1.1	2.6% + 1.0	2.6% + 0.7	6.6% + 0.9	4.7% + 0.8	6.4% + 2.8	6.3% + 1.2	4.7% + 1.4	6.6% + 1.2
2	11.2 + 1.0	12.1 + 1.3	11.3 + 1.4	8.0 + 1.5	5.4 + 1.5	11.4 + 1.4	10.9 + 1.4	10.7 + 2.3	12.1 + 1.5	11.3 + 2.3	13.0 + 1.8
3	15.7 + 1.0	16.6 + 1.4	15.5 + 1.6	13.9 + 2.1	8.7 + 1.9	15.5 + 1.3	16.7 + 1.9	13.0 + 2.5	16.8 + 1.5	17.9 + 2.5	14.6 + 1.8
4 - Unit has most materials/equipment	23.9 + 1.1	25.2 + 1.3	22.7 + 2.3	24.0 + 2.8	21.0 + 2.3	23.2 + 1.5	25.8 + 1.8	21.8 + 2.2	25.1 + 1.5	25.3 + 2.3	25.4 + 2.7
5	23.1 + 1.1	22.0 + 1.4	23.7 + 1.9	25.7 + 2.0	25.7 + 2.4	22.4 + 1.3	23.6 + 2.1	26.8 + 2.9	22.7 + 1.6	22.5 + 2.0	23.5 + 2.4
6	15.2 + 1.3	12.9 + 1.6	15.8 + 1.7	20.7 + 2.4	26.2 + 2.6	15.7 + 1.9	13.9 + 1.3	15.6 + 3.8	12.6 + 1.4	15.0 + 2.1	13.3 + 2.1
7 - Unit has all materials/equipment	4.9 + 0.7	4.3 + 0.8	5.2 + 1.1	5.2 + 1.2	10.2 + 2.3	5.1 + 1.0	4.4 + 1.0	5.8 + 2.4	4.4 + 1.2	3.2 + 1.0	3.7 + 1.3
Sample size	10,816	4,649	2,862	1,702	1,396	6,415	3,334	1,067	4,099	1,525	2,109
Weighted total	463,710	225,338	179,823	29,981	19,022	297,791	138,435	27,484	192,941	79,719	87,881
											3,083
											103,189

Table S_25 (cont.)

Availability of Materials Needed to Accomplish Unit Mission
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Officer	Spouse not Employed
	6.6%	4.3%	4.9%	5.6%	6.7%	6.2%	6.8%	2.2%	1.7%	3.2%	5.5%	6.0%
1 - Unit lacks needed material/equipment	+ 1.1	+ 2.8	+ 2.2	+ 2.5	+ 1.7	+ 1.4	+ 2.6	+ 1.4	+ 1.3	+ 1.7	+ 1.2	+ 1.0
2	11.3	14.0	12.2	12.1	11.9	11.5	10.9	10.1	8.5	5.0	10.5	11.1
	+ 1.4	+ 4.6	+ 3.9	+ 3.7	+ 2.0	+ 2.2	+ 2.8	+ 3.4	+ 2.6	+ 1.8	+ 1.4	+ 2.0
3	17.1	12.1	11.8	15.6	14.7	16.5	14.4	12.3	12.5	14.2	15.5	14.8
	+ 1.7	+ 4.4	+ 2.8	+ 5.3	+ 2.2	+ 2.1	+ 2.3	+ 3.2	+ 2.5	+ 4.5	+ 2.0	+ 1.8
4 - Unit has most materials/equipment	24.3	27.4	29.8	23.0	24.4	24.3	21.5	21.3	23.8	18.1	23.4	23.2
	+ 1.6	+ 6.7	+ 4.8	+ 5.3	+ 3.3	+ 2.2	+ 3.4	+ 4.1	+ 4.2	+ 3.9	+ 2.6	+ 1.7
5	22.6	22.4	23.3	23.5	23.9	22.5	24.1	24.1	24.9	25.0	23.5	23.7
	+ 1.8	+ 6.9	+ 4.1	+ 6.3	+ 3.5	+ 2.2	+ 3.7	+ 3.7	+ 3.2	+ 3.7	+ 1.6	+ 1.9
6	13.5	16.7	14.4	16.9	14.1	13.9	17.1	23.4	22.0	25.1	17.0	15.0
	+ 1.7	+ 5.4	+ 3.8	+ 4.7	+ 2.1	+ 1.8	+ 4.4	+ 3.8	+ 2.6	+ 4.8	+ 1.9	+ 1.9
7 - Unit has all materials/equipment	4.5	3.2	3.6	3.4	4.3	5.1	5.3	6.6	6.6	9.4	4.6	6.3
	+ 1.0	+ 2.5	+ 2.3	+ 1.7	+ 1.3	+ 1.4	+ 2.0	+ 2.7	+ 2.0	+ 2.8	+ 1.2	+ 1.5
Sample size	2,869	238	475	420	1,280	1,900	627	610	876	859	3,202	3,086
Weighted total	175,530	10,616	15,094	13,986	54,060	85,846	40,442	9,811	13,755	14,724	119,218	105,864

Table S_26

Unit Care and Concern for Families of Personnel
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
1 - Unit falls to support families	5.4% + 0.6	6.9% + 1.0	4.7% + 0.7	1.4% + 0.7	1.3% + 0.7	5.5% + 0.8	5.1% + 0.9	5.6% + 3.3	5.4% + 0.8	6.7% + 2.3	6.2% + 1.1	3.6% + 0.9
2	8.9 + 0.7	9.5 + 0.8	9.5 + 1.1	4.3 + 1.1	5.5 + 1.0	8.3 + 0.9	10.3 + 1.2	8.0 + 2.2	8.2 + 1.1	10.4 + 2.1	9.9 + 1.7	8.2 + 1.4
3	15.2 + 1.0	17.6 + 1.2	13.6 + 1.6	10.2 + 2.3	9.6 + 2.2	14.5 + 1.3	16.9 + 1.8	14.3 + 1.8	15.1 + 1.7	16.9 + 1.7	16.7 + 1.6	13.0 + 2.1
4 - Unit usually supports families	24.8 + 1.1	25.8 + 1.6	24.6 + 1.7	22.9 + 2.5	17.9 + 2.5	24.2 + 1.5	25.3 + 2.0	29.3 + 1.7	24.7 + 1.5	25.4 + 3.6	25.8 + 2.4	24.0 + 1.8
5	22.6 + 1.2	21.5 + 1.7	22.1 + 1.8	29.3 + 2.3	28.0 + 2.9	22.9 + 1.5	21.8 + 2.4	22.9 + 1.8	22.3 + 1.7	22.4 + 3.2	22.5 + 2.4	23.3 + 2.0
6	17.1 + 0.8	13.9 + 1.1	18.7 + 1.5	23.8 + 2.5	27.1 + 3.4	18.1 + 1.1	15.2 + 1.2	14.7 + 2.7	18.4 + 1.1	14.2 + 2.8	13.6 + 1.8	19.6 + 1.6
7 - Unit provides much family support	6.1 + 0.6	4.7 + 0.8	6.7 + 0.9	8.2 + 1.4	10.7 + 2.1	6.5 + 0.8	5.3 + 1.2	5.2 + 1.8	5.9 + 0.8	4.0 + 1.2	5.6 + 1.6	8.3 + 1.1
Sample size	10,803	4,605	2,863	1,710	1,417	6,420	3,330	1,053	4,071	1,518	2,099	3,115
Weighted total	461,251	222,305	179,919	30,163	19,275	296,691	137,544	27,015	191,135	79,023	87,190	103,903

Unit Care and Concern for Families of Personnel
by Marital Status, Children,* and Spouse Employment Status167

Table S_27
Unit Care and Concern for Soldiers
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	MC0	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Services Support	TDA
1 - Unit fails to provide soldier care	5.5% ± 0.6	8.2% ± 1.1	3.6% ± 0.7	1.6% ± 0.9	0.9% ± 0.6	5.3% ± 0.9	6.2% ± 1.0	4.8% ± 1.3	5.9% ± 0.9	5.7% ± 1.7	7.0% ± 1.5	3.4% ± 0.8
2	1.9 ± 1.0	13.1 ± 1.3	7.7 ± 1.3	4.8 ± 1.3	3.0 ± 1.1	9.3 ± 1.2	11.7 ± 1.8	8.5 ± 2.8	9.8 ± 1.4	11.4 ± 1.9	12.0 ± 2.2	7.3 ± 1.4
3	15.2 ± 1.1	18.1 ± 1.3	13.8 ± 1.4	6.5 ± 1.7	7.3 ± 1.4	13.8 ± 1.3	18.3 ± 1.9	14.1 ± 3.7	16.3 ± 1.5	17.4 ± 2.4	15.2 ± 1.9	11.5 ± 1.7
4 - Unit usually provides soldier care	22.2 ± 0.9	23.9 ± 1.5	21.4 ± 1.5	17.4 ± 2.9	18.1 ± 2.5	21.9 ± 1.1	22.0 ± 1.5	26.2 ± 2.7	21.4 ± 1.2	24.7 ± 2.4	24.1 ± 2.4	19.9 ± 1.7
5	24.2 ± 1.0	21.2 ± 1.3	26.7 ± 1.7	30.6 ± 2.8	28.1 ± 3.1	24.7 ± 1.4	23.0 ± 1.8	25.0 ± 1.5	24.2 ± 2.0	23.6 ± 1.8	22.4 ± 2.3	26.4 ± 1.3
6	17.2 ± 1.0	11.7 ± 1.1	19.9 ± 1.5	29.9 ± 2.5	34.3 ± 3.3	18.4 ± 1.2	15.0 ± 2.0	15.6 ± 3.8	17.2 ± 1.5	13.6 ± 2.0	14.8 ± 1.9	22.1 ± 1.6
7 - Unit shows extensive soldier care	5.7 ± 0.7	3.8 ± 0.8	6.9 ± 0.9	9.1 ± 1.3	12.3 ± 1.6	6.6 ± 1.0	3.9 ± 1.3	5.8 ± 1.1	5.2 ± 0.9	3.7 ± 1.0	4.5 ± 1.3	9.4 ± 1.1
Sample size	10,860	4,654	2,872	1,712	1,414	6,444	3,348	1,068	4,099	1,527	2,110	3,124
Weighted total	465,113	225,678	180,448	30,164	19,235	298,835	138,787	27,491	192,905	79,822	87,931	104,455

Table S_27 (cont.)

Unit Care and Concern for Soldiers
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse												
		Single			Dual Military			Enlisted				Officer		
		No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
1 - Unit falls to provide soldier care		7.8% + 1.2	10.5% + 4.4	6.5% + 2.9	7.8% + 2.9	7.8% + 2.9	4.7% + 1.0	4.1% + 0.9	2.6% + 1.2	0.6% + 0.6	1.7% + 1.0	1.5% + 1.2	3.6% + 0.7	3.3% + 0.7
2		12.2 + 1.3	6.2 + 4.0	10.3 + 3.9	10.2 + 3.3	10.2 + 3.3	11.6 + 1.7	8.7 + 1.5	5.8 + 2.0	6.1 + 2.5	3.8 + 1.9	3.1 + 1.3	8.8 + 1.3	7.7 + 1.3
3		16.8 + 1.6	16.1 + 5.1	16.2 + 3.5	11.9 + 3.6	11.9 + 3.6	15.5 + 2.2	16.5 + 1.9	13.9 + 2.8	7.1 + 2.0	7.8 + 2.6	9.6 + 3.7	14.5 + 1.8	13.9 + 1.7
4 - Unit usually provides soldier care		22.4 + 1.5	21.1 + 5.1	19.9 + 4.6	24.7 + 5.5	24.7 + 5.5	22.4 + 2.4	24.5 + 2.4	20.0 + 4.0	15.5 + 3.1	18.9 + 3.8	17.2 + 3.2	20.9 + 1.8	23.1 + 2.0
5		22.7 + 1.8	25.0 + 5.3	24.2 + 5.6	23.6 + 6.2	23.6 + 6.2	26.0 + 3.1	25.6 + 2.5	24.2 + 3.7	28.6 + 4.2	26.1 + 3.0	25.6 + 3.1	24.8 + 1.9	26.1 + 2.0
6		13.3 + 1.2	13.5 + 5.1	19.0 + 4.2	18.5 + 4.9	18.5 + 4.9	15.7 + 2.5	15.4 + 1.9	25.4 + 3.7	30.8 + 4.5	32.5 + 3.9	31.5 + 3.7	20.5 + 2.0	20.0 + 1.9
7 - Unit shows extensive soldier care		4.8 + 1.0	7.6 + 3.4	3.9 + 2.3	3.3 + 1.7	3.3 + 1.7	4.1 + 1.7	5.2 + 1.2	8.1 + 2.1	11.2 + 3.0	9.3 + 1.8	11.6 + 2.2	7.0 + 1.1	5.8 + 1.1
Sample size		2,875	241	478	422	422	1,284	1,901	629	615	881	871	3,216	3,101
Weighted total		175,869	10,754	15,211	14,001	14,001	54,257	85,841	40,593	9,865	13,807	14,938	119,758	106,024

Table S 28

Readiness of Unit Officers/NCOs to Lead
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
1 - Leaders sometimes make bad decisions	5.8% ± 0.7	8.4% ± 1.3	3.8% ± 0.8	1.2% ± 0.5	1.8% ± 0.8	5.9% ± 1.0	5.6% ± 1.3	4.9% ± 1.4	5.4% ± 1.2	6.1% ± 2.3	7.9% ± 1.5	4.5% ± 1.1
2	11.4 ± 0.9	14.4 ± 1.1	9.3 ± 1.1	5.7 ± 1.3	2.9 ± 0.9	11.2 ± 1.0	12.1 ± 1.7	9.0 ± 1.5	11.0 ± 1.4	12.1 ± 2.0	13.4 ± 1.9	9.7 ± 1.9
3	16.4 ± 1.0	20.0 ± 1.5	13.7 ± 1.5	10.4 ± 2.0	8.7 ± 1.5	14.8 ± 1.1	19.2 ± 1.8	18.9 ± 5.0	15.5 ± 1.7	17.8 ± 2.0	20.4 ± 2.5	13.5 ± 1.6
4 - Leaders usually make good decisions	22.0 ± 0.9	23.5 ± 1.4	22.2 ± 1.7	15.7 ± 1.8	14.8 ± 1.7	21.6 ± 1.2	22.9 ± 1.6	21.6 ± 2.4	22.1 ± 1.4	24.4 ± 2.0	20.6 ± 1.6	21.2 ± 1.9
5	25.4 ± 1.3	21.1 ± 1.6	28.4 ± 2.2	33.6 ± 3.1	29.6 ± 2.5	25.7 ± 1.6	24.3 ± 2.0	27.6 ± 6.5	25.2 ± 2.0	25.7 ± 2.7	22.9 ± 1.8	27.6 ± 1.7
6	15.2 ± 1.0	10.0 ± 1.1	18.0 ± 1.9	27.1 ± 3.0	31.6 ± 2.8	16.1 ± 1.2	13.5 ± 2.2	14.3 ± 2.1	16.5 ± 1.9	12.5 ± 2.5	12.0 ± 1.9	17.7 ± 1.8
7 - Leaders always make sound decisions	3.9 ± 0.5	2.6 ± 0.6	4.5 ± 0.8	6.4 ± 1.3	10.5 ± 2.1	4.6 ± 0.7	2.4 ± 0.8	3.8 ± 1.7	4.4 ± 0.8	1.4 ± 0.6	2.8 ± 0.9	5.7 ± 1.4
Sample size	10,819	4,644	2,865	1,705	1,397	6,425	3,331	1,063	4,092	1,527	2,108	3,092
Weighted total	464,195	225,350	180,184	30,052	19,020	298,524	138,326	27,345	192,827	79,857	87,851	103,660

Table S_28 (cont.)

Readiness of Unit Officers/NCOs to Lead
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse															
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children
1 - Leaders sometimes make bad decisions	7.6% ± 1.5	6.4% ± 3.6	6.0% ± 2.1	8.7% ± 2.7	6.0% ± 1.4	4.7% ± 1.4	3.0% ± 1.5	1.0% ± 0.8	1.7% ± 1.1	1.9% ± 1.1	4.5% ± 0.9	4.0% ± 1.3				
2	12.9 ± 1.4	17.7 ± 4.7	11.8 ± 3.9	10.2 ± 3.0	11.2 ± 2.2	11.5 ± 1.5	9.7 ± 2.3	5.8 ± 2.1	6.6 ± 2.3	4.6 ± 2.4	10.3 ± 1.2	9.6 ± 1.7				
3	18.7 ± 1.4	15.6 ± 4.6	15.4 ± 3.4	15.3 ± 4.5	16.3 ± 2.0	16.8 ± 1.4	13.5 ± 3.4	9.2 ± 2.5	10.2 ± 2.5	9.3 ± 2.6	15.0 ± 1.5	14.2 ± 1.7				
4 - Leaders usually make good decisions	22.8 ± 1.6	25.9 ± 5.6	26.8 ± 5.0	26.0 ± 4.9	25.3 ± 2.6	20.9 ± 2.0	20.0 ± 3.3	14.6 ± 3.0	16.9 ± 3.0	13.4 ± 3.9	20.7 ± 2.2	21.0 ± 1.6				
5	23.0 ± 1.9	19.5 ± 5.3	22.7 ± 5.3	25.7 ± 6.0	23.8 ± 3.1	27.7 ± 2.8	27.5 ± 4.8	34.0 ± 4.0	29.9 ± 3.5	32.7 ± 3.4	26.0 ± 2.4	29.0 ± 2.1				
6	11.9 ± 1.2	11.5 ± 4.7	13.3 ± 3.5	12.0 ± 4.4	14.6 ± 2.5	14.8 ± 2.2	20.0 ± 3.0	29.5 ± 4.1	26.7 ± 3.7	29.3 ± 3.0	19.4 ± 1.8	17.3 ± 1.7				
7 - Leaders always make sound decisions	3.1 ± 0.6	3.4 ± 2.6	4.0 ± 2.5	2.0 ± 1.3	2.8 ± 1.0	3.6 ± 1.2	6.4 ± 1.8	5.8 ± 2.7	8.0 ± 2.2	8.8 ± 1.9	4.1 ± 1.0	4.9 ± 1.1				
Sample size	2,870	237	476	422	1,280	1,895	628	614	873	862	3,206	3,083				
Weighted total	175,688	10,570	15,178	14,035	54,111	85,750	40,489	9,865	13,711	14,813	119,630	105,674				

Table S_29

Readiness of Unit to Demonstrate Mission Performance
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank					Location			Unit Type		
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
1 - Unit performs poorly in exercises	1.9% + 0.3	2.3% + 0.7	1.7% + 0.5	1.1% + 0.7	0.6% + 0.5	2.1% + 0.4	1.6% + 0.7	1.5% + 0.5	1.8% + 0.5	1.1% + 0.6	2.9% + 0.8
2	4.7 + 0.6	5.8 + 1.0	3.9 + 0.8	2.4 + 0.9	2.6 + 1.0	4.6 + 0.9	5.0 + 0.8	4.4 + 1.9	3.9 + 0.9	5.0 + 1.4	8.6 + 1.4
3	11.2 + 0.8	13.4 + 1.5	9.8 + 1.3	7.6 + 2.1	4.5 + 1.4	11.1 + 1.1	11.7 + 1.3	10.5 + 2.4	10.5 + 1.7	8.9 + 2.2	15.0 + 2.4
4 - Unit usually does well in exercises	21.6 + 1.3	22.9 + 1.8	21.3 + 1.8	16.9 + 2.7	14.5 + 2.5	20.8 + 1.8	23.0 + 1.9	22.8 + 2.7	20.3 + 2.0	23.9 + 3.6	21.0 + 2.2
5	26.9 + 1.0	26.6 + 1.4	27.6 + 1.6	26.3 + 2.0	26.3 + 1.9	27.0 + 1.5	26.5 + 0.8	28.6 + 2.8	26.5 + 1.6	30.7 + 2.5	28.8 + 2.0
6	24.1 + 1.4	20.9 + 2.0	25.9 + 1.9	33.1 + 3.5	34.0 + 2.4	24.3 + 2.0	23.9 + 1.6	23.2 + 4.2	26.4 + 2.6	23.4 + 2.4	19.4 + 2.1
7 - Unit performs very well in exercises	9.5 + 0.9	8.1 + 1.2	9.8 + 1.1	12.7 + 2.0	17.4 + 2.4	10.1 + 1.2	8.3 + 1.4	9.1 + 1.1	10.6 + 1.5	7.0 + 1.4	8.4 + 1.9
Sample size	10,758	4,630	2,856	1,686	1,378	6,363	3,333	1,062	4,088	1,523	2,103
Weighted total	461,869	224,496	179,370	29,659	18,756	296,208	138,287	27,375	192,445	79,606	87,644

Table S_29 (cont..)

Readiness of Unit to Demonstrate Mission Performance
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Civilian Spouse		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
1 - Unit performs poorly in exercises	2.3% ± 0.7	1.5% ± 2.0	1.9% ± 1.6	4.2% ± 2.4	1.3% ± 0.8	1.6% ± 0.5	1.8% ± 1.1	0.2% ± 0.3	1.1% ± 1.0	0.5% ± 0.5	1.5% ± 0.6	1.3% ± 0.5			
2	5.3 ± 1.1	6.8 ± 3.8	5.5 ± 2.4	5.5 ± 2.5	4.4 ± 1.2	4.5 ± 1.0	2.9 ± 1.3	3.3 ± 1.3	3.0 ± 1.9	2.4 ± 1.3	3.8 ± 0.9	4.0 ± 1.0			
3	12.3 ± 1.5	15.3 ± 6.0	12.5 ± 3.4	10.8 ± 2.9	12.4 ± 1.8	10.3 ± 1.5	10.4 ± 2.9	8.4 ± 3.4	7.3 ± 2.6	5.1 ± 2.4	10.9 ± 1.4	9.2 ± 1.2			
4 - Unit usually does well in exercises	21.7 ± 2.2	25.2 ± 5.5	23.2 ± 5.3	29.5 ± 6.3	21.6 ± 2.3	20.8 ± 2.3	21.8 ± 3.2	14.4 ± 3.4	16.7 ± 3.6	16.5 ± 3.8	21.5 ± 1.9	19.1 ± 1.7			
5	27.0 ± 1.6	26.4 ± 5.4	23.1 ± 5.5	22.0 ± 4.7	29.8 ± 3.2	29.0 ± 2.4	23.5 ± 4.1	26.5 ± 4.5	25.9 ± 3.8	25.4 ± 3.0	25.6 ± 1.7	30.2 ± 2.4			
6	22.8 ± 2.3	15.4 ± 5.0	23.4 ± 3.9	22.6 ± 6.3	21.3 ± 3.0	25.6 ± 2.7	28.4 ± 3.4	34.1 ± 3.5	31.0 ± 4.2	31.4 ± 2.7	25.7 ± 2.3	26.3 ± 2.1			
7 - Unit performs very well in exercises	8.6 ± 1.3	9.3 ± 4.0	10.4 ± 3.4	5.4 ± 2.5	9.1 ± 2.0	8.2 ± 1.6	11.3 ± 2.5	13.1 ± 3.3	14.9 ± 3.1	18.8 ± 3.2	11.0 ± 1.2	9.8 ± 1.6			
Sample size	2,854	240	470	419	1,275	1,895	624	602	862	855	3,181	3,068			
Weighted total	174,742	10,682	15,038	13,914	53,785	85,594	40,279	9,642	13,520	14,672	118,930	105,108			

Table S 30

Readiness of Unit Personnel to Accomplish Mission Tasks
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
1 - Unit personnel lack some skills	2.7% ± 0.3	3.2% ± 0.6	2.3% ± 0.6	1.4% ± 0.6	1.2% ± 0.7	2.9% ± 0.4	2.0% ± 0.5	3.3% ± 1.7	2.7% ± 0.6	2.3% ± 0.9	3.6% ± 1.0	2.1% ± 0.6
2	5.3 ± 0.8	5.7 ± 1.2	5.6 ± 1.1	3.8 ± 1.0	2.1 ± 0.8	5.1 ± 1.2	5.6 ± 1.1	5.6 ± 0.8	5.2 ± 1.2	5.4 ± 1.8	5.6 ± 1.2	5.2 ± 1.2
3	11.1 ± 0.8	12.1 ± 1.2	10.6 ± 1.1	9.8 ± 1.7	5.4 ± 1.0	11.2 ± 0.9	11.1 ± 1.6	10.7 ± 1.8	11.3 ± 1.4	11.4 ± 2.2	11.5 ± 2.0	10.1 ± 1.7
4 - Unit personnel possess most skills	24.1 ± 1.2	26.3 ± 1.9	22.3 ± 1.9	22.5 ± 2.0	17.4 ± 1.7	23.0 ± 1.7	26.3 ± 2.1	23.9 ± 3.6	24.1 ± 1.9	25.1 ± 3.6	25.4 ± 2.5	22.1 ± 1.8
5	29.5 ± 1.3	27.8 ± 1.9	30.6 ± 1.8	33.9 ± 1.7	32.1 ± 2.7	28.6 ± 1.6	31.0 ± 2.3	31.5 ± 3.6	30.3 ± 2.0	31.5 ± 3.3	28.1 ± 1.9	27.8 ± 1.7
6	21.5 ± 1.8	19.5 ± 2.2	22.4 ± 2.2	23.5 ± 2.9	32.2 ± 2.4	22.9 ± 2.6	19.4 ± 1.4	17.4 ± 5.5	20.6 ± 2.6	20.4 ± 3.2	20.1 ± 3.0	25.3 ± 2.8
7 - Unit personnel possess all skills	5.8 ± 0.6	5.4 ± 0.9	6.2 ± 1.1	5.0 ± 1.2	9.5 ± 1.7	8.2 ± 0.9	4.6 ± 0.9	7.6 ± 2.8	5.8 ± 0.8	4.0 ± 1.0	5.6 ± 1.5	7.4 ± 1.6
Sample size	10,801	4,638	2,861	1,700	1,394	6,401	3,335	1,065	4,095	1,524	2,104	3,078
Weighted total	463,160	224,870	179,758	29,974	18,969	297,299	138,417	27,444	192,798	79,777	87,671	102,914

Table S_30 (cont.)

Readiness of Unit Personnel to Accomplish Mission Tasks
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed	Spouse Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+			
1 - Unit personnel lack some skills	3.1% + 0.7	1.7% + 2.9	3.2% + 2.1	3.4% + 1.9	2.3% + 0.9	2.1% + 0.7	2.4% + 0.9	1.0% + 0.9	2.4% + 1.6	1.3% + 1.3	2.4% + 0.8	1.9% + 0.7		
2	5.5 + 1.2	8.0 + 3.8	4.9 + 2.2	6.5 + 2.8	5.7 + 1.5	6.0 + 1.5	3.3 + 1.6	4.2 + 1.4	2.8 + 1.1	0.9 + 0.6	5.0 + 1.2	4.8 + 0.9		
3	12.0 + 1.3	12.4 + 5.0	10.3 + 2.4	14.8 + 3.9	11.5 + 1.9	10.0 + 1.3	11.7 + 2.8	9.2 + 2.7	7.8 + 2.6	7.4 + 2.5	10.0 + 1.5	10.2 + 1.2		
4 - Unit personnel possess most skills	24.9 + 2.2	24.3 + 6.9	26.9 + 4.6	23.6 + 4.8	26.5 + 2.1	23.7 + 2.0	21.4 + 2.7	22.2 + 3.8	21.3 + 3.1	17.6 + 3.3	24.5 + 1.7	22.3 + 2.1		
5	29.2 + 2.0	24.9 + 7.0	32.2 + 5.8	28.0 + 5.0	30.2 + 3.4	30.6 + 2.4	28.4 + 3.9	32.4 + 5.0	34.1 + 3.5	32.0 + 3.9	29.2 + 2.0	31.7 + 1.9		
6	20.4 + 2.5	21.6 + 5.2	18.7 + 4.1	18.1 + 5.0	17.9 + 2.5	22.2 + 2.7	24.8 + 4.2	26.6 + 4.7	25.3 + 2.4	31.5 + 4.2	22.9 + 2.4	22.3 + 2.2		
7 - Unit personnel possess all skills	4.8 + 0.9	7.2 + 3.5	3.8 + 2.0	5.6 + 2.8	5.8 + 1.7	5.4 + 1.1	8.0 + 2.0	4.5 + 1.9	6.3 + 1.9	9.2 + 2.4	6.0 + 1.1	6.9 + 1.5		
Sample size	2,864	239	477	421	1,281	1,892	629	609	867	860	3,199	3,075		
Weighted total	175,100	10,636	15,155	14,006	54,201	85,431	40,595	9,782	13,578	14,773	119,511	105,395		

Table S-31

Readiness of Soldiers in Unit to Meet an Alert
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
1 - Too few may meet an alert	4.3% + 0.9 - 0.9	4.5% + 1.1 - 1.1	4.6% + 1.2 - 1.2	2.5% + 1.0 - 1.0	2.3% + 0.9 - 0.9	5.3% + 1.3 - 1.3	2.3% + 0.8 - 0.8	3.4% + 1.2 - 1.2	3.4% + 1.4 - 1.4	2.7% + 1.3 - 1.3	4.3% + 1.4 - 1.4	7.4% + 1.5 - 1.5
2	5.8 + 0.9 - 0.9	5.7 + 1.1 - 1.1	6.7 + 1.3 - 1.3	3.7 + 1.2 - 1.2	3.1 + 0.9 - 0.9	7.2 + 1.3 - 1.3	3.0 + 0.9 - 0.9	4.3 + 1.4 - 1.4	5.3 + 1.7 - 1.7	5.0 + 1.4 - 1.4	4.8 + 1.2 - 1.2	8.2 + 1.1 - 1.1
3	10.7 + 1.1 - 1.1	11.0 + 1.5 - 1.5	11.0 + 1.5 - 1.5	9.4 + 2.2 - 2.2	8.6 + 2.1 - 2.1	12.4 + 1.6 - 1.6	7.8 + 0.7 - 0.7	7.4 + 1.5 - 1.5	9.8 + 1.8 - 1.8	9.6 + 2.5 - 2.5	9.9 + 1.5 - 1.5	14.3 + 1.8 - 1.8
4 - Some may delay in meeting an alert	21.9 + 1.4 - 1.4	22.7 + 1.7 - 1.7	21.9 + 2.0 - 2.0	19.1 + 3.1 - 3.1	19.7 + 3.9 - 3.9	22.6 + 2.0 - 2.0	20.6 + 1.5 - 1.5	21.3 + 4.0 - 4.0	19.2 + 1.9 - 1.9	23.5 + 2.5 - 2.5	24.8 + 2.6 - 2.6	23.4 + 1.6 - 1.6
5	25.5 + 1.1 - 1.1	25.0 + 1.5 - 1.5	25.4 + 1.9 - 1.9	26.9 + 2.6 - 2.6	27.4 + 3.5 - 3.5	24.3 + 1.4 - 1.4	28.1 + 2.2 - 2.2	25.5 + 0.6 - 0.6	24.6 + 1.7 - 1.7	28.3 + 2.3 - 2.3	27.7 + 3.2 - 3.2	23.0 + 1.9 - 1.9
6	22.5 + 2.0 - 2.0	22.1 + 2.5 - 2.5	21.6 + 2.2 - 2.2	26.2 + 3.8 - 3.8	26.5 + 3.4 - 3.4	20.3 + 2.9 - 2.9	26.3 + 2.1 - 2.1	27.5 + 2.7 - 2.7	25.6 + 3.2 - 3.2	23.3 + 3.1 - 3.1	20.8 + 2.2 - 2.2	17.5 + 2.1 - 2.1
7 - All are available at moments notice	9.2 + 1.2 - 1.2	9.1 + 1.2 - 1.2	8.8 + 1.6 - 1.6	12.2 + 3.0 - 3.0	12.5 + 2.9 - 2.9	7.8 + 1.6 - 1.6	12.0 + 1.5 - 1.5	10.6 + 4.1 - 4.1	12.1 + 2.4 - 2.4	7.6 + 1.6 - 1.6	7.8 + 1.6 - 1.6	6.2 + 1.2 - 1.2
Sample size	10,731	4,640	2,847	1,676	1,361	6,327	3,340	1,064	4,094	1,528	2,109	3,000
Weighted total	461,284	225,027	178,607	29,569	18,496	294,985	138,755	27,544	192,788	79,960	87,840	100,696

Table S.31 (cont.)

Readiness of Soldiers in Unit to Meet an Alert
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Enlisted	Chlid 0-5	Chlid 6+	No Children	Officer
1 - Too few may meet an alert	4.3% + 1.2	5.8% + 2.7	3.4% + 2.2	8.0% + 4.4	4.5% + 1.5	4.3% + 1.5	3.4% + 1.2	2.1% + 1.7	3.1% + 1.5	3.7% + 1.2
2	5.5% + 1.2	6.0% + 4.0	5.3% + 2.6	5.3% + 2.4	6.4% + 1.6	6.5% + 1.7	7.3% + 2.0	4.4% + 2.0	3.3% + 1.5	6.7% + 1.4
3	10.5% + 1.5	14.5% + 4.7	7.2% + 2.2	11.9% + 3.6	11.5% + 2.0	11.0% + 1.8	12.4% + 3.5	8.2% + 3.1	8.8% + 2.5	11.1% + 1.8
4 - Some may delay in meeting an alert	23.0% + 1.8	22.2% + 6.3	27.2% + 4.8	23.5% + 5.5	20.5% + 2.7	22.5% + 2.4	19.0% + 3.6	20.6% + 4.1	18.9% + 3.7	20.7% + 2.1
5	25.1% + 1.8	20.7% + 6.1	25.5% + 5.5	25.4% + 6.2	27.7% + 3.0	24.8% + 2.0	26.6% + 3.9	26.4% + 4.1	27.6% + 4.5	25.2% + 1.7
6	22.4% + 2.7	21.4% + 6.6	21.9% + 4.8	19.6% + 4.6	21.3% + 2.4	21.2% + 3.0	23.3% + 3.6	25.5% + 5.9	27.2% + 4.5	23.3% + 2.8
7 - All are available at moments notice	9.2% + 1.1	9.3% + 4.5	9.5% + 3.4	6.3% + 3.1	8.0% + 2.0	9.7% + 1.7	8.1% + 2.9	12.9% + 2.8	11.2% + 3.2	9.3% + 1.8
Sample size	2,858	238	471	417	1,275	1,892	623	603	850	3,162
Weighted total	175,101	10,632	14,937	13,933	53,672	85,404	40,100	9,706	13,378	118,177
										104,976

Table S_32
Supportiveness of Unit Training Program
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
1 - Training program doesn't meet needs	4.8% + 0.5	5.1% + 0.8	4.7% + 1.0	4.0% + 1.5	3.3% + 0.9	4.9% + 0.7	4.5% + 0.9	4.5% + 2.4	4.0% + 0.7	4.7% + 1.9	4.9% + 1.3	6.1% + 1.3
2	9.6 + 0.9	10.2 + 1.3	9.9 + 1.6	8.2 + 1.4	6.2 + 1.9	10.1 + 1.4	8.6 + 0.9	9.5 + 1.3	8.8 + 1.5	10.4 + 2.1	9.4 + 1.3	10.9 + 1.6
3	16.3 + 1.0	17.1 + 1.4	16.2 + 1.5	14.3 + 2.3	13.0 + 2.3	16.1 + 1.4	16.8 + 1.4	15.7 + 2.5	15.6 + 1.6	16.8 + 2.0	17.1 + 2.0	16.6 + 1.9
4 - Training program generally meets needs	25.0 + 1.2	26.1 + 1.6	24.1 + 1.9	24.8 + 2.8	22.7 + 2.7	24.9 + 1.7	25.7 + 1.7	23.2 + 3.2	24.1 + 1.8	26.1 + 2.8	26.1 + 2.6	24.9 + 2.3
5	25.4 + 1.1	25.6 + 1.4	24.3 + 2.3	27.3 + 2.3	28.0 + 3.8	25.4 + 1.6	25.0 + 1.1	27.0 + 4.4	26.4 + 1.6	26.5 + 2.9	25.8 + 2.4	22.3 + 1.9
6	14.6 + 1.2	12.6 + 1.5	15.7 + 1.7	19.4 + 2.9	19.9 + 3.2	14.0 + 1.5	16.0 + 2.3	14.9 + 2.2	16.8 + 2.2	12.5 + 2.2	13.4 + 1.8	13.4 + 1.4
7 - Training program effectively meets needs	4.2 + 0.6	3.4 + 0.7	5.1 + 1.0	4.1 + 1.2	7.0 + 1.5	4.5 + 0.7	3.4 + 1.1	5.1 + 2.2	4.4 + 0.5	2.9 + 1.2	3.4 + 0.9	5.6 + 0.9
Sample size	10,751	4,632	2,861	1,685	1,366	6,355	3,332	1,064	4,086	1,527	2,109	3,029
Weighted total	462,372	224,953	179,593	29,650	18,591	296,202	138,571	27,599	192,670	79,911	87,884	101,906

Table S-32 (cont.)

Supportiveness of Unit Training Program
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+			
1 - Training program doesn't meet needs	5.3% ± 1.0	7.2% ± 3.9	3.4% ± 1.6	6.0% ± 3.0	4.7% ± 1.3	4.5% ± 1.3	3.6% ± 1.5	2.5% ± 1.3	3.8% ± 1.9	2.9% ± 1.5	4.1% ± 1.0	4.1% ± 1.0	4.3% ± 1.0	
2	9.5 ± 1.4	11.7 ± 4.6	8.3 ± 3.1	11.4 ± 3.9	10.2 ± 2.2	10.6 ± 2.0	9.8 ± 2.5	7.1 ± 2.0	8.4 ± 3.1	6.3 ± 2.1	9.7 ± 1.5	9.7 ± 1.5	9.6 ± 1.6	
3	16.8 ± 1.5	15.5 ± 4.9	16.9 ± 3.8	17.7 ± 4.1	18.7 ± 2.4	16.8 ± 2.3	15.6 ± 3.1	11.7 ± 2.9	12.5 ± 2.8	13.9 ± 3.0	16.6 ± 1.7	16.6 ± 1.7	15.4 ± 2.0	
4 - Training program generally meets needs	26.1 ± 1.9	21.1 ± 5.7	26.7 ± 6.0	24.7 ± 4.3	23.9 ± 3.3	24.7 ± 2.1	24.5 ± 3.7	23.6 ± 3.7	24.7 ± 3.7	21.3 ± 3.5	24.4 ± 1.9	24.4 ± 1.9	25.1 ± 2.0	
5	25.9 ± 1.4	27.0 ± 6.5	25.5 ± 4.9	27.4 ± 4.5	26.9 ± 3.4	23.7 ± 2.7	21.6 ± 3.7	32.6 ± 5.0	26.6 ± 3.0	27.0 ± 4.8	25.1 ± 2.2	25.1 ± 2.2	24.5 ± 2.5	
6	13.0 ± 1.5	13.3 ± 4.7	13.3 ± 4.2	9.7 ± 3.5	11.0 ± 2.1	15.8 ± 1.9	18.9 ± 3.7	17.6 ± 3.8	19.7 ± 2.5	21.6 ± 4.4	15.1 ± 2.0	15.1 ± 2.0	16.7 ± 1.3	
7 - Training program effectively meets needs	3.4 ± 0.8	4.3 ± 2.9	5.9 ± 2.7	3.1 ± 2.0	4.6 ± 1.5	3.8 ± 1.1	5.9 ± 2.3	5.0 ± 1.8	4.2 ± 1.5	6.9 ± 2.0	5.0 ± 1.1	5.0 ± 1.1	4.4 ± 1.2	
Sample size	2,862	238	473	418	1,273	1,887	631	599	858	849	3,170	3,170	3,061	
Weighted total	175,295	10,690	15,042	13,922	53,744	85,289	40,663	9,648	13,507	14,542	118,764	118,764	105,075	

Table S 33
Readiness of Unit to Fire Its Weapons
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	WCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
1 - Weapons are not well maintained	2.9% ± 0.6	2.9% ± 0.9	3.0% ± 0.7	2.0% ± 0.8	2.4% ± 1.8	3.1% ± 1.0	2.4% ± 0.7	2.8% ± 1.1	2.2% ± 0.7	2.5% ± 0.8	2.2% ± 0.9	8.2% ± 2.3
2	4.2 ± 0.6	4.6 ± 0.9	3.8 ± 0.8	3.4 ± 1.1	4.8 ± 2.0	4.8 ± 0.9	3.3 ± 0.8	4.1 ± 0.7	3.3 ± 0.7	3.4 ± 1.1	4.7 ± 1.1	9.3 ± 2.3
3	9.6 ± 0.9	9.4 ± 1.0	9.5 ± 1.5	8.3 ± 2.1	11.3 ± 2.3	9.7 ± 1.0	10.0 ± 1.7	6.4 ± 2.9	8.7 ± 1.3	8.5 ± 2.4	10.5 ± 1.6	14.3 ± 3.3
4 - Weapons are generally maintained	16.7 ± 1.2	16.4 ± 1.3	17.2 ± 1.7	15.7 ± 2.5	16.8 ± 3.2	17.1 ± 1.6	15.6 ± 2.0	18.1 ± 1.5	15.1 ± 1.6	17.3 ± 2.1	17.8 ± 1.8	20.8 ± 2.6
5	23.2 ± 0.9	23.3 ± 1.5	22.9 ± 1.6	22.8 ± 2.4	24.9 ± 4.4	23.0 ± 1.1	23.6 ± 1.7	22.5 ± 3.7	22.9 ± 1.6	24.3 ± 2.2	23.7 ± 2.5	20.7 ± 3.3
6	28.2 ± 1.2	27.0 ± 1.7	29.5 ± 2.2	31.2 ± 2.9	26.8 ± 4.3	26.7 ± 1.9	30.2 ± 1.4	32.2 ± 3.5	28.8 ± 1.6	30.0 ± 2.2	29.1 ± 2.9	19.5 ± 4.3
7 - All weapons are very well maintained	15.3 ± 1.5	16.3 ± 2.2	14.1 ± 1.6	16.6 ± 2.8	13.1 ± 4.7	15.7 ± 2.2	15.0 ± 2.1	13.9 ± 3.0	19.1 ± 2.4	14.0 ± 2.1	11.9 ± 1.7	7.1 ± 2.6
Sample size	8,638	4,233	2,362	1,247	607	4,583	3,199	856	4,054	1,509	2,030	1,045
Weighted total	394,713	209,384	147,558	21,051	7,979	235,783	135,564	23,366	190,954	78,970	85,880	38,909

Table S 34

Readiness of Unit Vehicles and Transportation
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	WCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
1 - Vehicles are poorly maintained	7.6% + 1.0 - 1.0	8.7% + 1.3 - 1.3	7.1% + 1.4 - 1.4	3.7% + 1.3 - 1.3	1.6% + 1.0 - 1.0	8.6% + 1.5 - 1.5	5.6% + 1.0 - 1.0	9.1% + 4.4 - 4.4	6.1% + 1.2 - 1.2	5.9% + 1.8 - 1.8	11.6% + 2.3 - 2.3	9.4% + 2.5 - 2.5
2	10.0 + 1.2 - 1.2	10.9 + 1.3 - 1.3	9.6 + 1.8 - 1.8	5.8 + 1.6 - 1.6	7.1 + 2.8 - 2.8	10.8 + 1.7 - 1.7	9.1 + 1.4 - 1.4	7.7 + 3.0 - 3.0	8.5 + 1.7 - 1.7	8.9 + 2.0 - 2.0	14.4 + 2.5 - 2.5	10.4 + 3.0 - 3.0
3	13.5 + 0.9 - 0.9	13.5 + 1.2 - 1.2	13.5 + 1.6 - 1.6	12.7 + 2.4 - 2.4	9.8 + 2.9 - 2.9	14.3 + 1.2 - 1.2	12.2 + 1.4 - 1.4	12.4 + 2.8 - 2.8	12.3 + 1.4 - 1.4	14.9 + 2.1 - 2.1	14.8 + 1.8 - 1.8	14.4 + 2.7 - 2.7
4 - Most vehicles are well maintained	18.4 + 1.2 - 1.2	18.4 + 1.2 - 1.2	18.2 + 1.8 - 1.8	17.4 + 3.5 - 3.5	22.1 + 4.4 - 4.4	17.9 + 1.7 - 1.7	18.9 + 1.7 - 1.7	19.4 + 3.8 - 3.8	18.0 + 1.6 - 1.6	17.3 + 2.3 - 2.3	20.8 + 2.6 - 2.6	16.7 + 2.6 - 2.6
5	25.4 + 1.3 - 1.3	24.5 + 1.5 - 1.5	25.7 + 2.1 - 2.1	28.5 + 3.0 - 3.0	30.2 + 5.5 - 5.5	24.7 + 1.6 - 1.6	28.4 + 2.3 - 2.3	26.2 + 7.6 - 7.6	26.5 + 1.8 - 1.8	27.2 + 2.7 - 2.7	23.0 + 3.6 - 3.6	21.3 + 3.6 - 3.6
6	17.9 + 1.4 - 1.4	16.5 + 1.8 - 1.8	19.0 + 2.0 - 2.0	23.9 + 3.6 - 3.6	20.8 + 4.7 - 4.7	16.7 + 1.9 - 1.9	20.3 + 1.7 - 1.7	16.3 + 4.9 - 4.9	20.3 + 2.2 - 2.2	18.5 + 3.0 - 3.0	11.8 + 2.3 - 2.3	18.2 + 2.8 - 2.8
7 - All vehicles very well maintained	7.2 + 1.2 - 1.2	7.5 + 1.4 - 1.4	6.9 + 1.3 - 1.3	8.1 + 2.4 - 2.4	8.4 + 3.3 - 3.3	6.9 + 1.9 - 1.9	7.5 + 0.9 - 0.9	9.0 + 2.5 - 2.5	8.2 + 1.6 - 1.6	7.3 + 2.3 - 2.3	3.9 + 1.8 - 1.8	9.5 + 2.2 - 2.2
Sample size	8,500	4,239	2,297	1,223	551	4,665	3,030	805	3,958	1,489	2,008	1,045
Weighted total	389,652	208,846	144,034	20,575	7,399	237,473	130,216	21,964	188,561	77,863	84,669	40,540

Table S 34 (cont.)

Readiness of Unit Vehicles and Transportation
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed	
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
1 - Vehicles are poorly maintained	8.1% ± 1.2	8.5% ± 4.3	7.4% ± 4.5	9.4% ± 5.0	8.1% ± 1.8	7.1% ± 1.8	7.7% ± 2.5	2.6% ± 1.8	3.3% ± 1.7	4.5% ± 3.1	7.9% ± 1.5	6.0% ± 1.5
2	9.6 ± 1.5	10.7 ± 6.2	10.4 ± 3.5	14.7 ± 5.3	11.2 ± 2.2	10.9 ± 2.4	10.3 ± 2.9	8.8 ± 3.2	7.4 ± 4.6	6.1 ± 2.7	10.3 ± 2.1	9.8 ± 1.4
3	13.5 ± 1.5	14.6 ± 6.2	13.3 ± 4.4	14.5 ± 5.3	15.6 ± 2.2	13.4 ± 2.0	11.2 ± 3.1	10.4 ± 4.2	12.7 ± 4.0	12.6 ± 4.5	13.2 ± 1.4	13.5 ± 1.8
4 - Most vehicles are well maintained	17.4 ± 1.7	20.4 ± 7.4	17.3 ± 4.5	18.3 ± 5.5	17.4 ± 2.4	20.0 ± 1.7	20.2 ± 4.0	23.8 ± 5.4	16.4 ± 3.1	16.1 ± 4.9	20.2 ± 2.1	17.8 ± 1.8
5	26.7 ± 1.5	25.7 ± 7.3	24.6 ± 5.8	23.1 ± 7.2	24.2 ± 3.2	23.8 ± 2.4	22.3 ± 3.6	22.9 ± 4.7	31.3 ± 4.2	33.2 ± 6.4	24.5 ± 2.4	25.3 ± 2.0
6	17.1 ± 2.1	14.2 ± 6.7	19.1 ± 6.6	15.3 ± 6.4	17.1 ± 2.4	17.9 ± 2.6	20.7 ± 3.6	25.3 ± 4.9	20.5 ± 4.3	20.3 ± 5.0	17.8 ± 1.8	19.7 ± 2.0
7 - All vehicles very well maintained	7.5 ± 1.5	5.8 ± 4.6	7.9 ± 3.7	4.7 ± 3.9	6.4 ± 1.7	6.8 ± 1.7	7.5 ± 2.8	6.2 ± 2.6	8.3 ± 2.9	7.2 ± 3.1	6.1 ± 1.5	7.9 ± 1.9
Sample size	2,459	168	360	286	1,150	1,676	491	393	530	419	2,399	2,377
Weighted total	157,733	8,082	12,313	10,186	47,440	73,343	31,029	6,468	8,590	8,317	95,000	86,049

SOLDIER'S WORK

Table JOBPERWK

Hours Worked per Week In Army Job
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Work 40 or fewer hours per week	41.2% + 2.1	48.6% + 2.0	39.5% + 3.2	17.8% + 3.4	17.5% + 3.6	43.5% + 2.8	37.7% + 2.7	34.7% + 3.0	41.1% + 2.3	42.2% + 4.2	42.7% + 4.1	39.5% + 4.6
Work 41-50 hours per week	33.4 + 1.4	32.1 + 1.5	32.8 + 2.0	42.0 + 4.1	37.5 + 2.5	33.3 + 2.0	33.6 + 1.7	34.5 + 4.5	32.1 + 1.8	31.0 + 2.0	34.8 + 3.3	38.4 + 3.6
Work 51-60 hours per week	17.1 + 1.1	12.8 + 1.2	18.2 + 1.9	28.9 + 3.4	34.2 + 3.9	16.2 + 1.5	18.3 + 1.5	20.0 + 1.9	17.9 + 1.7	17.7 + 2.7	15.4 + 2.1	18.4 + 2.4
Work 61 or more hours per week	8.3 + 1.1	6.5 + 1.0	9.5 + 1.8	11.3 + 2.2	10.8 + 2.5	7.0 + 1.2	10.4 + 2.5	10.8 + 5.6	8.8 + 1.3	9.1 + 3.3	7.0 + 1.7	7.6 + 2.5
Sample size	10,942	4,662	2,883	1,726	1,461	6,507	3,360	1,075	4,104	1,527	2,111	3,200
Weighted total	466,482	225,168	181,238	30,410	19,938	299,874	138,862	27,746	192,959	79,335	87,672	106,516

Table JOSEPERWIK (cont.)

Hours Worked per Week in Army Job
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Work 40 or fewer hours per week	46.3% + 2.3	46.2% + 6.9	38.4% + 5.7	43.6% + 5.8	43.1% + 3.2	39.0% + 3.5	38.4% + 5.0	21.8% + 4.3	16.6% + 2.6	19.9% + 4.8	36.4% + 2.7	37.0% + 3.1		
Work 41-50 hours per week	32.3 + 1.9	32.9 + 6.7	38.6 + 5.2	39.6 + 5.2	32.1 + 3.1	35.8 + 2.6	32.8 + 4.6	40.1 + 4.8	37.4 + 4.4	36.4 + 3.9	34.4 + 2.5	34.0 + 2.5		
Work 51-60 hours per week	14.3 + 1.5	16.9 + 4.9	17.4 + 4.4	12.3 + 3.8	15.5 + 2.6	16.5 + 2.4	20.0 + 4.3	25.8 + 4.1	31.0 + 4.2	34.2 + 5.1	19.4 + 2.1	19.8 + 2.3		
Work 61 or more hours per week	7.0 + 1.3	4.0 + 3.0	5.6 + 2.8	4.5 + 2.6	9.4 + 2.0	8.7 + 1.6	8.8 + 2.3	12.3 + 2.7	15.0 + 4.5	9.5 + 2.8	9.7 + 1.7	9.1 + 1.3		
Sample size	2,860	244	479	420	1,295	1,909	635	628	896	902	3,269	3,138		
Weighted total	174,685	10,977	15,159	13,798	54,755	86,303	41,066	10,115	14,014	15,407	121,208	107,023		

Table PTPERNK

Hours Spent In Organized PT per Week
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type					
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
In PT zero hours per week	9.3% + 2.9	5.3% + 1.4	7.3% + 2.3	25.1% + 8.0	47.0% + 8.1	9.8% + 3.9	7.7% + 4.7	11.8% + 5.8	2.4% + 0.6	3.1% + 1.2	6.4% + 1.9	28.9% + 6.5
In PT 1-3 hours per week	31.1 + 3.2	29.5 + 3.5	34.4 + 3.8	28.1 + 4.2	23.1 + 5.3	30.5 + 4.6	32.6 + 3.8	29.4 + 9.1	24.9 + 3.8	28.9 + 5.1	38.2 + 6.4	37.9 + 5.1
In PT 4-5 hours per week	34.5 + 2.5	37.8 + 2.8	33.3 + 2.7	32.7 + 5.0	17.4 + 3.5	34.0 + 3.3	37.0 + 4.3	28.3 + 4.1	40.8 + 3.0	41.7 + 4.6	33.0 + 5.8	18.9 + 2.5
In PT 6 or more hours per week	25.1 + 3.0	27.4 + 3.5	25.0 + 3.2	18.2 + 3.6	12.6 + 2.7	25.8 + 4.5	22.7 + 2.5	30.5 + 8.4	31.8 + 4.0	28.3 + 4.1	22.3 + 4.8	14.3 + 2.4
Sample size	10,952	4,675	2,885	1,724	1,458	6,513	3,367	1,072	4,114	1,534	2,112	3,192
Weighted total	467,962	226,417	181,523	30,400	19,894	300,932	139,296	27,733	193,706	80,106	87,870	106,281

Hours Spent in Organized PI per Week
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

189

Table HRSPEMWK

Total # of Hours Spent at Job or In PT per Week
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
40 or fewer hours per week	27.6%	31.5%	27.1%	13.4%	13.2%	28.4%	26.6%	22.9%	28.6%	29.9%	28.4%	23.2%
	+ 1.6	+ 1.8	+ 2.5	+ 2.2	+ 2.8	+ 2.2	+ 2.1	+ 2.2	+ 2.1	+ 3.3	+ 3.1	+ 2.8
41-50 hours per week	27.8	29.9	25.7	25.3	29.2	29.6	24.2	27.1	23.0	23.3	29.2	39.0
	+ 1.9	+ 1.7	+ 2.7	+ 4.3	+ 4.0	+ 2.6	+ 3.0	+ 7.0	+ 1.7	+ 2.6	+ 2.4	+ 2.8
51-60 hours per week	25.9	23.6	25.7	35.3	35.8	25.0	27.8	26.6	27.4	26.0	25.5	23.4
	+ 1.1	+ 1.7	+ 1.7	+ 3.2	+ 3.9	+ 1.4	+ 2.0	+ 0.6	+ 1.8	+ 2.2	+ 2.8	+ 2.8
61-70 hours per week	12.3	9.7	13.8	18.6	15.3	11.8	13.4	14.2	13.9	13.4	11.4	9.3
	+ 1.0	+ 0.9	+ 1.8	+ 3.5	+ 3.4	+ 1.3	+ 1.7	+ 3.5	+ 1.3	+ 1.5	+ 1.9	+ 1.9
71-80 hours per week	3.9	2.9	4.8	4.8	4.7	3.3	4.9	5.3	4.4	4.2	3.1	3.2
	+ 0.5	+ 0.6	+ 1.0	+ 1.2	+ 1.9	+ 0.7	+ 0.9	+ 2.1	+ 0.8	+ 1.5	+ 1.2	+ 1.3
81 or more hours per week	2.5	2.4	2.9	2.6	1.8	2.1	3.1	4.0	2.7	3.2	2.4	1.9
	+ 0.6	+ 0.5	+ 0.9	+ 1.0	+ 0.6	+ 0.6	+ 1.3	+ 2.5	+ 0.8	+ 2.0	+ 1.0	+ 1.0
Sample size	10,995	4,695	2,897	1,730	1,463	6,542	3,374	1,079	4,130	1,539	2,120	3,206
Weighted total	469,752	227,309	182,259	30,494	19,963	302,258	139,608	27,886	194,469	80,294	88,195	106,794

**Total # of Hours Spent at Job or In PT per Week
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status**

191

Table WORKDAYR
Length of Workday
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Work 8 hours or less per day	3.5% + 0.4	4.0% + 0.7	3.6% + 0.7	1.6% + 1.1	0.9% + 0.7	3.4% + 0.5	3.7% + 0.8	3.6% + 2.0	3.3% + 0.6	4.3% + 1.3	3.0% + 0.8	3.7% + 0.9
Work 9 hours per day	6.7 + 1.8	6.2 + 1.7	6.5 + 2.2	8.5 + 3.2	11.3 + 3.7	7.4 + 2.2	5.4 + 3.6	5.2 + 4.0	3.2 + 1.7	1.4 + 0.6	5.6 + 1.8	18.0 + 3.6
Work 10 hours per day	19.1 + 4.8	21.4 + 6.2	16.6 + 4.6	14.4 + 3.5	24.0 + 3.4	22.7 + 7.0	12.5 + 3.3	13.2 + 11.0	17.5 + 6.8	16.1 + 6.5	17.1 + 5.7	26.2 + 3.7
Work 11 hours per day	39.7 + 3.6	44.3 + 5.3	37.5 + 3.2	25.0 + 3.0	27.7 + 4.4	38.1 + 5.0	43.5 + 4.9	36.7 + 7.6	42.0 + 5.1	45.8 + 5.6	45.6 + 5.7	25.8 + 3.1
Work 12 hours per day	17.1 + 1.7	12.8 + 1.7	20.0 + 2.5	29.1 + 3.6	19.9 + 3.6	16.1 + 2.4	18.3 + 2.0	22.0 + 8.4	19.6 + 2.6	17.8 + 3.5	13.9 + 3.1	14.5 + 2.7
Work 13 or more hours per day	13.9 + 1.3	11.3 + 1.3	15.9 + 2.2	21.3 + 3.3	16.3 + 4.0	12.3 + 1.5	16.5 + 2.7	19.2 + 5.4	14.4 + 1.9	14.6 + 3.0	14.9 + 2.2	11.9 + 2.3
Sample size	10,809	4,609	2,845	1,703	1,446	6,432	3,315	1,062	4,076	1,508	2,093	3,132
Weighted total	460,815	222,698	178,831	30,035	19,719	298,729	136,648	27,437	191,645	78,471	87,049	103,650

Table WORKDAYR (cont.)

Length of Workday
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
Work 8 hours or less per day	4.0% + 0.9	3.6% + 2.4	4.1% + 1.8	3.5% + 2.3	3.0% + 1.0	4.0% + 1.2	2.8% + 1.3	0.8% + 0.7	1.4% + 0.9	0.4% + 0.4	2.4% + 0.7	3.8% + 1.1		
Work 9 hours per day	6.3 + 1.9	9.8 + 3.6	6.8 + 3.1	11.7 + 4.8	7.0 + 2.3	5.6 + 2.1	5.8 + 3.0	6.7 + 2.8	8.1 + 2.7	10.9 + 4.4	6.8 + 2.1	6.2 + 2.2		
Work 10 hours per day	20.3 + 6.1	23.6 + 6.4	26.8 + 8.3	21.8 + 5.9	18.2 + 5.1	17.9 + 5.6	15.3 + 3.9	16.8 + 4.3	19.5 + 3.8	19.8 + 4.1	17.5 + 4.1	17.4 + 3.9		
Work 11 hours per day	43.1 + 5.1	36.5 + 6.8	33.2 + 6.8	38.7 + 7.4	40.1 + 4.7	40.1 + 4.3	38.7 + 4.6	30.7 + 5.7	26.8 + 3.5	32.1 + 3.3	38.2 + 3.4	38.1 + 3.5		
Work 12 hours per day	14.3 + 2.1	13.2 + 5.3	16.0 + 2.6	13.9 + 4.4	15.8 + 2.9	19.0 + 3.0	20.9 + 3.6	24.8 + 4.0	25.6 + 4.3	21.8 + 3.7	19.5 + 2.4	19.5 + 2.6		
Work 13 or more hours per day	12.1 + 1.5	13.3 + 5.0	13.1 + 5.4	10.5 + 4.0	15.9 + 2.5	13.3 + 2.2	16.5 + 4.2	20.2 + 5.2	18.6 + 3.4	15.0 + 3.5	15.5 + 2.1	15.0 + 2.1		
Sample size	2,831	243	466	417	1,275	1,892	632	618	884	892	3,214	3,115		
Weighted total	172,958	10,952	14,660	13,983	53,934	85,196	40,831	9,915	13,794	15,204	119,284	105,938		

Table S 38A

Time Off Last Month with Transportation Problem
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Services Support	TDA
Did not take off any time	89.4% + 1.0 - 1.0	88.3% + 1.3 - 1.3	89.7% + 1.3 - 1.3	93.1% + 1.4 - 1.4	94.0% + 1.4 - 1.4	88.1% + 1.5 - 1.5	91.9% + 1.1 - 1.1	91.0% + 2.1 - 2.1	89.7% + 1.3 - 1.3	89.5% + 2.0 - 2.0	88.6% + 1.9 - 1.9	89.6% + 1.8 - 1.8
1 hour	5.1 + 0.6 - 0.6	6.1 + 0.8 - 0.8	4.6 + 0.9 - 0.9	2.8 + 0.9 - 0.9	3.0 + 1.0 - 1.0	5.8 + 0.8 - 0.8	3.9 + 0.8 - 0.8	4.0 + 0.8 - 0.8	5.1 + 1.0 - 1.0	4.9 + 1.5 - 1.5	5.4 + 1.1 - 1.1	5.2 + 1.1 - 1.1
2 hours	2.2 + 0.3 - 0.3	2.2 + 0.7 - 0.7	2.3 + 0.6 - 0.6	1.6 + 0.5 - 0.5	1.4 + 0.7 - 0.7	2.3 + 0.5 - 0.5	1.7 + 0.6 - 0.6	2.8 + 0.8 - 0.8	2.0 + 0.5 - 0.5	2.8 + 1.0 - 1.0	2.0 + 0.7 - 0.7	2.2 + 0.7 - 0.7
3 hours	1.3 + 0.3 - 0.3	1.4 + 0.4 - 0.4	1.4 + 0.4 - 0.4	1.0 + 0.6 - 0.6	0.5 + 0.4 - 0.4	1.6 + 0.4 - 0.4	1.0 + 0.5 - 0.5	0.5 + 0.5 - 0.5	1.3 + 0.4 - 0.4	1.1 + 0.6 - 0.6	1.6 + 0.8 - 0.8	1.4 + 0.5 - 0.5
4-5 hours	0.7 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.8 + 0.3 - 0.3	0.6 + 0.3 - 0.3	0.5 + 0.3 - 0.3	0.3 + 0.3 - 0.3	0.7 + 0.3 - 0.3	0.7 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.3 + 0.3 - 0.3	0.7 + 0.3 - 0.3	0.7 + 0.5 - 0.5	1.1 + 0.5 - 0.5	0.3 + 0.3 - 0.3
6-7 hours	0.3 + 0.1 - 0.1	0.3 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.3 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.5 + 0.7 - 0.7	0.2 + 0.3 - 0.3	0.3 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.2 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.4 + 0.8 - 0.8	0.3 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.2 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.2 + 0.3 - 0.3	0.4 + 0.3 - 0.3
1 day	0.5 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.6 + 0.3 - 0.3	0.6 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.3 + 0.5 - 0.5	0.3 + 0.4 - 0.4	0.8 + 0.3 - 0.3	0.1 + 0.1 - 0.1	0.5 + 0.5 - 0.5	0.6 + 0.3 - 0.3	0.4 + 0.5 - 0.5	0.5 + 0.4 - 0.4	0.5 + 0.4 - 0.4
2 days	0.3 + 0.1 - 0.1	0.2 + 0.1 - 0.1	0.4 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.1 + 0.1 - 0.1	0.2 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.3 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.2 + 0.1 - 0.1	0.2 + 0.4 - 0.4	0.2 + 0.1 - 0.1	0.4 + 0.3 - 0.3	0.3 + 0.3 - 0.3	0.3 + 0.3 - 0.3
3 days or more	0.1 + 0.1 - 0.1	0.1 + 0.1 - 0.1	0.1 + 0.1 - 0.1	0.0 + 0.1 - 0.1	0.1 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.1 + 0.1 - 0.1	0.2 + 0.1 - 0.1	0.2 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.2 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.0 + 0.1 - 0.1	0.2 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.1 + 0.1 - 0.1
Sample size	10,825	4,598	2,843	1,721	1,456	6,450	3,311	1,064	4,058	1,514	2,081	3,172
Weighted total	460,720	222,240	178,683	30,365	19,857	297,125	136,232	27,362	190,050	78,857	86,490	105,323

Table S 38A (cont.)

Time Off Last Month with Transportation Problem
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse															
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Did not take off any time	90.7% ± 1.2	83.3% ± 5.7	88.8% ± 4.0	88.6% ± 4.0	88.4% ± 2.4	87.8% ± 1.8	91.3% ± 2.6	93.6% ± 2.6	92.0% ± 2.2	93.9% ± 1.5	89.5% ± 1.6	89.7% ± 1.7				
1 hour	4.4 ± 0.7	8.0 ± 4.4	6.7 ± 3.3	5.1 ± 2.4	5.9 ± 2.2	6.2 ± 1.4	3.3 ± 1.6	3.9 ± 2.1	3.9 ± 1.7	2.5 ± 1.0	5.2 ± 1.2	5.2 ± 1.0				
2 hours	2.0 ± 0.6	3.0 ± 2.1	1.9 ± 1.5	1.6 ± 1.8	2.2 ± 0.8	2.4 ± 0.7	2.6 ± 1.3	0.8 ± 0.6	2.0 ± 1.0	1.5 ± 1.1	2.1 ± 0.7	2.2 ± 0.7				
3 hours	1.3 ± 0.5	1.5 ± 1.7	1.0 ± 1.0	2.5 ± 2.1	1.1 ± 0.7	1.2 ± 0.5	1.3 ± 1.1	0.9 ± 0.7	1.0 ± 1.2	0.9 ± 0.6	1.1 ± 0.5	1.0 ± 0.4				
4-5 hours	0.7 ± 0.4	0.9 ± 1.2	0.0 ± 0.0	0.7 ± 0.7	0.9 ± 0.6	0.8 ± 0.5	0.4 ± 0.5	0.3 ± 0.3	0.7 ± 0.6	0.1 ± 0.2	0.7 ± 0.3	0.7 ± 0.3				
6-7 hours	0.2 ± 0.2	1.1 ± 1.5	0.3 ± 0.7	0.0 ± 0.0	0.6 ± 0.5	0.3 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.3	0.2 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.3	0.1 ± 0.1				
1 day	0.5 ± 0.4	2.0 ± 2.4	1.1 ± 1.4	0.3 ± 0.5	0.5 ± 0.4	0.6 ± 0.3	0.7 ± 0.6	0.5 ± 0.5	0.1 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.3	0.6 ± 0.4				
2 days	0.1 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.4	0.2 ± 0.4	0.6 ± 1.1	0.5 ± 0.5	0.5 ± 0.3	0.2 ± 0.4	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.2	0.7 ± 0.7	0.5 ± 0.3	0.3 ± 0.2				
3 days or more	0.1 ± 0.1	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.7 ± 1.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.2				
Sample size	2,826	247	475	420	1,270	1,897	623	624	893	896	3,232	3,115				
Weighted total	172,595	10,832	14,989	13,937	53,617	85,804	40,222	10,057	13,980	15,279	119,615	106,033				

Table S_388
Time Off Last Month with Health Problem
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Did not take off any time	64.4% + 1.2 -	62.1% + 1.8 -	65.8% + 2.1 -	70.9% + 3.0 -	69.8% + 3.0 -	61.8% + 1.4 -	69.4% + 2.1 -	68.4% + 3.7 -	67.2% + 1.7 -	65.3% + 3.4 -	61.0% + 2.8 -	61.6% + 1.9 -
1 hour	9.8 + 0.8 -	10.4 + 1.2 -	9.7 + 1.2 -	8.9 + 1.6 -	7.7 + 1.5 -	10.8 + 1.0 -	7.9 + 1.1 -	8.6 + 2.0 -	10.1 + 1.4 -	9.5 + 1.9 -	9.2 + 2.2 -	10.2 + 1.5 -
2 hours	9.1 + 0.7 -	8.7 + 1.0 -	9.7 + 1.0 -	7.7 + 1.5 -	9.8 + 1.5 -	9.9 + 0.9 -	7.8 + 1.1 -	7.7 + 1.2 -	7.8 + 0.9 -	8.6 + 1.8 -	10.4 + 1.6 -	10.9 + 1.4 -
3 hours	5.4 + 0.5 -	6.0 + 0.8 -	4.9 + 0.9 -	3.8 + 1.0 -	3.8 + 1.4 -	5.4 + 0.7 -	5.3 + 1.0 -	5.3 + 1.1 -	4.7 + 0.7 -	5.4 + 1.5 -	7.0 + 1.2 -	5.3 + 0.7 -
4-5 hours	3.5 + 0.5 -	3.5 + 0.8 -	3.8 + 0.8 -	2.2 + 0.9 -	3.0 + 0.9 -	3.7 + 0.6 -	3.3 + 0.9 -	3.6 + 1.5 -	2.9 + 0.6 -	4.3 + 1.3 -	3.6 + 0.9 -	4.1 + 1.0 -
6-7 hours	1.1 + 0.3 -	1.1 + 0.4 -	1.2 + 0.5 -	1.2 + 0.5 -	1.1 + 0.6 -	1.3 + 0.3 -	0.8 + 0.4 -	0.5 + 0.4 -	1.1 + 0.3 -	1.0 + 0.8 -	1.4 + 0.5 -	1.0 + 0.5 -
1 day	2.4 + 0.3 -	2.9 + 0.4 -	2.0 + 0.5 -	1.9 + 0.5 -	2.2 + 0.8 -	2.5 + 0.3 -	2.4 + 0.7 -	1.3 + 0.6 -	2.4 + 0.6 -	2.8 + 0.7 -	2.2 + 0.7 -	2.3 + 0.7 -
2 days	2.0 + 0.3 -	2.6 + 0.6 -	1.4 + 0.5 -	1.6 + 0.8 -	1.3 + 0.6 -	2.2 + 0.5 -	1.5 + 0.4 -	2.0 + 1.2 -	1.6 + 0.5 -	1.6 + 0.7 -	2.8 + 1.0 -	2.3 + 0.9 -
3 days or more	2.2 + 0.4 -	2.7 + 0.5 -	1.6 + 0.5 -	2.0 + 1.0 -	1.3 + 0.5 -	2.4 + 0.5 -	1.5 + 0.5 -	2.4 + 1.5 -	2.1 + 0.5 -	1.7 + 0.9 -	2.5 + 0.7 -	2.2 + 0.7 -
Sample size	10,820	4,598	2,844	1,722	1,450	6,447	3,310	1,063	4,070	1,513	2,080	3,157
Weighted total	461,255	222,468	179,049	30,395	19,774	297,157	136,648	27,450	191,008	79,014	86,404	104,829

Time Off Last Month with Health Problem by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

197

Table S-38C

Time Off Last Month Because Child Care Wasn't Available
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other DCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Services Support	TDA	
Did not take off any time	87.3% + 1.6 -	84.5% + 2.1 -	88.1% + 2.1 -	86.2% + 3.1 -	91.1% + 2.4 -	87.0% + 2.2 -	88.0% + 2.2 -	87.4% + 3.5 -	86.6% + 2.2 -	85.9% + 3.8 -	88.0% + 2.0 -	88.5% + 2.7 -
1 hour	3.5 + 0.6 -	4.0 + 1.1 -	3.1 + 0.9 -	4.3 + 1.1 -	3.7 + 1.7 -	3.6 + 0.9 -	2.9 + 0.7 -	4.8 + 1.5 -	4.1 + 1.3 -	2.3 + 1.1 -	3.5 + 1.3 -	3.2 + 1.3 -
2 hours	3.6 + 0.8 -	3.7 + 0.8 -	3.7 + 1.2 -	4.3 + 1.9 -	2.5 + 1.1 -	3.6 + 1.0 -	3.4 + 1.5 -	4.8 + 1.9 -	3.5 + 1.0 -	5.1 + 2.8 -	3.1 + 1.4 -	3.2 + 1.0 -
3 hours	1.9 + 0.5 -	2.5 + 0.8 -	1.5 + 0.6 -	2.6 + 1.3 -	0.8 + 0.5 -	1.8 + 0.6 -	2.2 + 0.9 -	0.8 + 1.0 -	1.6 + 0.8 -	2.5 + 1.3 -	2.2 + 1.1 -	1.6 + 0.8 -
4-5 hours	1.2 + 0.3 -	1.8 + 0.6 -	1.1 + 0.5 -	0.8 + 0.7 -	1.0 + 0.5 -	1.3 + 0.4 -	1.2 + 0.5 -	0.7 + 0.5 -	1.1 + 0.4 -	1.6 + 1.1 -	0.9 + 0.6 -	1.4 + 0.6 -
6-7 hours	0.5 + 0.2 -	1.1 + 0.6 -	0.4 + 0.3 -	0.3 + 0.3 -	0.1 + 0.3 -	0.6 + 0.3 -	0.5 + 0.4 -	0.2 + 0.4 -	0.9 + 0.5 -	0.2 + 0.3 -	0.5 + 0.4 -	0.3 + 0.3 -
1 day	1.0 + 0.4 -	1.3 + 0.5 -	1.0 + 0.5 -	1.1 + 0.8 -	0.4 + 0.4 -	1.3 + 0.5 -	0.5 + 0.3 -	0.4 + 0.5 -	1.0 + 0.5 -	1.4 + 1.0 -	0.8 + 0.7 -	1.0 + 0.6 -
2 days	0.5 + 0.3 -	0.6 + 0.4 -	0.5 + 0.3 -	0.0 + 0.0 -	0.1 + 0.2 -	0.5 + 0.3 -	0.6 + 0.4 -	0.8 + 1.2 -	0.5 + 0.4 -	0.8 + 0.7 -	0.5 + 0.7 -	0.4 + 0.4 -
3 days or more	0.6 + 0.2 -	0.6 + 0.3 -	0.6 + 0.4 -	0.4 + 0.5 -	0.2 + 0.3 -	0.5 + 0.2 -	0.8 + 0.6 -	0.1 + 0.2 -	0.7 + 0.4 -	0.4 + 0.7 -	0.5 + 0.5 -	0.6 + 0.3 -
Sample size	5,420	1,751	1,772	810	958	3,355	1,622	443	1,910	703	993	1,814
Weighted total	203,558	53,942	118,098	11,989	13,205	137,319	56,050	10,190	75,471	32,230	36,801	59,057

Table S_38C (cont.)
Time Off Last Month Because Child Care Wasn't Available
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted				Civilian Spouse			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Did not take off any time	95.1% ± 3.3	82.5% ± 5.6	--	86.5% ± 4.6	94.1% ± 3.4	84.5% ± 2.8	92.3% ± 2.4	98.5% ± 2.9	85.2% ± 3.3	92.8% ± 2.3	89.1% ± 1.7	86.7% ± 2.2
1 hour	2.5 ± 2.8	3.0 ± 2.6	--	4.0 ± 2.4	2.2 ± 2.4	4.1 ± 1.2	2.2 ± 1.3	0.0 ± 0.0	4.7 ± 1.4	2.4 ± 1.0	3.8 ± 1.1	3.2 ± 0.8
2 hours	0.4 ± 0.7	4.5 ± 3.0	--	2.1 ± 1.8	0.9 ± 1.7	4.8 ± 1.3	2.7 ± 1.3	1.5 ± 2.9	3.9 ± 1.4	2.3 ± 1.8	3.0 ± 1.2	4.2 ± 1.3
3 hours	0.0 ± 0.0	1.9 ± 1.6	--	2.8 ± 1.5	1.0 ± 1.1	2.2 ± 0.8	1.1 ± 0.8	0.0 ± 0.0	3.2 ± 2.1	1.3 ± 1.0	1.4 ± 0.5	2.3 ± 0.9
4-5 hours	0.7 ± 1.0	2.3 ± 2.1	--	1.2 ± 1.5	0.3 ± 0.5	1.6 ± 0.5	0.8 ± 0.8	0.0 ± 0.0	0.9 ± 0.7	0.5 ± 0.4	1.1 ± 0.5	1.3 ± 0.5
6-7 hours	0.0 ± 0.0	1.2 ± 1.8	--	0.7 ± 0.7	1.6 ± 2.0	0.4 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.2 ± 0.3	0.1 ± 0.3	0.2 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.3
1 day	1.3 ± 1.4	1.4 ± 1.8	--	2.2 ± 1.7	0.0 ± 0.0	0.9 ± 0.5	0.6 ± 0.9	0.0 ± 0.0	0.9 ± 0.9	0.4 ± 0.3	0.9 ± 0.5	0.6 ± 0.6
2 days	0.0 ± 0.0	1.9 ± 2.2	--	0.2 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0	0.7 ± 0.5	0.2 ± 0.4	0.0 ± 0.0	0.4 ± 0.7	0.1 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.3	0.7 ± 0.5
3 days or more	0.0 ± 0.0	1.3 ± 1.5	--	0.4 ± 0.6	0.0 ± 0.0	0.7 ± 0.4	0.2 ± 0.4	0.0 ± 0.0	0.4 ± 0.4	0.1 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.3	0.7 ± 0.5
Sample size	142	220	24	409	165	1,804	556	38	846	769	1,983	2,318
Weighted total	6,777	9,655	866	13,659	7,120	81,164	35,980	573	13,364	13,288	78,883	78,736

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S_380

Time Off Last Month for Other Child Care Problems
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Did not take off any time	79.0% + 1.8 -	79.1% + 2.0 -	79.2% + 2.6 -	79.9% + 3.3 -	78.9% + 2.3 -	78.8% + 2.3 -	78.9% + 3.3 -	83.2% + 2.1 -	81.3% + 2.4 -	81.4% + 3.6 -	78.9% + 3.0 -	75.1% + 2.9 -
	7.3 + 0.9 -	5.8 + 1.2 -	7.7 + 1.4 -	6.2 + 1.8 -	9.2 + 2.4 -	7.2 + 1.2 -	8.0 + 1.7 -	4.2 + 1.5 -	7.4 + 1.6 -	5.7 + 2.1 -	7.0 + 1.6 -	8.1 + 2.0 -
	5.4 + 0.7 -	4.5 + 0.8 -	5.6 + 1.2 -	5.4 + 1.7 -	5.5 + 1.5 -	5.5 + 1.0 -	5.2 + 1.3 -	4.3 + 0.7 -	4.5 + 1.1 -	4.7 + 1.2 -	4.2 + 1.8 -	7.5 + 1.7 -
	3.2 + 0.6 -	4.1 + 1.1 -	2.8 + 0.9 -	3.8 + 1.7 -	2.1 + 0.9 -	3.3 + 0.8 -	3.3 + 1.1 -	2.1 + 1.3 -	2.9 + 1.0 -	3.3 + 1.3 -	4.0 + 1.7 -	3.2 + 1.2 -
4-5 hours	2.2 + 0.6 -	2.4 + 0.9 -	2.2 + 0.8 -	2.0 + 0.9 -	1.9 + 1.0 -	2.3 + 0.8 -	2.0 + 0.8 -	2.7 + 1.8 -	1.6 + 0.6 -	2.8 + 1.4 -	2.1 + 0.9 -	2.8 + 1.3 -
6-7 hours	0.6 + 0.2 -	0.9 + 0.7 -	0.5 + 0.3 -	0.8 + 0.5 -	0.5 + 0.4 -	0.6 + 0.3 -	0.9 + 0.5 -	0.5 + 0.8 -	0.7 + 0.5 -	0.5 + 0.5 -	0.6 + 0.5 -	0.7 + 0.5 -
1 day	1.1 + 0.3 -	1.5 + 0.6 -	1.0 + 0.4 -	1.1 + 0.7 -	1.2 + 0.7 -	1.3 + 0.4 -	0.9 + 0.4 -	0.9 + 0.7 -	0.8 + 0.4 -	1.4 + 0.9 -	1.4 + 0.8 -	1.3 + 0.7 -
2 days	0.6 + 0.2 -	0.9 + 0.5 -	0.5 + 0.3 -	0.2 + 0.3 -	0.5 + 0.4 -	0.6 + 0.3 -	0.4 + 0.4 -	1.6 + 0.7 -	0.5 + 0.4 -	0.3 + 0.5 -	0.7 + 0.6 -	0.6 + 0.5 -
3 days or more	0.5 + 0.2 -	0.8 + 0.5 -	0.4 + 0.3 -	0.5 + 0.7 -	0.2 + 0.3 -	0.6 + 0.3 -	0.5 + 0.4 -	0.5 + 0.3 -	0.3 + 0.2 -	0.0 + 0.0 -	1.2 + 1.0 -	0.7 + 0.4 -
Sample size	5,465	1,739	1,797	809	988	3,394	1,628	443	1,916	700	993	1,856
Weighted total	205,214	53,648	119,579	11,991	13,611	138,906	56,206	10,103	75,911	32,055	38,684	60,564

Table S_380 (cont.)

Time Off Last Month for Other Child Care Problem
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	
Did not take off any time	95.3% ± 3.5	68.6% ± 9.1	--	69.5% ± 5.8	93.1% ± 3.9	78.9% ± 2.7	78.9% ± 3.8	98.5% ± 2.9	78.7% ± 3.9	77.4% ± 3.4
1 hour	1.6 ± 1.7	5.7 ± 2.9	--	7.8 ± 2.9	0.7 ± 1.0	7.8 ± 1.9	9.8 ± 2.9	0.0 ± 0.0	7.6 ± 2.4	8.7 ± 2.7
2 hours	0.7 ± 1.1	6.5 ± 2.6	--	8.3 ± 3.8	1.6 ± 2.0	5.1 ± 1.1	5.7 ± 1.9	0.0 ± 0.0	5.4 ± 2.1	6.8 ± 2.3
3 hours	0.6 ± 1.1	7.9 ± 4.0	--	4.9 ± 2.1	2.6 ± 3.1	3.1 ± 0.8	2.1 ± 1.2	0.0 ± 0.0	4.2 ± 1.8	3.1 ± 1.3
4-5 hours	1.3 ± 2.5	4.2 ± 3.0	--	3.1 ± 1.8	1.0 ± 1.1	2.4 ± 1.0	2.2 ± 1.3	1.5 ± 2.9	2.2 ± 1.3	1.9 ± 1.2
6-7 hours	0.0 ± 0.0	0.9 ± 1.7	--	0.8 ± 0.7	0.9 ± 1.8	0.6 ± 0.4	0.4 ± 0.4	0.0 ± 0.0	0.3 ± 0.3	0.6 ± 0.5
1 day	0.0 ± 0.0	2.8 ± 2.3	--	3.9 ± 2.2	0.0 ± 0.0	0.8 ± 0.5	0.5 ± 0.6	0.0 ± 0.0	1.0 ± 0.8	1.1 ± 0.9
2 days	0.4 ± 0.9	1.2 ± 1.5	--	0.6 ± 0.7	0.0 ± 0.0	0.8 ± 0.5	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.3
3 days or more	0.2 ± 0.4	2.2 ± 2.0	--	1.0 ± 1.1	0.0 ± 0.0	0.5 ± 0.4	0.3 ± 0.5	0.0 ± 0.0	0.6 ± 0.5	0.0 ± 0.0
Spouse not Employed	139	224	25	412	162	1,814	560	38	841	801
Spouse Employed	6,583	9,938	873	13,647	6,972	82,008	36,219	573	13,267	13,770
Sample size	139	224	25	412	162	1,814	560	38	841	801
Weighted total	6,583	9,938	873	13,647	6,972	82,008	36,219	573	13,267	13,770

(—) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 38E

Time Off Last Month to Help Spouse
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Did not take off any time	77.2% + 1.6	72.7% + 2.3	78.6% + 2.1	80.4% + 2.6	82.9% + 2.6	77.2% + 2.3	76.7% + 1.8	81.2% + 2.9	75.3% + 1.7	78.5% + 3.9	77.7% + 2.8	78.9% + 2.3
1 hour	6.4 + 0.8	7.2 + 1.2	6.3 + 1.3	6.4 + 1.6	5.2 + 1.6	6.6 + 1.1	6.4 + 0.9	4.5 + 3.5	7.0 + 1.0	6.0 + 2.0	6.4 + 1.5	5.9 + 1.5
2 hours	6.2 + 0.6	6.9 + 0.8	5.8 + 0.9	6.3 + 1.6	5.3 + 1.5	6.4 + 0.7	5.7 + 1.2	6.1 + 1.9	6.6 + 0.9	6.0 + 1.8	5.1 + 1.4	6.5 + 1.4
3 hours	4.0 + 0.5	5.1 + 0.8	3.7 + 0.9	3.0 + 1.0	2.7 + 0.8	3.8 + 0.7	4.5 + 1.1	3.5 + 1.4	4.0 + 0.7	4.1 + 1.5	4.9 + 1.1	3.4 + 1.1
4-5 hours	2.4 + 0.5	2.9 + 0.8	2.3 + 0.6	2.0 + 0.6	1.6 + 0.7	2.4 + 0.7	2.6 + 0.6	2.0 + 0.5	2.4 + 0.8	3.0 + 1.2	2.4 + 1.2	2.2 + 0.7
6-7 hours	1.0 + 0.3	1.2 + 0.4	1.1 + 0.5	0.6 + 0.4	0.3 + 0.3	1.0 + 0.4	1.2 + 0.4	0.9 + 1.4	1.5 + 0.6	0.4 + 0.3	0.7 + 0.5	1.0 + 0.6
1 day	1.3 + 0.3	1.9 + 0.7	1.2 + 0.5	0.9 + 0.5	0.9 + 0.6	1.4 + 0.4	1.4 + 0.7	0.4 + 0.7	1.7 + 0.6	0.5 + 0.4	1.4 + 0.8	1.3 + 0.7
2 days	0.7 + 0.2	1.1 + 0.4	0.5 + 0.3	0.4 + 0.5	0.6 + 0.6	0.6 + 0.3	0.7 + 0.5	0.8 + 0.8	0.9 + 0.4	0.8 + 0.6	0.3 + 0.3	0.5 + 0.5
3 days or more	0.7 + 0.2	1.0 + 0.3	0.5 + 0.3	0.1 + 0.1	0.4 + 0.4	0.6 + 0.3	0.8 + 0.4	0.7 + 0.8	0.8 + 0.3	0.6 + 0.7	1.0 + 0.5	0.3 + 0.4
Sample size	7,264	2,571	2,139	1,209	1,179	4,457	2,199	608	2,628	956	1,366	2,314
Weighted total	264,017	81,199	140,790	17,943	16,258	175,467	75,134	13,416	100,001	41,913	48,543	73,560

Time Off Last Month to Help Spouse by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

203

Table S.38f

Time Off Last Month for Personal Business
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Did not take off any time	63.4% + 1.6	64.4% + 1.9	63.2% + 2.3	60.1% + 3.0	62.1% + 4.4	63.1% + 2.2	63.0% + 2.1	67.7% + 5.8	64.2% + 2.3	65.9% + 3.3	60.1% + 3.1	62.7% + 2.9
1 hour	11.6 + 0.9	11.6 + 1.1	11.7 + 1.3	11.3 + 1.6	11.6 + 2.1	12.0 + 1.1	10.8 + 1.9	10.4 + 3.5	10.9 + 1.3	11.6 + 2.1	12.5 + 1.7	12.0 + 1.7
2 hours	9.4 + 0.9	8.7 + 0.9	9.8 + 1.4	11.0 + 1.6	10.3 + 1.7	9.3 + 1.3	9.6 + 0.9	9.0 + 1.5	9.1 + 1.3	9.1 + 1.7	9.1 + 1.5	10.3 + 1.5
3 hours	6.2 + 0.5	6.3 + 0.8	5.7 + 0.8	7.4 + 1.3	6.1 + 1.2	6.0 + 0.6	6.8 + 0.7	5.1 + 1.2	6.4 + 0.9	5.4 + 1.2	7.8 + 1.0	5.2 + 1.3
4-5 hours	4.1 + 0.4	3.8 + 0.7	4.0 + 0.8	5.2 + 1.0	5.0 + 1.6	4.0 + 0.5	4.7 + 0.6	2.7 + 1.4	3.9 + 0.6	4.0 + 1.0	4.9 + 1.3	4.0 + 0.8
6-7 hours	1.5 + 0.3	1.4 + 0.4	1.6 + 0.6	2.1 + 0.7	1.2 + 0.6	1.7 + 0.4	1.3 + 0.4	1.0 + 1.0	1.8 + 0.5	1.1 + 0.7	1.2 + 0.6	1.5 + 0.5
1 day	2.0 + 0.3	1.8 + 0.3	2.3 + 0.6	1.5 + 0.6	1.8 + 0.9	2.0 + 0.4	1.9 + 0.4	2.6 + 1.0	1.7 + 0.4	1.5 + 0.7	2.5 + 0.8	2.5 + 0.6
2 days	1.2 + 0.2	1.2 + 0.4	1.3 + 0.4	0.8 + 0.5	1.3 + 0.5	1.3 + 0.3	1.3 + 0.4	0.7 + 0.4	1.5 + 0.4	0.8 + 0.4	1.1 + 0.5	1.3 + 0.4
3 days or more	0.6 + 0.2	0.8 + 0.3	0.4 + 0.2	0.5 + 0.5	0.6 + 0.5	0.6 + 0.3	0.6 + 0.3	0.8 + 0.7	0.5 + 0.2	0.7 + 0.5	0.8 + 0.5	0.8 + 0.3
Sample size	10,836	4,600	2,862	1,713	1,452	6,456	3,312	1,068	4,061	1,516	2,085	3,174
Weighted total	462,192	222,411	180,043	30,222	19,820	297,833	136,790	27,569	190,345	79,188	86,895	105,764

Table S 38F (cont.)

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	
Did not take off any time	67.5% ± 2.3	58.2% ± 7.2	59.8% ± 5.4	58.1% ± 5.2	57.9% ± 2.1	62.6% ± 2.7	64.1% ± 4.7	63.6% ± 4.7	59.6% ± 4.0	57.9% ± 4.6	61.6% ± 2.4	61.4% ± 2.5	
1 hour	11.4 ± 1.5	11.9 ± 4.4	13.1 ± 4.0	12.6 ± 3.7	12.2 ± 2.0	10.8 ± 1.6	13.2 ± 3.2	10.5 ± 2.7	11.3 ± 2.3	11.1 ± 2.7	11.5 ± 1.5	11.6 ± 1.5	
2 hours	8.0 ± 1.1	7.5 ± 3.2	8.3 ± 3.0	12.9 ± 3.9	9.7 ± 1.8	10.9 ± 1.3	9.5 ± 2.5	10.5 ± 3.1	11.3 ± 2.6	11.1 ± 2.1	10.1 ± 1.2	9.9 ± 1.1	
3 hours	5.4 ± 1.0	7.0 ± 2.8	5.6 ± 2.9	7.9 ± 2.5	8.0 ± 1.5	7.0 ± 1.5	3.4 ± 1.2	6.0 ± 1.8	7.6 ± 2.3	7.7 ± 2.4	6.6 ± 0.9	6.7 ± 1.4	
4-5 hours	3.5 ± 0.7	3.6 ± 2.6	6.0 ± 3.5	4.3 ± 2.1	5.0 ± 1.3	3.6 ± 0.9	4.8 ± 2.1	5.5 ± 1.8	5.4 ± 1.9	6.5 ± 2.4	5.2 ± 0.9	3.9 ± 0.9	
6-7 hours	1.4 ± 0.5	3.6 ± 2.7	0.8 ± 0.7	0.6 ± 0.6	2.0 ± 0.9	1.2 ± 0.6	1.4 ± 1.2	1.3 ± 0.9	1.4 ± 0.8	0.6 ± 0.5	1.2 ± 0.5	1.7 ± 0.6	
1 day	1.4 ± 0.5	4.3 ± 3.0	3.5 ± 2.0	1.9 ± 1.3	3.0 ± 1.1	2.1 ± 0.7	2.0 ± 1.1	1.7 ± 0.9	0.8 ± 0.5	3.5 ± 1.7	2.3 ± 0.6	2.2 ± 0.5	
2 days	1.0 ± 0.4	2.9 ± 2.1	2.7 ± 1.8	1.2 ± 1.5	0.9 ± 0.4	1.5 ± 0.6	1.0 ± 0.8	0.9 ± 1.1	1.3 ± 1.0	1.2 ± 0.6	0.9 ± 0.3	1.6 ± 0.5	
3 days or more	0.5 ± 0.3	1.0 ± 1.6	0.2 ± 0.3	0.6 ± 0.7	1.3 ± 0.8	0.4 ± 0.3	0.5 ± 0.6	0.0 ± 0.0	1.2 ± 1.1	0.4 ± 0.5	0.5 ± 0.3	1.0 ± 0.5	
Sample size	2,832	242	475	419	1,274	1,900	630	623	889	895	3,234	3,119	
Weighted total	173,158	10,789	14,938	13,983	54,019	85,952	40,710	10,033	13,932	15,295	120,075	106,527	

Table S_383

Time Off Last Month for Other Personal Reasons
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type					
	Total	Junior Enlisted	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
Did not take off any time	75.2%	76.1%	74.5%	74.9%	74.8%	74.4%	76.4%	77.3%	75.7%	77.3%	72.5%	75.1%
	+ 1.0	+ 1.6	+ 1.9	+ 2.5	+ 3.4	+ 1.4	+ 1.1	+ 3.4	+ 1.5	+ 2.4	+ 2.7	+ 2.0
1 hour	8.4	8.1	9.0	7.3	7.8	8.5	7.7	10.5	8.5	7.3	10.2	7.6
	+ 0.8	+ 1.2	+ 1.3	+ 1.1	+ 1.9	+ 1.1	+ 1.3	+ 3.3	+ 1.2	+ 1.6	+ 1.8	+ 1.3
2 hours	6.1	5.8	6.0	7.1	8.5	6.1	6.4	4.1	5.9	6.3	5.7	6.5
	+ 0.6	+ 0.8	+ 0.9	+ 1.4	+ 1.3	+ 0.6	+ 1.2	+ 1.8	+ 0.8	+ 1.6	+ 1.4	+ 1.4
3 hours	3.8	3.5	4.0	4.2	4.0	4.0	3.5	2.5	3.3	3.7	4.6	4.1
	+ 0.4	+ 0.6	+ 0.9	+ 1.0	+ 1.2	+ 0.6	+ 0.4	+ 1.1	+ 0.5	+ 1.2	+ 1.3	+ 0.9
4-5 hours	2.1	2.1	1.9	2.7	1.7	1.9	2.6	2.1	1.9	2.1	2.7	1.8
	+ 0.4	+ 0.5	+ 0.5	+ 0.9	+ 0.6	+ 0.4	+ 0.8	+ 1.5	+ 0.4	+ 0.6	+ 1.1	+ 0.6
6-7 hours	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.6	0.8	0.8	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.6	0.6
	+ 0.2	+ 0.3	+ 0.4	+ 0.5	+ 0.5	+ 0.3	+ 0.3	+ 0.5	+ 0.3	+ 0.5	+ 0.3	+ 0.3
1 day	1.8	1.7	2.0	1.8	1.3	2.1	1.4	1.2	1.8	1.4	1.5	2.5
	+ 0.3	+ 0.4	+ 0.5	+ 0.8	+ 0.5	+ 0.3	+ 0.5	+ 0.7	+ 0.5	+ 0.7	+ 0.5	+ 0.8
2 days	0.9	0.9	1.0	0.6	0.8	1.0	0.7	0.5	1.1	0.5	0.9	0.9
	+ 0.2	+ 0.3	+ 0.4	+ 0.5	+ 0.5	+ 0.3	+ 0.4	+ 0.2	+ 0.4	+ 0.4	+ 0.4	+ 0.5
3 days or more	0.8	1.0	0.8	0.4	0.5	1.0	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.5	1.3	0.8
	+ 0.2	+ 0.3	+ 0.3	+ 0.4	+ 0.5	+ 0.3	+ 0.3	+ 0.7	+ 0.3	+ 0.4	+ 0.7	+ 0.3
Sample size	10,756	4,584	2,831	1,699	1,436	6,411	3,285	1,060	4,042	1,503	2,070	3,141
Weighted total	459,367	222,122	178,221	29,852	19,614	296,026	135,991	27,350	189,546	78,702	86,352	104,767

Table S 39

Giving More To or Getting More From the Army
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type		
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
I'm getting a much better deal	1.6% + 0.4	2.3% + 0.5	1.1% + 0.5	1.0% + 0.5	0.8% + 0.4	1.6% + 0.4	1.8% + 0.8	1.0% + 1.0	1.8% + 0.5	1.7% + 1.0	1.8% + 0.6
I'm getting a somewhat better deal	2.6% + 0.4	3.8% + 0.7	1.7% + 0.5	1.9% + 0.6	0.7% + 0.4	2.9% + 0.5	2.2% + 0.6	2.4% + 1.1	3.0% + 0.7	2.4% + 1.1	3.1% + 1.0
I am getting a slightly better deal	3.7% + 0.5	4.9% + 0.7	2.7% + 0.6	2.7% + 0.8	1.5% + 0.5	3.5% + 0.5	4.3% + 1.1	3.3% + 1.2	3.9% + 0.6	4.6% + 1.5	3.6% + 1.1
We are getting an equally good deal	29.0% + 1.3	25.8% + 1.5	31.2% + 1.9	33.1% + 2.2	38.9% + 3.4	28.9% + 1.7	29.7% + 2.4	27.1% + 1.2	28.1% + 1.5	27.3% + 2.7	29.4% + 2.2
Army's getting a slightly better deal	17.7% + 0.7	17.2% + 1.1	18.5% + 1.5	20.1% + 2.2	14.0% + 2.1	17.7% + 0.6	17.7% + 1.6	18.5% + 3.2	17.7% + 1.1	18.6% + 2.2	17.7% + 2.3
Army's getting a somewhat better deal	19.7% + 0.7	19.3% + 1.3	19.8% + 1.4	20.8% + 2.5	22.2% + 2.5	19.7% + 0.9	19.8% + 1.3	21.0% + 4.0	20.4% + 1.3	19.3% + 2.3	19.4% + 1.9
Army's getting a much better deal	25.5% + 1.3	26.8% + 1.3	25.0% + 2.1	20.5% + 2.4	21.9% + 2.2	25.8% + 1.6	24.7% + 2.3	26.6% + 1.3	27.1% + 1.5	28.1% + 2.9	24.9% + 2.1
Sample size	10,928	4,658	2,878	1,724	1,459	6,508	3,342	1,078	4,112	1,529	2,096
Weighted total	466,510	225,378	181,143	30,388	19,908	300,561	138,125	27,823	193,353	79,838	87,010

Giving More To or Getting More From the Army by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

209

Table S 40A

Importance of Exhibiting Military Bearing/Appearance
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCNUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very Important	47.3% ± 1.6	35.2% ± 2.1	63.0% ± 2.5	47.9% ± 2.5	43.8% ± 2.3	48.2% ± 2.3	44.8% ± 2.1	49.9% ± 3.9	45.2% ± 1.9	41.3% ± 3.5	48.0% ± 3.4	54.9% ± 2.6
Important	43.6 ± 1.3	50.6 ± 2.0	33.6 ± 2.4	46.2 ± 2.4	48.0 ± 2.6	42.6 ± 1.8	46.1 ± 2.0	41.7 ± 3.9	45.2 ± 1.9	47.2 ± 3.4	42.7 ± 3.1	38.6 ± 1.9
Not very Important	7.4 ± 0.7	11.1 ± 1.1	3.1 ± 0.6	5.0 ± 1.1	7.2 ± 1.6	7.4 ± 1.0	7.4 ± 1.1	6.9 ± 1.4	7.7 ± 0.9	9.0 ± 2.1	7.5 ± 1.2	5.4 ± 1.3
Not at all Important	1.8 ± 0.4	3.0 ± 0.8	0.4 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.5	1.1 ± 0.6	1.8 ± 0.6	1.7 ± 0.6	1.4 ± 0.3	1.8 ± 0.6	2.6 ± 1.2	1.8 ± 0.9	1.1 ± 0.3
Sample size	10,906	4,643	2,873	1,722	1,460	6,499	3,334	1,073	4,098	1,524	2,096	3,188
Weighted total	465,475	224,966	180,618	30,386	19,907	300,089	137,769	27,617	192,768	79,370	87,246	106,091

Importance of Exhibiting Military Bearing/Appearance by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

211

Table S 408

Importance of Being an Excellent Soldier
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very important	50.6% + 2.3	37.6% + 2.7	65.0% + 2.4	58.1% + 4.4	56.8% + 3.9	52.1% + 3.4	47.0% + 2.2	52.5% + 3.8	50.2% + 3.2	45.1% + 3.5	50.1% + 4.1	55.8% + 2.5
Important	40.8 + 2.0	48.7 + 2.3	32.4 + 2.4	35.8 + 3.8	35.4 + 3.4	39.3 + 2.9	44.2 + 1.9	40.0 + 3.8	40.8 + 2.8	45.6 + 3.6	41.1 + 3.7	37.1 + 2.1
Not very important	7.1 + 0.8	11.2 + 1.4	2.2 + 0.6	5.2 + 2.2	6.6 + 2.1	7.1 + 1.1	7.1 + 1.3	6.2 + 2.5	7.6 + 1.1	7.9 + 2.0	6.8 + 1.2	5.9 + 1.4
Not at all important	1.5 + 0.4	2.4 + 0.7	0.4 + 0.2	0.9 + 0.6	1.2 + 0.7	1.4 + 0.5	1.7 + 0.7	1.3 + 0.4	1.4 + 0.5	1.4 + 1.0	2.0 + 0.9	1.2 + 0.4
Sample size	10,835	4,622	2,843	1,707	1,454	6,429	3,335	1,071	4,072	1,507	2,087	3,169
Weighted total	461,909	223,843	178,401	30,161	19,809	296,591	137,801	27,516	191,505	78,418	86,827	105,158

Table S_408 (cont.)

Importance of Being an Excellent Soldier
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Children
	Enlisted					Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Children
	39.5% ± 3.1	48.3% ± 8.6	53.8% ± 5.3	58.0% ± 6.8	57.6% ± 2.7	64.5% ± 4.1	58.5% ± 6.0	57.4% ± 5.7	59.0% ± 5.0	58.7% ± 3.2
Very important										
Important	47.2 ± 2.8	45.9 ± 9.9	36.6 ± 4.2	35.8 ± 6.4	37.1 ± 2.4	33.4 ± 4.1	34.6 ± 6.4	33.6 ± 4.4	35.1 ± 4.3	36.1 ± 3.1
Not very important	11.1 ± 1.6	5.0 ± 2.9	6.6 ± 3.4	4.8 ± 2.7	4.6 ± 1.0	2.0 ± 1.6	4.6 ± 1.8	8.2 ± 3.7	5.4 ± 1.9	4.5 ± 1.0
Not at all important	2.2 ± 0.7	0.9 ± 1.6	3.0 ± 2.2	1.4 ± 1.3	0.7 ± 0.4	0.1 ± 0.2	2.3 ± 1.4	0.9 ± 0.6	0.5 ± 0.5	0.6 ± 0.3
Sample size	2,848	237	472	422	1,898	627	622	886	893	3,229
Weighted total	174,148	10,583	14,819	14,058	85,840	40,371	10,026	13,865	15,255	119,352
										3,109
										106,268

Table S. 40C

Importance of Being an Outstanding Leader
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
Very important	55.7% + 1.8	38.5% + 2.0	72.4% + 1.9	73.3% + 3.1	70.2% + 3.2	58.2% + 2.5	53.7% + 2.7	60.1% + 5.5	53.2% + 2.1	49.9% + 3.5	55.0% + 3.4	65.0% + 2.2
Important	35.0 + 1.1	44.4 + 1.4	25.7 + 1.9	24.5 + 2.8	28.6 + 2.4	35.1 + 1.5	35.6 + 1.4	30.4 + 4.7	36.1 + 1.8	39.9 + 2.3	34.1 + 2.7	30.1 + 2.2
Not very important	7.3 + 0.9	13.3 + 1.3	1.4 + 0.4	1.9 + 1.0	3.0 + 1.1	6.9 + 1.2	8.0 + 1.6	7.9 + 1.3	8.5 + 1.3	7.9 + 2.1	8.1 + 1.3	4.0 + 1.2
Not at all important	2.1 + 0.4	3.8 + 0.8	0.4 + 0.2	0.3 + 0.2	0.3 + 0.3	1.8 + 0.5	2.7 + 0.8	1.7 + 0.5	2.2 + 0.6	2.2 + 0.7	2.9 + 1.0	1.0 + 0.5
Sample size	10,890	4,623	2,871	1,719	1,459	6,486	3,328	1,066	4,092	1,516	2,088	3,184
Weighted total	464,381	224,030	180,519	30,337	19,898	299,471	137,491	27,420	192,499	78,848	87,011	106,023

Importance of Being an Outstanding Leader by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

215

Table S_400
Importance of Battle Courage/Discipline
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very important	57.1%	46.5%	69.8%	61.6%	55.1%	57.2%	56.4%	59.3%	58.9%	55.9%	54.8%	56.8%
	+ 1.2	+ 1.8	+ 2.0	+ 4.6	+ 3.8	+ 1.5	+ 2.3	+ 5.3	+ 2.2	+ 2.9	+ 2.8	+ 3.2
Important	33.8	39.7	26.7	30.8	33.1	33.8	34.1	31.6	34.0	33.6	34.2	33.2
	+ 0.9	+ 1.6	+ 1.6	+ 3.0	+ 2.7	+ 1.3	+ 1.4	+ 3.8	+ 1.9	+ 3.3	+ 2.1	+ 2.1
Not very important	6.7	10.1	2.8	5.4	7.7	6.4	7.2	6.8	5.2	8.1	8.1	7.1
	+ 0.6	+ 1.0	+ 0.8	+ 2.2	+ 2.4	+ 0.8	+ 1.2	+ 1.8	+ 0.8	+ 1.3	+ 1.8	+ 1.7
Not at all important	2.4	3.7	0.7	2.1	4.0	2.5	2.3	2.3	2.0	2.4	2.9	2.9
	+ 0.4	+ 0.7	+ 0.3	+ 1.2	+ 1.5	+ 0.6	+ 0.6	+ 0.7	+ 0.6	+ 0.9	+ 1.0	+ 0.8
Sample size	10,860	4,624	2,865	1,711	1,452	6,471	3,322	1,067	4,085	1,513	2,090	3,172
Weighted total	463,287	223,739	179,983	30,137	19,830	298,691	137,140	27,457	192,026	78,489	87,067	105,704

Table S 400 (cont.)

Importance of Battle Courage/Discipline
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Very important	48.7% + 2.2 - 2.2	50.2% + 7.5 - 7.5	54.1% + 5.3 - 5.3	63.3% + 5.5 - 5.5	56.8% + 2.6 - 2.6	64.5% + 2.4 - 2.4	69.9% + 3.7 - 3.7	62.5% + 5.0 - 5.0	56.2% + 4.9 - 4.9	59.7% + 4.3 - 4.3	63.6% + 2.0 - 2.0	62.3% + 1.9 - 1.9		
Important	38.5 + 1.8 - 1.8	39.4 + 7.8 - 7.8	32.8 + 5.7 - 5.7	29.9 + 5.4 - 5.4	35.4 + 2.5 - 2.5	29.8 + 2.4 - 2.4	26.0 + 3.4 - 3.4	29.5 + 5.3 - 5.3	32.5 + 3.3 - 3.3	33.2 + 3.9 - 3.9	30.2 + 1.9 - 1.9	30.9 + 1.6 - 1.6		
Not very important	9.3 + 1.1 - 1.1	7.3 + 4.1 - 4.1	10.3 + 3.5 - 3.5	4.9 + 1.8 - 1.8	5.6 + 1.1 - 1.1	4.2 + 1.0 - 1.0	4.0 + 2.0 - 2.0	5.2 + 2.6 - 2.6	7.3 + 3.0 - 3.0	5.1 + 1.9 - 1.9	4.8 + 1.0 - 1.0	4.9 + 0.9 - 0.9		
Not at all important	3.4 + 0.8 - 0.8	3.1 + 2.3 - 2.3	2.9 + 1.6 - 1.6	1.9 + 1.3 - 1.3	2.2 + 0.8 - 0.8	1.5 + 0.5 - 0.5	0.1 + 0.3 - 0.3	2.7 + 1.7 - 1.7	3.9 + 1.9 - 1.9	2.0 + 0.9 - 0.9	1.4 + 0.5 - 0.5	1.9 + 0.6 - 0.6		
Sample size	2,854	241	472	422	1,278	1,900	631	621	887	893	3,240	3,112		
Weighted total	174,428	10,771	14,898	14,034	54,083	85,914	40,772	10,005	13,752	15,285	120,175	106,256		

Table S-41

Individual Preparedness to Perform Wartime Job Tasks
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very well prepared	21.9% + 1.0	17.2% + 1.3	26.4% + 1.7	19.8% + 2.4	33.2% + 3.8	21.8% + 1.3	22.2% + 1.6	21.3% + 3.1	23.2% + 1.8	18.8% + 2.7	19.8% + 2.2	23.6% + 2.1
Well prepared	51.5 + 0.9	48.8 + 1.6	53.8 + 1.5	59.0 + 3.0	49.9 + 3.2	51.0 + 1.2	52.1 + 1.5	54.7 + 3.0	52.6 + 1.7	55.2 + 2.6	50.0 + 2.4	48.0 + 2.4
Neither well nor poorly prepared	22.2 + 0.9	28.1 + 1.3	17.4 + 1.3	16.5 + 2.5	13.1 + 1.8	22.9 + 1.1	21.2 + 1.7	19.9 + 1.6	20.7 + 1.4	22.8 + 2.3	24.5 + 2.3	22.9 + 2.0
Poorly prepared	3.3 + 0.5	4.2 + 0.8	1.9 + 0.6	4.4 + 1.5	3.3 + 1.3	3.3 + 0.6	3.4 + 0.9	2.6 + 1.4	2.6 + 0.7	2.3 + 0.9	4.2 + 1.3	4.5 + 0.9
Very poorly prepared	1.1 + 0.3	1.7 + 0.5	0.4 + 0.2	0.3 + 0.3	0.5 + 0.6	1.0 + 0.3	1.1 + 0.6	1.5 + 0.7	0.9 + 0.4	1.1 + 0.9	1.5 + 0.6	1.1 + 0.6
Sample size	10,871	4,630	2,863	1,712	1,457	6,477	3,325	1,069	4,087	1,514	2,095	3,175
Weighted total	464,098	224,286	180,053	30,198	19,868	299,046	137,581	27,472	192,347	76,850	87,411	105,491

Table S_41 (cont.)

Individual Preparedness to Perform Wartime Job Tasks
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Very well prepared	17.9%	14.9%	17.0%	20.2%	23.2%	24.4%	28.3%	28.2%	22.1%	32.5%	25.5%	25.2%
	± 1.5	± 5.1	± 3.6	± 5.1	± 3.2	± 2.5	± 3.7	± 3.3	± 3.1	± 5.0	± 2.0	± 1.9
Well prepared	50.0	49.0	50.7	47.3	52.5	52.2	54.9	53.9	59.1	53.3	53.3	53.3
	± 2.1	± 5.6	± 5.8	± 4.9	± 3.4	± 2.5	± 3.9	± 4.4	± 3.8	± 4.3	± 2.5	± 1.5
Neither well nor poorly prepared	26.3	28.3	26.2	25.1	21.0	20.3	15.3	15.4	15.5	11.4	18.2	18.7
	± 1.9	± 6.7	± 4.4	± 5.1	± 3.0	± 2.2	± 3.2	± 3.3	± 3.0	± 2.6	± 1.8	± 1.3
Poorly prepared	4.3	5.9	3.8	5.4	2.6	2.6	1.0	2.5	3.0	2.6	2.5	2.1
	± 0.9	± 3.1	± 1.6	± 2.7	± 1.1	± 0.7	± 0.7	± 1.7	± 1.6	± 1.1	± 0.8	± 0.6
Very poorly prepared	1.5	1.8	2.3	1.9	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.7
	± 0.5	± 1.7	± 1.7	± 1.2	± 0.4	± 0.4	± 0.5	± 0.0	± 0.4	± 0.4	± 0.3	± 0.3
Sample size	2,856	241	472	422	1,278	1,898	633	625	887	895	3,239	3,119
Weighted total	174,682	10,771	14,933	14,028	54,246	85,856	40,849	10,064	13,880	15,283	120,238	106,577

Table S 42A

Individual Task Preparedness Versus Nuclear Weapons
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very well prepared	6.1% + 0.8	5.2% + 0.9	7.6% + 1.3	4.9% + 1.4	5.8% + 1.5	6.3% + 1.0	5.9% + 1.3	4.8% + 1.9	6.0% + 1.2	5.6% + 1.5	5.7% + 1.2	6.9% + 1.5
Well prepared	25.8 + 1.1	22.2 + 1.6	31.0 + 1.7	22.7 + 2.3	24.0 + 2.1	24.6 + 1.3	29.2 + 1.8	22.1 + 4.1	27.7 + 2.3	25.0 + 3.0	25.1 + 1.7	23.4 + 1.9
Neither well nor poorly prepared	37.6 + 1.1	38.2 + 1.5	37.5 + 1.6	35.9 + 2.5	32.1 + 2.5	37.8 + 1.6	37.0 + 1.1	38.5 + 3.6	36.1 + 1.8	39.4 + 2.8	40.4 + 2.3	36.7 + 1.9
Poorly prepared	16.7 + 1.0	17.6 + 1.3	13.7 + 1.5	24.0 + 2.6	23.5 + 2.1	17.1 + 1.5	15.3 + 1.2	19.3 + 1.4	16.2 + 1.6	16.3 + 3.1	16.2 + 1.8	18.3 + 2.5
Very poorly prepared	13.8 + 0.9	16.8 + 1.3	10.2 + 1.3	12.5 + 2.1	14.6 + 2.1	14.2 + 1.2	12.6 + 1.4	15.2 + 2.8	14.0 + 1.7	13.7 + 1.9	12.6 + 1.7	14.6 + 1.5
Sample size	10,915	4,670	2,871	1,718	1,447	6,495	3,347	1,073	4,104	1,538	2,101	3,172
Weighted total	466,623	226,198	180,711	30,310	19,705	300,500	138,324	27,800	192,908	80,376	87,556	105,783

Table S_42A (cont.)

Individual Task Preparedness Versus Nuclear Weapons
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Enlisted	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+
Very well prepared	5.3% + 1.0	5.1% + 3.3	4.5% + 2.7	6.0% + 3.3	6.0% + 1.4	7.0% + 1.6	7.5% + 2.8	4.9% + 1.4	4.3% + 1.2	6.8% + 1.8
Well prepared	22.7 + 1.7	26.9 + 5.4	21.6 + 4.1	23.5 + 4.0	28.6 + 2.7	28.9 + 2.2	30.7 + 3.3	22.5 + 4.2	24.0 + 3.9	25.7 + 3.2
Neither well nor poorly prepared	37.5 + 2.3	42.7 + 6.9	38.3 + 3.7	37.0 + 5.5	36.2 + 2.6	38.3 + 2.3	36.3 + 5.2	36.6 + 4.5	36.7 + 3.2	34.1 + 3.8
Poorly prepared	18.0 + 1.5	16.5 + 4.4	19.8 + 3.5	17.5 + 4.7	14.4 + 2.2	15.0 + 2.2	15.7 + 3.2	22.9 + 4.4	21.1 + 3.2	20.2 + 3.2
Very poorly prepared	16.4 + 1.9	8.8 + 3.3	15.8 + 3.6	16.0 + 3.8	14.8 + 1.8	10.8 + 1.6	9.8 + 2.2	13.2 + 2.9	13.9 + 3.2	13.3 + 2.6
Spouse not Employed	2,865	241	478	420	1,291	1,910	634	624	890	891
Spouse Employed	175,438	10,782	15,150	14,069	54,661	86,368	40,932	10,030	13,934	15,225
Sample size										
Weighted total										

Table S. 42B

Individual Task Preparedness Versus Biological Agents
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very well prepared	6.9% + 0.8	6.8% + 1.1	7.9% + 1.2	4.0% + 1.0	4.6% + 1.3	6.7% + 1.1	7.4% + 1.3	7.0% + 2.3	6.8% + 1.3	7.8% + 2.2	6.3% + 1.2	6.8% + 1.3
Well prepared	32.3 + 1.2	32.8 + 1.6	35.3 + 1.8	21.3 + 2.4	18.7 + 1.5	31.5 + 1.5	34.9 + 2.2	28.1 + 3.7	33.8 + 2.3	33.5 + 2.9	34.3 + 2.5	27.1 + 2.0
Neither well nor poorly prepared	36.2 + 1.0	36.7 + 1.2	36.4 + 2.0	34.4 + 2.1	29.5 + 2.6	36.6 + 1.2	34.9 + 1.6	37.9 + 3.4	36.1 + 1.6	38.8 + 2.3	38.4 + 2.1	34.1 + 2.2
Poorly prepared	16.2 + 1.0	14.9 + 1.2	14.4 + 1.2	27.1 + 2.3	29.2 + 2.4	16.2 + 1.4	15.7 + 1.4	17.4 + 3.1	15.1 + 1.5	14.5 + 2.3	14.5 + 2.1	20.7 + 2.4
Very poorly prepared	8.4 + 0.7	8.8 + 0.9	6.0 + 0.9	13.2 + 1.6	18.0 + 1.9	9.0 + 1.0	7.1 + 0.6	9.5 + 1.9	8.2 + 1.2	7.4 + 1.3	6.5 + 1.3	11.3 + 1.4
Sample size	10,881	4,652	2,864	1,713	1,444	6,478	3,335	1,068	4,088	1,534	2,096	3,163
Weighted total	465,329	225,529	180,259	30,240	19,684	299,772	137,908	27,649	192,369	80,169	87,316	105,475

Individual Task Preparedness Versus Biological Agents by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

223

Table S 42C

Individual Task Preparedness Versus Chemical Agents
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very well prepared	8.7% + 0.8	8.1% + 1.2	10.5% + 1.2	5.8% + 1.2	5.6% + 1.6	8.5% + 1.0	9.3% + 1.4	8.2% + 2.0	8.9% + 1.2	9.7% + 2.1	7.7% + 1.4	8.5% + 1.4
Well prepared	37.3 + 1.3	37.8 + 1.5	39.3 + 2.1	31.0 + 2.7	26.0 + 2.2	36.2 + 1.6	40.6 + 2.2	33.5 + 2.7	40.2 + 2.1	37.7 + 3.4	39.2 + 2.7	30.4 + 2.3
Neither well nor poorly prepared	33.0 + 1.1	33.4 + 1.3	32.6 + 2.3	32.1 + 2.7	31.2 + 2.0	33.5 + 1.3	31.0 + 1.9	37.3 + 2.2	31.7 + 1.6	34.2 + 2.6	35.4 + 2.2	32.6 + 2.5
Poorly prepared	14.0 + 1.0	13.1 + 1.3	12.6 + 1.2	22.5 + 2.2	24.0 + 1.9	14.4 + 1.5	13.3 + 1.4	13.4 + 2.3	12.7 + 1.3	12.9 + 2.3	12.8 + 1.8	18.3 + 2.2
Very poorly prepared	6.9 + 0.6	7.6 + 0.9	5.0 + 0.9	8.5 + 1.6	13.2 + 1.9	7.3 + 0.9	5.9 + 0.9	7.6 + 0.9	6.6 + 1.0	5.6 + 1.1	5.0 + 1.2	10.2 + 1.5
Sample size	10,886	4,651	2,866	1,716	1,445	6,482	3,334	1,070	4,095	1,535	2,095	3,161
Weighted total	465,437	225,459	180,374	30,294	19,693	299,935	137,782	27,720	192,578	80,228	87,266	105,366

Table S-42C (cont.)

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Very well prepared	8.2% + 1.3	6.3% + 3.4	6.1% + 3.0	7.8% + 4.0	8.6% + 1.5	11.3% + 1.5	10.2% + 2.8	6.3% + 1.7	4.8% + 1.3	6.7% + 2.3	9.4% + 1.5	9.2% + 1.3
Well prepared	37.3 + 1.9	33.6 + 4.8	35.6 + 4.7	30.4 + 6.3	40.3 + 3.5	40.0 + 3.1	38.4 + 4.6	28.8 + 4.6	31.1 + 3.8	29.1 + 3.9	37.2 + 3.0	38.9 + 2.7
Neither well nor poorly prepared	33.3 + 1.8	39.5 + 7.3	34.3 + 4.7	36.7 + 6.0	32.8 + 2.8	31.1 + 2.9	31.4 + 4.9	33.9 + 5.7	33.1 + 3.2	30.1 + 2.9	31.6 + 2.7	32.8 + 1.9
Poorly prepared	13.8 + 1.7	16.1 + 4.2	14.1 + 3.4	17.1 + 4.4	12.8 + 1.8	12.2 + 2.0	14.6 + 2.7	20.4 + 4.4	21.1 + 3.3	22.5 + 3.8	15.2 + 1.5	13.1 + 1.7
Very poorly prepared	7.4 + 1.1	4.6 + 2.6	9.9 + 2.7	8.0 + 3.1	5.6 + 1.2	5.4 + 1.4	5.4 + 1.6	10.6 + 3.0	9.9 + 2.6	11.6 + 2.9	6.6 + 1.0	6.0 + 1.1
Sample size	2,858	241	477	418	1,285	1,906	633	624	889	890	3,237	3,131
Weighted total	175,083	10,782	15,121	14,031	54,506	86,282	40,869	9,997	13,914	15,186	120,412	106,921

Table S 420

Individual Task Preparedness Versus Conventional Weapons
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very well prepared	26.3% ± 1.3	24.7% ± 2.1	28.1% ± 1.7	26.1% ± 3.1	29.4% ± 2.3	26.5% ± 1.7	26.2% ± 2.4	25.3% ± 3.4	31.5% ± 1.9	24.0% ± 2.7	20.7% ± 2.5	23.3% ± 2.4
Well prepared	44.6 ± 1.2	43.1 ± 1.8	45.2 ± 2.1	48.9 ± 2.1	44.5 ± 2.8	44.3 ± 1.1	45.4 ± 2.9	44.2 ± 1.8	46.1 ± 1.8	46.7 ± 3.4	43.0 ± 2.4	41.5 ± 3.1
Neither well nor poorly prepared	21.9 ± 0.8	24.4 ± 1.5	20.3 ± 1.9	18.3 ± 2.5	19.1 ± 2.1	21.5 ± 0.9	22.3 ± 2.0	24.2 ± 2.4	17.6 ± 1.3	22.3 ± 2.6	28.4 ± 2.0	24.2 ± 1.7
Poorly prepared	4.8 ± 0.6	5.0 ± 0.9	4.6 ± 0.8	4.6 ± 1.7	4.9 ± 1.4	5.2 ± 0.8	4.1 ± 0.9	3.7 ± 1.1	3.2 ± 0.6	4.4 ± 1.6	5.2 ± 1.1	7.6 ± 1.4
Very poorly prepared	2.4 ± 0.3	2.9 ± 0.5	1.8 ± 0.5	2.2 ± 0.7	2.2 ± 1.0	2.5 ± 0.4	2.1 ± 0.6	2.6 ± 0.7	1.6 ± 0.5	2.5 ± 0.8	2.6 ± 0.8	3.4 ± 0.9
Sample size	10,899	4,659	2,865	1,720	1,447	6,488	3,339	1,072	4,103	1,532	2,099	3,165
Weighted total	465,684	225,825	180,162	30,351	19,728	300,103	137,834	27,746	192,915	79,922	87,371	105,476

Individual Task Preparedness Versus Conventional Weapons by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

227

Table S_43

Individual Job Performance, as Compared to within Pay Grade
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
1 - Much better than most	29.6%	25.0%	35.2%	25.9%	34.1%	29.4%	29.9%	29.7%	27.2%	27.4%	29.7%	35.3%
	+ 1.6	+ 1.8	+ 2.0	+ 3.1	+ 2.9	+ 2.2	+ 1.9	+ 3.6	+ 2.1	+ 2.5	+ 2.8	+ 2.5
2	33.3	30.7	32.2	49.2	44.9	33.3	33.1	35.4	33.7	31.1	32.1	35.4
	+ 1.3	+ 1.7	+ 1.8	+ 2.6	+ 2.4	+ 1.5	+ 2.6	+ 1.5	+ 2.0	+ 2.6	+ 2.5	+ 2.4
3	15.5	17.2	14.0	14.7	11.6	15.5	15.8	13.0	16.4	16.8	15.6	12.6
	+ 0.9	+ 1.2	+ 1.3	+ 1.9	+ 1.7	+ 1.2	+ 1.5	+ 1.8	+ 1.3	+ 1.9	+ 1.8	+ 1.3
4 - About average	14.6	18.4	12.6	6.4	5.5	14.5	14.7	15.0	15.4	15.8	15.6	11.3
	+ 1.0	+ 1.3	+ 1.2	+ 1.5	+ 0.9	+ 1.2	+ 1.9	+ 3.4	+ 1.4	+ 2.1	+ 2.2	+ 1.5
5	4.8	6.3	3.7	2.9	2.9	5.0	4.4	4.9	5.2	6.5	4.2	3.4
	+ 0.6	+ 0.8	+ 0.8	+ 1.1	+ 1.1	+ 0.8	+ 0.7	+ 1.7	+ 0.7	+ 1.5	+ 1.3	+ 0.7
6	1.6	1.7	1.8	0.9	0.9	1.7	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.5	1.5
	+ 0.3	+ 0.5	+ 0.7	+ 0.5	+ 0.4	+ 0.5	+ 0.5	+ 0.3	+ 0.5	+ 0.6	+ 0.6	+ 0.6
7 - Much worse than most	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.1	0.2	0.6	0.5	0.9	0.4	0.7	1.1	0.4
	+ 0.2	+ 0.3	+ 0.3	+ 0.1	+ 0.2	+ 0.2	+ 0.3	+ 0.9	+ 0.2	+ 0.5	+ 0.5	+ 0.5
Sample size	10,899	4,655	2,869	1,718	1,449	6,490	3,336	1,073	4,093	1,531	2,103	3,172
Weighted total	465,729	225,601	180,325	30,377	19,774	299,746	138,331	27,651	192,541	80,092	87,893	105,403

Table S_43 (cont.)

Individual Job Performance, as Compared to within Pay Grade
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse												
Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
1 - Much better than most												
24.7% ± 2.1	30.1% ± 7.1	32.9% ± 5.3	39.3% ± 6.8	29.4% ± 3.2	32.5% ± 2.2	37.4% ± 4.8	32.1% ± 4.6	28.0% ± 3.8	34.7% ± 4.0	33.6% ± 2.8	31.2% ± 2.1	
2												
32.1 ± 2.0	33.7 ± 6.0	32.8 ± 4.8	27.8 ± 4.6	30.8 ± 2.7	33.5 ± 2.5	34.3 ± 3.6	43.0 ± 5.0	50.5 ± 4.1	43.0 ± 3.6	34.8 ± 2.3	34.8 ± 2.3	
3												
16.5 ± 1.5	15.7 ± 4.4	15.0 ± 4.7	16.1 ± 5.9	16.9 ± 2.7	14.1 ± 1.8	13.4 ± 2.8	14.5 ± 3.3	11.9 ± 2.7	12.4 ± 2.1	14.2 ± 1.7	15.1 ± 1.8	
4 - About average												
18.0 ± 1.6	14.2 ± 4.8	14.4 ± 3.5	10.2 ± 3.5	15.7 ± 2.5	13.2 ± 2.2	10.4 ± 2.3	6.6 ± 1.7	6.1 ± 1.7	6.3 ± 1.7	11.5 ± 1.2	12.9 ± 1.8	
5												
6.5 ± 1.1	3.9 ± 2.9	2.5 ± 1.6	5.0 ± 2.9	4.3 ± 1.4	4.3 ± 1.0	3.0 ± 1.4	2.6 ± 1.2	2.3 ± 1.2	2.8 ± 1.2	3.5 ± 1.0	4.2 ± 1.0	
6												
1.5 ± 0.5	2.3 ± 2.4	1.4 ± 1.1	0.5 ± 0.6	2.0 ± 0.8	1.8 ± 0.6	1.4 ± 1.0	1.2 ± 0.9	1.0 ± 0.7	0.7 ± 0.5	2.0 ± 0.6	1.4 ± 0.5	
7 - Much worse than most												
0.6 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0	1.1 ± 1.4	1.0 ± 1.3	0.9 ± 0.5	0.5 ± 0.4	0.2 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.3	0.6 ± 0.3	
Sample size												
2,865	240	477	422	1,288	1,901	634	622	889	892	3,241	3,127	
Weighted total												
175,444	10,714	15,134	14,096	54,679	85,837	40,871	10,034	13,884	15,262	120,414	106,737	

Table S 44

Last Physical Readiness Test Score
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	231.1 + 2.7	229.3 + 2.9	230.5 + 3.5	245.5 + 4.0	239.3 + 6.0	230.8 + 4.1	231.2 + 2.5	233.2 + 7.3	234.7 + 4.8	230.3 + 2.8	231.9 + 3.7	225.0 + 3.9
50th percentile	252.5 + 2.2	249.4 + 2.2	253.4 + 3.1	268.2 + 4.5	264.3 + 3.9	252.9 + 3.2	251.5 + 2.1	253.9 + 8.0	254.3 + 2.9	250.8 + 2.8	253.1 + 3.5	249.3 + 3.3
75th percentile	274.0 + 2.2	269.1 + 2.4	274.8 + 3.1	286.4 + 1.7	286.7 + 1.6	274.4 + 3.4	272.2 + 1.9	279.0 + 6.3	275.1 + 2.8	272.6 + 3.3	273.2 + 3.4	273.6 + 3.7
Sample size	8,905	3,472	2,533	1,578	1,147	5,280	2,757	868	3,446	1,264	1,704	2,491
Weighted total	377,570	167,326	159,331	27,285	15,499	243,181	112,562	21,827	157,447	65,851	70,987	83,284

Table S_44 (cont.)

Last Physical Readiness Test Score
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
25th percentile	230.7 + 3.7	234.0 + 10.2	237.5 + 6.5	231.6 + 5.9	232.1 + 4.0	229.1 + 3.7	224.9 + 5.4	241.1 + 4.0	241.0 + 4.4	233.5 + 9.1	230.2 + 3.4	230.4 + 4.0
50th percentile	251.3 + 2.3	255.6 + 6.6	259.3 + 4.7	254.1 + 5.8	252.4 + 2.8	250.1 + 3.4	248.5 + 4.9	264.0 + 7.7	264.4 + 4.5	257.8 + 5.0	252.0 + 3.0	252.4 + 3.5
75th percentile	272.5 + 2.5	276.1 + 6.5	278.8 + 4.8	273.3 + 5.6	271.1 + 2.9	271.6 + 3.5	270.2 + 7.5	286.0 + 2.6	285.3 + 2.2	281.6 + 3.9	274.1 + 3.4	274.4 + 3.3
Sample size	2,245	178	385	359	1,034	1,550	541	545	781	752	2,730	2,581
Weighted total	134,700	7,778	12,226	11,987	44,720	72,681	35,278	8,708	12,190	12,647	102,946	88,607

Table S_45

Ever Taken Skill Qualification Test (SQT)
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	90.9% + 1.8 -	85.7% + 2.9 -	97.6% + 0.9 -	--	--	89.1% + 2.5 -	95.2% + 1.5 -	87.6% + 6.6 -	89.2% + 3.2 -	91.6% + 3.0 -	92.5% + 2.1 -	92.6% + 3.1 -
No	9.1 + 1.8 -	14.3 + 2.9 -	2.4 + 0.9 -	--	--	10.9 + 2.5 -	4.8 + 1.5 -	12.4 + 6.6 -	10.8 + 3.2 -	8.4 + 3.0 -	7.5 + 2.1 -	7.4 + 3.1 -
Sample size	7,290	4,518	2,772	0	0	4,169	2,447	674	3,048	1,239	1,580	1,423
Weighted total	391,944	217,945	173,999	0	0	247,935	121,842	22,167	168,709	72,511	76,542	74,182

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S_45 (cont.)
 Ever Taken Skill Qualification Test (SQT)
 by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse												
Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed	Spouse Employed
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+			
Yes	86.1% ± 3.0	97.0% ± 3.0	92.3% ± 4.1	95.7% ± 2.9	90.4% ± 2.3	94.3% ± 1.7	97.9% ± 1.0	--	--	--	94.6% ± 1.3	93.1% ± 2.1
No	13.9 ± 3.0	3.0 ± 3.0	7.7 ± 4.1	4.3 ± 2.9	9.6 ± 2.3	5.7 ± 1.7	2.1 ± 1.0	--	--	--	5.4 ± 1.3	6.9 ± 2.1
Sample size	2,234	199	319	296	1,245	1,865	607	0	0	0	1,903	1,920
Weighted total	155,609	9,831	12,689	11,847	52,733	83,944	38,944	0	0	0	94,863	86,283

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 46

If Ever Taken SQT, Most Recent SQT Score
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	74.8 + 0.7	71.9 + 0.7	77.0 + 0.6	--	--	74.2 + 0.9	75.5 + 0.4	76.0 + 1.1	74.3 + 1.0	72.8 + 1.2	75.1 + 1.0	76.9 + 1.1
50th percentile	81.3 + 0.4	79.1 + 0.5	83.6 + 0.6	--	--	81.2 + 0.6	81.4 + 0.5	81.9 + 1.8	81.0 + 0.6	79.2 + 0.7	82.3 + 1.4	83.9 + 1.0
75th percentile	88.2 + 0.6	85.9 + 0.6	90.2 + 0.5	--	--	88.6 + 0.8	87.5 + 0.8	88.1 + 1.6	87.5 + 0.5	85.4 + 0.9	89.7 + 1.3	90.7 + 0.7
Sample size	5,721	3,211	2,510	0	0	3,243	1,968	510	2,335	979	1,261	1,146
Weighted total	309,087	151,086	158,001	0	0	195,353	97,441	16,292	129,891	57,559	60,798	60,839

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 46 (cont.)

If Ever Taken SQT, Most Recent SQT Score
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+			
25th percentile	72.4 ± 1.1	71.3 ± 2.6	74.5 ± 2.2	74.6 ± 3.5	76.2 ± 0.9	76.1 ± 0.6	77.5 ± 1.2	--	--	--	--	76.5 ± 0.6	76.2 ± 0.7	
50th percentile	79.6 ± 0.6	79.2 ± 1.9	81.8 ± 1.5	82.6 ± 2.2	83.1 ± 1.0	82.3 ± 0.9	83.7 ± 1.4	--	--	--	--	82.9 ± 0.9	82.7 ± 0.7	
75th percentile	86.3 ± 0.6	86.2 ± 1.8	88.3 ± 2.2	89.3 ± 2.4	89.9 ± 0.9	89.3 ± 0.9	90.3 ± 1.5	--	--	--	--	89.7 ± 0.9	89.6 ± 0.8	
Sample size	1,590	172	246	255	966	1,538	533	0	0	0	0	1,599	1,518	
Weighted total	109,387	8,285	9,727	10,108	42,125	72,003	35,132	0	0	0	0	82,492	71,351	

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S_47

Senior Rater Potential Box Check on Most Recent NCO-ER
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type					
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
1 - Successful	40.6% ± 2.9	—	40.6% ± 2.9	—	—	43.2% ± 4.1	36.1% ± 4.5	40.0% ± 9.5	39.2% ± 4.7	30.2% ± 5.3	40.3% ± 5.7	48.3% ± 4.5
2	38.7 ± 2.7	—	38.7 ± 2.7	—	—	37.0 ± 3.6	41.1 ± 4.8	41.7 ± 4.7	37.5 ± 5.1	44.7 ± 6.1	40.2 ± 5.3	36.0 ± 5.1
3	16.4 ± 1.7	—	16.4 ± 1.7	—	—	15.4 ± 2.2	18.1 ± 3.2	16.5 ± 5.2	18.1 ± 2.4	20.0 ± 5.2	15.1 ± 4.2	13.0 ± 3.4
4 - Fair	3.7 ± 0.9	—	3.7 ± 0.9	—	—	3.7 ± 1.2	4.0 ± 1.4	1.8 ± 2.6	4.3 ± 1.4	4.1 ± 2.1	4.4 ± 2.2	2.3 ± 1.2
5 - Poor	0.6 ± 0.4	—	0.6 ± 0.4	—	—	0.7 ± 0.5	0.6 ± 0.8	0.0 ± 0.0	0.9 ± 0.8	1.0 ± 1.4	0.0 ± 0.0	0.4 ± 0.6
Sample size	1,764	0	1,764	0	0	888	705	171	617	270	361	516
Weighted total	116,383	0	116,383	0	0	68,612	40,286	7,485	42,854	19,037	20,845	33,647

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S_47 (cont.)

Senior Rater Potential Box Check on Most Recent NCO-ER
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
1 - Successful	40.4% ± 6.8	38.3% ± 12.8	39.6% ± 17.8	43.1% ± 10.8	43.8% ± 7.8	34.5% ± 4.7	47.3% ± 5.3	--	--	--	--	44.0% ± 4.6	37.6% ± 4.1
2	35.6 ± 6.2	33.9 ± 13.3	48.1 ± 17.4	31.6 ± 9.6	34.9 ± 6.9	45.3 ± 5.2	36.6 ± 5.1	--	--	--	--	37.5 ± 4.2	42.4 ± 4.9
3	18.1 ± 4.9	22.7 ± 10.6	9.5 ± 6.3	21.3 ± 9.7	15.8 ± 4.8	16.5 ± 3.4	12.9 ± 3.6	--	--	--	--	14.3 ± 2.5	18.6 ± 3.6
4 - Fair	5.7 ± 3.2	5.1 ± 6.0	2.8 ± 5.5	4.0 ± 4.5	4.0 ± 2.3	3.1 ± 1.4	2.7 ± 1.6	--	--	--	--	3.5 ± 1.5	2.9 ± 1.3
5 - Poor	0.3 ± 0.6	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	1.5 ± 1.6	0.5 ± 0.8	0.4 ± 0.7	--	--	--	--	0.5 ± 0.6	0.6 ± 0.8
Sample size	246	62	52	101	245	487	381	0	0	0	0	662	487
Weighted total	12,912	3,284	2,984	5,270	15,554	34,703	28,257	0	0	0	0	46,559	34,793

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S_48

If Never Received NCO-ER Evaluation, Score on Most Recent EER
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other OCCUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	120.1 + 0.4	--	120.1 + 0.4	--	--	120.2 + 0.4	119.9 + 1.0	120.1 + 1.2	120.1 + 0.6	120.0 + 0.7	120.2 + 0.6
50th percentile	121.8 + 0.7	--	121.8 + 0.7	--	--	121.8 + 0.8	121.6 + 2.2	121.7 + 2.4	121.8 + 1.2	121.7 + 1.1	121.8 + 1.3
75th percentile	123.4 + 0.9	--	123.4 + 0.9	--	--	123.4 + 1.1	123.3 + 2.5	123.4 + 2.9	123.4 + 1.4	123.3 + 1.5	123.4 + 1.8
Sample size	596	0	596	0	0	455	88	53	181	46	257
Weighted total	40,388	0	40,388	0	0	33,958	4,291	2,140	13,006	3,530	16,798

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S_48 (cont.)

If Never Received NCO-ER Evaluation, Score on Most Recent EER
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed	Spouse Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5		
25th percentile	120.0 + 0.5	--	--	--	120.2 + 0.6	120.0 + 0.6	120.2 + 0.4	120.1 + 0.5	--	--	--	--	120.1 + 0.4	120.2 + 0.5
50th percentile	121.7 + 0.8	--	--	--	121.8 + 1.3	121.7 + 1.1	121.8 + 0.8	121.7 + 1.0	--	--	--	--	121.7 + 0.8	121.8 + 1.0
75th percentile	123.3 + 0.9	--	--	--	123.4 + 2.0	123.3 + 1.7	123.4 + 0.9	123.4 + 1.4	--	--	--	--	123.4 + 1.2	123.4 + 1.2
Sample size	93	28	23	40	93	93	163	104	0	0	0	0	218	152
Weighted total	5,143	1,524	1,171	1,764	6,285	12,763	8,098	0	0	0	0	0	16,690	11,264

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S-49

Senior Rater Potential Box Check on Most Recent DER
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location				Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOJ	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
1	43.8% + 2.7	—	—	37.9% + 3.2	52.0% + 2.8	44.6% + 3.3	42.4% + 4.5	40.4% + 9.8	42.8% + 4.4	41.9% + 7.1	40.6% + 5.6	45.6% + 4.0
2	43.1 + 2.2	—	—	45.0 + 3.1	38.7 + 1.9	43.3 + 2.3	42.7 + 5.9	42.2 + 9.0	42.2 + 4.7	45.7 + 6.3	45.5 + 5.3	42.4 + 2.2
3	11.5 + 1.7	—	—	15.1 + 2.1	7.9 + 1.9	10.7 + 2.0	12.9 + 3.8	15.6 + 3.6	12.8 + 3.3	12.0 + 7.2	13.0 + 3.8	10.2 + 2.3
4	1.4 + 0.5	—	—	1.7 + 0.7	1.2 + 0.7	1.3 + 0.6	1.8 + 1.2	1.6 + 1.6	1.8 + 1.3	0.4 + 0.7	0.9 + 0.7	1.5 + 0.9
5	0.2 + 0.2	—	—	0.2 + 0.2	0.1 + 0.2	0.1 + 0.2	0.3 + 0.4	0.0 + 0.0	0.3 + 0.3	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.2 + 0.3
6	0.0 + 0.0	—	—	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0
7	0.0 + 0.1	—	—	0.1 + 0.1	0.0 + 0.0	0.1 + 0.1	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.1 + 0.1
8	0.0 + 0.0	—	—	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0
9	0.0 + 0.0	—	—	0.0 + 0.1	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.2 + 0.5	0.1 + 0.1	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0
Sample size	3,217	0	0	1,625	1,403	2,071	813	333	915	233	447	1,622
Weighted total	56,114	0	0	28,244	19,160	39,601	12,662	3,851	16,714	4,829	7,935	26,636

(---) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S_49 (cont.)

Senior Rater Potential Box Check on Most Recent DER
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted		Officer					
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
1	32.2% ± 5.7	38.5% ± 22.2	41.1% ± 8.9	50.1% ± 10.9	--	--	--	42.3% ± 4.1	47.7% ± 5.4	51.7% ± 4.1	48.1% ± 3.7	47.6% ± 3.5
2	48.5 ± 6.8	53.2 ± 19.1	41.1 ± 5.5	41.7 ± 11.9	--	--	--	42.1 ± 3.8	39.6 ± 4.6	41.4 ± 3.3	41.2 ± 3.0	40.9 ± 3.0
3	17.6 ± 3.3	8.3 ± 8.5	15.3 ± 7.5	8.2 ± 6.3	--	--	--	13.3 ± 4.1	10.7 ± 3.0	5.9 ± 2.1	8.8 ± 2.1	10.2 ± 2.8
4	1.4 ± 1.1	0.0 ± 0.0	1.2 ± 1.7	0.0 ± 0.0	--	--	--	2.0 ± 1.2	1.7 ± 1.1	0.9 ± 0.8	1.7 ± 1.1	1.1 ± 0.5
5	0.2 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0	0.4 ± 0.8	0.0 ± 0.0	--	--	--	0.3 ± 0.4	0.2 ± 0.3	0.1 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.3
6	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	--	--	--	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0
7	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.9 ± 1.7	0.0 ± 0.0	--	--	--	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0
8	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	--	--	--	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0
9	0.1 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	--	--	--	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0
Sample size	496	34	146	117	0	0	0	595	841	872	1,220	1,114
Weighted total	12,041	748	2,241	1,735	0	0	0	9,632	12,781	14,794	20,538	17,255

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 50

Last Rating In, Above, or Below the Center Mass for Senior Rater
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Above center of mass	58.9% + 2.0	--	--	57.8% + 3.1	58.3% + 2.9	59.0% + 2.8	59.2% + 2.2	58.7% + 2.5	58.1% + 7.9	61.6% + 4.7	57.8% + 2.5
In center of mass	18.6 + 2.3	--	--	19.7 + 3.4	18.3 + 1.8	18.1 + 3.0	20.8 + 3.2	16.8 + 5.1	27.4 + 9.1	17.7 + 4.4	14.4 + 2.7
Below center of mass	1.9 + 0.6	--	--	2.3 + 0.8	2.3 + 1.0	2.0 + 0.8	1.7 + 0.7	1.4 + 1.1	1.1 + 1.7	0.7 + 0.5	2.1 + 1.0
Don't know	20.6 + 2.2	--	--	20.2 + 2.9	23.2 + 3.0	20.8 + 2.9	18.3 + 3.1	25.1 + 3.8	15.4 + 6.6	20.0 + 4.9	25.7 + 2.9
Sample size	3,332	0	0	1,697	1,433	2,150	825	357	247	467	1,674
Weighted total	58,700	0	0	29,759	19,567	41,695	12,856	4,149	5,213	8,333	27,733

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 50 (cont.)

Last Rating In, Above, or Below the Center Mass for Senior Rater
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Above center of mass	51.6% ± 4.7	55.2% ± 23.1	46.5% ± 7.7	62.3% ± 11.7	--	--	--	--	61.2% ± 4.3	61.8% ± 3.3	61.3% ± 4.3	61.5% ± 3.0	62.1% ± 3.0
In center of mass	20.7 ± 4.5	16.5 ± 19.7	18.3 ± 7.0	15.9 ± 7.5	--	--	--	--	20.5 ± 3.8	16.9 ± 3.0	16.7 ± 3.3	18.8 ± 2.7	16.6 ± 2.8
Below center of mass	2.7 ± 1.4	0.0 ± 0.0	3.1 ± 3.1	0.0 ± 0.0	--	--	--	--	2.2 ± 1.3	2.5 ± 1.1	0.8 ± 0.5	1.3 ± 0.7	2.3 ± 0.9
Don't know	25.0 ± 4.8	28.3 ± 15.1	30.1 ± 7.3	21.8 ± 8.7	--	--	--	--	16.1 ± 3.7	18.8 ± 3.4	21.3 ± 4.2	18.4 ± 3.2	19.1 ± 2.6
Sample size	524	35	157	119	0	0	0	0	614	878	887	1,249	1,155
Weighted total	12,919	750	2,420	1,773	0	0	0	0	9,961	13,546	15,169	21,174	18,042

(—) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 51

of Articles 15 Received In the Past 2 Years
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
0	88.4% ± 1.4	80.3% ± 2.2	94.9% ± 0.8	99.4% ± 0.6	100.0% ± 0.0	89.1% ± 2.0	86.9% ± 1.9	88.3% ± 2.8	86.4% ± 1.7	84.9% ± 3.7	87.6% ± 1.5	95.6% ± 1.3
1	9.2 ± 1.0	15.2 ± 1.6	4.4 ± 0.8	0.5 ± 0.6	0.0 ± 0.0	8.6 ± 1.4	10.3 ± 1.4	9.4 ± 3.1	10.5 ± 1.3	12.0 ± 2.4	10.0 ± 1.3	3.8 ± 1.1
2	1.8 ± 0.4	3.2 ± 0.8	0.6 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.1	0.0 ± 0.0	1.6 ± 0.5	2.0 ± 0.9	2.0 ± 0.9	2.1 ± 0.6	2.5 ± 1.4	1.8 ± 0.8	0.5 ± 0.3
3	0.4 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.4	0.0 ± 0.1	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.4 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.4	0.1 ± 0.1	0.7 ± 0.4	0.1 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.4	0.1 ± 0.2
4 or more	0.2 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.2	0.1 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.3 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.6	0.3 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.5	0.1 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.0
Sample size	10,579	4,534	2,764	1,672	1,405	6,322	3,231	1,026	3,987	1,493	2,028	3,071
Weighted total	452,232	220,333	173,526	29,615	19,282	291,433	134,052	26,747	187,640	78,171	84,288	102,133

Table S_51 (cont.)

of Articles 15 Recalled in the Past 2 Years
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse									
		Dual Military					Enlisted				
	Single	No Children		Children		No Children	No Children 0-5		Child 6+	Officer	
		No Children	Children	No Children	Children		No Children	Children 0-5		No Children 0-5	Child 6+
0	82.2% + 2.5 -	93.2% + 3.4 -	89.3% + 3.0 -	94.6% + 3.6 -	88.3% + 2.0 -	91.6% + 1.1 -	94.8% + 1.8 -	99.7% + 0.6 -	99.7% + 0.4 -	100.0% + 0.0 -	91.4% + 1.5 -
1	13.6 + 1.8 -	6.8 + 3.4 -	8.3 + 3.3 -	4.1 + 2.8 -	9.9 + 1.7 -	6.7 + 1.0 -	4.8 + 1.7 -	0.3 + 0.6 -	0.2 + 0.3 -	0.0 + 0.0 -	7.3 + 1.2 -
2	2.9 + 0.8 -	0.0 + 0.0 -	1.4 + 1.7 -	0.4 + 0.6 -	1.4 + 0.7 -	1.6 + 0.7 -	0.5 + 0.8 -	0.0 + 0.0 -	0.1 + 0.2 -	0.0 + 0.0 -	1.1 + 0.6 -
3	0.9 + 0.4 -	0.0 + 0.0 -	0.6 + 0.8 -	0.0 + 0.0 -	0.4 + 0.4 -	0.1 + 0.1 -	0.0 + 0.0 -	0.0 + 0.0 -	0.0 + 0.0 -	0.0 + 0.0 -	0.2 + 0.2 -
4 or more	0.4 + 0.3 -	0.0 + 0.0 -	0.4 + 0.8 -	0.9 + 1.3 -	0.0 + 0.0 -	0.1 + 0.2 -	0.0 + 0.0 -	0.0 + 0.0 -	0.0 + 0.0 -	0.0 + 0.0 -	0.0 + 0.0 -
Sample size	2,786	233	464	400	1,253	1,842	609	604	870	872	3,048
Weighted total	171,027	10,554	14,662	13,414	53,118	83,144	39,083	9,819	13,684	14,925	103,750

Table S_52

of Flag Actions Received in the Past 2 Years
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
0	83.8% + 1.3	77.6% + 1.9	87.6% + 1.5	95.5% + 1.3	96.5% + 1.8	85.0% + 1.8	80.8% + 1.8	85.9% + 4.7	84.9% + 1.7	81.5% + 2.3	81.7% + 2.9	85.4% + 2.5
1	13.8 + 1.1	18.7 + 1.6	10.9 + 1.4	4.1 + 1.2	3.0 + 1.6	12.9 + 1.6	16.1 + 1.4	11.0 + 3.1	13.1 + 1.7	15.5 + 1.7	15.5 + 2.4	12.3 + 2.1
2	2.0 + 0.4	3.1 + 0.7	1.3 + 0.4	0.3 + 0.2	0.3 + 0.3	1.7 + 0.4	2.6 + 0.8	2.4 + 1.3	1.8 + 0.6	2.5 + 1.0	2.3 + 0.7	1.9 + 0.5
3	0.3 + 0.2	0.5 + 0.3	0.1 + 0.1	0.1 + 0.1	0.1 + 0.1	0.3 + 0.2	0.4 + 0.4	0.1 + 0.1	0.2 + 0.2	0.4 + 0.5	0.3 + 0.3	0.4 + 0.2
4 or more	0.1 + 0.1	0.1 + 0.1	0.1 + 0.1	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.1 + 0.1	0.6 + 0.6	0.1 + 0.1	0.1 + 0.2	0.1 + 0.2	0.1 + 0.1
Sample size	10,935	4,691	2,882	1,709	1,443	6,512	3,351	1,072	4,108	1,532	2,114	3,181
Weighted total	468,083	227,113	181,263	30,196	19,767	301,162	139,091	27,830	193,520	80,170	88,256	106,137

Table S_52 (cont.)

of Flag Actions Received in the Past 2 Years
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse													
Single				Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
0	80.5% + 2.0 - 5.4	80.9% + 5.4 - 5.9	81.3% + 5.9 - 6.4	81.8% + 6.4 - 6.9	83.0% + 6.9 - 7.4	84.9% + 7.4 - 7.9	86.7% + 7.9 - 8.4	97.0% + 8.4 - 8.9	95.8% + 8.9 - 9.4	96.2% + 9.4 - 9.9	86.8% + 9.9 - 10.4	86.4% + 10.4 - 10.9	
1	16.8 + 1.7 - 1.7	15.4 + 5.5 - 4.6	15.7 + 4.6 - 3.7	14.3 + 4.9 - 3.9	14.2 + 1.9 - 1.9	12.8 + 1.8 - 1.8	12.1 + 2.6 - 2.6	2.5 + 1.3 - 1.3	3.6 + 1.5 - 1.5	3.6 + 1.8 - 1.8	11.1 + 1.5 - 1.5	11.7 + 1.7 - 1.7	
2	2.3 + 0.7 - 0.7	3.2 + 2.5 - 2.1	2.8 + 2.1 - 1.2	3.0 + 1.9 - 1.0	2.5 + 0.8 - 0.8	1.9 + 0.7 - 0.7	1.1 + 0.9 - 0.9	0.3 + 0.5 - 0.5	0.5 + 0.4 - 0.4	0.1 + 0.2 - 0.2	1.8 + 0.5 - 0.5	1.5 + 0.5 - 0.5	
3	0.3 + 0.4 - 0.4	0.4 + 0.6 - 0.6	0.2 + 0.4 - 0.4	0.9 + 1.3 - 1.3	0.2 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.4 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.0 + 0.0 - 0.0	0.3 + 0.5 - 0.5	0.1 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.1 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.2 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.3 + 0.2 - 0.2	
4 or more	0.1 + 0.1 - 0.1	0.0 + 0.0 - 0.0	0.0 + 0.0 - 0.0	0.0 + 0.0 - 0.0	0.1 + 0.1 - 0.1	0.0 + 0.1 - 0.1	0.1 + 0.1 - 0.1	0.0 + 0.0 - 0.0	0.0 + 0.0 - 0.0	0.0 + 0.0 - 0.0	0.0 + 0.1 - 0.1	0.1 + 0.1 - 0.1	
Sample size	2,871	242	476	423	1,297	1,915	636	625	886	897	3,256	3,140	
Weighted total	176,219	10,964	15,091	14,111	54,790	86,538	41,033	10,124	13,894	15,367	121,197	107,125	

Table S_53

Ever Received a Reduction in Grade
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOJ	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
Yes	8.5% + 0.8	10.4% + 1.1	8.0% + 1.2	0.3% + 0.3	0.1% + 0.1	7.8% + 1.0	10.2% + 1.7	7.6% + 2.3	9.3% + 1.4	9.4% + 2.0	9.5% + 1.5
No	91.5 + 0.8	89.6 + 1.1	92.0 + 1.2	99.7 + 0.3	99.9 + 0.1	92.2 + 1.0	89.8 + 1.7	92.4 + 2.3	90.7 + 1.4	90.6 + 2.0	90.5 + 1.5
Sample size	9,569	4,586	2,801	1,115	877	5,654	2,992	923	3,734	1,426	1,925
Weighted total	439,451	221,875	176,302	20,290	12,107	281,987	131,789	25,675	184,432	77,530	84,020
											93,469

Table S.54

of Letters of Appreciation Received in the Past 2 Years
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
0	41.1% + 1.4	43.9% + 1.9	36.3% + 2.0	47.1% + 3.1	43.9% + 3.1	40.4% + 2.0	42.5% + 1.4	41.2% + 3.3	43.4% + 2.0	42.1% + 3.4	38.0% + 3.0	38.5% + 2.7
1	20.3 + 1.0	21.7 + 1.6	18.5 + 1.8	22.2 + 2.7	15.5 + 1.9	20.7 + 1.3	19.9 + 1.5	17.8 + 1.0	20.3 + 1.5	20.0 + 2.5	21.8 + 2.4	19.1 + 1.4
2	16.2 + 0.8	14.1 + 1.3	18.6 + 1.6	15.3 + 1.8	16.2 + 2.0	16.0 + 1.2	16.3 + 1.0	17.1 + 1.5	15.4 + 1.2	15.9 + 2.2	17.4 + 2.1	16.6 + 1.6
3	9.9 + 0.8	9.4 + 1.4	11.1 + 1.0	7.9 + 2.0	9.0 + 1.9	10.2 + 1.1	9.5 + 0.9	9.7 + 2.6	9.6 + 1.0	10.0 + 2.1	9.7 + 1.4	10.6 + 1.0
4	5.8 + 0.6	5.2 + 0.8	7.1 + 1.1	3.8 + 1.0	6.4 + 1.2	6.0 + 0.8	5.2 + 1.1	7.1 + 1.2	5.6 + 0.7	5.6 + 1.4	6.3 + 1.4	6.2 + 1.1
5	3.2 + 0.6	2.8 + 0.6	4.1 + 1.0	1.5 + 0.6	4.2 + 1.0	3.4 + 0.7	3.0 + 1.1	2.7 + 1.7	2.8 + 0.7	3.2 + 1.1	2.5 + 1.0	4.5 + 0.9
6	1.4 + 0.3	1.2 + 0.3	1.7 + 0.6	0.8 + 0.5	1.5 + 0.9	1.3 + 0.4	1.5 + 0.4	1.7 + 0.8	1.2 + 0.4	1.5 + 0.7	1.5 + 0.6	1.5 + 0.7
7	0.5 + 0.2	0.3 + 0.2	0.8 + 0.3	0.5 + 0.4	0.5 + 0.4	0.5 + 0.2	0.6 + 0.3	0.8 + 0.3	0.5 + 0.2	0.3 + 0.3	0.9 + 0.5	0.5 + 0.3
8	0.5 + 0.2	0.5 + 0.3	0.6 + 0.3	0.5 + 0.5	0.5 + 0.4	0.5 + 0.2	0.6 + 0.3	0.5 + 0.6	0.4 + 0.3	0.3 + 0.3	0.6 + 0.4	0.9 + 0.4
9	0.1 + 0.1	0.2 + 0.2	0.1 + 0.1	0.1 + 0.1	0.2 + 0.2	0.1 + 0.1	0.2 + 0.2	0.0 + 0.0	0.1 + 0.1	0.0 + 0.0	0.3 + 0.3	0.2 + 0.2
10 or more	0.9 + 0.3	0.7 + 0.3	1.1 + 0.5	0.4 + 0.3	2.1 + 0.9	0.9 + 0.4	0.9 + 0.4	1.3 + 1.0	0.6 + 0.3	1.0 + 0.9	0.9 + 0.5	1.4 + 0.5
Sample size	10,902	4,657	2,866	1,728	1,442	6,484	3,348	1,070	4,099	1,534	2,099	3,170
Weighted total	465,503	225,303	180,236	30,526	19,722	299,019	138,702	27,781	192,175	80,381	87,555	105,392

Table S 55
 # of Certificates of Appreciation Received in the Past 2 Years
 by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
0	42.3% + 1.9 -	39.4% + 2.3 -	38.9% + 1.5 -	63.0% + 3.2 -	69.4% + 3.6 -	43.3% + 2.6 -	40.1% + 2.9 -	43.4% + 3.1 -	38.7% + 2.3 -	40.0% + 4.4 -	40.0% + 2.7 -	52.6% + 2.6 -
1	23.9 + 1.1 -	25.2 + 1.4 -	24.2 + 1.7 -	19.1 + 2.4 -	14.9 + 1.9 -	23.4 + 1.4 -	25.1 + 2.1 -	23.9 + 3.1 -	24.6 + 1.7 -	24.6 + 2.3 -	25.2 + 2.9 -	21.1 + 2.0 -
2	17.0 + 0.8 -	17.5 + 1.3 -	18.4 + 1.5 -	11.6 + 1.3 -	9.8 + 2.1 -	16.8 + 1.0 -	17.7 + 1.5 -	15.6 + 3.0 -	17.2 + 1.1 -	18.9 + 2.0 -	18.0 + 1.8 -	14.4 + 1.5 -
3	7.8 + 0.8 -	8.2 + 1.1 -	8.6 + 1.2 -	3.4 + 1.1 -	3.2 + 0.9 -	8.0 + 1.1 -	7.3 + 1.2 -	7.5 + 1.2 -	9.2 + 1.3 -	7.5 + 2.3 -	7.7 + 1.2 -	5.3 + 0.9 -
4	4.2 + 0.5 -	4.3 + 0.8 -	4.8 + 0.7 -	2.0 + 0.8 -	1.4 + 0.7 -	4.0 + 0.6 -	4.6 + 0.9 -	4.2 + 1.1 -	4.6 + 1.0 -	4.1 + 1.3 -	4.4 + 1.3 -	3.3 + 0.8 -
5	2.2 + 0.4 -	2.7 + 0.5 -	2.0 + 0.5 -	0.5 + 0.4 -	0.8 + 0.5 -	2.1 + 0.5 -	2.2 + 0.6 -	1.9 + 1.4 -	2.9 + 0.7 -	1.9 + 0.6 -	2.0 + 0.7 -	1.2 + 0.4 -
6	1.2 + 0.2 -	1.2 + 0.3 -	1.5 + 0.5 -	0.3 + 0.2 -	0.1 + 0.2 -	1.0 + 0.3 -	1.4 + 0.4 -	1.6 + 0.4 -	1.3 + 0.3 -	1.0 + 0.4 -	1.2 + 0.6 -	1.1 + 0.5 -
7	0.3 + 0.1 -	0.4 + 0.2 -	0.3 + 0.2 -	0.1 + 0.1 -	0.0 + 0.1 -	0.3 + 0.2 -	0.3 + 0.2 -	0.4 + 0.6 -	0.5 + 0.3 -	0.4 + 0.3 -	0.3 + 0.3 -	0.0 + 0.0 -
8	0.3 + 0.1 -	0.4 + 0.2 -	0.3 + 0.2 -	0.1 + 0.1 -	0.0 + 0.1 -	0.3 + 0.2 -	0.3 + 0.3 -	0.6 + 0.6 -	0.4 + 0.2 -	0.3 + 0.3 -	0.3 + 0.3 -	0.3 + 0.2 -
9	0.1 + 0.1 -	0.2 + 0.2 -	0.1 + 0.1 -	0.0 + 0.0 -	0.0 + 0.0 -	0.2 + 0.1 -	0.1 + 0.1 -	0.2 + 0.2 -	0.2 + 0.2 -	0.2 + 0.2 -	0.2 + 0.2 -	0.1 + 0.2 -
10 or more	0.6 + 0.2 -	0.5 + 0.3 -	1.0 + 0.4 -	0.1 + 0.2 -	0.4 + 0.5 -	0.5 + 0.3 -	0.8 + 0.3 -	0.6 + 0.4 -	0.5 + 0.3 -	1.0 + 0.5 -	0.7 + 0.4 -	0.6 + 0.4 -
Sample size	10,875	4,653	2,864	1,718	1,432	6,470	3,343	1,062	4,097	1,524	2,099	3,155
Weighted total	484,885	225,468	179,870	30,317	19,575	298,913	138,400	27,572	192,361	79,860	87,632	105,031

Table S_55 (cont.)

of Certificates of Appreciation Received in the Past 2 Years
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse													
Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed		Spouse not Employed	
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse not Employed
0	39.7% ± 2.8	53.6% ± 6.1	44.0% ± 6.8	44.3% ± 5.8	37.6% ± 3.3	39.3% ± 2.1	42.8% ± 4.1	64.6% ± 4.4	62.2% ± 3.8	65.5% ± 4.7	44.5% ± 2.6	43.5% ± 2.5	
1	25.1 ± 1.8	17.7 ± 4.8	20.3 ± 4.4	23.9 ± 5.2	26.1 ± 3.8	24.2 ± 2.2	24.5 ± 3.5	17.6 ± 3.6	19.8 ± 3.5	17.3 ± 2.8	25.2 ± 2.1	22.2 ± 2.1	
2	16.7 ± 1.3	16.2 ± 5.5	21.5 ± 4.4	15.9 ± 5.0	18.0 ± 2.6	18.6 ± 1.8	16.7 ± 3.7	10.6 ± 3.0	12.2 ± 2.4	10.2 ± 3.0	15.7 ± 1.6	17.5 ± 1.8	
3	8.0 ± 1.4	7.3 ± 3.8	6.7 ± 2.5	7.1 ± 2.8	8.7 ± 1.8	8.9 ± 1.5	7.9 ± 2.3	4.0 ± 1.8	4.7 ± 1.6	3.3 ± 1.6	7.1 ± 1.3	8.3 ± 1.3	
4	4.4 ± 0.9	2.4 ± 2.1	4.2 ± 3.0	5.2 ± 2.4	5.2 ± 1.6	4.3 ± 1.1	4.1 ± 1.5	1.7 ± 1.0	0.5 ± 0.4	2.4 ± 1.3	3.8 ± 0.8	4.3 ± 1.1	
5	2.9 ± 0.7	1.5 ± 1.5	1.8 ± 1.9	0.9 ± 0.7	2.3 ± 0.8	1.9 ± 0.6	1.5 ± 0.9	0.2 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.5	0.9 ± 0.7	1.5 ± 0.5	2.0 ± 0.5	
6	1.4 ± 0.4	1.2 ± 1.7	1.0 ± 1.2	1.3 ± 1.5	0.9 ± 0.6	1.5 ± 0.6	0.6 ± 0.6	0.1 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.3	0.1 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.5	1.0 ± 0.4	
7	0.4 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.3 ± 0.6	0.3 ± 0.5	0.4 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.6	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.3	0.2 ± 0.2	
8	0.5 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0	0.2 ± 0.4	0.0 ± 0.0	0.4 ± 0.5	0.2 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0	0.8 ± 1.2	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.2 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.3	
9	0.2 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.2 ± 0.2	0.1 ± 0.1	
10 or more	0.6 ± 0.4	0.0 ± 0.0	0.2 ± 0.4	1.2 ± 1.4	0.4 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.3	1.4 ± 1.4	0.4 ± 0.6	0.0 ± 0.0	0.3 ± 0.5	0.5 ± 0.5	0.6 ± 0.4	
Sample size	2,858	241	476	424	1,284	1,901	631	626	885	881	3,237	3,111	
Weighted total	174,999	10,899	15,069	14,014	54,300	85,910	40,635	10,120	13,889	15,147	120,297	106,199	

Table S_56

of Awards and Decorations Received in the Military
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	2.7 + 0.2	1.6 + 0.2	6.1 + 0.2	2.0 + 0.5	6.4 + 0.8	2.7 + 0.3	2.6 + 0.3	2.7 + 0.6	2.4 + 0.3	2.5 + 0.3	2.5 + 0.3	4.0 + 0.6
50th percentile	5.6 + 0.4	3.3 + 0.2	8.8 + 0.2	4.1 + 0.4	9.7 + 1.2	5.7 + 0.5	5.3 + 0.5	5.6 + 0.8	4.8 + 0.4	5.0 + 0.5	5.0 + 0.5	7.5 + 0.5
75th percentile	9.2 + 0.3	5.3 + 0.4	12.7 + 0.4	7.2 + 0.4	14.0 + 0.9	9.4 + 0.4	8.7 + 0.4	9.3 + 0.6	8.6 + 0.3	8.6 + 0.4	8.7 + 0.4	11.9 + 0.8
Sample size	10,802	4,593	2,846	1,723	1,434	6,416	3,317	1,069	4,061	1,516	2,080	3,145
Weighted total	460,352	221,832	178,941	30,382	19,590	295,551	137,181	27,620	190,651	78,945	88,218	104,539

Table S_56 (cont.)

of Awards and Decorations Received in the Military
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+			
25th percentile	1.8 + 0.2	3.0 + 0.8	2.3 + 0.5	3.7 + 0.8	2.8 + 0.3	3.6 + 0.4	6.9 + 0.5	3.1 + 0.7	3.1 + 0.8	7.5 + 0.7	4.4 + 0.4	3.6 + 0.3	
50th percentile	3.6 + 0.2	6.2 + 1.0	4.7 + 0.5	6.9 + 0.8	5.8 + 0.8	6.7 + 0.4	10.2 + 0.8	6.5 + 1.1	6.3 + 0.7	11.3 + 0.8	7.7 + 0.4	7.0 + 0.4	
75th percentile	6.3 + 0.4	9.7 + 2.0	7.9 + 0.9	10.2 + 1.5	9.4 + 0.5	9.6 + 0.3	14.0 + 0.3	10.7 + 1.8	9.3 + 0.6	15.7 + 1.2	11.8 + 0.5	10.7 + 0.6	
Sample size	2,819	238	471	414	1,273	1,897	629	628	887	888	3,231	3,108	
Weighted total	172,249	10,776	14,817	13,618	53,841	85,662	40,606	10,135	13,861	15,261	119,775	105,978	

Table S 57A

Current Written Will
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, I have a current written will	36.0% + 2.2	20.8% + 2.3	44.8% + 1.9	54.3% + 3.4	83.6% + 2.5	39.7% + 3.2	27.9% + 2.9	36.0% + 3.5	31.5% + 2.8	36.6% + 3.6	33.4% + 3.6	45.8% + 4.9
No, I don't have a current written will	64.0 + 2.2	79.2 + 2.3	55.2 + 1.9	45.7 + 3.4	16.4 + 2.5	60.3 + 3.2	72.1 + 2.9	64.0 + 3.5	68.5 + 2.8	63.4 + 3.6	66.6 + 3.6	54.2 + 4.9
Sample size	10,919	4,645	2,868	1,735	1,461	6,502	3,345	1,072	4,099	1,527	2,097	3,196
Weighted total	465,548	224,513	180,707	30,617	19,977	299,444	138,399	27,704	192,221	79,647	87,437	106,243

Table S_57A (cont.)

Current Written Will
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Yes, I have a current written will	20.6% ± 2.5	40.8% ± 7.1	29.8% ± 3.5	51.0% ± 6.2	31.7% ± 3.9	41.1% ± 2.6	52.4% ± 3.3	60.9% ± 6.4	72.7% ± 3.8	82.4% ± 2.5	48.1% ± 3.1	45.2% ± 2.7
No, I don't have a current written will	79.4 ± 2.5	59.2 ± 7.1	70.2 ± 3.5	49.0 ± 6.2	68.3 ± 3.9	58.9 ± 2.6	47.6 ± 3.3	39.1 ± 6.4	27.3 ± 3.8	17.6 ± 2.5	51.9 ± 3.1	54.8 ± 2.7
Sample size	2,850	243	476	425	1,288	1,907	633	630	893	905	3,264	3,134
Weighted total	174,039	10,901	15,036	14,089	54,599	86,319	40,834	10,189	13,995	15,512	121,182	106,980

Table S 57B
Current Driver's License
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, I have a current driver's license	94.6% + 0.8	90.4% + 1.4	98.2% + 0.6	99.5% + 0.4	99.6% + 0.2	96.2% + 0.7	91.7% + 2.1	92.1% + 1.8	92.7% + 1.5	95.1% + 1.3	94.4% + 1.3	97.9% + 0.6
No, I don't have a driver's license	5.4 + 0.8	9.6 + 1.4	1.8 + 0.6	0.5 + 0.4	0.4 + 0.2	3.8 + 0.7	8.3 + 2.1	7.9 + 1.8	7.3 + 1.5	4.9 + 1.3	5.6 + 1.3	2.1 + 0.6
Sample size	10,899	4,662	2,863	1,726	1,440	6,493	3,335	1,071	4,099	1,533	2,093	3,174
Weighted total	466,062	225,672	180,562	30,477	19,708	300,015	138,276	27,771	192,565	80,043	87,479	105,975

Table S 57C

Availability of Car Whenever Needed
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, car is available whenever needed	80.3% + 2.0	65.8% + 2.8	92.7% + 1.3	96.3% + 2.1	98.3% + 0.8	87.8% + 1.7	67.3% + 4.3	64.3% + 19.6	75.3% + 3.1	80.2% + 2.8	92.9% + 2.1
No, car isn't available whenever needed	19.7 + 2.0	34.2 + 2.8	7.3 + 1.3	3.7 + 2.1	1.7 + 0.8	12.2 + 1.7	32.7 + 4.3	35.7 + 19.6	24.7 + 3.1	19.8 + 2.8	7.1 + 2.1
Sample size	10,878	4,645	2,858	1,727	1,440	6,481	3,333	1,064	4,089	1,528	3,170
Weighted total	464,996	225,079	180,080	30,489	19,706	299,202	138,127	27,667	192,043	79,878	105,765

Table S_57C (cont.)

Availability of Car Whenever Needed
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+			
Yes, car is available whenever needed	59.6% ± 3.3	90.1% ± 4.3	88.3% ± 3.6	98.0% ± 1.8	85.6% ± 2.5	94.1% ± 1.0	95.8% ± 1.8	96.5% ± 1.5	98.0% ± 0.8	99.4% ± 0.4	93.6% ± 1.3	92.4% ± 1.5		
No, car isn't available whenever needed	40.4 ± 3.3	9.9 ± 4.3	11.7 ± 3.6	2.0 ± 1.8	14.4 ± 2.5	5.9 ± 1.0	4.2 ± 1.8	3.5 ± 1.5	2.0 ± 0.8	0.6 ± 0.4	6.4 ± 1.3	7.6 ± 1.5		
Sample size	2,854	243	474	423	1,279	1,904	631	622	887	896	3,239	3,123		
Weighted total	174,660	10,926	15,012	14,080	54,275	86,033	40,682	10,050	13,898	15,351	120,480	106,491		

Table S 570

Availability of Transportation to Unit In Case of Emergency or Alert
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank					Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
Yes, transportation to unit is available	93.8% + 1.0	89.4% + 1.5	97.5% + 0.7	98.8% + 0.8	99.1% + 0.7	95.9% + 0.8	90.8% + 2.7	86.6% + 6.4	91.2% + 1.7	94.1% + 1.8	94.8% + 1.4	97.5% + 0.9
No, transportation to unit not available	6.2 + 1.0	10.6 + 1.5	2.5 + 0.7	1.2 + 0.8	0.9 + 0.7	4.1 + 0.8	9.2 + 2.7	13.4 + 6.4	8.8 + 1.7	5.9 + 1.8	5.2 + 1.4	2.5 + 0.9
Sample size	10,857	4,626	2,859	1,729	1,435	6,477	3,317	1,063	4,079	1,528	2,087	3,163
Weighted total	463,920	223,841	180,266	30,528	19,643	299,126	137,188	27,606	191,228	79,869	87,220	105,604

Table S_58
Second Job During Off-duty Hours in 1988
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, I had a second job in 1988	7.6% + 1.3	6.2% + 1.3	10.5% + 1.9	3.7% + 1.1	4.3% + 1.1	8.7% + 1.8	5.6% + 1.7	6.7% + 2.0	5.0% + 1.1	7.1% + 1.9	8.0% + 2.1
No, I didn't have a second job in 1988	92.4 + 1.3	93.8 + 1.3	89.5 + 1.9	96.3 + 1.1	95.7 + 1.1	91.3 + 1.8	94.4 + 1.7	93.3 + 2.0	95.0 + 1.1	92.9 + 1.9	92.0 + 2.1
Sample size	10,831	4,591	2,856	1,720	1,455	6,433	3,333	1,065	4,046	1,515	2,085
Weighted total	461,635	222,078	179,669	30,372	19,821	296,285	137,993	27,357	189,901	79,167	86,836
											105,730

Second Job During Off-duty Hours In 1988
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

265

Table S 59

of Weeks Worked at Second Job(s) in 1988
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type					
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	8.1 + 0.8	6.4 + 4.4	8.6 + 1.2	5.5 + 5.3	8.6 + 1.9	7.8 + 1.3	8.5 + 0.8	8.4 + 2.0	6.2 + 3.1	5.9 + 9.2	9.2 + 2.1	8.5 + 1.5
50th percentile	16.1 + 1.7	15.0 + 2.6	16.5 + 2.6	19.5 + 2.7	18.7 + 13.9	15.9 + 2.3	17.3 + 5.3	12.9 + 1.8	14.6 + 3.2	13.8 + 5.2	17.6 + 9.6	17.2 + 2.1
75th percentile	30.9 + 2.2	27.4 + 15.9	31.8 + 6.1	35.2 + 18.2	42.4 + 17.4	30.3 + 2.5	35.2 + 3.4	25.7 + 2.9	30.0 + 5.1	28.7 + 4.5	32.8 + 12.5	33.4 + 7.2
Sample size	711	298	275	61	62	494	164	53	182	95	135	299
Weighted total	33,817	13,048	18,099	1,159	833	24,528	7,554	1,735	9,082	5,519	6,563	12,653

Table S_59 (cont.)

of Weeks Worked at Second Job(s) in 1988
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
25th percentile	6.2 ± 4.8	--	--	--	--	--	8.5 ± 2.0	9.1 ± 2.2	8.4 ± 2.2	--
										8.1 ± 3.1
										9.4 ± 2.1
50th percentile	15.3 ± 3.0	--	--	--	--	--	13.5 ± 13.6	15.7 ± 3.2	18.2 ± 5.0	--
										20.3 ± 4.8
										24.4 ± 12.8
75th percentile	25.9 ± 3.0	--	--	--	--	--	36.5 ± 12.0	31.3 ± 20.2	30.8 ± 5.8	--
										50.0 ± 1.3
										49.8 ± 1.2
Spouse size	154	17	24	22	115	156	64	19	36	50
Weighted total	9,725	699	937	823	5,304	7,440	4,247	290	561	1,018
										260
										11,450
										7,691

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 60

of Hours in Work Week for Second Job(s) in 1988
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type					
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	11.6 + 1.7	13.9 + 2.1	11.6 + 3.1	3.3 + 1.7	8.3 + 2.0	12.4 + 2.3	10.5 + 1.8	8.0 + 1.3	13.4 + 3.4	11.2 + 3.5	13.7 + 1.9	9.5 + 2.5
50th percentile	20.3 + 1.2	22.4 + 1.9	20.1 + 1.5	7.5 + 2.0	9.5 + 2.1	20.7 + 1.4	18.9 + 2.3	20.3 + 7.2	21.1 + 2.6	21.8 + 2.3	20.8 + 2.4	18.6 + 3.2
75th percentile	29.5 + 2.7	31.6 + 1.8	28.3 + 4.2	14.2 + 3.6	14.0 + 2.1	30.1 + 1.7	25.8 + 4.5	30.1 + 5.0	31.3 + 2.5	32.0 + 2.3	28.9 + 6.2	28.0 + 3.2
Sample size	725	307	278	62	63	507	164	54	186	97	139	303
Weighted total	34,407	13,513	18,199	1,175	842	25,076	7,587	1,744	9,292	5,673	6,726	12,716

Table S_60 (cont.)

of Hours in Work Week for Second Job(s) in 1988
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
25th percentile	11.5 ± 2.4	—	—	—	—	14.6 ± 3.5	11.5 ± 5.2	12.5 ± 14.2	—	4.3 ± 2.2	7.7 ± 3.0	12.1 ± 3.7	10.6 ± 3.8
50th percentile	20.5 ± 3.3	—	—	—	—	21.5 ± 2.6	20.5 ± 2.3	20.5 ± 2.8	—	7.5 ± 2.0	12.1 ± 3.0	19.9 ± 1.3	20.4 ± 2.4
75th percentile	31.5 ± 1.8	—	—	—	—	30.6 ± 3.1	26.8 ± 3.6	28.0 ± 8.4	—	11.3 ± 3.5	16.7 ± 4.2	24.7 ± 1.1	28.7 ± 3.1
Sample size	159	17	24	23	116	158	66	19	36	52	268	187	
Weighted total	10,094	624	937	908	5,310	7,477	4,329	290	561	1,043	11,672	7,680	

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 61
Earnings from Second Job(s) in 1988
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
25th percentile	\$1,518 + 323	\$1,489 + 593	\$1,513 + 455	\$1,569 + 1,039	\$2,635 + 2,011	\$1,519 + 446	\$1,529 + 649	\$1,461 + 2,107	\$1,546 + 758	\$1,379 + 780	\$1,521 + 896	\$1,564 + 522
50th percentile	\$3,038 + 293	\$2,978 + 467	\$3,026 + 398	\$3,138 + 1,024	\$5,892 + 4,472	\$3,037 + 409	\$3,058 + 452	\$2,921 + 1,796	\$3,092 + 608	\$2,758 + 746	\$3,042 + 528	\$3,129 + 519
75th percentile	\$4,553 + 211	\$4,467 + 378	\$4,539 + 292	\$4,708 + 856	\$18,184 + 13,495	\$4,556 + 281	\$4,587 + 267	\$4,382 + 938	\$4,638 + 438	\$4,137 + 429	\$4,563 + 350	\$4,693 + 387
Sample size	657	279	246	57	61	456	153	48	165	86	129	277
Weighted total	30,904	12,127	16,239	1,094	827	22,345	6,974	1,585	8,129	5,021	6,208	11,546

Table S_61 (cont.)

Earnings from Second Job(s) in 1988
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
25th percentile	\$1,490 + 728	--	--	--	\$1,504 + 682	\$1,465 + 613	\$1,499 + 908	--	\$1,816 + 1,510	\$1,997 + 1,140	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
50th percentile	\$2,979 + 654	--	--	--	\$3,009 + 507	\$2,930 + 566	\$2,998 + 916	--	\$3,632 + 1,177	\$3,994 + 1,271	\$3,041 + 436	\$3,082 + 528
75th percentile	\$4,469 + 452	--	--	--	\$4,513 + 430	\$4,395 + 549	\$4,496 + 847	--	\$8,993 + 8,323	\$8,647 + 3,060	\$4,562 + 263	\$4,623 + 528
Sample size	138	16	23	20	102	148	59	17	36	51	247	173
Weighted total	8,759	569	894	773	4,669	7,078	3,872	258	561	983	10,615	7,120

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S_62

Currently Working Second Job When Off-duty
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, currently working off-duty 2nd job	4.7% + 0.8	3.8% + 0.8	6.1% + 1.4	3.5% + 1.0	3.4% + 1.1	5.4% + 1.3	3.4% + 0.7	3.3% + 1.7	3.0% + 0.7	4.2% + 1.6	4.7% + 1.2
No, not currently working a second job	95.3 + 0.8	96.2 + 0.8	93.9 + 1.4	96.5 + 1.0	96.6 + 1.1	94.6 + 1.3	96.6 + 0.7	96.7 + 1.7	97.0 + 0.7	95.8 + 1.6	95.3 + 1.2
Sample size	10,823	4,617	2,818	1,721	1,456	6,431	3,322	1,070	4,054	1,521	2,085
Weighted total	461,219	223,820	177,489	30,224	19,893	295,998	137,536	27,685	190,209	79,540	86,775
											104,695

Table S 62 (cont.)

Currently Working Second Job When Off-duty
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Yes, currently working off-duty 2nd job	3.9% + 0.9	3.7% + 2.2	3.9% + 2.0	4.0% + 2.2	5.3% + 1.8	5.1% + 1.7	6.6% + 2.3	1.9% + 0.9	4.1% + 1.8	5.9% + 2.0	6.3% + 1.3	4.3% + 1.3		
No, not currently working a second job	96.1 + 0.9	96.3 + 2.2	96.1 + 2.0	96.0 + 2.2	94.7 + 1.8	94.9 + 1.7	93.4 + 2.3	98.1 + 0.9	95.9 + 1.8	94.1 + 2.0	93.7 + 1.3	95.7 + 1.3		
Sample size	2,848	241	476	414	1,274	1,876	621	626	892	899	3,222	3,116		
Weighted total	174,085	10,860	15,057	13,796	53,840	84,396	40,276	10,123	13,983	15,438	119,290	105,777		

Table S 63

of Hours at Current Second Job(s) in a Typical Week
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	9.4 + 2.1	10.6 + 3.9	10.4 + 3.8	4.4 + 1.7	5.8 + 2.4	9.9 + 2.4	8.8 + 3.0	5.4 + 1.0	11.3 + 4.1	6.8 + 4.4	10.0 + 3.7	9.2 + 2.2
50th percentile	16.2 + 1.1	17.1 + 1.8	16.7 + 1.4	7.5 + 1.9	9.1 + 1.9	16.5 + 1.1	14.7 + 2.1	15.3 + 3.4	16.9 + 2.1	16.0 + 4.2	16.2 + 2.2	15.8 + 1.7
75th percentile	22.4 + 6.3	28.0 + 5.5	20.8 + 1.4	15.2 + 3.3	11.9 + 1.8	22.8 + 5.6	24.5 + 8.0	18.4 + 3.0	21.6 + 17.2	25.3 + 4.7	20.7 + 1.9	22.2 + 6.4
Sample size	444	180	154	50	46	311	95	38	103	58	83	200
Weighted total	20,867	8,169	10,357	1,061	647	15,457	4,498	912	5,264	3,414	4,043	8,146

Table S_63 (cont.)

of Hours at Current Second Job(s) in a Typical Week
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
25th percentile	9.9 + 4.7	--	--	--	--	8.3 + 4.2	12.0 + 3.0	8.8 + 3.6	--	--	6.3 + 4.3	10.1 + 2.8	8.1 + 3.0
50th percentile	15.9 + 2.3	--	--	--	--	16.2 + 3.0	17.4 + 2.2	16.4 + 2.4	--	--	11.3 + 3.3	16.3 + 1.5	15.7 + 2.1
75th percentile	26.3 + 5.3	--	--	--	--	23.2 + 10.3	22.0 + 5.5	19.7 + 3.1	--	--	15.0 + 2.2	20.7 + 1.4	20.1 + 2.2
Sample size	107	13	17	14	57	84	39	13	25	41	161	109	
Weighted total	6,441	403	586	476	2,916	4,229	2,473	195	548	909	7,186	4,552	

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 64

Interest, Dividends, Rental Income, etc. in 1988
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, had extra income in 1988	30.4% + 2.6	17.1% + 1.6	31.7% + 2.7	68.5% + 3.4	91.7% + 1.8	30.4% + 3.6	29.3% + 3.5	36.2% + 5.7	25.1% + 2.6	27.2% + 3.7	26.8% + 2.5	45.5% + 5.2
No, had no extra income in 1988	69.6 + 2.6	82.9 + 1.6	68.3 + 2.7	31.5 + 3.4	8.3 + 1.8	69.6 + 3.6	70.7 + 3.5	63.8 + 5.7	74.9 + 2.6	72.8 + 3.7	73.2 + 2.5	54.5 + 5.2
Sample size	10,687	4,546	2,811	1,695	1,428	6,368	3,269	1,050	4,016	1,500	2,049	3,122
Weighted total	454,347	218,451	176,795	29,994	19,496	292,558	134,904	26,885	187,685	77,833	84,850	103,980

Table S 64 (cont.)

Interest, Dividends, Rental Income, etc. in 1988
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Child 6+	
Yes, had extra income in 1988	22.4% + 2.3	23.6% + 6.7	38.2% + 5.3	38.4% + 5.1	26.4% + 3.0	25.4% + 3.0	78.8% + 3.7	78.4% + 3.9	83.8% + 4.1	37.8% + 3.4
No, had no extra income in 1988	77.6% + 2.3	76.4% + 6.7	61.8% + 5.3	61.6% + 5.1	73.6% + 3.0	74.6% + 3.0	21.2% + 3.7	21.6% + 3.9	16.2% + 4.1	62.2% + 3.4
Spouse not employed										35.2% + 3.8
Sample size	2,765	241	470	415	1,277	1,882	616	872	882	3,205
Weighted total	168,295	10,742	14,894	13,885	53,948	84,899	9,959	13,703	15,139	118,819
										105,271

Table S 65

Total Supplemental Income from All Sources in 1988
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	\$1,399 + 306	\$1,313 + 576	\$1,360 + 366	\$1,388 + 415	\$1,794 + 621	\$1,391 + 521	\$1,408 + 404	\$1,437 + 1,883	\$1,388 + 709	\$1,307 + 869	\$1,389 + 619	\$1,462 + 861
50th percentile	\$2,797 + 205	\$2,625 + 391	\$2,720 + 264	\$2,776 + 302	\$3,587 + 424	\$2,782 + 354	\$2,812 + 260	\$2,875 + 1,345	\$2,775 + 492	\$2,615 + 560	\$2,779 + 421	\$2,923 + 585
75th percentile	\$4,196 + 116	\$3,938 + 197	\$4,080 + 158	\$4,164 + 183	\$7,018 + 1,242	\$4,173 + 187	\$4,219 + 118	\$4,312 + 511	\$4,163 + 224	\$3,922 + 249	\$4,168 + 185	\$4,385 + 251
Sample size	3,918	704	813	1,112	1,171	2,354	1,135	429	1,196	440	623	1,659
Weighted total	125,403	34,411	50,849	18,640	16,012	79,903	36,712	8,788	43,232	19,190	20,934	42,046

Table S 65 (cont.)

Total Supplemental Income from All Sources in 1988
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
25th percentile	\$1,353 + 543	\$1,316 + 1,211	\$1,358 + 679	\$1,467 + 690	\$1,331 + 599	\$1,342 + 411	\$1,385 + 741	\$1,535 + 433	\$1,482 + 499	\$1,652 + 592	\$1,424 + 339	\$1,422 + 371	
50th percentile	\$2,705 + 368	\$2,633 + 845	\$2,716 + 424	\$2,935 + 508	\$2,663 + 421	\$2,683 + 295	\$2,771 + 564	\$3,070 + 393	\$2,963 + 387	\$3,304 + 349	\$2,847 + 245	\$2,844 + 253	
75th percentile	\$4,058 + 193	\$3,949 + 452	\$4,075 + 228	\$4,402 + 347	\$3,994 + 254	\$4,025 + 165	\$4,156 + 322	\$4,605 + 306	\$4,445 + 241	\$4,982 + 1,036	\$4,271 + 141	\$4,266 + 179	
Sample size	713	67	184	162	267	402	187	444	657	688	1,408	1,265	
Weighted total	34,209	2,448	4,891	4,622	12,881	19,763	13,289	6,914	9,998	11,423	41,106	33,938	

Table S_66

Total Amount of Money Owed (Excluding Home Mortgage)
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
None	10.8% + 0.9 -	16.0% + 1.6 -	4.6% + 0.8 -	7.7% + 1.7 -	15.7% + 2.5 -	7.5% + 0.9 -	17.3% + 1.9 -	14.5% + 6.1 -	12.3% + 1.9 -	12.8% + 2.5 -	10.6% + 2.2 -	6.8% + 1.4 -
\$1 - \$999	14.4 + 1.1 -	22.7 + 1.7 -	6.0 + 0.8 -	6.2 + 1.8 -	10.8 + 1.7 -	11.5 + 1.3 -	20.2 + 2.0 -	16.1 + 5.1 -	17.4 + 1.7 -	16.5 + 2.6 -	13.3 + 1.7 -	8.2 + 1.3 -
\$1,000 - \$1,999	8.7 + 0.7 -	11.3 + 1.0 -	6.8 + 0.8 -	5.3 + 1.5 -	5.4 + 1.1 -	8.0 + 0.9 -	10.2 + 1.1 -	9.1 + 0.7 -	9.0 + 1.1 -	10.7 + 1.9 -	9.8 + 1.5 -	5.8 + 0.9 -
\$2,000 - \$4,999	16.1 + 1.0 -	16.9 + 1.1 -	15.6 + 1.6 -	14.0 + 2.0 -	12.5 + 1.9 -	15.8 + 1.3 -	16.8 + 1.5 -	15.8 + 1.9 -	16.8 + 1.7 -	16.6 + 2.1 -	16.5 + 2.2 -	14.2 + 1.6 -
\$5,000 - \$9,999	19.0 + 0.9 -	16.9 + 1.6 -	21.4 + 1.6 -	20.4 + 2.1 -	17.6 + 2.2 -	20.7 + 1.1 -	15.8 + 1.4 -	16.0 + 2.8 -	17.2 + 1.4 -	19.5 + 2.4 -	19.5 + 1.5 -	21.4 + 1.6 -
\$10,000 - \$14,999	13.9 + 1.0 -	10.2 + 1.3 -	18.0 + 1.5 -	16.5 + 2.3 -	12.4 + 2.1 -	16.1 + 1.3 -	9.8 + 1.1 -	10.7 + 2.6 -	12.4 + 1.4 -	13.1 + 2.2 -	14.5 + 2.2 -	16.8 + 1.6 -
\$15,000 - \$19,999	8.2 + 0.7 -	3.8 + 0.7 -	12.9 + 1.6 -	12.7 + 2.1 -	9.0 + 1.4 -	9.4 + 0.9 -	5.4 + 1.1 -	9.7 + 4.0 -	7.4 + 1.1 -	5.2 + 1.4 -	8.3 + 1.4 -	11.9 + 1.5 -
\$20,000 - \$29,999	5.2 + 0.5 -	1.6 + 0.4 -	8.5 + 1.1 -	10.4 + 1.6 -	7.3 + 1.4 -	6.0 + 0.8 -	3.4 + 0.7 -	5.2 + 2.5 -	4.7 + 0.7 -	3.8 + 1.0 -	3.9 + 1.3 -	8.3 + 1.2 -
\$30,000 or more	3.7 + 0.6 -	0.6 + 0.3 -	6.2 + 1.0 -	6.7 + 1.5 -	9.3 + 2.0 -	4.9 + 0.8 -	1.2 + 0.5 -	2.9 + 1.2 -	2.9 + 0.7 -	1.8 + 1.0 -	3.6 + 1.0 -	6.6 + 1.1 -
Sample size	10,745	4,621	2,818	1,682	1,420	6,379	3,306	1,060	4,046	1,517	2,081	3,101
Weighted total	459,289	223,867	176,869	29,664	19,465	294,832	137,065	27,392	190,312	79,037	86,806	103,133

Table S-68 (cont.)

Total Amount of Money Owed (Excluding Home Mortgage)
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
None	19.9% ± 2.1	7.8% ± 3.8	4.9% ± 2.3	1.4% ± 0.7	6.6% ± 1.4	3.6% ± 0.8	3.6% ± 1.5	9.9% ± 3.3	8.5% ± 2.5	8.4% ± 2.2	4.1% ± 0.6	6.7% ± 1.3	
\$1 - \$999	25.1 ± 1.9	5.5 ± 3.1	8.4 ± 2.5	5.8 ± 2.1	11.5 ± 1.9	7.1 ± 1.2	5.5 ± 1.6	8.7 ± 2.9	7.0 ± 1.8	8.3 ± 2.5	6.9 ± 1.1	9.1 ± 1.2	
\$1,000 - \$1,999	10.9 ± 1.4	12.0 ± 4.7	7.9 ± 3.4	5.8 ± 2.9	9.0 ± 1.6	8.0 ± 1.4	5.9 ± 1.8	6.5 ± 2.2	5.4 ± 1.8	3.5 ± 1.4	6.3 ± 0.8	8.7 ± 1.2	
\$2,000 - \$4,999	14.9 ± 1.5	19.0 ± 5.6	19.1 ± 4.2	11.1 ± 3.9	17.2 ± 2.1	18.2 ± 1.9	16.3 ± 3.4	14.1 ± 3.0	13.6 ± 2.8	14.7 ± 2.6	14.8 ± 1.9	19.4 ± 2.0	
\$5,000 - \$9,999	15.3 ± 1.8	22.0 ± 6.1	19.2 ± 4.2	17.2 ± 4.8	21.2 ± 2.5	25.3 ± 2.0	19.7 ± 3.0	19.4 ± 3.0	19.2 ± 3.4	18.9 ± 3.3	21.6 ± 1.5	22.3 ± 1.5	
\$10,000 - \$14,999	9.0 ± 1.4	16.7 ± 5.4	18.6 ± 3.7	21.7 ± 4.8	15.3 ± 2.7	17.1 ± 2.0	16.0 ± 2.9	16.5 ± 3.8	15.2 ± 2.8	15.1 ± 2.3	17.1 ± 1.9	15.4 ± 1.5	
\$15,000 - \$19,999	2.8 ± 0.6	8.1 ± 3.9	10.2 ± 3.0	16.9 ± 4.9	10.2 ± 2.3	11.6 ± 1.7	14.1 ± 3.6	8.6 ± 2.7	12.2 ± 2.4	11.3 ± 2.5	12.9 ± 1.8	10.0 ± 1.4	
\$20,000 - \$29,999	1.4 ± 0.4	4.0 ± 2.6	8.1 ± 3.0	11.2 ± 3.7	4.8 ± 1.6	6.5 ± 1.4	9.8 ± 2.5	10.1 ± 2.5	11.5 ± 2.5	8.6 ± 2.2	9.3 ± 1.3	5.5 ± 1.1	
\$30,000 or more	0.7 ± 0.3	4.8 ± 3.1	3.5 ± 1.7	8.8 ± 4.2	4.1 ± 1.5	2.5 ± 1.1	9.0 ± 2.5	6.1 ± 1.8	7.4 ± 2.3	11.3 ± 2.7	7.0 ± 1.1	2.9 ± 0.8	
Sample size	2,839	239	470	407	1,278	1,878	628	610	871	882	3,193	3,092	
Weighted total	173,691	10,687	14,954	13,660	53,800	84,777	40,601	9,748	13,688	15,181	118,517	105,411	

Table S_67

of Months Bills Weren't Paid in the Past 12 Months
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOJ	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
None	66.4% ± 1.7	59.7% ± 1.7	67.3% ± 2.3	87.1% ± 1.6	93.2% ± 1.7	63.3% ± 2.3	71.7% ± 1.9	73.3% ± 3.9	63.1% ± 1.8	67.0% ± 2.5	71.8% ± 3.1
1-2 months	15.7 ± 1.2	19.0 ± 1.4	15.0 ± 1.7	6.3 ± 1.5	3.1 ± 1.0	17.1 ± 1.5	13.7 ± 1.7	10.6 ± 3.1	17.2 ± 1.4	13.9 ± 2.1	14.5 ± 1.9
3-4 months	8.0 ± 0.6	9.2 ± 0.8	8.4 ± 1.1	2.5 ± 1.2	0.8 ± 0.4	8.9 ± 0.8	6.4 ± 1.1	6.3 ± 1.2	8.8 ± 1.0	9.2 ± 1.2	6.1 ± 0.9
5-6 months	3.4 ± 0.6	4.3 ± 0.7	3.3 ± 0.8	0.4 ± 0.3	0.7 ± 0.5	3.7 ± 0.8	2.6 ± 1.0	4.6 ± 1.7	3.6 ± 0.8	3.7 ± 1.2	2.8 ± 0.7
7-8 months	1.8 ± 0.4	2.3 ± 0.4	1.8 ± 0.7	0.7 ± 0.5	0.0 ± 0.0	2.0 ± 0.6	1.4 ± 0.5	1.5 ± 0.9	2.2 ± 0.7	2.0 ± 0.7	1.1 ± 0.5
9-12 months	4.7 ± 0.5	5.6 ± 0.7	4.2 ± 0.8	3.0 ± 0.7	2.2 ± 0.5	5.0 ± 0.7	4.2 ± 0.8	3.8 ± 0.9	5.1 ± 0.9	4.6 ± 0.8	3.7 ± 0.9
Sample size	10,903	4,663	2,863	1,721	1,445	6,479	3,349	1,075	4,106	1,527	3,163
Weighted total	466,163	226,120	180,064	30,383	19,803	299,477	138,842	27,844	193,124	87,796	105,432

Table S_67 (cont.)

of Months Bills Weren't Paid in the Past 12 Months
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
None	68.1% ± 1.8	47.3% ± 6.9	74.4% ± 5.9	71.6% ± 4.3	62.2% ± 3.6	54.9% ± 2.6	71.6% ± 4.2	93.3% ± 1.7	86.5% ± 2.6	90.5% ± 2.2	69.1% ± 2.6	61.9% ± 2.9
1-2 months	15.7 ± 1.6	18.7 ± 5.4	11.9 ± 4.3	14.3 ± 3.8	18.5 ± 2.6	21.1 ± 1.9	12.5 ± 2.4	3.1 ± 1.3	7.3 ± 2.4	4.4 ± 1.3	14.2 ± 1.4	18.2 ± 2.0
3-4 months	6.2 ± 0.7	15.8 ± 5.3	5.1 ± 3.4	8.7 ± 3.1	9.6 ± 2.0	11.6 ± 1.5	6.2 ± 2.2	1.4 ± 0.8	2.2 ± 1.2	1.9 ± 1.2	8.0 ± 1.4	9.4 ± 1.5
5-6 months	3.1 ± 0.7	9.5 ± 4.4	2.5 ± 1.8	1.8 ± 1.6	2.9 ± 0.9	5.4 ± 1.3	3.6 ± 1.4	0.3 ± 0.4	1.0 ± 0.9	0.6 ± 0.5	3.0 ± 0.7	4.2 ± 1.1
7-8 months	1.5 ± 0.5	3.3 ± 2.2	1.8 ± 1.5	1.5 ± 1.4	1.9 ± 0.8	3.0 ± 1.1	1.2 ± 0.7	0.1 ± 0.2	0.8 ± 0.8	0.0 ± 0.0	1.6 ± 0.5	2.5 ± 1.2
9-12 months	5.4 ± 1.0	5.2 ± 2.5	4.2 ± 2.1	2.1 ± 1.6	5.0 ± 1.2	4.0 ± 0.8	4.9 ± 2.2	1.8 ± 1.1	2.2 ± 0.8	2.6 ± 1.2	4.2 ± 1.0	3.8 ± 0.8
Sample size	2,875	244	477	416	1,291	1,904	633	621	890	896	3,241	3,134
Weighted total	175,837	10,939	15,166	13,913	54,565	86,197	40,872	10,040	13,941	15,411	120,739	106,841

SOLDIER AND ARMY

Table S_68A

Opportunities for Advancement at this Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very good	10.3% + 0.9	6.0% + 1.0	13.9% + 1.5	15.3% + 2.0	18.5% + 3.4	10.1% + 1.1	10.7% + 1.4	10.2% + 3.9	9.9% + 1.3	7.6% + 1.9	11.1% + 1.7	12.4% + 1.2
Good	31.5% + 1.3	27.8% + 1.8	34.1% + 2.2	42.4% + 3.7	30.9% + 2.5	31.3% + 1.9	31.7% + 1.8	32.3% + 3.3	32.4% + 2.3	31.2% + 3.1	32.5% + 1.9	29.4% + 3.2
Neither good nor bad	27.8% + 1.2	30.2% + 1.5	24.9% + 1.8	25.9% + 2.7	25.0% + 2.3	27.1% + 1.5	29.0% + 1.9	30.0% + 1.2	28.3% + 1.5	29.1% + 3.4	28.9% + 2.3	25.0% + 1.7
Bad	16.7% + 0.7	20.2% + 1.3	14.4% + 1.2	8.3% + 1.5	13.1% + 2.3	17.4% + 0.9	15.5% + 1.3	15.1% + 1.5	16.8% + 1.4	17.4% + 1.8	16.2% + 2.0	16.3% + 1.8
Very bad	12.5% + 0.8	14.4% + 1.1	11.5% + 1.7	7.6% + 2.2	11.7% + 2.5	12.8% + 1.2	12.3% + 1.0	11.3% + 1.4	11.7% + 1.3	13.1% + 1.8	10.1% + 1.2	15.7% + 2.1
Don't know	1.2% + 0.3	1.4% + 0.5	1.1% + 0.5	0.4% + 0.3	0.8% + 0.5	1.3% + 0.4	0.9% + 0.3	1.0% + 0.7	0.9% + 0.4	1.6% + 0.8	1.2% + 0.6	1.3% + 0.5
Sample size	10,961	4,688	2,880	1,737	1,449	6,521	3,362	1,078	4,131	1,533	2,114	3,183
Weighted total	468,385	227,211	181,104	30,692	19,806	301,209	139,269	27,907	194,354	80,015	87,943	106,072

**Opportunities for Advancement at this Location
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status**

287

Table S 688
Pay at this Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very good	3.2% ± 0.6	2.0% ± 0.5	3.6% ± 0.9	5.6% ± 1.4	8.9% ± 1.7	3.0% ± 0.8	3.6% ± 0.8	3.8% ± 2.2	2.7% ± 0.7	2.5% ± 1.0	3.4% ± 0.9	4.5% ± 1.3
Good	29.9 ± 1.2	24.4 ± 1.4	30.9 ± 2.3	48.4 ± 3.3	48.4 ± 3.5	28.2 ± 1.3	33.4 ± 2.6	30.3 ± 3.5	28.4 ± 1.4	30.6 ± 4.2	28.5 ± 2.6	33.0 ± 2.9
Neither good nor bad	39.1 ± 1.3	41.9 ± 1.7	38.9 ± 2.2	29.2 ± 2.5	29.2 ± 2.2	39.3 ± 1.6	38.5 ± 2.5	40.0 ± 3.7	39.3 ± 2.2	40.5 ± 3.3	38.5 ± 2.7	38.1 ± 2.6
Bad	19.8 ± 0.8	21.6 ± 1.1	19.7 ± 1.5	13.4 ± 1.8	10.5 ± 1.7	20.7 ± 1.0	18.1 ± 1.7	18.8 ± 2.3	20.4 ± 1.2	18.0 ± 2.4	21.7 ± 1.6	18.8 ± 2.2
Very bad	7.7 ± 0.8	9.7 ± 1.0	6.8 ± 1.1	3.5 ± 1.2	2.8 ± 1.0	8.6 ± 1.0	6.2 ± 1.5	6.5 ± 2.1	8.8 ± 1.8	8.1 ± 1.4	7.6 ± 1.4	5.6 ± 0.9
Don't know	0.3 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	0.2 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.6	0.3 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.3	0.3 ± 0.3	0.2 ± 0.3
Sample size	10,368	4,785	2,880	1,738	1,455	6,519	3,370	1,079	4,125	1,534	2,116	3,193
Weighted total	468,486	227,084	181,111	30,689	19,874	300,958	139,605	27,923	193,966	80,137	88,032	106,351

Table S_688 (cont.)

Pay at this Location
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Very good	2.7% ± 0.7	3.7% ± 2.5	3.3% ± 2.3	4.7% ± 2.7	3.7% ± 1.6	2.2% ± 0.7	2.8% ± 1.2	7.4% ± 2.3	6.0% ± 1.6	6.2% ± 1.7	3.4% ± 0.8	3.3% ± 1.0
Good	26.5 ± 1.7	32.2 ± 5.4	36.0 ± 4.8	31.8 ± 5.6	32.7 ± 5.2	26.5 ± 2.3	28.4 ± 3.1	50.0 ± 5.5	40.2 ± 4.0	48.5 ± 4.1	32.0 ± 2.9	31.2 ± 1.8
Neither good nor bad	41.0 ± 2.1	35.9 ± 7.2	36.2 ± 6.7	38.2 ± 6.0	37.8 ± 5.1	39.8 ± 2.6	41.7 ± 2.8	26.5 ± 5.0	32.9 ± 3.8	29.1 ± 4.5	38.7 ± 2.5	37.5 ± 2.1
Bad	20.4 ± 1.6	21.3 ± 5.9	18.1 ± 5.8	20.2 ± 5.9	19.4 ± 2.8	22.7 ± 2.2	19.2 ± 2.1	13.3 ± 3.8	17.3 ± 3.1	12.4 ± 3.2	19.2 ± 2.2	20.1 ± 1.5
Very bad	9.0 ± 1.2	6.7 ± 3.3	6.3 ± 2.6	5.0 ± 2.7	6.5 ± 2.0	8.5 ± 1.6	7.5 ± 1.7	2.7 ± 1.5	3.7 ± 1.7	3.6 ± 1.5	6.6 ± 1.3	7.6 ± 1.3
Don't know	0.3 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.5	0.1 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.4	0.0 ± 0.4	0.3 ± 0.4	0.4 ± 0.4	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.2	0.1 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.2
Sample size	2,885	244	479	423	1,292	1,918	628	629	897	896	3,259	3,145
Weighted total	176,501	10,937	15,143	14,061	54,582	86,839	40,437	10,154	14,047	15,345	120,813	107,278

Table S_68C

Retirement Benefits at this Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other DCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very good	8.2% + 0.8	7.7% + 1.0	7.8% + 1.3	10.4% + 1.5	14.6% + 1.8	8.1% + 1.1	8.7% + 1.1	7.8% + 1.8	8.2% + 1.1	7.0% + 1.4	8.6% + 1.5	8.9% + 1.1
Good	37.8 + 1.5	33.7 + 1.9	39.0 + 2.6	50.7 + 2.6	48.8 + 2.7	38.9 + 2.1	39.3 + 2.0	38.7 + 5.6	37.6 + 2.0	38.7 + 3.6	38.7 + 2.8	39.6 + 2.8
Neither good nor bad	29.0 + 1.3	28.6 + 1.8	30.5 + 1.9	25.8 + 1.7	24.2 + 2.7	29.1 + 1.7	28.7 + 1.9	28.4 + 7.3	30.1 + 1.7	28.9 + 3.5	29.0 + 3.5	27.0 + 2.0
Bad	9.2 + 0.8	7.1 + 0.9	12.1 + 1.5	6.5 + 1.3	8.1 + 1.4	10.3 + 1.1	7.1 + 1.3	7.6 + 1.4	8.1 + 1.0	8.5 + 1.8	8.1 + 1.6	12.6 + 1.5
Very bad	4.3 + 0.5	4.7 + 0.9	4.5 + 0.9	2.8 + 1.1	2.2 + 0.6	4.6 + 0.7	3.7 + 0.9	4.6 + 1.7	4.3 + 0.8	4.8 + 1.4	5.1 + 1.3	3.4 + 0.8
Don't know	11.5 + 0.9	18.2 + 1.5	6.1 + 1.2	3.8 + 1.3	2.1 + 1.1	10.9 + 1.2	12.5 + 1.5	13.0 + 4.1	11.6 + 1.3	14.0 + 1.7	12.5 + 2.2	8.6 + 1.5
Sample size	10,760	4,532	2,848	1,721	1,449	6,405	3,299	1,056	4,030	1,507	2,063	3,160
Weighted total	458,141	218,882	179,297	30,426	19,775	294,652	136,244	27,245	188,744	78,339	86,074	104,984

Table S 68C (cont.)

Retirement Benefits at this Location
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Enlisted	No Children	Children	Child 6+	Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Very good	8.3% + 1.2	8.1% + 3.8	9.2% + 3.3	7.4% + 3.2	8.6% + 2.0	7.3% + 1.3	13.5% + 3.3	10.3% + 2.1	12.1% + 2.6	7.8% + 1.3
Good	34.9 + 2.1	31.2 + 7.2	37.9 + 6.4	40.2 + 5.1	37.2 + 3.7	38.9 + 2.8	49.8 + 6.1	44.2 + 3.9	49.0 + 4.8	40.9 + 2.7
Neither good nor bad	30.0 + 2.1	30.0 + 5.7	25.0 + 5.0	28.8 + 5.0	25.7 + 3.3	27.6 + 2.4	23.7 + 3.3	30.4 + 4.0	23.3 + 4.5	28.2 + 2.3
Bad	6.4 + 0.9	11.2 + 5.2	9.5 + 4.3	11.3 + 4.0	11.4 + 2.1	10.9 + 1.6	7.5 + 3.0	9.6 + 2.6	10.8 + 3.3	11.9 + 1.7
Very bad	4.4 + 1.1	5.3 + 4.3	3.7 + 2.1	4.6 + 2.5	4.5 + 1.2	4.3 + 1.1	2.7 + 1.3	2.8 + 1.7	2.7 + 1.6	4.9 + 1.0
Don't know	16.0 + 1.8	14.2 + 6.4	14.7 + 3.7	7.6 + 2.6	12.6 + 1.8	11.0 + 1.4	2.9 + 1.4	2.7 + 1.3	2.1 + 1.1	8.4 + 1.3
Sample size	2,810	235	466	416	1,258	1,874	622	891	897	3,100
Weighted total	171,068	10,517	14,882	13,806	53,102	85,088	10,070	13,948	15,399	118,838
										105,810

Table S_680

Type of Work at this Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very good	15.5% + 1.5	10.7% + 1.2	18.1% + 2.3	21.3% + 2.5	31.2% + 3.9	16.2% + 2.2	13.5% + 1.9	17.3% + 3.0	12.3% + 1.4	10.2% + 2.2	15.5% + 2.0	25.2% + 2.7
Good	42.2 + 1.5	36.4 + 2.1	47.1 + 2.1	50.4 + 2.9	48.9 + 2.7	42.0 + 2.1	42.1 + 2.2	44.5 + 3.6	38.7 + 1.7	41.7 + 2.8	44.7 + 2.5	46.8 + 2.7
Neither good nor bad	26.3 + 1.3	31.2 + 1.6	23.2 + 1.5	18.7 + 2.5	14.5 + 2.6	26.0 + 1.8	27.4 + 2.2	23.0 + 1.9	29.7 + 1.5	29.0 + 2.3	24.9 + 2.2	19.1 + 1.9
Bad	10.0 + 1.1	13.1 + 1.6	7.5 + 1.1	6.1 + 1.9	5.4 + 1.6	10.0 + 1.6	10.4 + 1.5	9.2 + 1.9	11.8 + 1.2	12.8 + 2.6	9.6 + 2.0	5.1 + 1.0
Very bad	5.8 + 0.6	8.1 + 1.1	4.0 + 0.7	3.4 + 1.2	1.9 + 0.6	5.7 + 0.9	6.1 + 0.9	5.8 + 1.9	7.2 + 1.1	6.0 + 1.7	5.0 + 0.9	3.7 + 0.9
Don't know	0.2 + 0.1	0.4 + 0.2	0.1 + 0.2	0.0 + 0.1	0.1 + 0.1	0.1 + 0.1	0.4 + 0.2	0.2 + 0.2	0.3 + 0.2	0.3 + 0.3	0.2 + 0.2	0.1 + 0.2
Sample size	10,949	4,659	2,882	1,737	1,461	6,511	3,363	1,075	4,109	1,530	2,114	3,196
Weighted total	467,418	225,846	181,241	30,666	19,933	300,496	139,095	27,826	193,316	79,938	88,104	106,062

Type of Work at this Location
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

293

Table S 68E

Treatment by Supervisors at this Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very good	13.5% + 1.5	7.9% + 1.1	16.7% + 1.8	21.7% + 2.4	33.7% + 3.4	14.5% + 2.1	11.4% + 2.5	13.7% + 3.5	10.6% + 1.4	8.2% + 1.8	13.2% + 1.8 23.2% + 2.5
Good	40.1 + 1.3	35.4 + 1.7	44.3 + 1.7	48.9 + 3.0	43.1 + 2.5	40.5 + 1.6	38.8 + 2.8	41.9 + 2.3	37.7 + 1.5	42.2 + 3.1	39.6 + 2.9 43.2 + 2.2
Neither good nor bad	29.1 + 1.4	33.5 + 1.7	26.5 + 1.7	21.9 + 2.4	15.3 + 2.5	28.6 + 1.9	30.9 + 2.2	25.6 + 1.5	32.2 + 1.6	29.3 + 2.9	30.0 + 1.9 22.7 + 2.0
Bad	11.2 + 0.9	14.6 + 1.2	8.7 + 1.0	6.1 + 1.5	5.5 + 1.3	10.4 + 1.1	12.8 + 1.7	11.7 + 2.7	12.5 + 1.3	13.5 + 1.7	10.7 + 1.7 7.4 + 1.1
Very bad	5.9 + 0.6	8.5 + 0.8	3.6 + 0.8	3.3 + 1.0	2.4 + 0.7	5.8 + 0.8	5.9 + 0.9	6.9 + 1.6	6.7 + 0.8	6.8 + 1.5	6.3 + 1.5 3.3 + 0.6
Don't know	0.2 + 0.1	0.2 + 0.2	0.2 + 0.2	0.1 + 0.1	0.0 + 0.1	0.2 + 0.2	0.2 + 0.2	0.3 + 0.3	0.3 + 0.3	0.1 + 0.1	0.1 + 0.1 0.2 + 0.3
Sample size	10,877	4,622	2,868	1,727	1,450	6,463	3,347	1,067	4,081	1,522	2,098 3,176
Weighted total	464,107	223,713	180,488	30,430	19,757	298,152	138,462	27,494	191,790	79,457	87,453 105,407

Table S_68F

Opportunities to Use Abilities at this Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very good	15.2% + 1.5	9.3% + 1.3	18.3% + 1.6	24.0% + 3.2	35.2% + 4.0	15.6% + 2.0	14.0% + 1.8	17.4% + 6.8	12.6% + 1.4	10.5% + 2.2	14.8% + 1.8	23.9% + 2.2
Good	38.1 + 1.2	32.8 + 1.5	43.1 + 1.7	45.3 + 2.5	41.2 + 2.8	38.5 + 1.5	36.9 + 2.0	40.3 + 5.0	36.9 + 1.8	37.9 + 2.3	38.8 + 1.9	40.0 + 2.5
Neither good nor bad	25.0 + 1.2	30.2 + 1.5	21.4 + 1.6	18.8 + 2.6	14.2 + 2.0	24.5 + 1.6	26.7 + 2.0	21.4 + 2.9	26.9 + 1.5	25.6 + 2.5	28.1 + 2.1	20.1 + 2.3
Bad	13.9 + 0.8	17.7 + 1.5	11.2 + 1.1	8.3 + 1.1	6.3 + 1.8	14.0 + 1.1	14.1 + 1.5	13.1 + 1.0	15.5 + 1.4	17.1 + 1.7	12.2 + 1.8	10.2 + 1.6
Very bad	7.4 + 0.6	9.4 + 1.0	5.8 + 0.9	5.4 + 1.5	3.0 + 1.0	7.1 + 0.8	8.0 + 1.2	7.1 + 1.9	7.8 + 0.9	8.5 + 1.7	7.7 + 1.5	5.5 + 1.3
Don't know	0.3 + 0.2	0.6 + 0.3	0.1 + 0.1	0.2 + 0.2	0.0 + 0.1	0.3 + 0.2	0.4 + 0.2	0.8 + 0.8	0.3 + 0.2	0.4 + 0.4	0.5 + 0.4	0.2 + 0.2
Sample size	10,969	4,673	2,887	1,735	1,463	6,529	3,360	1,080	4,125	1,533	2,109	3,202
Weighted total	468,464	226,377	181,696	30,633	19,966	301,363	139,163	27,938	193,954	80,263	87,949	106,298

Table S_68F (cont.)
 Opportunities to Use Abilities at this Location
 by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Very good	11.1% ± 1.7	16.3% ± 5.9	19.2% ± 4.2	15.8% ± 5.7	13.0% ± 2.4	14.8% ± 1.9	21.4% ± 3.8	30.9% ± 4.8	26.6% ± 4.5	32.8% ± 3.7	18.1% ± 1.8	18.4% ± 1.8
Good	33.3% ± 1.7	36.8% ± 5.7	36.8% ± 5.7	45.3% ± 4.9	38.4% ± 3.2	40.1% ± 2.8	44.3% ± 4.7	41.6% ± 3.9	44.8% ± 4.2	41.2% ± 3.5	40.5% ± 1.8	41.4% ± 2.1
Neither good nor bad	28.8% ± 1.9	25.8% ± 6.0	24.3% ± 4.0	21.3% ± 4.7	27.2% ± 2.3	24.0% ± 2.5	20.5% ± 3.1	14.2% ± 2.7	16.0% ± 3.4	15.2% ± 3.1	22.0% ± 1.6	23.1% ± 2.2
Bad	17.0% ± 1.5	14.2% ± 3.9	12.4% ± 3.9	12.1% ± 3.9	13.9% ± 1.6	14.2% ± 1.8	8.3% ± 1.7	9.3% ± 2.7	8.2% ± 2.5	6.9% ± 1.9	12.7% ± 1.2	11.1% ± 1.4
Very bad	9.2% ± 1.3	6.8% ± 3.8	6.4% ± 2.2	5.5% ± 2.5	7.5% ± 1.5	6.7% ± 1.5	5.6% ± 2.2	3.7% ± 1.4	4.3% ± 1.6	3.8% ± 2.1	6.6% ± 1.1	6.0% ± 1.2
Don't know	0.6% ± 0.4	0.0% ± 0.0	0.9% ± 1.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.1	0.2% ± 0.2	0.0% ± 0.0	0.3% ± 0.5	0.1% ± 0.3	0.1% ± 0.1	0.1% ± 0.1	0.1% ± 0.1
Sample size	2,881	243	481	423	1,292	1,912	634	629	894	903	3,260	3,147
Weighted total	176,099	10,857	15,230	14,027	54,776	88,549	40,954	10,175	13,977	15,477	121,240	107,270

Table S 63G
Job Security at this Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very good	22.5% ± 1.2	20.1% ± 1.6	23.2% ± 1.6	25.6% ± 3.5	34.1% ± 3.4	23.3% ± 1.6	20.8% ± 2.0	23.1% ± 3.3	21.9% ± 1.9	20.2% ± 2.6	21.4% ± 2.2	26.3% ± 2.1
Good	48.7 ± 1.1	48.3 ± 1.5	49.9 ± 2.0	48.3 ± 2.8	44.3 ± 2.4	48.0 ± 1.1	50.4 ± 2.5	48.4 ± 3.0	48.2 ± 2.1	50.8 ± 3.8	50.7 ± 2.5	48.4 ± 2.3
Neither good nor bad	20.8 ± 1.0	22.8 ± 1.4	19.6 ± 1.5	16.8 ± 1.9	15.8 ± 2.2	20.6 ± 1.1	21.3 ± 2.1	19.9 ± 2.2	21.2 ± 1.7	21.6 ± 2.5	21.1 ± 2.3	19.1 ± 1.8
Bad	4.6 ± 0.5	4.9 ± 0.7	4.5 ± 0.8	4.9 ± 1.2	3.0 ± 1.0	4.8 ± 0.7	4.1 ± 0.6	5.1 ± 1.1	5.0 ± 0.8	4.4 ± 1.0	4.4 ± 1.1	4.3 ± 1.1
Very bad	2.2 ± 0.4	2.2 ± 0.5	2.1 ± 0.5	3.7 ± 1.7	2.0 ± 0.8	2.3 ± 0.5	2.2 ± 0.6	2.1 ± 0.8	2.5 ± 0.6	2.0 ± 0.9	1.7 ± 0.7	2.5 ± 1.0
Don't know	1.1 ± 0.3	1.5 ± 0.5	0.8 ± 0.4	0.7 ± 0.4	0.8 ± 0.4	1.1 ± 0.4	1.2 ± 0.4	1.4 ± 1.4	1.3 ± 0.5	1.0 ± 0.5	0.6 ± 0.4	1.3 ± 0.6
Sample size	10,947	4,668	2,879	1,734	1,455	6,516	3,356	1,075	4,113	1,532	2,108	3,194
Weighted total	467,503	226,071	181,144	30,624	19,871	300,874	138,833	27,797	193,378	80,000	87,830	106,295

Table S_686 (cont.)

Job Security at this Location
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Very good	21.2% ± 2.0	19.5% ± 5.0	22.1% ± 4.4	18.2% ± 4.4	25.4% ± 2.3	21.2% ± 1.9	25.7% ± 4.1	30.0% ± 4.7	25.6% ± 4.4	33.0% ± 4.5	24.2% ± 2.0	24.8% ± 1.7
Good	48.0 ± 1.8	43.5 ± 6.9	52.5 ± 6.4	52.0 ± 4.8	48.4 ± 3.1	49.4 ± 2.5	50.0 ± 4.1	47.9 ± 4.8	45.2 ± 4.0	44.7 ± 3.1	49.3 ± 2.4	47.9 ± 2.3
Neither good nor bad	22.5 ± 1.7	25.2 ± 5.4	19.7 ± 4.9	20.5 ± 4.5	17.9 ± 2.9	21.9 ± 1.7	18.6 ± 3.0	15.4 ± 2.9	19.1 ± 2.4	16.4 ± 2.5	19.1 ± 1.9	19.7 ± 1.3
Bad	4.3 ± 0.9	6.5 ± 3.0	3.3 ± 2.3	6.5 ± 2.6	5.6 ± 1.6	4.6 ± 1.1	3.4 ± 1.2	3.8 ± 1.5	3 ± 3	2.5 ± 0.9	4.7 ± 1.0	4.5 ± 0.9
Very bad	2.3 ± 0.6	2.9 ± 2.0	1.6 ± 1.4	2.5 ± 2.1	2.3 ± 0.9	1.6 ± 0.8	2.2 ± 1.2	2.6 ± 1.4	3.9 ± 2.0	2.9 ± 1.5	1.8 ± 0.5	2.5 ± 0.9
Don't know	1.6 ± 0.5	2.5 ± 2.1	0.7 ± 0.9	0.2 ± 0.4	0.3 ± 0.3	1.3 ± 0.8	0.1 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.6	0.6 ± 0.5	0.5 ± 0.4	0.9 ± 0.4	0.7 ± 0.3
Sample size	• 2,876	243	479	423	1,294	1,912	631	626	891	902	3,260	3,139
Weighted total	175,663	10,869	15,192	14,103	54,844	86,527	40,745	10,120	13,949	15,467	121,254	107,054

Table S 68H

Work Rules and Regulations at this Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very good	9.1%	5.6%	11.6%	11.2%	20.8%	9.6%	8.0%	8.4%	8.1%	5.0%	9.0%	14.0%
	+ 1.0	+ 0.7	+ 1.4	+ 2.0	+ 2.5	+ 1.3	+ 1.4	+ 2.6	+ 1.0	+ 1.1	+ 1.2	+ 1.9
Good	40.7	33.7	46.2	52.0	49.2	41.0	39.9	41.3	37.5	37.8	43.1	46.7
	+ 1.4	+ 1.5	+ 1.8	+ 3.1	+ 2.5	+ 1.7	+ 2.7	+ 5.7	+ 2.0	+ 2.4	+ 2.5	+ 1.9
Neither good nor bad	33.1	37.4	29.9	28.1	23.9	33.2	33.1	33.4	34.1	37.8	33.1	28.0
	+ 1.2	+ 1.5	+ 1.8	+ 2.8	+ 2.7	+ 1.6	+ 2.1	+ 3.0	+ 1.8	+ 2.4	+ 2.4	+ 2.0
Bad	11.7	15.3	8.9	6.8	4.1	11.1	13.1	11.0	14.0	12.7	10.5	7.8
	+ 1.0	+ 1.2	+ 1.2	+ 1.6	+ 1.5	+ 1.3	+ 2.0	+ 3.6	+ 1.2	+ 2.4	+ 1.9	+ 1.4
Very bad	4.9	7.3	2.9	1.8	1.6	4.7	5.2	5.1	5.9	5.8	4.0	2.9
	+ 0.6	+ 0.8	+ 0.8	+ 0.7	+ 0.9	+ 0.8	+ 0.8	+ 1.4	+ 0.8	+ 1.3	+ 1.0	+ 0.8
Don't know	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.8	0.4	0.9	0.3	0.6
	+ 0.2	+ 0.3	+ 0.3	+ 0.2	+ 0.3	+ 0.2	+ 0.3	+ 1.0	+ 0.2	+ 0.4	+ 0.3	+ 0.4
Sample size	10,930	4,655	2,867	1,735	1,462	6,505	3,349	1,076	4,109	1,529	2,098	3,194
Weighted total	466,423	225,836	180,241	30,590	19,964	300,149	138,456	27,818	193,347	79,739	87,305	106,032

Table S_68H (cont.)

Work Rules and Regulations at this Location
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
Very good	6.7% ± 1.1	9.0% ± 4.3	10.2% ± 3.8	10.3% ± 3.1	8.9% ± 1.9	7.5% ± 1.5	14.7% ± 3.1	16.3% ± 3.5	12.4% ± 3.2	18.5% ± 3.6	11.0% ± 1.4	9.9% ± 1.5		
Good	35.6 ± 1.9	41.9 ± 6.3	45.2 ± 5.1	43.0 ± 5.9	39.6 ± 3.3	41.3 ± 3.0	49.0 ± 5.0	50.4 ± 4.3	48.2 ± 4.0	50.8 ± 4.3	44.7 ± 2.1	43.3 ± 2.5		
Neither good nor bad	35.7 ± 2.0	32.4 ± 6.4	28.8 ± 4.5	33.7 ± 6.1	31.9 ± 3.0	35.9 ± 2.5	28.3 ± 4.2	24.6 ± 4.7	29.9 ± 3.6	24.2 ± 3.3	31.0 ± 1.8	32.4 ± 2.5		
Bad	14.2 ± 1.4	13.7 ± 4.2	11.3 ± 3.9	8.2 ± 2.9	14.3 ± 1.9	10.8 ± 1.7	5.9 ± 1.7	6.8 ± 2.5	7.5 ± 2.6	4.3 ± 2.1	10.1 ± 1.4	10.0 ± 1.4		
Very bad	7.1 ± 1.0	2.5 ± 2.2	3.1 ± 1.6	4.7 ± 2.6	5.1 ± 1.2	3.9 ± 1.1	2.0 ± 1.1	1.5 ± 1.2	1.9 ± 0.9	1.8 ± 1.1	2.8 ± 0.7	4.1 ± 0.9		
Don't know	0.7 ± 0.3	0.5 ± 0.9	1.4 ± 1.5	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.5	0.1 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.5	0.1 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.4	0.4 ± 0.3	0.3 ± 0.2		
Sample size	2,874	242	476	423	1,287	1,903	628	629	894	903	3,248	3,141		
Weighted total	175,799	10,803	14,991	14,083	54,517	85,915	40,556	10,174	13,986	15,477	120,368	106,926		

Table S.681

Working Hours and Schedule at this Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
Very good	8.2% + 1.5	5.8% + 1.0	10.1% + 2.2	8.7% + 2.1	16.8% + 2.7	9.4% + 2.1	5.6% + 2.0	7.2% + 2.7	4.9% + 1.0	3.5% + 1.3	7.2% + 1.2	18.4% + 3.2
Good	32.6 + 1.9	30.8 + 2.0	33.6 + 2.5	33.4 + 3.7	39.4 + 3.3	33.5 + 2.3	30.8 + 3.7	31.9 + 1.9	26.5 + 2.2	31.5 + 3.0	36.2 + 3.4	41.4 + 2.7
Neither good nor bad	30.4 + 1.5	31.2 + 1.6	29.8 + 2.1	32.0 + 3.1	23.0 + 1.9	30.2 + 2.2	31.1 + 2.2	29.3 + 2.1	32.6 + 2.1	32.7 + 3.1	32.4 + 2.1	23.0 + 2.2
Bad	18.8 + 1.7	19.8 + 1.9	18.0 + 2.4	19.3 + 2.9	14.3 + 2.6	17.6 + 2.0	21.0 + 3.3	20.0 + 4.7	23.6 + 2.2	19.1 + 3.5	16.7 + 2.3	11.5 + 2.7
Very bad	9.8 + 0.9	12.0 + 1.0	8.1 + 1.2	6.4 + 1.4	6.4 + 1.6	9.0 + 1.0	11.2 + 1.8	11.4 + 3.3	12.0 + 1.5	13.0 + 2.6	7.3 + 1.5	5.4 + 1.1
Don't know	0.3 + 0.1	0.4 + 0.2	0.2 + 0.2	0.1 + 0.2	0.0 + 0.1	0.3 + 0.2	0.3 + 0.3	0.2 + 0.2	0.4 + 0.3	0.2 + 0.2	0.2 + 0.3	0.2 + 0.3
Sample size	10,950	4,661	2,880	1,736	1,463	6,524	3,355	1,071	4,111	1,533	2,103	3,203
Weighted total	467,677	225,927	181,374	30,641	19,975	301,115	138,880	27,681	193,444	80,154	87,724	106,355

Table S_681 (cont.)

Working Hours and Schedule at this Location
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spous? Employed	Spouse not Employed
Very good	5.8%	13.5%	11.7%	12.7%	7.4%	7.6%	11.5%	11.9%	11.0%	14.2%	8.9%	9.4%		
	± 1.0	± 4.4	± 4.5	± 5.7	± 1.9	± 1.9	± 3.1	± 3.0	± 2.8	± 2.7	± 1.7	± 2.2		
Good	32.8	35.0	33.1	37.5	31.4	30.6	33.5	35.0	32.1	38.9	32.3	31.9		
	± 2.3	± 6.8	± 4.8	± 6.1	± 3.7	± 3.2	± 4.5	± 5.8	± 3.3	± 5.6	± 2.6	± 2.8		
Neither good nor bad	31.1	25.9	30.0	28.1	29.0	30.2	34.8	30.5	30.9	25.4	30.7	30.0		
	± 2.2	± 6.8	± 4.3	± 5.3	± 2.9	± 2.6	± 4.0	± 4.5	± 3.4	± 3.6	± 2.0	± 2.5		
Bad	19.7	16.4	16.8	12.5	19.5	21.6	13.5	15.5	18.3	16.3	18.7	18.4		
	± 2.3	± 6.5	± 4.8	± 3.3	± 3.4	± 3.1	± 3.8	± 3.1	± 3.4	± 4.1	± 2.5	± 2.0		
Very bad	10.1	9.3	8.4	9.1	12.6	9.5	6.7	7.1	7.7	5.2	9.1	10.0		
	± 1.2	± 4.9	± 2.9	± 4.5	± 2.0	± 2.0	± 2.0	± 3.0	± 3.0	± 1.9	± 1.3	± 1.6		
Don't know	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1		
	± 0.3	± 0.0	± 0.0	± 0.0	± 0.3	± 0.5	± 0.0	± 0.0	± 0.0	± 0.1	± 0.2	± 0.2		
Sample size	2,871	244	480	425	1,286	1,912	633	630	894	903	3,262	3,139		
Weighted total	175,689	10,937	15,175	14,141	54,482	88,570	40,800	10,180	13,977	15,477	121,059	107,051		

Table S 68J

Personal Freedom at this Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very good	12.9% + 1.6	9.2% + 1.2	15.1% + 2.3	16.9% + 2.4	27.7% + 2.3	13.8% + 2.0	11.2% + 3.1	10.7% + 5.3	9.6% + 1.3	9.1% + 2.0	12.6% + 1.7	21.9% + 2.8
Good	40.2 + 1.5	34.6 + 1.8	44.6 + 1.9	45.3 + 2.8	47.9 + 3.4	41.0 + 2.0	38.9 + 2.4	38.6 + 3.9	36.3 + 1.9	37.2 + 3.3	44.0 + 2.5	48.5 + 2.3
Neither good nor bad	24.3 + 1.1	25.5 + 1.4	24.1 + 1.6	23.4 + 2.8	17.2 + 2.3	23.9 + 1.5	25.0 + 1.6	24.4 + 3.8	26.1 + 1.5	25.4 + 2.3	24.3 + 2.0	19.9 + 1.6
Bad	14.9 + 1.3	19.4 + 1.4	11.2 + 1.7	10.8 + 1.9	5.4 + 1.1	14.1 + 1.6	16.3 + 2.4	16.3 + 3.9	18.2 + 1.5	17.8 + 2.6	13.3 + 2.4	8.0 + 2.3
Very bad	7.5 + 0.8	11.1 + 0.8	4.6 + 0.9	3.5 + 1.2	1.7 + 0.8	7.0 + 1.1	8.2 + 1.4	9.5 + 3.5	9.5 + 1.2	10.3 + 2.0	5.7 + 1.4	3.3 + 0.6
Don't know	0.3 + 0.2	0.3 + 0.2	0.3 + 0.3	0.2 + 0.2	0.1 + 0.1	0.2 + 0.2	0.4 + 0.3	0.5 + 0.7	0.3 + 0.2	0.4 + 0.5	0.2 + 0.2	0.4 + 0.4
Sample size	10,985	4,688	2,885	1,738	1,463	6,539	3,368	1,078	4,123	1,539	2,119	3,204
Weighted total	468,959	227,055	181,469	30,671	19,971	301,766	139,321	27,872	193,690	80,377	88,327	106,566

Table S 68K

Opportunities for Excitement and Adventure at this Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very good	11.2% + 1.3	8.7% + 1.2	11.8% + 1.7	16.7% + 2.4	21.3% + 3.0	10.1% + 1.5	13.4% + 2.6	11.7% + 4.9	9.8% + 1.6	9.2% + 2.0	11.8% + 2.1	14.7% + 2.3
Good	34.1 + 1.5	28.8 + 1.6	38.2 + 1.7	41.4 + 2.3	41.2 + 3.3	32.6 + 1.7	37.0 + 2.5	37.2 + 3.9	31.7 + 2.0	34.2 + 2.8	37.3 + 3.1	36.0 + 3.0
Neither good nor bad	29.5 + 1.2	29.7 + 1.5	29.8 + 1.6	27.1 + 2.7	28.9 + 3.5	31.5 + 1.5	25.4 + 1.9	28.3 + 2.4	28.8 + 1.5	28.3 + 2.6	29.1 + 2.3	32.0 + 2.1
Bad	17.0 + 1.5	21.8 + 1.4	13.9 + 1.7	9.9 + 2.1	6.3 + 1.4	17.3 + 1.9	16.7 + 2.5	15.0 + 3.5	20.5 + 2.0	17.8 + 2.8	14.6 + 1.7	12.0 + 2.1
Very bad	7.7 + 1.0	10.6 + 1.4	5.6 + 0.9	4.4 + 1.4	2.1 + 0.7	8.0 + 1.5	7.1 + 0.8	7.1 + 3.9	8.8 + 1.2	9.9 + 2.2	6.7 + 1.9	4.9 + 1.2
Don't know	0.5 + 0.2	0.5 + 0.2	0.6 + 0.3	0.5 + 0.5	0.2 + 0.3	0.5 + 0.2	0.4 + 0.3	0.7 + 0.7	0.4 + 0.2	0.6 + 0.5	0.5 + 0.4	0.5 + 0.4
Sample size	10,978	4,683	2,883	1,738	1,464	6,530	3,370	1,078	4,126	1,535	2,115	3,202
Weighted total	468,711	226,943	181,353	30,688	19,993	301,442	139,461	27,808	193,867	80,167	88,186	106,491

Opportunities for Excitement and Adventure at this Location by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

307

Table S_68L

Opportunity to Serve Country at this Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	MOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very good	19.5%	14.1%	21.6%	32.2%	36.7%	19.0%	20.1%	20.9%	20.5%	15.6%	17.3%	22.3%
	+ 1.2	+ 1.2	+ 1.7	+ 4.4	+ 3.0	+ 1.7	+ 1.6	+ 3.5	+ 2.0	+ 2.6	+ 1.6	+ 2.6
Good	44.8	39.6	50.1	47.7	48.6	43.8	46.2	47.9	43.2	48.0	45.8	45.8
	+ 1.1	+ 1.6	+ 1.8	+ 3.5	+ 2.7	+ 1.5	+ 1.9	+ 3.5	+ 1.5	+ 3.3	+ 2.8	+ 2.0
Neither good nor bad	27.7	34.5	22.8	18.7	15.7	28.4	28.7	24.5	27.2	30.0	29.1	25.5
	+ 1.2	+ 1.5	+ 1.7	+ 3.0	+ 2.6	+ 1.6	+ 2.1	+ 4.7	+ 1.8	+ 3.1	+ 2.5	+ 1.7
Bad	4.6	6.5	3.2	1.5	1.0	5.1	3.7	2.9	5.6	4.3	3.9	3.4
	+ 0.6	+ 0.8	+ 0.8	+ 0.5	+ 0.5	+ 0.8	+ 0.9	+ 1.2	+ 0.8	+ 1.6	+ 0.9	+ 1.0
Very bad	2.2	3.3	1.4	1.0	0.0	2.3	2.1	1.7	2.2	2.7	2.4	1.5
	+ 0.3	+ 0.5	+ 0.4	+ 0.5	+ 0.0	+ 0.5	+ 0.4	+ 1.0	+ 0.5	+ 1.3	+ 0.7	+ 0.5
Don't know	1.4	2.0	0.9	0.9	0.0	1.5	1.1	2.1	1.3	1.3	1.6	1.4
	+ 0.3	+ 0.4	+ 0.4	+ 0.7	+ 0.0	+ 0.3	+ 0.4	+ 1.3	+ 0.4	+ 0.6	+ 0.7	+ 0.6
Sample size	10,906	4,644	2,862	1,731	1,459	6,494	3,344	1,068	4,094	1,524	2,104	3,184
Weighted total	465,167	224,664	180,330	30,507	19,932	299,198	138,301	27,668	192,025	79,518	87,724	105,900

Table S 68L (cont.)

Opportunity to Serve Country at this Location
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed
	Enlisted					Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed
Very good	17.7% ± 1.5	14.9% ± 5.3	18.8% ± 3.7	13.3% ± 4.1	27.7% ± 3.3	18.8% ± 3.4	16.1% ± 1.9	33.7% ± 4.9	31.9% ± 4.6	22.4% ± 2.3
Good	40.1% ± 1.8	43.8% ± 7.4	39.8% ± 5.1	47.3% ± 5.4	49.5% ± 3.5	48.5% ± 3.6	48.6% ± 2.6	49.4% ± 4.5	48.9% ± 3.8	48.6% ± 2.1
Neither good nor bad	31.2% ± 1.6	34.7% ± 7.2	32.4% ± 4.5	32.2% ± 4.2	19.5% ± 3.5	25.5% ± 2.9	29.2% ± 2.1	14.3% ± 3.0	19.3% ± 3.6	23.4% ± 2.0
Bad	8.1% ± 0.9	2.8% ± 3.7	3.0% ± 3.1	4.2% ± 1.8	1.7% ± 1.0	6.3% ± 1.4	3.5% ± 0.9	1.7% ± 1.0	1.4% ± 0.8	3.5% ± 0.9
Very bad	3.2% ± 0.7	1.0% ± 1.5	1.6% ± 1.0	1.7% ± 1.5	0.7% ± 0.7	3.1% ± 1.0	1.4% ± 0.7	0.8% ± 1.1	0.3% ± 0.4	1.1% ± 0.4
Don't know	1.6% ± 0.5	2.9% ± 2.4	4.4% ± 2.2	1.2% ± 1.1	0.9% ± 0.8	1.7% ± 0.9	1.2% ± 0.6	0.1% ± 0.3	0.2% ± 0.3	1.1% ± 0.5
Sample size	2,854	240	480	422	627	1,286	1,902	628	893	3,136
Weighted total	174,432	10,721	15,171	14,038	40,501	54,388	86,209	10,158	13,949	120,217
										107,059

Table S 68M

Time for Personal/Family Life at this Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very good	8.1% + 1.4	6.1% + 1.0	9.8% + 2.0	9.0% + 2.7	14.5% + 2.9	8.5% + 1.8	7.4% + 2.5	7.7% + 3.2	5.5% + 1.1	3.6% + 1.4	8.1% + 1.3	16.1% + 3.1
Good	33.7 + 1.9	29.9 + 1.8	37.5 + 2.8	33.5 + 3.5	38.7 + 3.1	35.1 + 2.6	31.4 + 3.0	29.1 + 5.2	27.4 + 1.8	33.1 + 3.3	36.8 + 2.5	42.8 + 3.2
Neither good nor bad	28.9 + 1.6	31.2 + 1.6	27.1 + 2.5	27.3 + 3.3	22.0 + 2.4	29.0 + 2.1	28.6 + 2.8	30.0 + 3.5	30.7 + 2.1	31.0 + 2.0	30.3 + 2.6	23.0 + 2.5
Bad	19.5 + 1.5	20.7 + 1.6	17.5 + 2.1	22.6 + 3.4	18.6 + 2.5	18.2 + 1.8	21.9 + 3.1	21.0 + 3.0	23.3 + 2.0	21.2 + 2.8	17.4 + 2.1	12.8 + 2.5
Very bad	9.3 + 1.1	11.2 + 1.1	7.7 + 1.5	7.1 + 1.4	6.2 + 1.5	8.9 + 1.5	9.8 + 1.5	10.5 + 3.7	12.3 + 1.4	10.6 + 1.9	6.9 + 1.7	4.6 + 1.6
Don't know	0.6 + 0.2	0.9 + 0.3	0.4 + 0.2	0.5 + 0.6	0.0 + 0.1	0.4 + 0.2	0.9 + 0.5	1.7 + 0.8	0.8 + 0.4	0.5 + 0.3	0.5 + 0.4	0.5 + 0.4
Sample size	10,855	4,609	2,849	1,727	1,459	6,468	3,331	1,058	4,074	1,517	2,091	3,173
Weighted total	462,088	222,826	179,173	30,375	19,921	297,167	137,710	27,211	191,069	78,961	87,089	104,968

**Time for Personal/Family Life at this Location
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status**

311

Table S 68N

Job Opportunities for Spouse at this Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very good	8.5% + 1.1	6.4% + 1.2	9.3% + 1.6	8.9% + 1.9	12.1% + 2.8	8.1% + 1.3	9.7% + 2.1	7.9% + 3.5	7.5% + 2.0	8.5% + 1.7	9.4% + 1.7	10.4% + 1.7
Good	28.9 + 2.4	28.9 + 2.5	29.3 + 3.2	29.0 + 3.2	31.9 + 4.8	27.9 + 3.0	31.7 + 4.1	25.1 + 4.0	25.6 + 3.0	29.4 + 5.4	34.1 + 2.9	29.5 + 3.5
Neither good nor bad	27.6 + 1.3	28.4 + 2.0	28.3 + 1.8	23.2 + 3.3	28.4 + 3.2	27.8 + 1.6	28.6 + 1.9	30.7 + 4.9	27.4 + 1.9	29.2 + 3.2	27.1 + 2.0	27.2 + 2.3
Bad	19.3 + 1.6	20.8 + 2.1	18.2 + 2.1	21.2 + 3.2	17.4 + 3.0	20.1 + 2.2	17.4 + 2.2	19.9 + 3.8	22.0 + 2.5	18.1 + 2.6	18.8 + 2.5	17.9 + 3.0
Very bad	13.3 + 1.7	13.4 + 1.7	12.9 + 2.2	15.9 + 2.4	10.9 + 3.3	13.8 + 2.3	12.1 + 2.5	13.5 + 3.4	14.7 + 2.2	14.5 + 2.6	9.9 + 2.0	13.0 + 3.4
Don't know	2.5 + 0.5	4.0 + 1.1	1.8 + 0.5	1.8 + 0.9	1.4 + 0.8	2.3 + 0.5	2.6 + 1.1	3.0 + 1.1	2.7 + 0.8	2.3 + 1.0	2.7 + 1.1	2.0 + 0.8
Sample size	7,266	2,525	2,155	1,248	1,178	4,431	2,194	641	2,615	939	1,351	2,361
Weighted total	263,705	79,639	141,807	18,402	16,147	174,111	75,285	14,309	98,921	41,391	48,786	74,607

**Job Opportunities for Spouse at this Location
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status**

313

Table S.680

Spouse Job Satisfaction at this Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very good	8.0% + 1.0 -	7.2% + 1.1 -	7.6% + 1.6 -	11.1% + 2.4 -	13.3% + 2.3 -	7.6% + 1.1 -	9.2% + 2.5 -	6.4% + 1.2 -	8.0% + 1.9 -	6.0% + 2.2 -	8.2% + 1.6 -	8.8% + 1.7 -
Good	32.5 + 2.0 -	27.5 + 2.9 -	34.4 + 2.6 -	33.3 + 3.5 -	38.8 + 4.2 -	31.7 + 2.7 -	34.4 + 2.8 -	33.3 + 2.3 -	29.9 + 3.1 -	32.2 + 5.0 -	36.1 + 3.5 -	33.6 + 2.2 -
Neither good nor bad	28.9 + 2.0 -	29.6 + 2.5 -	29.5 + 2.7 -	28.5 + 3.7 -	22.4 + 3.1 -	29.1 + 2.5 -	28.6 + 3.5 -	28.5 + 4.9 -	29.6 + 3.2 -	29.6 + 3.4 -	29.6 + 3.2 -	27.1 + 3.4 -
Bad	17.0 + 1.6 -	17.9 + 2.3 -	16.4 + 2.2 -	18.7 + 2.2 -	16.9 + 2.6 -	17.9 + 2.2 -	15.0 + 2.1 -	16.7 + 2.3 -	17.7 + 2.2 -	18.4 + 2.9 -	14.2 + 2.5 -	17.4 + 2.6 -
Very bad	10.2 + 1.2 -	12.7 + 1.8 -	9.1 + 1.6 -	8.8 + 1.9 -	7.3 + 1.9 -	10.6 + 1.6 -	9.2 + 1.6 -	10.3 + 1.0 -	11.2 + 1.6 -	10.5 + 3.3 -	8.0 + 1.8 -	10.2 + 2.1 -
Don't know	3.4 + 0.7 -	5.1 + 1.3 -	3.0 + 0.9 -	1.5 + 0.9 -	1.3 + 0.6 -	3.2 + 0.8 -	3.6 + 1.4 -	4.7 + 1.6 -	3.6 + 1.1 -	3.2 + 1.4 -	3.8 + 1.4 -	2.8 + 1.1 -
Sample size	5,592	1,965	1,738	913	853	3,462	73	457	1,984	717	1,100	1,791
Weighted total	207,581	62,686	113,627	13,732	11,640	138,491	58,324	10,766	77,328	31,816	40,236	58,202

Table S_680 (cont.)

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
Very good	3.6% + 3.1	1.3% + 2.6	11.4% + 4.2	11.0% + 3.8	7.4% + 1.9	6.7% + 1.9	7.8% + 2.7	9.2% + 3.0	8.5% + 2.7	12.1% + 3.0	9.2% + 1.4	3.9% + 1.5		
Good	23.9 + 7.5	17.8 + 8.9	37.7 + 3.9	41.0 + 6.5	28.1 + 3.4	30.0 + 3.8	34.8 + 5.5	32.9 + 5.3	31.9 + 4.9	41.1 + 4.9	37.7 + 2.6	17.2 + 3.0		
Neither good nor bad	33.0 + 8.2	30.4 + 15.3	20.1 + 5.1	23.2 + 4.8	31.7 + 3.7	31.4 + 3.6	30.1 + 5.2	27.8 + 5.4	30.7 + 5.3	22.2 + 4.2	28.5 + 2.3	34.3 + 3.5		
Bad	15.9 + 5.7	28.8 + 15.6	18.0 + 3.8	13.3 + 5.7	17.4 + 3.1	17.9 + 3.0	16.2 + 4.3	19.6 + 4.4	18.2 + 3.2	15.3 + 3.2	15.8 + 2.0	21.1 + 2.7		
Very bad	13.8 + 6.1	15.3 + 14.6	12.2 + 4.6	8.7 + 3.3	11.7 + 2.7	10.2 + 2.0	8.9 + 2.9	9.8 + 3.1	7.7 + 3.4	8.7 + 3.2	7.1 + 1.3	17.7 + 3.1		
Don't know	9.8 + 5.1	6.4 + 7.2	2.6 + 2.0	2.8 + 1.7	3.7 + 1.4	3.8 + 1.3	2.2 + 1.4	0.7 + 0.8	2.9 + 1.9	0.6 + 0.6	1.7 + 0.6	5.7 + 2.1		
Sample size	153	35	437	393	945	1,196	464	478	433	599	3,013	1,207		
Weighted total	6,661	1,614	13,713	13,162	40,231	55,315	31,001	7,773	6,924	10,413	111,908	44,815		

Table S_68P

Spouse Overall Satisfaction at this Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank					Location				Unit Type		
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very good	6.2% + 0.9	5.8% + 1.3	5.8% + 1.3	7.6% + 1.3	11.3% + 1.4	6.0% + 0.9	6.8% + 2.4	5.2% + 2.2	5.2% + 1.7	4.3% + 1.8	7.3% + 1.9	7.9% + 1.1
Good	34.0 + 2.0	26.5 + 1.8	35.9 + 2.5	39.7 + 3.0	47.3 + 3.2	33.7 + 2.7	34.4 + 3.0	34.7 + 3.7	30.8 + 2.3	33.6 + 3.5	33.6 + 3.4	38.6 + 3.3
Neither good nor bad	31.1 + 1.6	31.7 + 2.4	31.4 + 2.4	29.2 + 2.8	24.4 + 2.0	31.3 + 2.0	31.0 + 3.1	28.9 + 4.0	32.5 + 2.3	32.0 + 2.5	32.3 + 3.8	28.0 + 2.9
Bad	16.0 + 0.9	18.9 + 1.6	15.1 + 1.2	15.8 + 2.8	11.4 + 1.4	15.9 + 1.1	15.7 + 1.5	17.8 + 4.2	16.5 + 1.4	18.0 + 2.6	15.2 + 2.2	14.6 + 1.6
Very bad	10.2 + 1.2	13.4 + 1.7	9.3 + 1.6	6.6 + 1.8	4.8 + 1.4	10.5 + 1.7	9.7 + 1.7	9.7 + 1.2	12.6 + 1.5	9.4 + 2.8	8.8 + 2.1	8.4 + 1.8
Don't know	2.6 + 0.5	3.7 + 1.0	2.5 + 0.7	1.1 + 0.6	0.8 + 0.5	2.6 + 0.6	2.4 + 1.1	3.6 + 2.3	2.5 + 0.8	2.7 + 1.0	2.9 + 1.1	2.4 + 1.0
Sample size	7,244	2,502	2,109	1,263	1,212	4,425	2,183	636	2,605	928	1,344	2,367
Weighted total	260,361	78,824	138,622	18,639	16,559	171,913	74,351	14,097	98,264	40,311	48,005	73,780

Table S 680

Quality Place for Children to Grow Up at this Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very good	6.5% + 0.9 - 1.8	3.6% + 0.5 - 1.4	6.3% + 1.3 - 2.0	8.4% + 2.5 - 3.5	18.4% + 3.4 - 4.8	7.3% + 1.4 - 2.4	5.1% + 1.1 - 3.1	4.2% + 1.6 - 4.3	5.0% + 1.0 - 2.2	4.3% + 1.1 - 2.8	6.3% + 1.2 - 2.7	10.8% + 2.1 - 3.2
Good	29.3 + 1.8 - 1.3	21.4 + 2.0 - 1.6	35.4 + 1.9 - 1.7	33.8 + 3.5 - 3.0	48.8 + 4.0 - 4.0	31.3 + 2.4 - 1.9	25.9 + 3.1 - 1.8	24.8 + 4.3 - 2.6	28.1 + 2.2 - 1.8	25.0 + 2.8 - 2.9	29.6 + 2.7 - 2.4	37.8 + 3.2 - 2.5
Neither good nor bad	28.4 + 1.3 - 1.3	25.4 + 1.6 - 1.6	28.3 + 1.7 - 1.7	25.9 + 3.0 - 3.0	20.7 + 4.0 - 4.0	26.2 + 1.9 - 1.9	27.1 + 1.8 - 1.7	24.9 + 2.6 - 1.9	25.8 + 1.8 - 1.3	29.5 + 2.9 - 2.0	28.9 + 2.4 - 1.5	24.8 + 2.5 - 1.6
Bad	12.6 + 0.9 - 0.9	13.5 + 1.5 - 1.5	12.5 + 1.2 - 1.2	10.8 + 2.4 - 2.4	5.2 + 1.7 - 1.7	12.0 + 1.2 - 1.2	13.1 + 1.7 - 1.7	15.2 + 1.9 - 1.9	13.9 + 1.3 - 1.3	14.4 + 2.0 - 2.0	11.0 + 1.5 - 1.5	10.1 + 1.6 - 1.6
Very bad	8.4 + 0.8 - 0.8	10.7 + 1.0 - 1.0	7.1 + 1.4 - 1.4	4.5 + 1.3 - 1.3	2.9 + 1.1 - 1.1	7.6 + 1.0 - 1.0	9.3 + 1.4 - 1.4	12.3 + 3.1 - 3.1	10.0 + 1.0 - 1.0	9.7 + 1.6 - 1.6	6.7 + 1.5 - 1.5	6.1 + 1.3 - 1.3
Don't know	16.8 + 1.2 - 1.2	25.4 + 1.6 - 1.6	8.3 + 1.1 - 1.1	16.7 + 2.4 - 2.4	6.0 + 2.3 - 2.3	15.5 + 1.5 - 1.5	19.4 + 2.3 - 2.3	18.7 + 4.4 - 4.4	19.3 + 1.6 - 1.6	17.0 + 1.9 - 1.9	19.4 + 2.3 - 2.3	10.3 + 1.8 - 1.8
Sample size	10,348	4,361	2,737	1,641	1,410	6,167	3,173	1,008	3,865	1,442	1,990	3,051
Weighted total	436,245	206,251	172,770	28,585	19,236	281,100	129,198	25,947	178,780	74,289	82,364	100,812

Table S_680 (cont..)

Quality Place for Children to Grow Up at this Location
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse																	
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer					
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Very good	2.6% ± 0.6	11.0% ± 4.4	6.8% ± 3.6	12.1% ± 4.4	5.1% ± 1.6	6.8% ± 1.3	10.9% ± 3.1	7.6% ± 2.9	14.3% ± 3.2	15.9% ± 5.6	8.1% ± 1.3	8.2% ± 1.5						
Good	18.0 ± 2.5	41.1 ± 7.3	21.6 ± 4.7	42.7 ± 6.1	22.5 ± 2.2	35.9 ± 2.5	42.7 ± 4.3	34.0 ± 6.4	44.9 ± 5.0	48.6 ± 4.4	37.4 ± 2.9	33.7 ± 2.1						
Neither good nor bad	23.1 ± 2.1	31.9 ± 7.5	23.5 ± 4.7	27.4 ± 6.6	25.9 ± 2.7	31.8 ± 2.4	28.9 ± 3.4	25.6 ± 4.5	25.6 ± 4.6	24.1 ± 5.7	26.2 ± 2.2	30.7 ± 2.0						
Bad	12.4 ± 1.5	9.0 ± 4.5	8.7 ± 3.6	11.0 ± 4.1	14.0 ± 2.4	14.8 ± 1.9	11.7 ± 2.9	9.4 ± 3.3	10.5 ± 3.1	8.3 ± 3.1	13.5 ± 1.5	12.9 ± 1.2						
Very bad	9.6 ± 1.2	6.6 ± 4.1	7.6 ± 3.1	5.4 ± 2.8	8.9 ± 2.0	10.0 ± 2.0	7.7 ± 2.4	4.7 ± 2.0	4.2 ± 1.7	2.9 ± 1.5	7.0 ± 1.2	9.4 ± 1.8						
Don't know	34.3 ± 2.2	0.4 ± 0.9	31.8 ± 5.3	1.4 ± 1.4	23.5 ± 2.7	0.7 ± 0.5	0.1 ± 0.2	18.7 ± 5.0	0.5 ± 0.5	0.2 ± 0.3	7.9 ± 1.1	5.1 ± 0.9						
Sample size	2,503	239	436	420	1,218	1,898	631	574	893	898	3,158	3,092						
Weighted total	153,019	10,769	13,671	14,014	50,849	86,201	40,787	9,187	13,989	15,407	117,211	105,533						

Table S 68R
Quality of Schools for Children at this Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type					
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very good	8.9%	3.6%	9.9%	6.3%	16.2%	7.9%	5.1%	4.7%	5.5%	4.6%	7.3%	10.7%
	+ 0.9	+ 0.7	+ 1.5	+ 1.6	+ 4.8	+ 1.4	+ 1.2	+ 2.4	+ 1.1	+ 1.1	+ 1.6	+ 2.5
Good	27.8	19.5	36.6	24.7	40.7	29.0	26.3	22.9	25.7	25.4	27.8	33.3
	+ 1.6	+ 1.6	+ 2.5	+ 2.2	+ 4.0	+ 2.1	+ 2.5	+ 6.2	+ 1.7	+ 2.7	+ 2.9	+ 3.4
Neither good nor bad	17.4	15.7	19.1	16.7	19.5	17.5	17.0	18.9	17.9	17.4	18.1	17.7
	+ 1.0	+ 1.3	+ 1.4	+ 2.7	+ 3.1	+ 1.1	+ 2.2	+ 3.2	+ 1.5	+ 2.4	+ 2.2	+ 1.8
Bad	6.0	4.2	7.5	8.2	7.5	5.8	5.6	9.8	5.0	6.1	5.8	7.9
	+ 0.7	+ 0.6	+ 1.3	+ 1.8	+ 2.5	+ 0.9	+ 0.9	+ 4.3	+ 0.9	+ 1.5	+ 1.3	+ 1.9
Very bad	3.1	2.8	3.5	3.2	3.7	2.9	3.2	5.8	3.2	3.0	2.5	3.7
	+ 0.5	+ 0.5	+ 0.9	+ 0.8	+ 1.7	+ 0.5	+ 0.7	+ 3.4	+ 0.5	+ 1.4	+ 0.8	+ 1.1
Don't know	38.7	54.3	23.5	40.9	12.4	36.9	42.8	37.9	42.7	43.5	40.5	26.7
	+ 2.1	+ 1.7	+ 1.6	+ 3.8	+ 2.8	+ 2.9	+ 2.9	+ 6.2	+ 1.8	+ 2.8	+ 3.5	+ 2.9
Sample size	10,482	4,420	2,757	1,669	1,432	6,239	3,211	1,032	3,919	1,455	2,006	3,102
Weighted total	443,600	211,655	173,634	29,194	19,517	285,195	131,839	26,566	182,540	75,236	83,248	102,576

Table S 68R (cont.)

Quality of Schools for Children at this Location
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Very good	2.8% ± 0.8	9.1% ± 3.5	2.3% ± 1.8	11.2% ± 3.7	4.7% ± 1.6	8.0% ± 1.5	14.2% ± 2.7	3.3% ± 1.5	12.3% ± 3.4	17.4% ± 6.2	8.9% ± 1.8	9.4% ± 1.5		
Good	16.8 ± 2.0	35.4 ± 6.5	18.3 ± 4.3	41.0 ± 5.9	19.8 ± 2.4	38.3 ± 2.8	45.9 ± 4.0	24.5 ± 5.6	36.3 ± 3.6	45.1 ± 4.0	35.8 ± 2.6	33.6 ± 2.4		
Neither good nor bad	15.0 ± 1.9	23.3 ± 6.1	13.5 ± 2.7	16.3 ± 3.7	14.7 ± 2.6	19.5 ± 2.0	21.6 ± 3.3	17.4 ± 4.0	19.4 ± 4.3	23.4 ± 4.6	19.0 ± 2.0	19.2 ± 1.7		
Bad	3.9 ± 0.7	6.3 ± 3.2	4.5 ± 2.8	6.5 ± 2.2	3.8 ± 1.1	6.9 ± 1.5	11.1 ± 2.8	6.0 ± 2.4	12.2 ± 3.2	8.5 ± 2.7	8.3 ± 1.7	6.1 ± 1.3		
Very bad	2.4 ± 0.6	4.2 ± 3.0	2.8 ± 2.2	3.6 ± 2.1	3.4 ± 1.3	3.4 ± 1.1	4.0 ± 1.7	3.2 ± 1.8	3.9 ± 1.7	4.5 ± 1.9	3.0 ± 0.9	4.2 ± 1.1		
Don't know	59.1 ± 2.5	21.7 ± 6.2	58.5 ± 4.5	21.3 ± 5.2	53.5 ± 2.8	28.0 ± 2.7	3.1 ± 1.5	45.6 ± 5.8	15.9 ± 3.4	1.0 ± 0.7	25.0 ± 2.6	27.5 ± 2.5		
Sample size	2,651	241	445	416	1,194	1,884	633	588	891	903	3,155	3,077		
Weighted total	161,451	10,819	14,068	13,918	50,095	85,257	40,823	9,487	13,983	15,477	116,802	104,702		

Table S 68S

Quality of Medical Care for Family at this Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type		
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Services Support TDA
Very good	7.0% + 0.9 -	6.0% + 1.0 -	8.2% + 1.3 -	6.6% + 1.5 -	9.0% + 2.3 -	7.9% + 1.3 -	5.0% + 1.2 -	6.1% + 1.5 -	6.0% + 1.3 -	4.7% + 1.5 -	7.2% + 1.5 -
Good	29.5 + 1.7 -	27.1 + 1.9 -	31.9 + 2.5 -	29.6 + 3.2 -	36.2 + 5.8 -	31.8 + 2.2 -	24.4 + 3.2 -	30.8 + 5.9 -	27.2 + 2.2 -	27.9 + 3.4 -	29.5 + 2.7 -
Neither good nor bad	23.2 + 1.2 -	19.7 + 1.5 -	26.7 + 1.5 -	23.6 + 2.5 -	26.5 + 3.0 -	22.3 + 1.4 -	25.0 + 2.4 -	23.9 + 1.5 -	22.5 + 1.7 -	23.3 + 3.0 -	22.6 + 1.9 -
Bad	13.9 + 1.1 -	10.3 + 1.0 -	17.1 + 2.2 -	16.2 + 2.5 -	17.8 + 4.0 -	13.5 + 1.4 -	15.5 + 1.8 -	10.6 + 4.5 -	13.8 + 1.4 -	15.1 + 2.4 -	13.4 + 1.9 -
Very bad	7.7 + 0.8 -	6.5 + 0.7 -	8.9 + 1.5 -	8.3 + 1.7 -	7.3 + 3.1 -	7.1 + 1.0 -	9.1 + 1.3 -	7.6 + 3.4 -	8.7 + 1.0 -	7.0 + 1.5 -	7.1 + 1.5 -
Don't know	18.7 + 1.6 -	30.5 + 1.8 -	7.3 + 1.0 -	15.6 + 3.3 -	3.2 + 1.3 -	17.4 + 2.2 -	21.0 + 2.6 -	21.0 + 6.7 -	21.8 + 1.8 -	21.9 + 2.8 -	20.0 + 2.7 -
Sample size	10,732	4,534	2,825	1,715	1,453	8,382	3,297	1,053	4,018	1,494	2,063
Weighted total	453,200	215,844	177,918	29,987	19,836	291,215	134,966	27,019	186,363	76,958	85,322

**Quality of Medical Care for Family at this Location
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status**

323

Table S 68T

Programs and Services for Family at this Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very good	5.1% + 0.5	3.9% + 0.6	6.9% + 1.0	3.7% + 1.1	5.9% + 1.2	5.7% + 0.6	4.2% + 0.8	3.8% + 1.5	4.8% + 0.8	3.0% + 0.9	5.9% + 1.4	6.5% + 1.2
Good	32.0 + 1.7	26.4 + 1.8	37.2 + 2.0	35.8 + 2.6	40.0 + 3.8	33.5 + 2.3	28.9 + 2.1	30.6 + 2.4	30.6 + 1.9	31.1 + 3.5	30.9 + 2.9	38.1 + 2.5
Neither good nor bad	29.4 + 1.1	25.3 + 1.4	33.0 + 1.8	30.4 + 2.3	35.8 + 3.4	29.2 + 1.3	30.6 + 2.0	28.0 + 4.8	29.1 + 1.2	29.2 + 2.1	27.5 + 2.2	31.5 + 2.0
Bad	8.8 + 0.9	7.4 + 0.9	10.1 + 1.5	8.8 + 1.7	9.6 + 2.0	8.6 + 1.3	9.3 + 1.4	9.5 + 1.5	8.1 + 1.2	9.2 + 1.8	9.1 + 1.8	9.7 + 1.7
Very bad	3.6 + 0.5	3.1 + 0.6	4.5 + 1.0	3.0 + 1.1	2.3 + 0.8	3.4 + 0.7	3.9 + 0.9	4.7 + 2.0	4.0 + 0.9	3.3 + 1.4	3.8 + 1.1	3.1 + 1.2
Don't know	21.1 + 1.5	33.9 + 1.8	8.3 + 1.0	18.2 + 2.7	6.6 + 2.1	19.6 + 2.0	23.7 + 2.8	23.4 + 5.8	23.4 + 2.0	24.2 + 2.8	22.7 + 3.1	13.2 + 2.2
Sample size	10,691	4,512	2,816	1,709	1,449	6,361	3,283	1,047	4,002	1,486	2,055	3,148
Weighted total	451,459	214,751	177,439	29,829	19,788	290,157	134,401	26,901	185,742	76,670	84,773	104,274

Table S 68T (cont.)

Programs and Services for Family at this Location
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Single		Dual Military			Civilian Spouse					
						Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Very good	3.2% ± 0.7	4.2% ± 2.7	7.7% ± 3.6	9.6% ± 4.1	4.4% ± 1.9	5.8% ± 1.5	7.0% ± 1.6	3.6% ± 1.6	4.4% ± 1.5	5.1% ± 1.7	5.1% ± 1.0
Good	24.7 ± 1.9	39.3 ± 6.0	31.1 ± 5.0	44.2 ± 5.7	32.4 ± 3.4	36.8 ± 3.1	36.2 ± 4.2	40.6 ± 5.4	37.9 ± 3.6	36.8 ± 5.3	36.7 ± 2.3
Neither good nor bad	19.5 ± 1.4	31.5 ± 6.3	25.5 ± 4.5	28.8 ± 4.5	34.4 ± 3.1	35.9 ± 2.4	38.9 ± 4.5	33.2 ± 4.8	39.2 ± 3.7	40.4 ± 4.0	36.7 ± 2.0
Bad	4.0 ± 1.1	9.4 ± 4.4	7.2 ± 2.9	8.7 ± 2.7	11.8 ± 1.9	12.6 ± 2.3	11.7 ± 3.1	8.1 ± 2.2	12.2 ± 2.8	13.3 ± 2.7	11.6 ± 1.5
Very bad	2.4 ± 0.7	6.2 ± 4.1	2.5 ± 1.7	3.6 ± 1.9	5.0 ± 1.4	4.7 ± 1.2	4.3 ± 1.7	2.9 ± 1.2	3.8 ± 2.1	2.8 ± 1.0	4.4 ± 0.9
Don't know	46.2 ± 2.4	9.4 ± 4.2	25.9 ± 3.5	5.2 ± 1.8	11.9 ± 1.9	4.1 ± 0.9	1.9 ± 1.4	11.7 ± 2.7	2.7 ± 1.2	1.6 ± 1.0	5.4 ± 1.1
Sample size	2,676	243	465	423	1,273	1,911	631	621	894	901	3,234
Weighted total	162,317	10,912	14,618	14,067	53,814	86,497	40,771	10,028	13,985	15,455	119,911
											106,993

Table S 68U

Quality of the Community at this Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	WCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very good	7.2% + 0.9	4.6% + 0.7	8.8% + 1.3	9.8% + 2.0	18.4% + 3.9	8.5% + 1.3	4.8% + 1.1	5.1% + 1.4	6.3% + 0.9	4.3% + 1.4	6.6% + 1.5	11.4% + 2.4
Good	37.3 + 2.1	30.4 + 2.1	41.2 + 2.3	49.8 + 3.6	52.4 + 2.8	38.9 + 2.9	35.1 + 2.9	31.5 + 6.7	33.7 + 2.2	33.5 + 3.6	38.4 + 2.9	45.8 + 2.8
Neither good nor bad	31.0 + 1.5	32.8 + 1.4	31.0 + 2.4	25.7 + 2.8	21.8 + 4.4	29.7 + 2.1	33.9 + 1.9	30.7 + 2.1	32.3 + 1.7	33.7 + 3.1	30.4 + 2.2	27.2 + 3.7
Bad	10.6 + 1.1	12.4 + 1.6	9.5 + 1.2	7.8 + 2.4	4.8 + 1.3	9.7 + 1.5	12.3 + 1.6	11.8 + 3.4	11.4 + 1.3	12.7 + 2.3	10.9 + 1.6	7.4 + 1.3
Very bad	5.3 + 0.7	6.0 + 0.9	5.5 + 1.2	2.4 + 1.2	1.6 + 1.0	4.7 + 1.0	6.0 + 0.8	8.9 + 1.8	6.0 + 0.9	6.4 + 1.2	4.6 + 1.5	3.8 + 1.1
Don't know	8.6 + 0.9	13.8 + 1.2	4.0 + 0.7	4.5 + 1.2	1.0 + 0.6	8.6 + 1.2	7.9 + 1.5	12.0 + 4.3	10.3 + 1.2	9.3 + 1.9	9.1 + 1.4	4.4 + 1.1
Sample size	10,807	4,565	2,844	1,733	1,457	6,436	3,315	1,056	4,044	1,507	2,084	3,172
Weighted total	457,500	218,505	178,954	30,440	19,907	294,353	135,951	27,195	188,031	77,896	86,438	105,135

Table S-68U (cont.)

	CIVILIAN Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Very good	3.9% ± 0.6	7.6% ± 3.7	13.3% ± 4.3	12.3% ± 4.1	7.1% ± 1.8	8.2% ± 1.3	11.1% ± 3.1	11.9% ± 4.6	12.0% ± 2.8	14.1% ± 4.5	8.7% ± 1.5	8.0% ± 1.8
Good	28.0 ± 3.1	44.4 ± 7.4	42.8 ± 5.9	50.7 ± 6.2	38.4 ± 3.3	40.7 ± 2.7	44.6 ± 4.1	52.0 ± 5.2	48.3 ± 4.9	53.5 ± 3.9	43.6 ± 2.5	42.0 ± 2.3
Neither good nor bad	31.0 ± 1.8	33.1 ± 6.4	25.3 ± 5.4	25.2 ± 5.3	33.2 ± 3.3	33.3 ± 2.9	29.4 ± 4.4	24.5 ± 4.7	28.5 ± 3.8	24.0 ± 4.5	30.4 ± 2.3	32.3 ± 2.5
Bad	12.4 ± 1.9	6.2 ± 3.0	7.7 ± 3.0	7.2 ± 3.3	10.3 ± 1.7	11.9 ± 1.8	9.0 ± 2.1	6.2 ± 2.9	8.4 ± 2.4	6.4 ± 2.2	9.7 ± 1.4	10.4 ± 1.2
Very bad	5.7 ± 1.3	6.3 ± 4.2	3.4 ± 2.6	3.2 ± 2.0	6.6 ± 1.7	6.0 ± 1.6	5.4 ± 2.5	2.2 ± 1.5	2.5 ± 1.7	2.0 ± 1.3	5.6 ± 1.2	5.3 ± 1.2
Don't know	19.0 ± 1.7	2.5 ± 2.1	7.5 ± 2.5	1.4 ± 1.3	4.5 ± 1.2	1.9 ± 0.7	0.5 ± 0.6	3.2 ± 2.1	0.3 ± 0.5	0.0 ± 0.0	2.0 ± 0.6	2.1 ± 0.7
Sample size	2,754	242	474	425	1,284	1,911	634	628	898	900	3,252	3,139
Weighted total	167,175	10,874	14,974	14,147	54,188	86,552	40,926	10,143	14,055	15,408	120,422	107,011

Table S 68V

Opportunity to Make Good Friends at this Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very good	10.5% + 0.8	10.5% + 1.3	9.6% + 1.0	12.1% + 1.7	17.2% + 2.4	10.9% + 1.1	9.7% + 1.1	10.1% + 3.5	10.4% + 0.9	8.8% + 1.8	10.2% + 1.4	12.1% + 1.7
Good	46.1 + 1.8	43.6 + 2.1	46.8 + 2.3	53.1 + 2.7	52.6 + 3.1	45.4 + 2.4	47.5 + 2.6	46.2 + 2.3	46.2 + 2.2	42.2 + 3.7	46.8 + 3.2	48.1 + 2.7
Neither good nor bad	29.9 + 1.4	29.7 + 1.8	31.4 + 2.1	25.6 + 2.6	24.2 + 2.7	30.3 + 1.8	28.8 + 2.3	29.6 + 3.2	28.7 + 1.6	33.2 + 3.5	30.2 + 2.4	29.2 + 2.6
Bad	6.7 + 0.8	6.9 + 1.1	7.1 + 1.0	5.2 + 1.5	4.1 + 0.7	6.2 + 1.0	7.8 + 1.5	6.3 + 1.2	7.2 + 1.2	7.9 + 1.6	5.9 + 1.5	5.7 + 1.4
Very bad	3.0 + 0.4	3.4 + 0.5	3.0 + 0.9	2.0 + 0.9	1.2 + 0.7	3.0 + 0.6	2.9 + 0.4	3.9 + 1.8	2.9 + 0.6	3.5 + 1.1	3.0 + 0.8	2.8 + 1.2
Don't know	3.9 + 0.7	5.9 + 1.0	2.2 + 0.7	2.1 + 0.9	0.7 + 0.4	4.2 + 1.1	3.2 + 0.8	3.8 + 1.8	4.6 + 0.8	4.5 + 1.9	4.0 + 0.9	2.2 + 0.7
Sample size	10,870	4,614	2,854	1,733	1,458	6,470	3,338	1,062	4,076	1,516	2,097	3,181
Weighted total	461,463	221,796	179,547	30,428	19,900	236,863	137,251	27,329	190,323	78,417	87,173	105,550

Table S 68V (cont.)

Opportunity to Make Good Friends at this Location
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse														
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+			
Very good	11.4% ± 1.4	8.5% ± 3.4	11.0% ± 3.5	11.1% ± 3.9	10.9% ± 2.0	7.1% ± 1.3	10.1% ± 2.3	15.2% ± 3.3	10.9% ± 1.9	14.5% ± 3.5	10.1% ± 1.1	9.2% ± 1.2			
Good	43.6 ± 2.5	42.8 ± 8.0	45.3 ± 6.0	51.2 ± 5.9	45.2 ± 2.7	47.8 ± 3.2	47.1 ± 3.8	49.8 ± 5.5	54.3 ± 4.4	54.6 ± 5.6	48.6 ± 2.0	47.9 ± 2.9			
Neither good nor bad	28.5 ± 2.3	33.1 ± 6.4	31.4 ± 6.0	26.1 ± 5.2	29.9 ± 3.4	32.3 ± 2.8	33.8 ± 3.8	26.0 ± 3.8	27.4 ± 3.5	25.1 ± 3.4	29.6 ± 2.0	31.8 ± 3.0			
Bad	5.9 ± 1.2	6.6 ± 3.3	6.4 ± 3.0	7.2 ± 3.3	7.0 ± 1.5	8.7 ± 1.5	5.9 ± 2.1	4.9 ± 2.3	6.0 ± 2.3	4.1 ± 1.5	7.6 ± 1.3	6.9 ± 1.1			
Very bad	2.6 ± 0.7	7.4 ± 4.2	2.5 ± 1.3	3.7 ± 1.7	4.8 ± 1.4	2.7 ± 1.0	2.9 ± 1.5	2.0 ± 1.5	1.4 ± 1.1	1.3 ± 0.9	3.1 ± 1.0	3.0 ± 0.8			
Don't know	8.0 ± 1.4	1.6 ± 1.7	3.4 ± 1.8	0.8 ± 1.0	2.3 ± 0.8	1.2 ± 0.6	0.2 ± 0.4	2.0 ± 1.7	0.0 ± 0.0	0.3 ± 0.3	1.0 ± 0.3	1.4 ± 0.5			
Sample size	2,799	243	480	425	1,289	1,913	632	628	897	903	3,254	3,146			
Weighted total	170,269	10,912	15,167	14,141	54,495	86,593	40,873	10,136	14,041	15,477	120,566	107,369			

Table S 69A

Opportunities for Advancement in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Much worse in civilian life	1.7% + 0.3	1.6% + 0.4	2.0% + 0.5	1.3% + 0.6	1.5% + 0.6	1.9% + 0.4	1.2% + 0.5	2.5% + 1.7	1.7% + 0.5	1.8% + 0.8	1.2% + 0.6	2.2% + 0.7
Worse in civilian life	11.3 + 0.7	9.9 + 0.9	13.1 + 1.5	12.5 + 2.1	9.6 + 2.3	11.4 + 1.0	10.9 + 1.0	12.0 + 1.1	10.3 + 1.2	9.9 + 1.4	13.8 + 1.9	12.1 + 1.5
About the same in both	28.8 + 1.4	23.8 + 1.8	33.0 + 2.4	33.8 + 2.8	36.4 + 2.8	29.1 + 1.8	28.1 + 2.4	29.4 + 2.8	28.2 + 2.1	27.4 + 3.3	28.9 + 2.3	30.9 + 2.2
Better in civilian life	28.4 + 1.2	31.1 + 1.5	25.7 + 2.0	25.7 + 2.6	27.8 + 2.3	28.3 + 1.6	28.8 + 1.8	27.5 + 1.1	29.3 + 1.8	31.0 + 2.2	27.9 + 2.1	25.2 + 2.3
Much better in civilian life	22.2 + 1.1	27.9 + 1.7	15.5 + 1.6	21.7 + 2.5	20.1 + 2.4	22.4 + 1.5	22.5 + 1.6	19.5 + 3.7	23.9 + 2.0	23.4 + 2.2	20.1 + 2.3	20.2 + 1.9
Don't know	7.5 + 0.7	5.7 + 0.9	10.7 + 1.4	4.9 + 1.6	4.7 + 1.1	6.9 + 1.0	8.5 + 1.1	9.1 + 1.0	6.6 + 0.9	6.4 + 1.6	8.0 + 1.3	9.5 + 1.8
Sample size	10,995	4,691	2,891	1,737	1,466	6,545	3,372	1,078	4,135	1,541	2,112	3,207
Weighted total	469,440	227,322	181,715	30,667	20,004	302,201	139,428	27,813	194,502	80,440	87,902	106,597

Table S_69A (cont.)

Opportunities for Advancement in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children
	Enlisted					Officer				
	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 8+	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Much worse in civilian life	1.8% ± 0.5	3.1% ± 2.8	1.6% ± 1.6	1.4% ± 1.0	1.3% ± 0.6	1.3% ± 0.6	1.7% ± 0.6	1.4% ± 0.9	1.5% ± 0.9	1.5% ± 0.5
Worse in civilian life	10.4 ± 1.2	11.8 ± 3.8	12.5 ± 3.3	13.6 ± 4.7	12.1 ± 2.1	11.3 ± 1.6	11.3 ± 1.6	12.5 ± 2.5	12.5 ± 1.7	11.3 ± 1.2
About the same in both	24.8 ± 2.4	28.6 ± 6.0	28.6 ± 3.9	32.5 ± 6.1	27.7 ± 3.6	31.5 ± 3.0	31.5 ± 3.0	32.7 ± 3.5	33.7 ± 4.0	30.9 ± 2.3
Better in civilian life	29.7 ± 2.0	26.3 ± 5.8	28.0 ± 4.3	24.5 ± 6.1	26.9 ± 2.8	28.4 ± 2.3	28.4 ± 2.3	29.5 ± 4.2	28.5 ± 3.4	28.2 ± 2.1
Much better in civilian life	27.1 ± 2.1	22.1 ± 6.1	18.9 ± 4.9	15.2 ± 4.8	24.6 ± 2.3	18.2 ± 2.1	18.2 ± 2.1	15.4 ± 3.1	19.9 ± 3.0	20.7 ± 1.7
Don't know	6.2 ± 1.3	8.1 ± 4.0	10.3 ± 2.7	12.9 ± 4.8	7.5 ± 1.8	8.9 ± 1.4	8.9 ± 1.4	8.5 ± 2.6	3.9 ± 1.3	7.3 ± 1.5
Sample size	2,887	245	483	424	1,298	1,914	1,914	637	896	3,271
Weighted total	176,587	11,007	15,274	14,131	54,964	86,536	86,536	41,104	15,448	121,199
										107,500

Table S 698

Army Pay Compared to Civilian Life
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank					Location			Unit Type		
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
Much worse in civilian life	1.5% + 0.3 -	1.5% + 0.4 -	1.5% + 0.5 -	1.2% + 0.5 -	1.8% + 0.6 -	1.6% + 0.4 -	1.0% + 0.3 -	2.7% + 1.6 -	1.1% + 0.3 -	1.4% + 0.7 -	1.8% + 0.9 -
Worse in civilian life	7.8 + 0.7 -	6.7 + 0.8 -	8.8 + 1.2 -	8.8 + 1.4 -	10.1 + 1.7 -	8.1 + 0.9 -	7.4 + 1.2 -	6.3 + 1.3 -	6.8 + 0.9 -	6.0 + 1.4 -	8.9 + 1.5 -
About the same in both	13.9 + 0.9 -	11.5 + 1.0 -	16.5 + 1.8 -	15.6 + 2.2 -	15.5 + 2.0 -	13.8 + 1.3 -	14.7 + 1.4 -	13.0 + 3.7 -	13.6 + 1.2 -	13.0 + 1.8 -	13.8 + 1.9 -
Better in civilian life	32.8 + 1.0 -	31.3 + 1.3 -	34.6 + 1.7 -	31.7 + 3.3 -	32.6 + 2.7 -	32.6 + 1.4 -	33.0 + 1.5 -	33.9 + 2.5 -	32.4 + 1.5 -	33.6 + 2.2 -	31.7 + 2.2 -
Much better in civilian life	39.6 + 1.6 -	45.7 + 1.7 -	32.1 + 2.5 -	40.6 + 2.9 -	37.4 + 2.9 -	40.0 + 2.2 -	38.8 + 2.0 -	39.4 + 4.8 -	41.9 + 2.1 -	42.5 + 3.3 -	39.4 + 2.6 -
Don't know	4.5 + 0.5 -	3.3 + 0.5 -	6.6 + 1.1 -	2.1 + 0.9 -	2.6 + 0.7 -	4.1 + 0.6 -	5.1 + 0.9 -	4.7 + 0.9 -	4.2 + 0.7 -	3.5 + 1.1 -	4.5 + 1.2 -
Sample size	10,989	4,686	2,888	1,735	1,469	6,542	3,368	1,079	4,126	1,539	2,118
Weighted total	469,368	227,243	181,643	30,646	20,043	302,208	139,259	27,902	194,318	80,455	88,139

Table S 698 (cont.)
Army Pay Compared to Civilian Life
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted				Officer				Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+				
Much worse in civilian life	1.6% ± 0.5	2.2% ± 2.4	1.4% ± 1.6	1.0% ± 1.1	0.8% ± 0.4	1.2% ± 0.5	0.9% ± 0.7	1.5% ± 1.0	1.5% ± 0.8	1.4% ± 0.7	1.0% ± 0.5	1.2% ± 0.3		
Worse in civilian life	6.1 ± 0.9	12.1 ± 4.5	9.3 ± 3.4	8.4 ± 4.2	8.1 ± 1.7	8.4 ± 1.7	8.7 ± 2.3	8.6 ± 2.1	9.4 ± 2.0	8.7 ± 2.2	8.1 ± 1.3	9.1 ± 1.3		
About the same in both	11.0 ± 1.3	17.9 ± 5.2	12.9 ± 3.2	21.1 ± 5.4	13.5 ± 1.9	14.7 ± 2.0	18.5 ± 3.0	14.7 ± 3.1	14.7 ± 2.8	14.1 ± 2.8	15.6 ± 1.6	14.4 ± 1.6		
Better in civilian life	31.3 ± 2.0	29.3 ± 5.8	35.0 ± 4.7	32.1 ± 6.6	31.6 ± 2.8	36.5 ± 2.3	33.1 ± 3.2	34.9 ± 3.3	34.4 ± 4.4	33.3 ± 2.9	34.7 ± 1.9	33.6 ± 2.4		
Much better in civilian life	46.8 ± 2.4	34.2 ± 6.8	36.1 ± 6.1	29.3 ± 7.0	40.4 ± 3.1	34.0 ± 2.3	32.7 ± 4.9	37.8 ± 4.2	38.7 ± 5.6	39.8 ± 3.6	36.1 ± 2.5	36.3 ± 2.4		
Don't know	3.2 ± 0.7	4.2 ± 2.9	5.2 ± 2.4	8.0 ± 3.2	5.6 ± 1.4	5.3 ± 1.3	6.1 ± 1.9	2.4 ± 2.2	1.3 ± 0.7	2.5 ± 1.3	4.5 ± 1.0	5.3 ± 0.9		
Sample size	2,886	245	483	425	1,295	1,911	637	630	896	906	3,272	3,146		
Weighted total	176,600	11,007	15,274	14,145	54,849	86,483	41,104	10,182	14,018	15,515	121,411	107,264		

Table S 69C

Army Retirement Benefits Compared to Civilian Life
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Much worse in civilian life	5.6%	5.5%	6.3%	4.3%	5.2%	5.4%	5.7%	7.9%	5.4%	5.0%	6.6%	5.8%
	+ 0.5	+ 0.7	+ 1.0	+ 1.1	+ 1.0	+ 0.7	+ 0.8	+ 2.1	+ 0.7	+ 1.3	+ 1.1	+ 0.8
Worse in civilian life	29.5	24.5	33.5	35.4	38.0	29.5	29.1	32.1	28.0	28.0	28.8	34.1
	+ 1.2	+ 1.7	+ 2.1	+ 2.8	+ 2.3	+ 1.4	+ 2.4	+ 2.0	+ 1.6	+ 2.7	+ 2.3	+ 2.0
About the same in both	26.6	25.4	28.0	27.5	28.9	27.9	24.5	23.1	27.7	27.2	25.7	24.9
	+ 1.1	+ 1.7	+ 1.8	+ 2.1	+ 2.5	+ 1.4	+ 1.9	+ 2.7	+ 1.6	+ 2.7	+ 2.2	+ 1.9
Better in civilian life	12.3	12.1	11.6	14.6	14.6	12.4	12.0	12.5	12.8	12.2	12.5	11.5
	+ 0.8	+ 0.9	+ 1.3	+ 2.3	+ 1.8	+ 1.1	+ 1.5	+ 1.8	+ 1.4	+ 1.9	+ 2.1	+ 2.0
Much better in civilian life	10.2	13.2	6.7	9.3	8.9	10.1	10.9	7.1	11.3	11.4	8.8	8.4
	+ 0.8	+ 1.6	+ 0.9	+ 2.1	+ 1.4	+ 0.9	+ 1.5	+ 1.2	+ 1.4	+ 1.6	+ 1.5	+ 1.2
Don't know	15.7	19.3	13.8	8.8	6.4	14.7	17.7	17.2	14.9	16.2	17.6	15.3
	+ 1.0	+ 1.5	+ 2.0	+ 1.8	+ 0.9	+ 1.4	+ 1.9	+ 2.2	+ 1.7	+ 2.1	+ 2.5	+ 1.6
Sample size	10,923	4,644	2,866	1,735	1,467	6,493	3,356	1,074	4,101	1,529	2,102	3,191
Weighted total	465,524	225,012	180,072	30,628	20,018	299,299	138,510	27,715	192,674	79,742	87,454	105,654

Table S 69C (cont.)

Army Retirement Benefits Compared to Civilian Life
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed
	Enlisted					Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed
Much worse in civilian life	5.6% + 0.8	4.4% + 2.8	4.7% + 2.2	4.6% + 2.2	5.1% + 1.7	6.6% + 1.5	6.0% + 1.1	3.3% + 1.5	4.9% + 1.5	5.8% + 1.0
Worse in civilian life	24.7 + 2.1	30.5 + 6.5	35.0 + 6.8	38.0 + 5.8	35.5 + 4.3	28.8 + 2.7	31.7 + 2.7	41.0 + 4.0	33.7 + 3.9	32.0 + 2.3
About the same in both	25.7 + 2.0	25.6 + 6.3	24.6 + 4.8	27.9 + 6.5	30.0 + 4.3	25.0 + 2.6	27.5 + 2.3	22.2 + 3.9	28.3 + 3.8	27.7 + 1.5
Better in civilian life	12.6 + 1.4	6.2 + 2.5	11.0 + 2.9	10.6 + 3.6	12.0 + 2.7	11.9 + 2.2	11.7 + 2.0	14.1 + 3.0	17.0 + 3.6	12.6 + 1.6
Much better in civilian life	13.4 + 1.7	7.4 + 4.0	6.5 + 3.4	3.1 + 1.6	5.6 + 1.7	11.7 + 2.0	7.5 + 1.2	9.5 + 2.7	10.3 + 2.3	8.9 + 1.2
Don't know	18.0 + 1.7	26.0 + 8.0	18.1 + 3.5	17.7 + 4.5	11.8 + 3.7	16.0 + 2.3	15.6 + 2.1	10.0 + 3.0	5.8 + 1.6	13.1 + 1.7
Sample size	2,862	244	478	420	634	1,290	1,899	630	895	3,134
Weighted total	174,941	10,954	15,153	13,910	40,862	54,536	85,916	10,180	14,012	120,707
										106,633

Table S 690

Type of Work in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Much worse in civilian life	2.0% + 0.3 -	2.0% + 0.4 -	2.2% + 0.6 -	2.1% + 0.9 -	1.8% + 0.5 -	1.8% + 0.3 -	2.0% + 0.5 -	4.1% + 2.0 -	2.1% + 0.4 -	1.9% + 1.0 -	2.1% + 0.7 -	1.9% + 0.7 -
	8.0 + 0.9 -	6.8 + 1.1 -	7.9 + 1.5 -	13.1 + 2.4 -	13.4 + 1.9 -	8.6 + 1.2 -	6.5 + 1.5 -	7.7 + 0.9 -	8.4 + 1.1 -	5.9 + 1.8 -	6.6 + 1.3 -	9.8 + 1.8 -
	28.5 + 2.3 -	21.1 + 2.1 -	34.4 + 2.1 -	32.8 + 4.0 -	48.3 + 3.7 -	29.4 + 3.3 -	28.0 + 3.1 -	32.0 + 4.1 -	22.7 + 1.5 -	24.0 + 2.4 -	30.7 + 2.3 -	40.9 + 2.8 -
Better in civilian life	27.3 + 1.5 -	28.2 + 1.7 -	26.7 + 2.4 -	28.3 + 2.2 -	20.7 + 2.6 -	27.0 + 1.9 -	28.6 + 2.7 -	24.3 + 1.8 -	28.3 + 2.1 -	30.5 + 2.7 -	28.0 + 2.5 -	22.3 + 2.1 -
	27.6 + 1.9 -	36.7 + 2.0 -	20.0 + 2.0 -	18.2 + 2.3 -	11.3 + 2.3 -	27.0 + 2.6 -	29.5 + 2.8 -	24.7 + 5.3 -	31.8 + 2.3 -	32.4 + 2.8 -	26.7 + 2.1 -	17.3 + 1.4 -
Don't know	6.6 + 0.6 -	5.2 + 0.7 -	8.9 + 1.3 -	5.4 + 1.2 -	4.5 + 1.4 -	6.1 + 0.7 -	7.4 + 1.2 -	7.1 + 1.3 -	6.7 + 0.9 -	5.3 + 1.2 -	5.9 + 1.4 -	7.8 + 1.7 -
Sample size	10,925	4,657	2,861	1,731	1,468	6,505	3,346	1,074	4,097	1,527	2,109	3,192
Weighted total	465,599	225,788	179,570	30,463	20,010	299,759	138,131	27,709	192,447	79,820	87,741	105,591

Table S_690 (cont.)

Type of Work in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	Children	No Children
	Enlisted					Officer				
	No Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Child 0-5	No Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Much worse in civilian life	2.2% + 0.5	1.0% + 1.0	2.1% + 1.8	1.4% + 1.6	1.6% + 1.2	2.4% + 0.9	1.6% + 0.7	1.8% + 1.0	2.3% + 1.0	2.1% + 0.6
Worse in civilian life	7.1 + 1.3	10.1 + 4.6	7.7 + 3.7	8.0 + 2.8	7.6 + 3.0	7.5 + 1.8	7.7 + 1.4	13.4 + 3.6	13.3 + 2.6	8.5 + 1.5
About the same in both	22.0 + 2.5	30.3 + 7.2	32.7 + 6.3	36.3 + 6.5	36.5 + 4.2	27.1 + 2.9	30.4 + 2.7	38.7 + 6.2	39.0 + 4.1	32.3 + 3.0
Better in civilian life	27.0 + 1.8	25.7 + 5.3	29.9 + 4.0	24.9 + 7.2	29.2 + 4.3	28.6 + 2.7	29.1 + 2.7	26.9 + 4.3	26.3 + 3.3	27.7 + 2.4
Much better in civilian life	35.9 + 2.6	27.5 + 5.8	21.6 + 5.1	22.6 + 5.5	17.1 + 3.0	30.4 + 2.9	24.0 + 2.3	14.9 + 2.8	14.7 + 3.3	23.3 + 2.2
Don't know	5.9 + 0.9	5.3 + 3.1	5.9 + 2.3	8.9 + 4.7	8.0 + 2.3	5.9 + 1.5	7.2 + 1.5	4.3 + 2.0	4.4 + 1.6	6.1 + 1.2
Sample size	2,865	245	479	420	630	1,291	1,899	632	894	3,135
Weighted total	175,053	11,007	15,171	13,925	40,738	54,670	85,693	10,203	13,982	120,657
										106,599

Table S 69C

Treatment by Army Supervisors Compared to Civilian Life
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	WCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Much worse in civilian life	1.3% + 0.2	1.4% + 0.4	1.4% + 0.4	1.1% + 0.5	0.7% + 0.4	1.3% + 0.3	1.2% + 0.4	2.6% + 1.5	1.2% + 0.4	1.3% + 0.7	1.4% + 0.5	1.5% + 0.5
Worse in civilian life	7.3 + 0.9	5.4 + 1.0	8.2 + 1.3	11.8 + 1.8	14.0 + 1.9	8.1 + 1.3	5.8 + 1.3	6.6 + 1.3	6.0 + 1.0	5.7 + 1.6	8.5 + 1.7	10.0 + 1.4
About the same in both	35.9 + 1.6	27.6 + 1.8	41.9 + 2.1	47.9 + 2.5	53.6 + 3.0	37.0 + 2.2	34.3 + 2.2	32.5 + 4.1	32.5 + 1.9	34.2 + 2.9	34.7 + 2.5	44.6 + 2.4
Better in civilian life	24.1 + 1.4	28.5 + 1.7	20.9 + 1.6	18.3 + 2.1	11.3 + 1.5	23.6 + 1.9	24.5 + 2.1	26.6 + 2.1	26.7 + 1.8	26.3 + 2.8	22.8 + 2.1	18.6 + 2.2
Much better in civilian life	20.5 + 1.6	29.9 + 1.7	12.8 + 1.5	9.2 + 1.6	6.6 + 1.8	19.8 + 2.2	22.3 + 2.5	19.3 + 3.6	24.7 + 1.8	23.2 + 2.8	20.7 + 2.3	10.7 + 1.5
Don't know	10.8 + 1.0	7.1 + 0.8	14.8 + 1.7	11.8 + 2.2	13.9 + 2.2	10.2 + 1.4	11.8 + 1.4	12.4 + 2.7	8.9 + 1.2	9.2 + 2.0	12.0 + 1.7	14.6 + 2.1
Sample size	10,899	4,648	2,865	1,720	1,457	6,481	3,348	1,070	4,102	1,526	2,091	3,180
Weighted total	484,941	224,964	180,047	30,329	19,866	298,929	138,425	27,587	192,938	79,556	86,891	105,556

Table S 69E (cont.)

Treatment by Army Supervisors Compared to Civilian Life
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	Children	No Children
	Enlisted					Officer				
	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 0-5	Child 6+
Much worse in civilian life	1.4% ± 0.4	1.1% ± 1.2	1.0% ± 1.0	0.4% ± 0.6	1.3% ± 0.6	1.3% ± 0.5	1.4% ± 0.9	0.5% ± 0.5	1.2% ± 0.8	0.9% ± 0.7
Worse in civilian life	6.1 ± 1.3	8.6 ± 3.7	5.7 ± 2.5	8.4 ± 3.1	6.6 ± 1.3	7.0 ± 1.5	8.4 ± 2.5	11.8 ± 2.2	13.7 ± 3.3	12.2 ± 2.3
About the same in both	29.6 ± 2.1	35.5 ± 7.2	38.4 ± 3.7	38.0 ± 5.2	33.7 ± 2.6	37.5 ± 2.5	47.7 ± 4.4	50.5 ± 3.9	49.5 ± 3.8	49.9 ± 3.9
Better in civilian life	27.4 ± 2.0	24.5 ± 5.1	24.6 ± 4.4	19.4 ± 4.7	25.1 ± 3.0	23.0 ± 2.0	21.9 ± 4.1	17.0 ± 4.2	17.6 ± 2.9	13.9 ± 2.7
Much better in civilian life	27.3 ± 2.3	21.1 ± 6.2	17.0 ± 4.8	14.8 ± 4.8	22.7 ± 3.3	19.2 ± 1.9	9.1 ± 3.2	8.4 ± 2.3	6.8 ± 2.5	8.2 ± 2.6
Don't know	8.1 ± 1.1	9.1 ± 3.8	13.2 ± 4.0	18.9 ± 4.7	10.6 ± 2.5	12.0 ± 1.9	11.4 ± 2.4	11.9 ± 3.1	11.2 ± 2.8	14.9 ± 2.7
Spouse not Employed	2,857	241	477	422	1,288	1,904	628	626	882	904
Spouse Employed	174,572	10,723	15,132	14,044	54,576	86,038	40,452	10,124	13,771	15,470
Sample size										
Weighted total										

3,126
3,247
120,144
106,729

Table S_69f

Opportunities to Use Abilities in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Much worse in civilian life	1.5% + 0.2	1.4% + 0.3	1.9% + 0.5	1.3% + 0.6	1.0% + 0.5	1.5% + 0.3	1.5% + 0.5	1.7% + 1.7	1.5% + 0.4	1.9% + 0.8	1.2% + 0.5	1.7% + 0.6
Worse in civilian life	7.2 + 0.6	5.4 + 0.8	8.6 + 1.2	10.1 + 1.7	10.5 + 1.5	7.9 + 0.8	5.6 + 1.1	7.2 + 2.0	6.4 + 0.7	5.1 + 1.1	7.3 + 1.5	10.0 + 1.2
About the same in both	30.9 + 1.5	24.2 + 1.9	35.4 + 2.2	39.7 + 4.0	48.5 + 3.6	31.2 + 2.2	29.3 + 1.9	34.5 + 2.6	28.1 + 1.7	27.2 + 2.8	31.3 + 2.5	38.4 + 2.5
Better in civilian life	26.6 + 1.1	27.9 + 1.7	26.1 + 1.5	23.9 + 2.9	22.8 + 2.5	26.4 + 1.3	27.7 + 2.0	24.5 + 4.9	26.9 + 1.8	28.4 + 2.4	27.2 + 2.9	24.3 + 1.7
Much better in civilian life	28.1 + 1.7	37.0 + 1.9	19.8 + 1.8	21.5 + 2.4	15.3 + 2.3	27.9 + 2.3	29.1 + 2.4	28.0 + 4.4	31.6 + 1.9	33.5 + 2.7	27.0 + 3.1	18.7 + 1.9
Don't know	5.7 + 0.6	4.1 + 0.6	8.2 + 1.3	3.4 + 0.9	3.9 + 1.2	5.1 + 0.8	6.8 + 1.2	6.1 + 1.9	5.5 + 0.8	4.1 + 1.2	6.0 + 1.2	6.9 + 1.6
Sample size	10,944	4,667	2,866	1,733	1,468	6,516	3,353	1,075	4,110	1,529	2,104	3,201
Weighted total	466,111	225,970	179,831	30,551	20,028	300,030	138,290	27,791	193,133	79,587	87,476	105,915

Table S 69G

Job Security in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Much worse in civilian life	8.8% + 0.6	9.5% + 0.8	8.8% + 1.1	5.8% + 1.2	7.8% + 1.2	8.3% + 0.5	9.5% + 1.5	10.9% + 3.6	9.0% + 0.8	8.3% + 1.9	9.4% + 1.4	8.5% + 1.3
Worse in civilian life	37.9 + 1.2	36.5 + 1.6	38.2 + 2.0	40.8 + 3.2	45.9 + 3.9	38.9 + 1.5	35.6 + 2.1	38.8 + 2.9	37.5 + 2.0	35.6 + 2.6	37.0 + 2.4	41.1 + 2.4
About the same in both	30.8 + 1.2	29.2 + 1.6	31.6 + 2.1	34.4 + 3.6	33.1 + 3.6	31.0 + 1.6	30.8 + 2.2	28.8 + 1.5	29.6 + 1.8	34.2 + 2.2	30.2 + 2.8	31.1 + 3.1
Better in civilian life	7.8 + 0.6	9.0 + 0.9	6.6 + 0.9	8.6 + 1.5	5.2 + 1.4	7.9 + 0.9	7.6 + 1.0	8.0 + 2.1	8.6 + 1.1	8.3 + 1.2	7.9 + 1.3	5.9 + 1.0
Much better in civilian life	6.3 + 0.7	8.8 + 1.0	3.9 + 0.9	5.4 + 1.7	2.8 + 0.6	6.1 + 1.0	6.8 + 1.1	6.1 + 0.9	7.5 + 1.1	6.3 + 1.4	6.6 + 1.4	3.9 + 1.0
Don't know	8.3 + 0.9	7.0 + 0.8	11.0 + 1.6	4.9 + 1.2	5.1 + 1.1	7.7 + 1.2	9.7 + 1.5	7.5 + 1.0	7.7 + 1.3	7.4 + 1.5	8.9 + 1.8	9.4 + 1.8
Sample size	10,946	4,658	2,879	1,737	1,463	6,505	3,364	1,077	4,113	1,535	2,103	3,195
Weighted total	467,063	225,674	181,036	30,672	19,954	300,203	139,016	27,844	193,405	80,322	87,368	105,969

Table S 696 (cont.)

Job Security in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse															
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Much worse in civilian life	9.8% ± 1.1	8.9% ± 3.6	9.1% ± 3.8	7.7% ± 2.9	9.4% ± 1.8	8.9% ± 1.3	6.6% ± 2.0	5.5% ± 1.7	7.5% ± 3.1	6.2% ± 1.9	7.9% ± 1.0	8.8% ± 1.3				
Worse in civilian life	36.3 ± 2.0	38.6 ± 7.5	37.7 ± 5.1	43.0 ± 5.6	38.5 ± 3.6	38.8 ± 2.8	38.8 ± 4.3	45.9 ± 4.2	42.8 ± 4.2	44.4 ± 4.4	39.0 ± 2.6	39.9 ± 2.1				
About the same in both	29.1 ± 1.9	32.3 ± 7.1	31.7 ± 5.1	27.9 ± 5.1	30.2 ± 2.8	30.9 ± 2.9	36.2 ± 4.5	34.0 ± 4.4	32.7 ± 3.9	33.8 ± 5.5	32.7 ± 1.9	31.2 ± 2.6				
Better in civilian life	8.6 ± 1.1	6.3 ± 3.0	7.0 ± 2.4	7.7 ± 2.8	8.2 ± 1.7	7.2 ± 1.7	6.1 ± 2.0	5.5 ± 2.0	7.4 ± 2.0	6.9 ± 2.4	7.1 ± 1.2	7.4 ± 1.6				
Much better in civilian life	9.1 ± 1.3	4.6 ± 3.0	6.0 ± 3.2	2.6 ± 1.9	6.0 ± 1.2	4.3 ± 1.3	3.1 ± 1.5	4.7 ± 2.1	5.7 ± 2.1	3.0 ± 1.8	4.4 ± 1.1	4.6 ± 0.9				
Don't know	7.1 ± 1.1	9.3 ± 4.1	8.5 ± 2.5	11.1 ± 4.1	7.7 ± 1.9	10.0 ± 2.0	9.2 ± 2.1	4.4 ± 2.4	3.8 ± 1.4	5.7 ± 2.2	8.8 ± 1.3	8.1 ± 1.7				
Sample size	2,868	245	482	423	1,295	1,900	634	632	894	901	3,261	3,137				
Weighted total	175,293	11,007	15,245	14,090	54,791	85,958	40,917	10,211	14,009	15,392	120,978	106,815				

Table S_69H

Work Rules and Regulations in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Much worse in civilian life	1.9% + 0.3	1.8% + 0.5	2.1% + 0.6	1.6% + 0.7	1.1% + 0.5	2.1% + 0.3	1.2% + 0.5	3.0% + 2.1	1.8% + 0.4	1.6% + 0.7	2.0% + 0.7	2.2% + 0.7
Worse in civilian life	8.2 + 0.7	6.1 + 0.8	9.9 + 1.0	10.6 + 2.2	12.6 + 2.2	8.5 + 1.0	7.8 + 0.8	6.8 + 1.0	7.3 + 1.0	6.7 + 1.3	9.7 + 1.8	9.6 + 1.7
About the same in both	34.5 + 1.8	28.5 + 2.0	39.3 + 2.5	40.3 + 3.6	47.0 + 3.6	35.7 + 2.4	32.1 + 3.0	34.1 + 3.5	30.9 + 1.7	32.1 + 3.2	33.4 + 3.1	43.8 + 2.2
Better in civilian life	27.3 + 1.3	30.0 + 1.6	24.4 + 1.7	28.8 + 2.2	20.9 + 2.8	27.0 + 1.6	28.0 + 2.2	26.2 + 3.5	29.0 + 1.6	30.2 + 2.8	27.0 + 2.5	22.2 + 2.1
Much better in civilian life	19.3 + 1.5	27.1 + 1.7	12.2 + 1.2	11.8 + 1.8	9.2 + 2.3	18.5 + 1.9	21.0 + 2.5	19.6 + 2.7	22.8 + 1.7	21.9 + 2.4	18.7 + 2.4	11.4 + 1.7
Don't know	8.8 + 0.9	6.5 + 0.7	12.1 + 1.6	7.0 + 1.3	9.2 + 1.7	8.2 + 1.2	10.0 + 1.3	10.2 + 2.3	8.1 + 1.2	7.6 + 2.5	9.3 + 2.1	10.8 + 1.4
Sample size	10,950	4,668	2,872	1,738	1,461	6,520	3,357	1,073	4,114	1,524	2,111	3,201
Weighted total	467,143	226,216	180,557	30,685	19,892	300,804	138,749	27,591	193,140	79,856	87,752	108,396

Table S 63H (cont.)

Work Rules and Regulations in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse															
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Much worse in civilian life	2.2% ± 0.5	2.6% ± 2.2	1.3% ± 1.1	1.8% ± 1.4	1.9% ± 0.7	1.3% ± 0.6	1.9% ± 1.0	1.9% ± 1.0	1.6% ± 1.0	1.2% ± 0.6	0.6% ± 0.5	1.5% ± 0.6	1.7% ± 0.6			
Worse in civilian life	6.6 ± 0.9	6.6 ± 3.8	8.4 ± 3.0	7.7 ± 4.4	7.4 ± 1.8	8.9 ± 1.4	9.7 ± 2.3	9.7 ± 2.3	12.5 ± 2.7	11.4 ± 2.5	13.7 ± 2.6	8.9 ± 1.2	9.7 ± 1.5			
About the same in both	28.0 ± 2.2	37.9 ± 6.8	36.2 ± 4.5	38.1 ± 6.4	34.4 ± 3.2	38.8 ± 3.1	44.2 ± 4.5	44.2 ± 4.5	42.3 ± 4.7	41.9 ± 4.2	46.2 ± 4.2	39.9 ± 2.7	37.3 ± 2.3			
Better in civilian life	29.6 ± 2.0	26.7 ± 5.4	23.9 ± 5.1	28.7 ± 6.1	27.2 ± 2.3	27.1 ± 2.3	25.1 ± 3.4	25.1 ± 3.4	27.6 ± 4.6	25.4 ± 3.4	21.0 ± 4.5	25.7 ± 2.1	27.0 ± 2.0			
Much better in civilian life	26.6 ± 2.4	17.0 ± 4.5	18.4 ± 3.5	12.8 ± 4.1	20.8 ± 2.5	15.6 ± 2.1	9.1 ± 2.9	9.1 ± 2.9	9.9 ± 2.1	13.0 ± 3.1	7.6 ± 2.1	14.3 ± 1.9	15.3 ± 1.6			
Don't know	7.0 ± 1.1	9.1 ± 4.0	11.8 ± 3.3	12.9 ± 4.9	8.3 ± 2.0	10.2 ± 2.1	10.0 ± 2.4	10.0 ± 2.4	6.1 ± 2.2	7.1 ± 2.2	10.9 ± 2.5	9.7 ± 1.5	9.0 ± 1.6			
Sample size	2,876	243	482	422	1,288	1,906	632	631	895	903	3,261	3,136				
Weighted total	175,682	10,919	15,225	14,072	54,491	86,151	40,834	10,194	13,998	15,447	120,935	106,638				

Table S 691

Working Hours and Schedule in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	MCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
Much worse in civilian life	1.7% ± 0.3	1.9% ± 0.4	1.5% ± 0.5	1.5% ± 0.6	2.1% ± 0.8	1.9% ± 0.4	1.2% ± 0.4	2.3% ± 1.6	1.5% ± 0.4	1.2% ± 0.7	1.9% ± 0.7	2.3% ± 0.7
Worse in civilian life	6.3 ± 0.8	5.5 ± 0.7	6.7 ± 1.2	6.7 ± 1.5	11.3 ± 2.0	7.1 ± 1.1	4.4 ± 1.3	6.7 ± 1.4	4.3 ± 0.9	4.8 ± 1.6	5.9 ± 1.1	11.2 ± 1.3
About the same in both	20.3 ± 2.0	19.3 ± 1.6	19.4 ± 3.2	21.8 ± 2.9	34.6 ± 4.2	21.6 ± 2.7	18.1 ± 2.9	18.1 ± 3.4	15.4 ± 1.4	17.0 ± 2.6	19.8 ± 2.0	32.4 ± 3.0
Better in civilian life	31.6 ± 1.1	29.7 ± 1.6	33.8 ± 2.3	33.6 ± 2.9	27.7 ± 3.3	31.6 ± 1.4	31.4 ± 1.9	31.9 ± 4.0	33.5 ± 1.6	31.6 ± 2.1	32.7 ± 2.7	26.9 ± 1.7
Much better in civilian life	33.9 ± 2.4	38.6 ± 2.1	30.2 ± 3.1	32.6 ± 3.1	18.9 ± 3.3	31.9 ± 3.3	38.0 ± 4.2	34.6 ± 2.2	39.9 ± 2.0	39.8 ± 3.2	33.7 ± 3.2	18.6 ± 2.9
Don't know	6.2 ± 0.7	5.0 ± 0.7	8.3 ± 1.3	3.8 ± 1.3	5.4 ± 1.4	5.9 ± 1.0	6.9 ± 1.2	6.4 ± 1.7	5.4 ± 0.9	5.6 ± 1.7	6.0 ± 2.0	8.6 ± 1.5
Sample size	10,967	4,674	2,880	1,737	1,465	6,529	3,362	1,076	4,123	1,532	2,112	3,200
Weighted total	468,206	226,608	181,156	30,661	19,988	301,281	139,138	27,787	193,959	80,133	87,827	106,287

346

Table S 691 (cont.)

Working Hours and Schedule in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+			
Much worse in civilian life	2.1% ± 0.5	2.4% ± 2.1	1.5% ± 1.1	2.6% ± 2.0	1.6% ± 0.7	1.2% ± 0.8	0.9% ± 0.4	1.3% ± 0.8	2.0% ± 1.3	1.3% ± 0.8	1.6% ± 1.0	1.1% ± 0.5	1.1% ± 0.5	1.5% ± 0.5
Worse in civilian life	6.1 ± 1.0	4.3 ± 2.9	4.9 ± 2.6	7.0 ± 3.1	5.7 ± 1.3	6.4 ± 2.4	6.2 ± 1.7	7.6 ± 2.1	7.3 ± 2.7	7.6 ± 2.1	9.1 ± 2.5	6.2 ± 1.3	6.2 ± 1.3	8.6 ± 1.4
About the same in both	19.7 ± 1.9	23.8 ± 5.1	20.9 ± 3.8	22.4 ± 5.0	17.1 ± 2.6	23.7 ± 6.4	17.9 ± 2.8	27.2 ± 3.1	27.1 ± 6.0	27.2 ± 3.1	30.3 ± 5.1	20.4 ± 3.0	20.4 ± 3.0	20.5 ± 2.7
Better in civilian life	29.7 ± 1.7	30.7 ± 6.6	36.9 ± 4.3	30.6 ± 6.6	30.2 ± 3.0	32.8 ± 4.2	35.5 ± 2.7	33.6 ± 4.1	31.5 ± 5.3	33.6 ± 4.1	29.5 ± 4.3	33.3 ± 1.9	33.3 ± 1.9	32.7 ± 2.2
Much better in civilian life	37.2 ± 2.6	33.6 ± 8.0	26.5 ± 5.7	25.0 ± 5.0	39.1 ± 3.1	29.9 ± 5.0	32.7 ± 2.7	26.6 ± 4.4	27.5 ± 4.8	26.6 ± 4.4	23.2 ± 6.4	32.3 ± 3.3	32.3 ± 3.3	32.9 ± 3.0
Don't know	5.2 ± 0.8	5.2 ± 3.5	9.3 ± 3.0	12.3 ± 4.8	6.3 ± 1.8	6.0 ± 2.0	6.7 ± 1.7	3.7 ± 1.9	4.6 ± 2.0	4.6 ± 2.0	6.4 ± 2.6	6.6 ± 1.3	6.6 ± 1.3	5.8 ± 1.2
Sample size	2,879	244	482	423	1,290	636	1,909	895	631	895	907	3,264	3,264	3,147
Weighted total	175,931	10,983	15,258	14,090	54,635	41,082	86,412	14,002	10,201	14,002	15,528	121,078	121,078	107,315

Table S_69J

Personal Freedom In the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Much worse in civilian life	1.1%	1.3%	1.0%	0.6%	0.4%	1.1%	0.8%	1.4%	1.0%	1.5%	0.8%	1.0%
	+ 0.2	+ 0.4	+ 0.3	+ 0.4	+ 0.4	+ 0.3	+ 0.4	+ 1.2	+ 0.3	+ 0.7	+ 0.5	+ 0.4
Worse in civilian life	3.4	2.7	3.8	3.8	7.5	3.8	2.4	4.2	2.4	3.0	3.8	5.4
	+ 0.4	+ 0.6	+ 0.7	+ 1.2	+ 1.3	+ 0.6	+ 0.6	+ 0.8	+ 0.4	+ 1.1	+ 1.0	+ 1.2
About the same in both	19.9	13.1	25.4	23.5	38.0	22.0	18.2	16.4	14.7	17.3	19.0	32.3
	+ 1.9	+ 1.5	+ 2.5	+ 2.6	+ 4.6	+ 2.6	+ 3.0	+ 3.6	+ 1.5	+ 3.0	+ 2.2	+ 3.2
Better in civilian life	27.4	23.3	30.7	36.8	30.5	27.4	27.0	29.7	27.6	26.8	28.5	26.8
	+ 0.7	+ 1.2	+ 1.5	+ 2.7	+ 3.0	+ 0.8	+ 1.4	+ 1.9	+ 1.4	+ 2.5	+ 1.9	+ 1.5
Much better in civilian life	44.6	57.2	33.8	32.4	22.8	42.4	49.4	44.2	51.7	48.2	44.1	29.1
	+ 2.3	+ 1.9	+ 2.2	+ 3.0	+ 2.8	+ 3.2	+ 3.6	+ 5.0	+ 1.8	+ 3.0	+ 3.1	+ 3.5
Don't know	3.6	2.4	5.2	2.9	2.9	3.3	4.2	4.2	2.6	3.2	3.8	5.4
	+ 0.6	+ 0.6	+ 1.1	+ 1.4	+ 0.9	+ 0.8	+ 1.1	+ 1.9	+ 0.5	+ 1.8	+ 1.2	+ 1.2
Sample size	10,974	4,683	2,879	1,736	1,466	6,528	3,368	1,078	4,129	1,537	2,112	3,196
Weighted total	468,049	226,656	180,994	30,640	19,999	300,923	139,269	27,857	194,016	80,208	87,853	105,973

Table S 68J (cont.)

Personal Freedom in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted				Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Much worse in civilian life	1.4% ± 0.5	0.9% ± 1.3	0.6% ± 0.7	1.2% ± 1.3	0.9% ± 0.6	0.7% ± 0.4	0.9% ± 0.8	0.1% ± 0.1	0.6% ± 0.6	0.4% ± 0.3	0.8% ± 0.3	0.7% ± 0.4
Worse in civilian life	2.7 ± 0.6	4.5 ± 2.9	2.7 ± 1.7	5.0 ± 3.0	3.2 ± 1.1	2.8 ± 1.0	4.9 ± 1.7	4.9 ± 1.7	4.6 ± 1.7	6.5 ± 1.9	3.8 ± 0.8	3.6 ± 0.8
About the same in both	13.1 ± 1.9	26.5 ± 6.4	21.2 ± 4.5	27.1 ± 5.1	15.8 ± 2.6	21.8 ± 2.4	31.5 ± 4.7	29.6 ± 5.0	31.1 ± 3.9	34.6 ± 5.2	24.1 ± 2.6	23.5 ± 2.2
Better in civilian life	24.5 ± 1.5	24.0 ± 4.7	30.2 ± 5.7	28.4 ± 6.1	28.9 ± 3.2	31.5 ± 2.4	27.2 ± 3.6	31.1 ± 4.3	35.1 ± 3.9	30.7 ± 3.9	29.2 ± 1.8	31.0 ± 2.0
Much better in civilian life	55.9 ± 2.3	38.3 ± 6.7	40.7 ± 6.1	30.9 ± 5.4	47.9 ± 3.4	39.3 ± 2.3	31.1 ± 4.9	31.1 ± 4.6	26.7 ± 4.3	24.6 ± 4.8	38.8 ± 2.7	37.3 ± 2.7
Don't know	2.4 ± 0.6	5.7 ± 4.3	4.5 ± 2.3	7.4 ± 4.1	3.3 ± 1.4	3.9 ± 1.2	4.5 ± 1.5	3.2 ± 1.6	1.9 ± 1.0	3.2 ± 1.5	3.3 ± 0.8	3.9 ± 1.0
Sample size	2,869	245	481	424	1,296	1,916	637	633	893	905	3,271	3,151
Weighted total	175,428	11,007	15,236	14,104	54,741	86,629	41,104	10,222	13,966	15,498	121,326	107,332

Table S 69K

Opportunity for Excitement in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Much worse in civilian life	3.5% + 0.4	3.1% + 0.7	3.6% + 0.7	5.4% + 1.0	4.3% + 0.8	3.3% + 0.5	3.7% + 1.0	4.1% + 1.7	3.5% + 0.7	3.7% + 1.0	3.2% + 0.9	3.4% + 0.6
Worse in civilian life	16.5 + 1.2	12.4 + 1.2	17.4 + 1.7	29.5 + 2.7	33.1 + 3.4	16.2 + 1.4	17.1 + 2.2	17.2 + 4.5	15.2 + 1.2	14.5 + 2.6	16.6 + 1.6	20.4 + 2.7
About the same in both	29.9 + 1.7	24.4 + 1.7	34.1 + 2.0	34.3 + 3.7	40.7 + 3.1	31.0 + 2.4	27.6 + 1.9	29.3 + 4.0	25.7 + 1.5	28.4 + 3.0	31.2 + 2.1	37.4 + 3.8
Better in civilian life	19.6 + 1.2	21.0 + 1.3	19.5 + 1.7	14.5 + 2.2	11.4 + 2.1	19.8 + 1.5	19.0 + 1.9	19.9 + 5.3	21.1 + 1.5	20.9 + 2.3	19.3 + 2.1	16.0 + 2.2
Much better in civilian life	25.4 + 1.9	35.4 + 1.9	18.1 + 1.8	12.0 + 2.3	6.1 + 1.5	24.7 + 2.5	27.4 + 3.0	24.0 + 2.7	30.2 + 1.9	27.8 + 3.2	25.1 + 2.2	15.3 + 2.9
Don't know	5.2 + 0.7	3.6 + 0.7	7.3 + 1.2	4.4 + 1.5	4.3 + 0.9	5.1 + 0.9	5.2 + 1.2	5.5 + 1.1	4.3 + 0.8	4.7 + 1.7	4.6 + 1.5	7.5 + 1.4
Sample size	10,956	4,674	2,873	1,736	1,463	6,521	3,360	1,075	4,120	1,535	2,107	3,194
Weighted total	467,381	226,355	180,710	30,620	19,962	300,658	138,913	27,810	193,587	80,159	87,684	105,951

Table S-69K (cont.)

Opportunity for Excitement in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Much worse in civilian life	3.8% + 0.9	2.8% + 2.4	3.2% + 1.9	3.8% + 1.7	3.8% + 1.7	3.5% + 1.3	3.3% + 1.0	2.1% + 1.3	5.3% + 1.6	3.9% + 1.1	2.9% + 1.2	2.9% + 0.7	3.4% + 0.7
Worse in civilian life	14.1 + 1.4	18.4 + 6.3	20.4 + 4.4	14.6 + 4.7	14.6 + 4.7	14.7 + 2.3	16.5 + 2.5	17.7 + 2.8	28.7 + 4.2	28.7 + 4.0	29.7 + 4.0	17.8 + 1.6	19.1 + 2.3
About the same in both	24.5 + 2.0	32.6 + 6.4	29.3 + 5.3	33.9 + 5.4	33.9 + 5.4	28.7 + 3.0	30.9 + 2.7	39.3 + 4.5	37.6 + 5.4	39.8 + 3.7	41.9 + 5.1	35.4 + 2.6	31.3 + 2.4
Better in civilian life	20.2 + 1.7	17.2 + 4.3	18.7 + 4.6	15.9 + 4.2	15.9 + 4.2	20.4 + 2.4	20.7 + 2.1	21.3 + 3.4	13.8 + 3.3	15.7 + 4.0	13.0 + 3.6	19.2 + 2.2	19.7 + 2.0
Much better in civilian life	33.7 + 2.3	23.0 + 5.3	21.5 + 5.6	19.8 + 4.5	19.8 + 4.5	28.4 + 3.0	22.6 + 1.9	13.9 + 3.0	9.7 + 2.3	9.0 + 3.0	7.8 + 2.8	19.4 + 2.4	21.3 + 2.3
Don't know	3.7 + 0.8	6.0 + 4.6	7.0 + 3.4	11.9 + 4.3	11.9 + 4.3	4.2 + 1.3	6.0 + 1.7	5.7 + 1.8	4.9 + 2.3	2.9 + 1.1	4.8 + 1.9	5.3 + 1.0	5.3 + 1.2
Sample size	2,864	245	480	424	424	1,293	1,912	637	633	894	902	3,262	3,151
Weighted total	175,232	11,007	15,211	14,115	14,115	54,630	86,511	41,104	10,222	14,001	15,419	120,958	107,428

Table S 69L

Opportunity to Serve Country in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other DOMUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
Much worse in civilian life	15.6% + 0.8	16.3% + 1.1	13.7% + 1.5	20.0% + 2.5	18.4% + 2.2	15.7% + 1.1	14.9% + 1.6	17.3% + 2.9	16.3% + 1.3	15.4% + 1.9	14.2% + 2.0	15.4% + 1.8
Worse in civilian life	39.1 + 1.1	36.3 + 1.4	37.5 + 1.8	53.7 + 2.4	58.0 + 2.7	40.0 + 1.2	37.2 + 2.4	38.7 + 3.1	38.4 + 1.8	36.7 + 2.8	38.4 + 2.0	42.5 + 2.0
About the same in both	27.0 + 0.9	26.5 + 1.4	29.6 + 1.7	19.3 + 1.8	18.1 + 2.2	26.5 + 1.1	28.0 + 1.6	27.8 + 3.9	27.0 + 1.9	27.7 + 1.8	26.6 + 1.9	26.8 + 1.9
Better in civilian life	4.8 + 0.5	5.5 + 0.7	5.2 + 0.8	1.5 + 0.8	0.6 + 0.4	5.0 + 0.6	4.9 + 0.7	2.7 + 1.4	5.8 + 0.8	4.0 + 1.3	5.2 + 1.2	3.4 + 1.0
Much better in civilian life	4.1 + 0.5	6.1 + 0.7	2.6 + 0.5	1.1 + 0.6	0.8 + 0.3	4.0 + 0.5	4.5 + 0.9	3.3 + 1.3	4.6 + 0.7	5.4 + 1.3	4.5 + 1.1	1.8 + 0.5
Don't know	9.5 + 0.8	9.3 + 1.0	11.3 + 1.5	4.3 + 1.3	4.1 + 0.8	8.9 + 1.0	10.7 + 1.2	10.3 + 0.8	7.9 + 1.0	10.7 + 1.9	11.1 + 1.7	10.0 + 1.6
Sample size	10,841	4,614	2,837	1,721	1,459	6,440	3,332	1,069	4,074	1,515	2,081	3,171
Weighted total	460,981	222,917	178,123	30,353	19,855	295,939	137,475	27,567	191,269	78,942	86,173	104,597

Table S 69L (cont.)

Opportunity to Serve Country in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted				Officer				Civilian Spouse		
	No Children			Children			No Children			Child 6+	Child 0-5			Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
	No Children	Children	±	No Children	Children	±	No Children	Children	±		No Children	Children 0-5	±				
Much worse in civilian life	18.4% ± 1.3	14.4% ± 4.9	± 3.6	13.8% ± 2.9	11.6% ± 2.9	± 2.2	14.4% ± 2.2	14.7% ± 1.7	± 2.9	11.0% ± 2.9	16.7% ± 3.8	17.7% ± 3.5	± 2.8	14.7% ± 2.8	14.2% ± 1.5	14.5% ± 1.6	
Worse in civilian life	37.1 ± 1.6	37.8 ± 5.1	± 5.0	40.3 ± 5.4	37.8 ± 5.4	± 4.1	37.3 ± 4.1	38.0 ± 2.6	± 3.7	42.1 ± 3.7	54.6 ± 4.4	52.7 ± 3.8	± 3.4	57.0 ± 3.4	40.7 ± 2.0	42.3 ± 2.5	
About the same in both	25.9 ± 1.7	25.5 ± 6.0	± 4.5	25.8 ± 4.5	31.1 ± 4.6	± 2.9	28.8 ± 2.9	29.2 ± 2.2	± 4.1	30.2 ± 4.1	20.3 ± 2.9	23.6 ± 3.5	± 3.6	20.6 ± 3.6	28.6 ± 2.0	25.6 ± 2.1	
Better in civilian life	5.2 ± 0.9	4.5 ± 2.7	± 2.9	4.4 ± 2.9	2.4 ± 1.3	± 1.4	5.5 ± 1.4	4.7 ± 0.9	± 2.0	5.2 ± 2.0	1.9 ± 1.5	0.9 ± 0.6	± 0.7	0.9 ± 0.7	4.2 ± 0.8	4.5 ± 0.9	
Much better in civilian life	5.3 ± 0.8	2.9 ± 2.2	± 2.7	4.0 ± 2.7	5.0 ± 2.7	± 1.1	5.1 ± 1.1	3.4 ± 0.7	± 1.1	1.4 ± 1.1	1.2 ± 1.2	0.9 ± 0.6	± 0.6	0.6 ± 0.6	2.8 ± 0.9	3.2 ± 0.7	
Don't know	8.1 ± 1.0	14.9 ± 5.3	± 3.5	11.7 ± 3.5	12.1 ± 4.4	± 2.2	11.0 ± 2.2	10.0 ± 1.6	± 2.2	10.2 ± 2.2	5.2 ± 2.3	4.2 ± 1.6	± 1.9	6.2 ± 1.9	9.5 ± 1.3	9.9 ± 1.9	
Sample size	2,831	241	476	420	420	1,283	1,889	622	890	899	3,237	3,110					
Weighted total	172,703	10,727	15,054	13,909	54,247	85,057	40,267	13,940	15,366	119,625	105,600						

Table S 69M

Time for Personal/Family Life in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Much worse in civilian life	1.2%	1.2%	1.4%	0.6%	0.9%	1.2%	0.9%	2.6%	0.8%	1.5%	1.3%
	+ 0.3	+ 0.4	+ 0.5	+ 0.4	+ 0.4	+ 0.4	+ 0.3	+ 1.9	+ 0.3	+ 0.9	+ 0.6
Worse in civilian life	5.3	3.5	7.2	4.9	10.0	5.9	4.2	4.0	4.0	4.1	5.6
	+ 0.6	+ 0.6	+ 1.1	+ 1.6	+ 2.0	+ 0.9	+ 1.1	+ 1.1	+ 0.7	+ 0.9	+ 1.2
About the same in both	21.8	16.6	26.4	23.5	34.9	23.7	18.2	19.3	16.3	18.1	21.9
	+ 1.9	+ 1.5	+ 2.4	+ 3.9	+ 4.0	+ 2.4	+ 3.6	+ 3.8	+ 1.7	+ 2.9	+ 2.7
Better in civilian life	29.3	28.2	28.5	37.8	30.1	29.0	29.4	31.3	29.7	29.8	30.7
	+ 0.9	+ 1.2	+ 1.6	+ 3.7	+ 3.4	+ 1.2	+ 1.6	+ 2.3	+ 1.1	+ 1.8	+ 2.6
Much better in civilian life	38.1	47.0	30.8	29.4	20.7	36.1	42.4	38.0	45.6	42.2	36.4
	+ 2.3	+ 1.9	+ 2.5	+ 3.1	+ 2.7	+ 3.1	+ 3.9	+ 3.2	+ 1.9	+ 3.5	+ 3.1
Don't know	4.3	3.5	5.7	3.7	3.4	4.0	4.9	4.8	3.6	4.2	4.1
	+ 0.7	+ 0.6	+ 1.2	+ 1.2	+ 1.1	+ 0.9	+ 1.0	+ 2.3	+ 0.7	+ 1.4	+ 1.4
Sample size	10,863	4,627	2,839	1,731	1,457	6,464	3,330	1,069	4,084	1,518	2,093
Weighted total	462,465	223,832	178,470	30,539	19,895	297,655	137,167	27,642	191,730	79,138	86,791

Table S 69M (cont.)

Time for Personal/Family Life in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Officer	Spouse not Employed
Much worse in civilian life	1.3% ± 0.5	0.7% ± 1.0	0.7% ± 0.7	3.2% ± 2.2	1.1% ± 0.6	1.0% ± 0.5	0.8% ± 0.7	0.9% ± 0.6	0.7% ± 0.6	0.6% ± 0.5	0.9% ± 0.5	1.0% ± 0.5
Worse in civilian life	3.8 ± 0.8	6.1 ± 3.3	4.3 ± 2.3	3.6 ± 3.0	4.9 ± 1.7	6.1 ± 1.4	8.5 ± 2.0	4.9 ± 1.9	7.0 ± 1.8	8.3 ± 2.3	6.6 ± 1.5	6.1 ± 1.0
About the same in both	17.2 ± 1.9	28.7 ± 5.9	25.2 ± 5.4	21.5 ± 4.3	18.9 ± 2.5	23.3 ± 2.9	29.4 ± 4.6	29.1 ± 4.9	27.0 ± 3.6	31.0 ± 4.1	24.6 ± 3.0	23.8 ± 2.3
Better in civilian life	28.8 ± 2.0	22.8 ± 5.8	31.4 ± 6.1	31.1 ± 4.9	30.3 ± 3.3	29.0 ± 2.5	28.1 ± 3.3	36.2 ± 4.6	34.6 ± 3.9	34.8 ± 3.9	29.7 ± 1.7	30.8 ± 1.6
Much better in civilian life	44.8 ± 2.4	35.8 ± 6.3	33.2 ± 6.1	32.6 ± 5.3	41.1 ± 2.8	36.4 ± 3.1	29.5 ± 4.6	25.6 ± 5.2	28.9 ± 4.5	21.0 ± 3.5	34.4 ± 3.2	34.5 ± 3.1
Don't know	4.0 ± 0.8	6.0 ± 3.5	5.2 ± 2.9	8.0 ± 4.3	3.8 ± 1.5	4.2 ± 1.5	3.8 ± 1.5	3.3 ± 1.6	1.8 ± 0.8	4.3 ± 2.0	4.0 ± 1.1	3.8 ± 1.1
Sample size	2,826	241	475	420	1,279	1,897	632	632	892	901	3,248	3,127
Weighted total	172,835	10,826	15,043	13,851	54,007	85,649	40,721	10,214	13,974	15,434	120,169	106,261

Table S 69N

Job Opportunities for Spouse in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank					Location			Unit Type		
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
Much worse in civilian life	1.2% + 0.3	1.4% + 0.5	1.3% + 0.5	0.8% + 0.5	0.5% + 0.4	1.2% + 0.4	1.3% + 0.9	1.1% + 0.8	1.1% + 0.5	1.5% + 1.0	1.6% + 0.9
Worse in civilian life	4.2 + 0.5	3.9 + 0.7	4.9 + 0.8	2.6 + 1.0	2.5 + 0.8	3.9 + 0.6	5.0 + 1.0	4.4 + 1.9	3.8 + 0.9	3.5 + 1.1	5.8 + 1.6
About the same in both	29.4 + 1.9	25.0 + 2.2	31.7 + 2.5	26.8 + 2.5	34.3 + 6.1	33.0 + 2.5	22.0 + 3.3	26.0 + 5.1	25.8 + 2.5	28.3 + 3.9	29.1 + 2.7
Better in civilian life	25.9 + 1.4	24.3 + 1.6	26.1 + 2.2	29.0 + 2.4	27.0 + 3.4	25.4 + 1.8	27.0 + 2.4	26.0 + 2.8	26.6 + 2.0	26.2 + 3.8	23.3 + 2.7
Much better in civilian life	31.6 + 1.7	38.0 + 2.3	27.4 + 2.3	35.4 + 3.4	31.4 + 5.0	29.5 + 2.5	38.4 + 2.6	31.1 + 4.5	36.4 + 2.3	34.2 + 4.3	31.6 + 2.7
Don't know	7.6 + 0.8	7.2 + 1.3	8.6 + 1.4	5.3 + 1.7	4.3 + 1.1	7.0 + 1.1	8.3 + 1.1	11.3 + 3.0	6.4 + 1.1	6.3 + 1.8	8.6 + 2.1
Sample size	7,449	2,657	2,166	1,268	1,192	4,485	2,267	677	2,713	973	1,392
Weighted total	268,970	84,089	141,944	18,737	16,232	176,169	77,737	15,064	102,500	42,148	49,515

Table S 69N (cont.)

Job Opportunities for Spouse in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse															
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Much worse in civilian life	1.5% ± 2.1	0.0% ± 0.0	1.4% ± 1.1	2.4% ± 1.6	1.1% ± 0.5	1.2% ± 0.6	1.3% ± 1.0	0.4% ± 0.5	0.5% ± 0.5	0.3% ± 0.5	1.1% ± 0.5	1.1% ± 0.5	1.1% ± 0.5	1.1% ± 0.5	1.0% ± 0.4	
Worse in civilian life	2.8 ± 3.1	1.9 ± 3.7	8.3 ± 3.4	7.5 ± 3.3	3.5 ± 1.1	4.0 ± 1.0	4.2 ± 1.6	1.1 ± 0.9	2.5 ± 1.2	2.1 ± 1.3	3.8 ± 0.9	3.8 ± 0.9	3.8 ± 0.9	3.8 ± 0.9	3.3 ± 0.7	
About the same in both	26.1 ± 7.2	25.8 ± 15.7	28.9 ± 5.2	29.9 ± 5.5	26.1 ± 3.2	28.9 ± 2.8	34.0 ± 4.6	26.6 ± 5.6	27.5 ± 4.9	35.9 ± 5.5	32.0 ± 2.6	32.0 ± 2.6	32.0 ± 2.6	26.6 ± 2.0		
Better in civilian life	23.4 ± 7.0	24.5 ± 16.3	21.0 ± 4.1	20.3 ± 5.7	23.6 ± 2.4	27.8 ± 2.6	28.3 ± 4.7	27.3 ± 3.6	30.4 ± 4.4	28.6 ± 4.8	25.7 ± 2.0	25.7 ± 2.0	25.7 ± 2.0	28.1 ± 1.5		
Much better in civilian life	36.1 ± 7.9	36.8 ± 16.4	25.5 ± 3.9	25.0 ± 5.4	38.8 ± 2.9	31.4 ± 2.5	25.2 ± 3.8	40.5 ± 6.0	35.1 ± 5.5	28.3 ± 4.6	32.1 ± 2.2	32.1 ± 2.2	32.1 ± 2.2	33.2 ± 2.1		
Don't know	10.1 ± 4.0	11.0 ± 8.0	14.9 ± 3.7	14.9 ± 4.5	6.9 ± 1.8	6.7 ± 1.6	7.0 ± 1.9	4.2 ± 2.9	3.9 ± 1.8	4.9 ± 1.7	5.4 ± 1.0	5.4 ± 1.0	5.4 ± 1.0	7.9 ± 1.2		
Sample size	209	42	445	381	1,200	1,800	590	594	808	828	3,150	3,150	3,150	2,802		
Weighted total	9,012	1,893	14,138	12,779	50,579	81,442	38,316	9,575	12,710	14,209	116,093	116,093	116,093	96,600		

Table S-690

Army Spouse's Job Satisfaction Compared to Civilian Life
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Much worse in civilian life	1.0% + 0.3	1.4% + 0.5	0.9% + 0.4	1.1% + 0.6	0.5% + 0.5	1.1% + 0.3	1.0% + 0.6	0.4% + 0.4	0.8% + 0.4	1.3% + 1.0	1.6% + 0.8	0.8% + 0.3
Worse in civilian life	3.4 + 0.5	2.5 + 0.7	4.1 + 0.7	2.9 + 1.2	3.0 + 0.9	3.2 + 0.5	3.9 + 0.9	4.1 + 2.0	2.8 + 0.6	3.0 + 1.2	3.9 + 1.2	4.1 + 1.1
About the same in both	29.6 + 1.8	22.9 + 2.3	32.1 + 2.3	29.6 + 3.1	39.4 + 7.3	32.5 + 2.4	23.2 + 3.4	28.2 + 2.3	27.0 + 2.4	28.3 + 4.0	27.0 + 2.9	35.7 + 2.6
Better in civilian life	26.7 + 1.5	25.7 + 2.2	27.3 + 2.4	26.9 + 2.7	26.0 + 3.6	26.4 + 1.8	27.6 + 2.8	25.8 + 2.8	27.5 + 2.2	26.8 + 3.3	26.5 + 3.0	25.7 + 2.1
Much better in civilian life	27.4 + 1.7	35.9 + 2.5	22.5 + 2.0	31.1 + 3.6	23.2 + 3.7	25.8 + 2.2	30.8 + 3.6	27.6 + 3.2	31.7 + 2.6	30.8 + 3.4	26.9 + 2.5	19.6 + 2.8
Don't know	11.9 + 1.0	11.8 + 1.7	13.0 + 1.7	8.5 + 2.0	7.9 + 1.9	10.9 + 1.3	13.6 + 1.5	13.8 + 4.0	10.2 + 1.4	9.7 + 2.5	14.0 + 2.6	14.0 + 2.2
Sample size	6,582	2,404	1,953	1,024	984	3,967	2,026	589	2,399	870	1,271	2,042
Weighted total	240,529	76,337	127,775	16,355	13,243	157,488	69,594	13,446	91,494	38,030	45,362	65,643

Table S_690 (cont.)

Army Spouse's Job Satisfaction Compared to Civilian Life
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Much worse in civilian life	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	2.2% + 1.5	1.8% + 1.5	0.8% + 0.5	1.3% + 0.6	0.4% + 0.6	0.3% + 0.5	0.7% + 0.7	0.4% + 0.5	0.8% + 0.4	0.9% + 0.4
Worse in civilian life	1.9 + 2.3	0.0 + 0.0	7.3 + 3.9	5.3 + 2.7	2.8 + 1.1	3.4 + 0.9	3.3 + 1.7	1.6 + 1.0	2.6 + 1.4	2.4 + 1.2	3.1 + 0.8	2.8 + 0.8
About the same in both	26.1 + 7.0	21.7 + 15.0	21.4 + 4.3	24.6 + 5.3	24.1 + 3.1	29.3 + 3.0	36.4 + 4.6	34.1 + 5.4	30.0 + 5.4	42.7 + 6.4	35.3 + 2.5	23.1 + 2.4
Better in civilian life	26.8 + 7.0	29.2 + 18.1	26.3 + 4.0	24.2 + 8.0	26.7 + 2.8	27.6 + 2.9	27.7 + 4.4	27.6 + 4.2	26.9 + 3.5	25.3 + 3.8	26.5 + 2.2	27.3 + 2.3
Much better in civilian life	29.5 + 7.6	29.4 + 13.7	25.4 + 4.2	23.8 + 4.5	34.8 + 3.0	27.2 + 2.9	21.0 + 4.0	30.9 + 5.2	30.9 + 5.0	21.7 + 4.1	26.1 + 2.1	31.3 + 2.5
Don't know	15.8 + 5.8	19.6 + 11.8	17.3 + 4.6	20.3 + 5.1	10.8 + 2.1	11.3 + 2.0	11.2 + 2.6	5.6 + 2.2	9.0 + 3.1	7.6 + 2.1	8.2 + 1.2	14.6 + 2.1
Sample size	199	40	438	376	1,127	1,524	529	549	604	692	3,089	2,049
Weighted total	8,599	1,769	13,874	12,692	47,083	69,506	34,369	8,738	9,459	11,992	113,575	72,839

Table S 69P

Army Spouse's Overall Satisfaction Compared to Civilian Life
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Much worse in civilian life	0.9% + 0.2	0.9% + 0.4	0.8% + 0.4	1.0% + 0.6	0.9% + 0.6	1.0% + 0.3	0.7% + 0.4	0.7% + 0.5	0.6% + 0.3	0.8% + 0.6	1.5% + 0.7	0.9% + 0.4
Worse in civilian life	4.6 + 0.5	4.0 + 0.8	4.6 + 0.8	6.3 + 1.5	7.1 + 1.2	4.7 + 0.6	4.2 + 1.0	5.4 + 2.6	3.9 + 0.8	4.4 + 1.3	5.5 + 1.5	5.2 + 1.1
About the same in both	27.7 + 1.7	21.2 + 2.0	29.1 + 2.1	30.8 + 2.8	39.7 + 4.3	30.1 + 2.0	22.5 + 3.2	27.0 + 2.5	25.5 + 2.2	26.5 + 3.7	24.9 + 3.2	33.4 + 2.4
Better in civilian life	26.6 + 1.1	24.4 + 1.8	27.8 + 2.0	29.5 + 2.7	27.1 + 2.4	26.4 + 1.4	27.0 + 2.1	27.0 + 1.8	27.6 + 2.1	23.9 + 3.4	26.5 + 2.6	26.8 + 2.2
Much better in civilian life	30.3 + 1.6	40.7 + 2.5	26.2 + 1.9	26.4 + 2.6	18.5 + 2.9	28.6 + 2.0	34.5 + 3.0	28.4 + 2.2	33.5 + 2.5	36.7 + 3.5	30.6 + 3.0	22.2 + 2.4
Don't know	9.8 + 0.9	8.8 + 1.2	11.5 + 1.5	6.0 + 1.4	6.6 + 1.6	9.2 + 1.1	11.0 + 1.3	11.4 + 4.1	8.9 + 1.2	7.7 + 2.4	10.9 + 2.2	11.5 + 1.9
Sample size	7,509	2,646	2,176	1,284	1,235	4,526	2,301	682	2,727	973	1,405	2,404
Weighted total	269,751	83,667	142,271	18,980	16,805	176,454	78,280	15,016	102,817	42,085	49,834	75,015

Table S_69P (cont.)

Army Spouse's Overall Satisfaction Compared to Civilian Life
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single				Dual Military				Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Enlisted Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Much worse in civilian life	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.9% ± 1.0	1.2% ± 1.3	0.8% ± 0.5	1.2% ± 1.3	0.9% ± 0.5	0.5% ± 0.6	1.0% ± 0.8	1.2% ± 0.8	0.6% ± 0.6	0.8% ± 0.3	0.7% ± 0.3
Worse in civilian life	2.2 ± 2.2	1.1 ± 2.2	6.5 ± 4.0	4.8 ± 2.6	3.7 ± 1.1	4.8 ± 2.6	4.0 ± 0.9	5.9 ± 2.1	5.8 ± 2.3	5.8 ± 1.8	5.9 ± 1.8	4.3 ± 0.8	4.9 ± 1.0
About the same in both	24.4 ± 7.2	14.1 ± 9.5	24.3 ± 4.3	24.3 ± 4.9	22.6 ± 3.1	24.3 ± 4.9	27.0 ± 2.3	31.2 ± 4.1	37.3 ± 5.8	33.8 ± 4.0	39.1 ± 5.0	30.8 ± 2.5	25.6 ± 2.1
Better in civilian life	22.7 ± 6.3	38.1 ± 18.9	24.4 ± 4.8	26.0 ± 7.3	26.6 ± 2.6	26.0 ± 7.3	27.9 ± 2.8	27.4 ± 3.6	25.4 ± 4.8	28.6 ± 3.4	27.0 ± 3.7	27.4 ± 1.7	26.6 ± 1.9
Much better in civilian life	34.2 ± 7.8	33.4 ± 15.2	26.6 ± 4.2	25.6 ± 4.1	38.7 ± 3.1	25.6 ± 4.1	31.5 ± 2.6	24.8 ± 3.5	25.8 ± 4.3	25.6 ± 3.7	20.6 ± 3.8	29.4 ± 2.3	32.2 ± 2.4
Don't know	16.5 ± 5.8	13.4 ± 9.0	17.3 ± 4.3	18.2 ± 4.7	7.6 ± 1.6	18.2 ± 4.7	8.7 ± 1.6	10.3 ± 2.5	4.7 ± 2.9	5.0 ± 1.9	6.8 ± 1.9	7.2 ± 1.2	9.9 ± 1.6
Sample size	205	43	446	382	1,200	382	1,796	594	607	836	848	3,156	2,857
Weighted total	8,778	1,868	14,259	12,829	50,634	12,829	81,250	38,425	9,700	13,176	14,498	116,576	97,083

Table S-690

Quality of Place for Children to Grow Up in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Much worse in civilian life	2.0% + 0.3	2.0% + 0.5	2.5% + 0.6	0.5% + 0.3	0.6% + 0.4	2.1% + 0.4	1.9% + 0.6	1.6% + 1.0	2.0% + 0.5	2.1% + 0.9	2.2% + 0.6	1.8% + 0.5
Worse in civilian life	6.6 + 0.5	5.7 + 0.7	8.1 + 0.9	5.7 + 1.5	5.1 + 1.7	6.2 + 0.8	7.4 + 0.9	6.3 + 1.4	5.6 + 0.8	6.7 + 1.4	6.0 + 2.1	7.1 + 1.1
About the same in both	28.2 + 1.6	20.7 + 1.3	34.0 + 2.3	33.1 + 2.7	46.7 + 7.4	31.9 + 2.1	21.1 + 2.5	24.1 + 3.5	24.5 + 1.9	25.4 + 2.5	27.6 + 2.7	37.6 + 3.2
Better in civilian life	20.6 + 0.9	19.3 + 1.2	20.6 + 1.6	24.5 + 2.2	24.4 + 2.9	20.4 + 1.1	20.6 + 1.9	22.9 + 1.2	21.1 + 1.5	19.6 + 2.1	20.0 + 2.6	20.8 + 1.8
Much better in civilian life	25.2 + 1.6	31.3 + 2.0	21.1 + 1.5	16.7 + 1.9	12.2 + 2.3	23.3 + 2.1	29.1 + 2.9	26.5 + 5.0	29.0 + 2.3	28.7 + 3.2	24.6 + 2.2	16.2 + 2.3
Don't know	17.4 + 0.9	21.0 + 1.3	13.6 + 1.4	19.5 + 2.7	11.0 + 2.5	16.1 + 1.1	19.9 + 1.5	18.6 + 2.3	17.7 + 1.7	17.5 + 2.6	17.7 + 2.0	16.4 + 1.4
Sample size	10,682	4,533	2,814	1,694	1,436	6,348	3,284	1,050	4,018	1,490	2,049	3,125
Weighted total	452,669	216,677	177,136	29,683	19,566	230,705	135,053	26,912	167,194	77,061	84,903	103,512

Table S 690 (cont.)

Quality of Place for Children to Grow Up in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse															
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Much worse in civilian life	1.9% ± 0.6	2.0% ± 1.6	1.7% ± 1.4	2.1% ± 1.6	1.5% ± 0.8	2.0% ± 0.5	3.4% ± 1.5	0.1% ± 0.3	0.6% ± 0.5	0.8% ± 0.7	2.3% ± 0.8	1.5% ± 0.5				
Worse in civilian life	4.8 ± 0.7	14.7 ± 4.6	5.1 ± 3.2	6.6 ± 3.4	6.1 ± 1.5	8.5 ± 1.3	7.8 ± 1.8	4.7 ± 2.1	7.4 ± 2.2	5.8 ± 2.5	6.3 ± 0.9	8.5 ± 1.2				
About the same in both	19.0 ± 1.7	31.9 ± 6.2	22.6 ± 4.1	39.8 ± 5.8	23.9 ± 3.2	32.9 ± 2.4	41.6 ± 4.1	33.6 ± 5.7	41.9 ± 4.8	49.2 ± 6.6	34.9 ± 2.9	32.7 ± 2.4				
Better in civilian life	18.2 ± 1.4	20.3 ± 5.6	20.5 ± 3.9	18.5 ± 5.6	20.5 ± 1.9	23.0 ± 2.3	22.1 ± 4.1	24.8 ± 4.9	28.2 ± 2.9	24.8 ± 4.0	21.8 ± 2.2	23.3 ± 1.7				
Much better in civilian life	28.6 ± 2.6	24.5 ± 5.6	22.7 ± 4.9	22.9 ± 4.2	26.7 ± 2.7	26.7 ± 2.5	18.4 ± 2.7	16.0 ± 3.1	17.6 ± 3.3	13.9 ± 2.7	22.7 ± 1.8	24.4 ± 2.1				
Don't know	27.6 ± 1.8	6.6 ± 3.7	27.4 ± 4.7	10.2 ± 3.6	21.4 ± 2.8	6.8 ± 1.3	6.7 ± 1.9	20.7 ± 4.3	4.4 ± 1.9	5.5 ± 1.5	12.1 ± 1.3	9.6 ± 1.6				
Sample size	2,690	239	460	425	1,261	1,912	634	607	895	903	3,229	3,124				
Weighted total	164,285	10,731	14,635	14,141	53,030	86,520	40,918	9,744	14,028	15,474	119,703	106,403				

Table S 69R

Quality of Schools for Children in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type		
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
Much worse in civilian life	1.5% + 0.3	1.2% + 0.4	2.2% + 0.5	0.3% + 0.3	0.7% + 0.4	1.6% + 0.4	1.4% + 0.4	1.1% + 0.9	1.5% + 0.5	2.0% + 0.7
Worse in civilian life	6.1 + 0.8	4.8 + 0.8	8.7 + 1.3	5.0 + 1.1	2.3 + 1.3	5.6 + 1.1	7.3 + 1.0	6.1 + 2.8	6.2 + 1.3	8.2 + 1.5
About the same in both	30.4 + 1.6	22.3 + 1.5	37.7 + 2.4	30.8 + 2.3	48.0 + 7.6	34.3 + 2.2	22.9 + 2.1	26.5 + 5.0	27.0 + 2.1	30.4 + 2.4
Better in civilian life	17.4 + 0.8	16.9 + 1.1	16.8 + 1.4	20.6 + 2.0	24.3 + 4.1	16.9 + 1.0	18.2 + 1.4	19.8 + 3.7	18.1 + 1.2	16.1 + 1.9
Much better in civilian life	18.0 + 1.2	21.7 + 1.6	14.7 + 1.4	15.1 + 1.9	12.0 + 2.5	16.1 + 1.5	21.5 + 1.9	20.6 + 5.6	20.5 + 2.0	16.8 + 1.8
Don't know	28.5 + 1.1	33.4 + 1.5	20.0 + 1.5	28.2 + 2.4	12.7 + 2.8	25.5 + 1.4	28.8 + 1.9	21.0 + 1.8	28.7 + 1.8	28.6 + 1.9
Sample size	10,729	4,549	2,826	1,704	1,444	6,368	3,307	1,054	4,031	2,062
Weighted total	455,343	218,253	177,860	29,865	19,722	292,399	135,960	26,983	188,272	85,462

Quality of Schools for Children in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

365

Table S.69S

Quality of Family Medical Care in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOJ	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Much worse in civilian life	3.5% + 0.4 -	4.0% + 0.6 -	3.7% + 0.8 -	1.5% + 0.7 -	0.8% + 0.3 -	4.1% + 0.6 -	2.1% + 0.5 -	4.3% + 1.4 -	3.8% + 0.7 -	3.0% + 1.1 -	3.7% + 1.0 -	3.3% + 0.7 -
Worse in civilian life	13.5 + 0.9 -	13.5 + 1.2 -	15.2 + 1.4 -	9.7 + 1.9 -	8.4 + 2.1 -	14.7 + 1.2 -	10.7 + 0.9 -	14.6 + 2.8 -	13.2 + 1.4 -	12.8 + 2.1 -	14.1 + 2.0 -	14.2 + 2.0 -
About the same in both	24.2 + 1.4 -	20.9 + 1.4 -	27.1 + 2.3 -	24.9 + 3.4 -	33.7 + 3.8 -	25.7 + 2.0 -	20.8 + 2.0 -	25.3 + 2.0 -	21.8 + 1.9 -	21.3 + 2.2 -	23.7 + 2.4 -	31.3 + 3.1 -
Better in civilian life	22.1 + 1.1 -	19.3 + 1.5 -	22.9 + 1.9 -	28.6 + 2.9 -	29.6 + 3.3 -	21.5 + 1.3 -	23.5 + 2.3 -	21.2 + 1.6 -	22.1 + 1.4 -	23.2 + 3.1 -	21.5 + 2.3 -	21.7 + 3.0 -
Much better in civilian life	20.4 + 1.2 -	21.3 + 1.7 -	18.5 + 1.7 -	21.7 + 2.7 -	21.6 + 3.8 -	18.5 + 1.4 -	25.3 + 2.3 -	16.8 + 2.3 -	22.3 + 2.3 -	22.4 + 2.4 -	19.8 + 2.2 -	16.0 + 2.3 -
Don't know	16.2 + 1.0 -	20.9 + 1.5 -	12.5 + 1.4 -	13.7 + 2.3 -	5.9 + 1.5 -	15.4 + 1.3 -	17.6 + 1.9 -	17.8 + 3.6 -	16.9 + 1.6 -	17.3 + 2.7 -	17.2 + 2.0 -	13.5 + 2.1 -
Sample size	10,809	4,585	2,844	1,718	1,455	6,417	3,333	1,059	4,065	1,506	2,079	3,159
Weighted total	458,252	219,537	179,103	30,081	19,846	294,342	136,931	26,979	189,588	77,919	86,067	104,677

Table S_69S (cont.)

Quality of Family Medical Care in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse														
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Much worse in civilian life	3.2% ± 0.5	2.5% ± 1.9	4.0% ± 2.0	3.2% ± 1.7	3.5% ± 1.2	4.8% ± 1.0	4.2% ± 1.4	1.0% ± 0.6	0.9% ± 0.7	0.9% ± 0.8	3.5% ± 0.9	4.2% ± 0.9			
Worse in civilian life	12.5 ± 1.3	16.6 ± 5.1	14.6 ± 4.7	13.0 ± 4.5	14.0 ± 2.2	15.0 ± 1.5	15.6 ± 2.9	9.6 ± 2.3	7.8 ± 1.9	7.8 ± 3.4	13.1 ± 1.4	14.2 ± 1.9			
About the same in both	19.5 ± 1.9	28.5 ± 6.9	20.7 ± 4.4	34.7 ± 5.5	21.6 ± 2.7	27.1 ± 2.1	31.6 ± 5.1	27.9 ± 6.2	28.2 ± 3.7	29.9 ± 3.2	27.2 ± 2.5	26.2 ± 2.2			
Better in civilian life	17.2 ± 1.6	28.8 ± 5.7	19.1 ± 3.7	20.4 ± 5.8	25.6 ± 3.0	23.5 ± 1.9	24.7 ± 3.8	28.5 ± 4.9	35.6 ± 3.8	34.2 ± 3.7	26.0 ± 2.0	25.3 ± 2.5			
Much better in civilian life	18.2 ± 1.9	16.6 ± 6.3	19.0 ± 3.8	18.8 ± 4.8	24.8 ± 3.0	23.0 ± 2.0	17.8 ± 3.4	25.8 ± 5.4	23.9 ± 4.7	24.3 ± 4.2	23.2 ± 2.0	22.5 ± 2.2			
Don't know	29.3 ± 2.0	7.0 ± 3.9	22.6 ± 4.2	9.9 ± 4.1	10.7 ± 2.0	6.6 ± 1.6	6.0 ± 2.2	7.2 ± 2.4	3.6 ± 1.3	3.0 ± 1.3	7.1 ± 1.1	7.5 ± 1.0			
Sample size	2,747	244	469	426	1,286	1,912	634	628	892	905	3,259	3,137			
Weighted total	167,218	10,982	14,850	14,170	54,400	86,468	41,027	10,132	13,963	15,489	120,734	107,078			

Table S_69T

Army Programs and Services for Families Compared to Civilian Life
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank					Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Much worse in civilian life	3.7% + 0.4 -	3.2% + 0.5 -	4.9% + 1.0 -	2.1% + 0.6 -	1.6% + 0.8 -	4.1% + 0.5 -	3.0% + 0.7 -	2.6% + 1.4 -	3.8% + 0.6 -	3.0% + 1.0 -	4.5% + 1.0 -	3.4% + 0.8 -
Worse in civilian life	21.3 + 1.3 -	18.3 + 1.5 -	23.8 + 2.1 -	28.4 + 2.1 -	23.8 + 2.9 -	22.5 + 1.5 -	18.5 + 2.5 -	22.5 + 4.3 -	20.8 + 1.5 -	20.7 + 3.0 -	19.0 + 2.2 -	24.8 + 1.9 -
About the same in both	31.7 + 1.4 -	28.7 + 1.8 -	35.9 + 2.2 -	32.9 + 2.4 -	44.0 + 4.7 -	33.8 + 1.9 -	27.7 + 2.0 -	29.6 + 4.6 -	29.4 + 2.3 -	30.1 + 3.3 -	32.5 + 2.5 -	38.5 + 2.2 -
Better in civilian life	12.8 + 1.2 -	12.8 + 1.2 -	12.6 + 2.0 -	12.0 + 2.1 -	14.3 + 1.7 -	11.9 + 1.5 -	14.9 + 2.4 -	12.7 + 3.0 -	13.5 + 1.4 -	13.4 + 2.7 -	12.7 + 2.4 -	11.3 + 2.0 -
Much better in civilian life	10.9 + 0.8 -	14.0 + 1.3 -	8.1 + 1.0 -	7.1 + 1.6 -	7.4 + 1.4 -	9.4 + 0.9 -	14.4 + 1.7 -	8.9 + 1.3 -	12.9 + 1.5 -	11.9 + 2.2 -	10.7 + 1.5 -	6.7 + 1.1 -
Don't know	19.6 + 1.1 -	25.1 + 1.5 -	14.7 + 1.5 -	17.5 + 2.8 -	8.6 + 2.2 -	18.2 + 1.2 -	21.6 + 2.1 -	23.7 + 1.9 -	19.8 + 1.7 -	20.9 + 3.6 -	20.6 + 2.1 -	17.3 + 2.0 -
Sample size	10,771	4,565	2,834	1,715	1,451	6,393	3,320	1,058	4,043	1,502	2,074	3,152
Weighted total	456,647	218,790	178,440	29,998	19,777	293,335	136,258	27,054	188,484	77,793	85,905	104,465

Table S_69F (cont.)

Army Programs and Services for Families Compared to Civilian Life
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse																
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed
Much worse in civilian life	3.0% ± 0.5	3.4% ± 2.6	5.1% ± 2.6	4.3% ± 2.1	3.3% ± 1.3	4.6% ± 1.2	5.3% ± 1.7	1.7% ± 1.0	2.5% ± 1.1	1.6% ± 0.8	4.0% ± 0.9	4.3% ± 1.0					
Worse in civilian life	16.8 ± 1.6	21.1 ± 6.4	21.4 ± 4.3	27.4 ± 6.8	24.0 ± 2.1	25.0 ± 2.5	23.0 ± 3.8	30.6 ± 5.0	24.9 ± 3.0	22.4 ± 3.4	23.4 ± 2.1	25.4 ± 2.2					
About the same in both	23.2 ± 1.9	37.4 ± 6.3	24.3 ± 5.5	37.2 ± 6.2	31.5 ± 2.9	37.5 ± 2.6	43.3 ± 4.3	37.8 ± 4.9	40.3 ± 4.4	46.5 ± 4.8	38.4 ± 2.6	36.4 ± 2.0					
Better in civilian life	11.8 ± 1.5	15.5 ± 4.7	12.1 ± 3.8	9.0 ± 2.8	14.1 ± 2.3	12.5 ± 2.0	13.5 ± 2.9	11.0 ± 2.4	15.8 ± 2.4	16.3 ± 3.0	14.3 ± 1.6	12.7 ± 1.7					
Much better in civilian life	12.7 ± 1.5	10.4 ± 5.0	10.6 ± 2.9	9.2 ± 3.3	11.9 ± 2.5	10.1 ± 1.7	6.7 ± 2.1	7.7 ± 3.0	9.4 ± 2.7	7.8 ± 1.8	9.5 ± 0.9	10.1 ± 1.6					
Don't know	32.5 ± 1.8	12.3 ± 4.7	26.4 ± 4.5	12.9 ± 4.0	15.1 ± 1.8	10.3 ± 1.9	8.1 ± 2.6	11.3 ± 3.0	7.1 ± 1.7	5.5 ± 1.5	10.5 ± 1.5	11.1 ± 1.5					
Sample size	2,738	242	467	421	1,281	1,907	633	626	893	903	3,245	3,135					
Weighted total	166,763	10,838	14,906	13,947	54,180	86,261	40,918	10,064	13,962	15,483	120,252	106,914					

Table S 69U

uality of Community in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Much worse in civilian life	2.0% ± 0.3	2.1% ± 0.4	2.2% ± 0.5	0.8% ± 0.5	0.4% ± 0.4	1.9% ± 0.4	2.0% ± 0.5	2.9% ± 1.9	1.9% ± 0.5	2.4% ± 0.8	2.6% ± 0.6	1.3% ± 0.7
Worse in civilian life	7.0 ± 0.7	6.4 ± 0.8	8.5 ± 1.1	5.4 ± 1.3	4.4 ± 1.6	6.7 ± 0.9	7.7 ± 1.4	6.5 ± 1.5	6.6 ± 0.9	6.0 ± 1.1	7.5 ± 1.5	8.2 ± 1.4
About the same in both	34.5 ± 1.8	25.9 ± 1.5	40.6 ± 2.3	44.8 ± 3.8	54.7 ± 7.0	38.5 ± 2.7	26.4 ± 1.5	32.3 ± 3.3	29.9 ± 1.8	31.0 ± 2.7	34.0 ± 2.7	45.9 ± 4.2
Better in civilian life	22.8 ± 1.0	23.6 ± 1.4	21.1 ± 1.4	24.8 ± 2.8	23.3 ± 4.3	21.6 ± 1.4	25.4 ± 1.3	22.2 ± 0.5	24.4 ± 1.5	24.2 ± 2.8	22.2 ± 2.6	19.3 ± 2.1
Much better in civilian life	22.0 ± 1.2	28.6 ± 1.8	16.6 ± 1.3	14.6 ± 2.1	11.1 ± 2.3	20.1 ± 1.6	28.1 ± 2.0	22.2 ± 3.6	25.3 ± 1.8	24.9 ± 2.6	22.1 ± 2.3	13.7 ± 1.9
Don't know	11.8 ± 0.7	13.3 ± 0.9	11.0 ± 1.2	9.5 ± 1.9	6.1 ± 1.5	11.2 ± 0.8	12.5 ± 1.1	13.8 ± 2.9	12.0 ± 1.4	11.6 ± 2.1	11.7 ± 1.5	11.6 ± 1.6
Sample size	10,844	4,600	2,849	1,726	1,460	6,446	3,339	1,059	4,072	1,515	2,085	3,172
Weighted total	460,431	221,031	179,384	30,379	19,895	295,848	137,480	27,103	190,465	78,544	86,429	104,994

Table S 69U (cont.)

Quality of Community in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer	
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Much worse in civilian life	1.8% + 0.5	2.5% + 2.2	2.2% + 1.8	2.1% + 1.5	1.6% + 0.7	2.9% + 0.8	1.3% + 0.9	0.6% + 0.6	0.8% + 0.6	0.5% + 0.5	1.8% + 0.5	2.0% + 0.7		
Worse in civilian life	5.8 + 1.0	10.6 + 3.8	5.1 + 3.6	7.0 + 3.3	7.7 + 1.4	7.0 + 1.3	10.1 + 2.5	6.1 + 2.1	5.8 + 1.7	4.3 + 1.5	7.1 + 0.9	7.7 + 1.2		
About the same in both	24.8 + 1.8	37.6 + 7.9	39.9 + 6.0	47.1 + 5.0	32.6 + 3.5	37.8 + 2.5	46.4 + 3.9	46.9 + 5.2	46.1 + 5.0	54.7 + 5.8	41.3 + 2.9	39.3 + 2.9		
Better in civilian life	23.2 + 1.7	26.2 + 5.5	20.5 + 4.2	16.1 + 4.6	22.1 + 3.0	23.8 + 2.1	22.0 + 3.4	23.8 + 4.8	28.2 + 3.9	23.6 + 4.1	23.0 + 2.0	23.1 + 1.7		
Much better in civilian life	26.8 + 2.1	18.0 + 5.6	16.5 + 3.6	17.9 + 4.3	26.1 + 2.8	20.7 + 2.0	14.6 + 2.7	15.6 + 3.1	12.2 + 2.8	12.2 + 2.0	19.7 + 1.3	19.7 + 1.8		
Don't know	17.6 + 1.2	5.3 + 4.3	15.7 + 4.5	9.9 + 3.3	9.9 + 2.1	7.8 + 1.4	5.5 + 2.1	7.1 + 2.7	5.3 + 1.5	4.6 + 1.6	7.2 + 1.1	8.1 + 1.2		
Sample size	2,791	242	469	423	1,284	1,908	634	629	896	906	3,256	3,139		
Weighted total	169,938	10,838	14,934	14,076	54,349	86,340	41,000	10,111	14,038	15,512	120,630	107,031		

Table S 69V

Opportunity to Make Good Friends in the Army as Compared to Civilian Life
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Jr. or Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Much worse in civilian life	1.6% + 0.3	1.7% + 0.4	1.7% + 0.5	1.1% + 0.5	0.4% + 0.3	1.5% + 0.4	1.6% + 0.6	2.4% + 1.4	1.7% + 0.4	1.4% + 0.7	1.9% + 0.5	1.2% + 0.7
Worse in civilian life	5.4 + 0.6	4.9 + 0.8	5.5 + 1.0	7.8 + 1.6	7.8 + 1.7	5.5 + 0.8	5.1 + 1.0	6.4 + 2.3	5.5 + 0.9	4.7 + 1.2	5.4 + 1.3	6.0 + 1.0
About the same in both	43.7 + 1.6	37.3 + 2.1	47.4 + 1.9	54.9 + 2.6	59.5 + 4.1	45.7 + 2.3	38.9 + 2.5	46.1 + 3.7	38.9 + 2.1	41.0 + 3.0	44.7 + 2.8	53.5 + 2.8
Better in civilian life	18.9 + 1.0	18.8 + 1.4	19.0 + 1.7	18.3 + 2.1	18.5 + 2.4	18.7 + 1.2	20.3 + 2.2	14.8 + 2.6	20.1 + 1.6	20.8 + 3.2	17.1 + 2.1	16.9 + 1.7
Much better in civilian life	22.5 + 1.7	29.2 + 2.1	18.0 + 1.7	11.9 + 1.9	9.2 + 2.0	21.0 + 2.3	26.0 + 2.4	21.7 + 5.7	26.6 + 2.3	25.1 + 2.7	22.2 + 2.6	13.6 + 2.1
Don't know	7.8 + 0.6	8.1 + 0.8	8.4 + 1.2	5.9 + 1.5	4.8 + 1.2	7.6 + 0.6	8.1 + 1.4	8.6 + 5.0	7.2 + 1.0	7.0 + 2.0	8.7 + 1.6	8.9 + 1.3
Sample size	10,882	4,625	2,859	1,727	1,462	6,478	3,346	1,058	4,086	1,514	2,098	3,184
Weighted total	462,991	222,816	180,015	30,464	19,954	298,006	137,854	27,132	191,691	78,578	87,140	105,582

Table S 70A

Most Critical Factor Impacting Decision to Stay in or Leave the Army
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Opportunities for advancement	23.3% + 1.1	23.5% + 1.6	24.3% + 2.1	21.8% + 2.4	19.8% + 3.3	23.1% + 1.5	23.4% + 1.8	24.5% + 1.8	22.2% + 1.4	22.5% + 2.3	24.3% + 2.5	25.1% + 2.9
Pay	20.4 + 1.2	23.6 + 1.7	18.4 + 1.6	14.7 + 3.0	12.7 + 2.4	22.8 + 1.6	16.3 + 1.5	18.2 + 2.9	19.9 + 2.0	20.3 + 2.4	21.3 + 2.4	20.7 + 1.7
Retirement benefits	9.3 + 1.1	3.3 + 0.8	15.9 + 1.7	6.4 + 1.6	18.1 + 1.6	9.1 + 1.3	9.7 + 2.2	9.2 + 2.1	7.5 + 1.3	8.7 + 1.6	8.5 + 1.4	13.7 + 1.6
Type of work	7.5 + 0.7	7.4 + 1.1	5.2 + 1.0	15.2 + 2.0	14.3 + 2.8	8.9 + 0.9	8.9 + 1.1	7.5 + 2.5	8.5 + 1.0	7.2 + 1.7	6.3 + 1.3	7.0 + 1.4
Treatment by supervisors	3.2 + 0.6	4.7 + 1.0	1.9 + 0.5	2.0 + 1.0	1.4 + 0.7	3.4 + 0.7	3.2 + 1.2	2.0 + 1.1	3.9 + 0.7	3.5 + 1.2	3.4 + 1.1	1.7 + 0.8
Opportunities to use abilities	5.3 + 0.5	5.2 + 0.8	4.4 + 0.7	9.0 + 1.9	8.0 + 1.5	5.2 + 0.5	5.0 + 0.9	7.7 + 1.2	5.1 + 0.7	5.4 + 1.2	5.2 + 1.0	5.7 + 1.1
Job security	9.2 + 0.7	7.4 + 0.9	12.3 + 1.5	7.3 + 1.2	5.5 + 1.0	8.8 + 0.8	10.0 + 1.3	9.3 + 0.8	8.9 + 1.2	9.1 + 1.5	8.8 + 1.6	10.0 + 1.5
Work rules and regulations	0.8 + 0.2	1.0 + 0.4	0.5 + 0.3	0.2 + 0.2	0.8 + 0.6	0.8 + 0.3	0.8 + 0.3	0.7 + 0.6	0.8 + 0.4	0.9 + 0.5	1.0 + 0.7	0.5 + 0.3
Working hours and schedule	1.9 + 0.3	1.9 + 0.5	1.8 + 0.6	2.1 + 0.9	2.3 + 0.7	1.6 + 0.4	2.5 + 0.6	1.7 + 1.6	2.4 + 0.5	1.9 + 0.9	1.9 + 0.7	1.1 + 0.4
Personal freedom	5.8 + 0.8	8.7 + 1.2	3.1 + 0.7	2.8 + 1.2	2.0 + 0.6	5.8 + 1.0	5.8 + 1.4	5.5 + 1.5	6.6 + 1.0	6.7 + 1.6	5.7 + 2.0	3.6 + 0.8
Opportunities for excitement/adventure	0.9 + 0.2	0.8 + 0.4	0.7 + 0.4	2.2 + 0.9	1.3 + 0.7	0.8 + 0.3	0.9 + 0.5	1.1 + 0.5	0.9 + 0.3	1.5 + 0.9	0.6 + 0.4	0.5 + 0.4
Opportunity to serve country	2.4 + 0.3	1.5 + 0.4	2.2 + 0.6	6.1 + 1.3	6.1 + 1.6	2.6 + 0.4	2.0 + 0.4	2.0 + 1.5	2.4 + 0.5	2.1 + 0.9	1.7 + 0.7	3.0 + 0.8
Time for personal/family life	4.3 + 0.4	4.9 + 0.7	3.7 + 0.7	4.7 + 1.1	2.6 + 0.9	3.8 + 0.6	5.1 + 0.7	5.8 + 1.3	4.7 + 0.8	4.7 + 1.0	5.5 + 1.0	2.5 + 0.7

Table S 70A

Most Critical Factor Impacting Decision to Stay in or Leave the Army
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank					Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Services Support	TDA	
Spouse's job/career opportunities	0.4% ± 0.2	0.4% ± 0.2	0.3% ± 0.2	0.7% ± 0.4	1.2% ± 0.6	0.5% ± 0.2	0.3% ± 0.3	0.1% ± 0.1	0.3% ± 0.2	0.4% ± 0.4	0.3% ± 0.3	0.6% ± 0.3	
Spouse's job satisfaction	0.4 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.4	0.3 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.3	0.5 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.4	0.3 ± 0.3	0.2 ± 0.1	
Spouse's overall satisfaction	1.8 ± 0.3	2.0 ± 0.5	1.6 ± 0.5	2.3 ± 0.7	1.4 ± 0.6	1.8 ± 0.4	2.1 ± 0.6	1.0 ± 0.8	2.0 ± 0.5	2.0 ± 0.8	1.7 ± 0.7	1.4 ± 0.6	
Quality of place for children to grow up	1.0 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.3	1.1 ± 0.4	1.0 ± 0.5	0.7 ± 0.4	0.8 ± 0.2	1.4 ± 0.5	0.8 ± 0.3	0.9 ± 0.3	0.7 ± 0.4	1.0 ± 0.5	1.2 ± 0.4	
Quality of schools for children	0.5 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.2	0.7 ± 0.3	0.1 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.7	0.4 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.3	0.6 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.5	0.6 ± 0.5	0.4 ± 0.2	
Quality of family medical care	1.1 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.3	1.2 ± 0.5	0.6 ± 0.3	0.5 ± 0.4	1.2 ± 0.3	0.9 ± 0.4	1.7 ± 1.0	1.3 ± 0.4	0.8 ± 0.4	1.2 ± 0.7	1.0 ± 0.5	
Programs and services for families	0.1 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.2	0.1 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.3	0.1 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.3	0.1 ± 0.2	0.1 ± 0.2	
Quality of community	0.2 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.2	0.1 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.3	0.2 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0	0.2 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.3	0.2 ± 0.3	0.1 ± 0.1	
Opportunity to make good friends	0.2 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.2	0.1 ± 0.1	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.2	0.1 ± 0.2	
Sample size	10,313	4,359	2,639	1,689	1,426	6,131	3,172	1,010	3,837	1,458	1,963	6,055	
Weighted total	435,424	210,796	166,109	29,775	19,477	279,692	129,703	26,030	178,278	75,956	81,165	100,025	

Table S 70A (cont.)

Most Critical Factor Impacting Decision to Stay in or Leave the Army
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed		
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+				
Opportunities for advancement	24.0% + 1.8	22.9% + 7.2	25.3% + 4.8	26.6% + 5.7	25.1% + 2.9	23.5% + 3.0	20.6% + 4.0	20.4% + 4.2	17.4% + 3.1	19.8% + 4.7	23.9% + 2.5	21.4% + 2.1		
Pay	22.7 + 1.8	21.5 + 6.0	19.6 + 4.4	20.5 + 5.3	20.6 + 2.7	19.2 + 2.5	20.4 + 4.1	16.0 + 4.4	14.6 + 3.4	15.0 + 3.3	18.3 + 2.4	20.0 + 1.6		
Retirement benefits	4.4 + 1.1	9.0 + 4.7	5.9 + 2.6	10.9 + 3.6	9.6 + 2.3	9.2 + 2.0	23.6 + 4.0	12.6 + 3.2	14.4 + 3.4	14.7 + 2.4	13.7 + 2.2	11.6 + 1.7		
Type of work	9.1 + 1.3	5.8 + 3.4	7.4 + 2.8	4.8 + 2.5	8.6 + 1.5	5.6 + 1.3	3.5 + 1.6	15.2 + 3.7	11.9 + 2.5	12.4 + 3.5	6.9 + 1.1	6.5 + 1.0		
Treatment by supervisors	5.1 + 1.1	4.4 + 3.3	2.3 + 1.8	1.1 + 0.9	3.0 + 1.1	2.5 + 0.9	0.8 + 0.8	1.1 + 0.9	0.8 + 0.6	1.5 + 1.1	1.9 + 0.6	2.1 + 0.6		
Opportunities to use abilities	6.3 + 1.1	3.8 + 2.6	7.7 + 2.4	3.1 + 2.3	3.9 + 1.3	3.4 + 0.9	5.3 + 1.5	9.5 + 3.3	6.8 + 2.1	8.2 + 1.9	4.5 + 0.8	4.6 + 0.9		
Job security	6.8 + 1.0	15.1 + 4.8	7.5 + 2.1	9.0 + 3.1	8.1 + 1.7	14.0 + 1.9	10.2 + 2.6	5.1 + 1.9	8.6 + 1.7	7.4 + 2.0	10.4 + 1.4	11.2 + 1.2		
Work rules and regulations	1.0 + 0.4	0.7 + 1.4	2.1 + 2.3	0.3 + 0.5	0.6 + 0.4	0.6 + 0.4	0.2 + 0.2	0.4 + 0.6	1.0 + 0.8	0.8 + 1.0	0.5 + 0.3	0.6 + 0.3		
Working hours and schedule	2.0 + 0.6	0.2 + 0.4	1.4 + 1.3	2.0 + 1.4	2.4 + 0.9	1.4 + 0.7	2.2 + 1.6	2.0 + 1.3	1.5 + 1.0	1.5 + 1.0	2.0 + 0.6	1.5 + 0.6		
Personal freedom	9.6 + 1.5	0.5 + 1.0	2.9 + 1.8	2.0 + 1.6	4.7 + 1.1	4.2 + 1.0	2.1 + 1.5	3.3 + 1.7	1.3 + 0.9	2.3 + 1.1	4.1 + 0.9	3.1 + 0.7		
Opportunities for excitement/adventure	1.2 + 0.5	0.0 + 0.0	0.5 + 0.6	1.2 + 1.5	0.6 + 0.5	0.6 + 0.4	0.4 + 0.6	1.5 + 1.1	0.9 + 0.8	1.0 + 0.5	0.5 + 0.4	0.8 + 0.4		
Opportunity to serve country	2.0 + 0.4	2.4 + 2.2	2.8 + 1.5	1.0 + 1.0	1.7 + 0.8	2.4 + 0.8	1.9 + 1.1	5.2 + 2.0	6.7 + 2.0	6.2 + 2.5	2.8 + 0.6	2.8 + 0.8		
Time for personal/family life	2.4 + 0.7	6.6 + 4.2	8.7 + 3.1	9.0 + 4.2	5.3 + 1.2	5.9 + 1.3	3.4 + 1.5	2.5 + 1.3	7.1 + 2.8	2.7 + 1.1	4.1 + 0.9	6.2 + 1.1		

Table S_70A (cont.)

Most Critical Factor Impacting Decision to Stay in or Leave the Army
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children
	Enlisted					Officer				
	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Spouse's job/career opportunities	0.3 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.3 ± 0.3	0.3 ± 0.3	0.3 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.5	1.7 ± 1.1	0.5 ± 0.3
Spouse's job satisfaction	0.2 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	0.4 ± 0.5	0.6 ± 1.1	0.9 ± 0.6	0.5 ± 0.4	0.5 ± 0.4	0.3 ± 0.5	0.8 ± 0.8	0.5 ± 0.3
Spouse's overall satisfaction	0.8 ± 0.4	0.5 ± 1.0	3.5 ± 1.9	2.5 ± 1.8	3.8 ± 1.5	2.3 ± 0.7	1.2 ± 0.7	2.2 ± 0.9	1.4 ± 1.0	2.1 ± 0.5
Quality of places for children to grow up	0.4 ± 0.3	2.4 ± 1.8	1.3 ± 1.3	2.7 ± 1.6	0.5 ± 0.4	1.5 ± 0.6	1.6 ± 1.1	2.4 ± 1.2	0.6 ± 0.3	1.1 ± 0.5
Quality of schools for children	0.3 ± 0.2	2.3 ± 2.0	0.0 ± 0.0	1.1 ± 1.1	0.1 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.3	1.1 ± 1.1	0.3 ± 0.4	0.5 ± 0.9	0.4 ± 0.3
Quality of family medical care	0.6 ± 0.3	1.9 ± 1.9	0.5 ± 0.8	1.1 ± 1.0	1.9 ± 0.8	1.9 ± 0.7	1.0 ± 0.6	0.7 ± 0.5	1.2 ± 0.9	1.6 ± 0.6
Programs and services for families	0.1 ± 0.1	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.1
Quality of community	0.3 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.4 ± 0.7	0.1 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.5	0.4 ± 0.4	0.3 ± 0.4	0.1 ± 0.2
Opportunity to make good friends	0.4 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0	0.4 ± 0.7	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.1
Sample size	2,703	225	443	394	1,197	1,795	593	875	876	3,109
Weighted total	164,176	9,849	13,810	13,148	50,687	80,905	38,226	13,699	14,918	113,843
										2,980
										100,366

Table S_708

Second Most Critical Factor Impacting Decision to Stay in or Leave the Army
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank					Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Opportunities for advancement	9.5% + 0.7	10.3% + 1.2	8.9% + 1.1	9.1% + 1.2	7.7% + 1.2	10.1% + 1.0	8.1% + 0.8	10.9% + 2.0	9.1% + 1.3	9.7% + 1.8	9.6% + 1.2	10.1% + 1.4
Pay	18.0 + 0.9	19.1 + 1.2	17.0 + 1.6	15.2 + 1.8	16.9 + 2.2	18.6 + 1.1	18.9 + 1.8	16.4 + 2.6	18.3 + 1.4	17.5 + 2.0	17.6 + 2.4	18.1 + 1.7
Retirement benefits	8.2 + 1.0	4.3 + 0.6	12.7 + 1.7	8.5 + 2.1	10.9 + 1.7	8.6 + 1.5	7.0 + 1.2	9.1 + 1.5	7.1 + 0.9	6.4 + 1.5	7.2 + 1.3	12.3 + 2.1
Type of work	6.6 + 0.6	7.5 + 0.9	4.7 + 0.9	8.5 + 2.0	9.3 + 1.6	8.6 + 0.8	8.3 + 1.0	8.3 + 1.7	7.2 + 1.0	6.5 + 1.4	5.6 + 1.1	6.6 + 1.1
Treatment by supervisors	4.0 + 0.5	5.7 + 0.9	2.5 + 0.7	2.8 + 1.1	2.7 + 1.1	3.6 + 0.7	4.8 + 0.9	4.6 + 1.7	5.1 + 0.7	4.2 + 1.1	3.8 + 1.1	2.4 + 0.7
Opportunities to use abilities	5.1 + 0.5	5.0 + 0.7	4.1 + 0.8	9.3 + 1.7	8.2 + 1.6	5.1 + 0.7	5.3 + 0.8	4.9 + 2.8	4.3 + 0.7	5.8 + 1.3	5.8 + 1.3	5.6 + 1.1
Job security	8.3 + 0.7	5.8 + 0.7	11.5 + 1.1	7.8 + 1.8	8.1 + 1.8	8.0 + 0.9	9.0 + 1.1	8.1 + 0.8	6.8 + 0.9	9.0 + 1.8	8.7 + 1.5	10.2 + 1.5
Work rules and regulations	1.6 + 0.3	2.2 + 0.5	1.1 + 0.5	1.0 + 0.7	1.0 + 0.6	1.7 + 0.3	1.3 + 0.6	1.7 + 0.5	1.5 + 0.5	1.7 + 0.8	2.1 + 0.8	1.1 + 0.5
Working hours and schedule	4.0 + 0.6	4.9 + 1.0	3.0 + 0.8	3.6 + 1.0	2.7 + 0.7	3.8 + 0.7	4.5 + 1.4	4.0 + 1.0	5.1 + 1.1	4.4 + 1.3	3.9 + 1.3	1.8 + 0.5
Personal freedom	7.6 + 0.9	10.9 + 1.3	4.7 + 0.9	4.9 + 1.2	4.0 + 1.2	7.6 + 1.1	7.7 + 1.7	7.9 + 1.7	8.6 + 1.5	8.4 + 1.5	8.1 + 1.6	4.9 + 1.0
Opportunities for excitement/adventure	2.8 + 0.4	2.8 + 0.6	2.4 + 0.6	4.4 + 1.3	3.4 + 0.9	2.7 + 0.5	3.0 + 0.8	3.0 + 1.4	2.5 + 0.6	2.5 + 0.8	3.3 + 0.9	3.1 + 0.7
Opportunity to serve country	2.9 + 0.4	1.8 + 0.4	3.9 + 0.7	4.3 + 1.4	4.9 + 1.4	2.9 + 0.5	3.1 + 0.8	3.2 + 1.5	2.8 + 0.6	2.0 + 0.9	3.3 + 0.8	3.6 + 0.7
Time for personal/family life	6.7 + 0.6	6.9 + 0.9	6.6 + 1.1	7.1 + 1.9	6.0 + 1.4	6.8 + 0.8	7.0 + 1.0	4.6 + 1.0	7.1 + 0.9	7.7 + 1.6	6.1 + 1.3	5.8 + 1.1

Table S 708

Second Most Critical Factor Impacting Decision to Stay In or Leave the Army
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank					Location				Unit Type		
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Spouse's job/career opportunities	2.2% ± 0.4	1.6% ± 0.4	2.9% ± 0.7	2.1% ± 0.7	2.3% ± 0.8	2.3% ± 0.5	2.0% ± 0.5	1.5% ± 0.6	1.9% ± 0.5	2.2% ± 0.7	2.3% ± 0.7	2.6% ± 0.9
Spouse's job satisfaction	1.0 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.3	1.1 ± 0.5	0.7 ± 0.4	0.7 ± 0.5	0.7 ± 0.3	1.4 ± 0.4	1.3 ± 1.0	1.0 ± 0.4	0.7 ± 0.5	0.9 ± 0.5	1.2 ± 0.6
Spouse's overall satisfaction	3.8 ± 0.4	3.1 ± 0.5	4.1 ± 0.9	5.6 ± 1.0	4.0 ± 1.1	3.9 ± 0.5	3.8 ± 0.8	2.8 ± 0.9	4.2 ± 0.8	3.4 ± 1.4	3.1 ± 0.8	3.9 ± 0.7
Quality of place for children to grow up	2.8 ± 0.4	2.6 ± 0.5	3.2 ± 0.8	2.3 ± 0.8	2.6 ± 1.0	2.4 ± 0.5	3.6 ± 0.7	2.5 ± 0.9	2.5 ± 0.5	3.2 ± 0.9	3.5 ± 0.8	2.4 ± 0.6
Quality of schools for children	0.9 ± 0.2	0.7 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.5	0.5 ± 0.5	1.2 ± 0.5	0.7 ± 0.3	1.1 ± 0.5	2.0 ± 0.9	1.1 ± 0.3	0.9 ± 0.6	0.6 ± 0.4	0.9 ± 0.5
Quality of family medical care	2.5 ± 0.4	2.2 ± 0.4	3.0 ± 0.6	1.2 ± 0.5	2.2 ± 0.6	2.4 ± 0.6	2.5 ± 0.4	2.5 ± 0.5	2.5 ± 0.6	1.9 ± 0.9	2.9 ± 1.0	2.4 ± 0.7
Programs and services for families	0.6 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.2	0.7 ± 0.4	0.2 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.3	0.5 ± 0.3	0.1 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.4	0.9 ± 0.6	0.3 ± 0.3
Quality of community	0.6 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.3	0.6 ± 0.3	0.7 ± 0.5	0.8 ± 0.5	0.7 ± 0.3	0.6 ± 0.3	0.3 ± 0.3	0.6 ± 0.3	1.1 ± 0.7	0.5 ± 0.3	0.5 ± 0.3
Opportunity to make good friends	0.3 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.3	0.1 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.3	0.2 ± 0.1	0.6 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.5	0.3 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.3	0.3 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.2
Sample size	10,318	4,369	2,636	1,688	1,424	6,130	3,173	1,015	3,849	1,458	1,964	3,047
Weighted total	435,285	211,126	165,659	29,745	19,454	279,422	129,710	26,153	178,649	75,664	81,209	99,764

Table S 70B (cont.)

Second Most Critical Factor Impacting Decision to Stay in or Leave the Army
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Opportunities for advancement	11.0% ± 1.4	12.3% ± 4.5	8.1% ± 3.5	10.6% ± 3.7	9.1% ± 1.9	7.6% ± 1.6	7.4% ± 2.1	10.8% ± 3.3	6.4% ± 1.6	9.2% ± 1.9	8.5% ± 1.4	7.6% ± 1.0		
Pay	20.4 ± 1.4	15.2 ± 5.4	20.8 ± 3.9	18.6 ± 4.7	16.7 ± 2.0	15.8 ± 2.5	17.6 ± 4.2	15.4 ± 3.5	14.3 ± 2.7	17.3 ± 3.7	17.0 ± 2.0	15.5 ± 1.7		
Retirement benefits	5.8 ± 1.1	6.1 ± 4.1	6.4 ± 2.8	8.6 ± 3.9	9.3 ± 1.8	9.4 ± 1.8	13.0 ± 3.2	10.1 ± 2.8	7.5 ± 1.8	10.0 ± 2.2	9.8 ± 1.6	10.5 ± 1.2		
Type of work	8.4 ± 1.2	6.8 ± 3.3	7.4 ± 3.3	4.7 ± 1.9	6.2 ± 1.4	5.0 ± 1.0	3.2 ± 1.2	8.0 ± 2.2	8.7 ± 1.7	8.6 ± 2.5	5.6 ± 0.7	5.5 ± 1.1		
Treatment by supervisors	6.1 ± 1.1	4.4 ± 3.0	3.7 ± 2.4	1.9 ± 1.3	3.8 ± 1.4	2.8 ± 0.9	1.9 ± 1.1	1.8 ± 1.3	1.4 ± 0.7	2.1 ± 0.9	2.6 ± 0.6	2.7 ± 0.8		
Opportunities to use abilities	6.4 ± 1.0	3.5 ± 2.5	5.3 ± 2.2	4.1 ± 2.0	4.5 ± 1.2	3.7 ± 1.0	3.2 ± 1.4	6.5 ± 2.4	6.9 ± 1.6	7.1 ± 1.8	4.7 ± 1.1	4.2 ± 0.9		
Job security	5.7 ± 0.9	8.4 ± 4.1	9.7 ± 3.3	7.6 ± 2.5	9.7 ± 2.7	9.2 ± 1.8	14.2 ± 3.0	8.2 ± 2.4	11.9 ± 2.7	8.2 ± 1.9	9.9 ± 1.2	10.6 ± 1.8		
Work rules and regulations	2.2 ± 0.6	0.9 ± 1.7	2.2 ± 1.5	2.9 ± 2.1	1.2 ± 0.8	1.0 ± 0.5	0.9 ± 1.2	1.2 ± 0.9	1.2 ± 1.1	0.3 ± 0.3	1.0 ± 0.4	1.0 ± 0.4		
Working hours and schedule	5.1 ± 1.2	3.6 ± 2.7	3.8 ± 2.4	3.2 ± 1.6	3.7 ± 1.1	3.7 ± 0.9	1.9 ± 1.2	2.4 ± 1.1	2.5 ± 1.0	3.3 ± 1.8	3.5 ± 0.7	2.8 ± 0.8		
Personal freedom	12.2 ± 1.6	2.6 ± 2.3	4.0 ± 2.1	2.9 ± 2.5	6.4 ± 1.6	5.1 ± 1.1	5.6 ± 2.2	4.6 ± 2.0	3.3 ± 1.3	2.8 ± 1.1	5.5 ± 1.1	5.0 ± 1.1		
Opportunities for excitement/adventure	3.5 ± 0.8	3.3 ± 2.6	3.5 ± 1.9	2.0 ± 1.6	2.3 ± 1.0	2.2 ± 0.9	1.7 ± 1.0	4.1 ± 1.8	2.6 ± 1.0	2.5 ± 1.1	2.5 ± 0.7	2.0 ± 0.7		
Opportunity to serve country	2.2 ± 0.5	3.1 ± 2.3	2.2 ± 1.7	3.1 ± 2.1	2.3 ± 0.9	3.8 ± 0.9	3.5 ± 1.6	4.3 ± 1.7	4.3 ± 1.6	3.4 ± 1.1	2.7 ± 0.8	4.2 ± 0.9		
Time for personal/family life	4.9 ± 1.1	8.9 ± 3.9	7.5 ± 2.6	9.0 ± 3.4	9.1 ± 2.1	8.2 ± 1.7	5.5 ± 2.3	6.2 ± 2.2	7.8 ± 2.4	6.4 ± 1.7	6.8 ± 1.3	8.4 ± 1.6		

Table S_708 (cont.)

Second Most Critical Factor Impacting Decision to Stay in or Leave the Army
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+			
Spouse's job/career opportunities	0.5 ± 0.3	1.7 ± 1.8	2.8 ± 1.6	3.0 ± 2.4	2.7 ± 0.9	3.5 ± 1.1	4.8 ± 2.0	4.1 ± 2.1	2.2 ± 0.9	2.8 ± 1.2	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Spouse's job satisfaction	0.4 ± 0.3	0.9 ± 1.7	1.5 ± 1.2	1.6 ± 1.2	1.1 ± 0.6	1.2 ± 0.5	1.1 ± 0.8	1.6 ± 1.3	0.7 ± 0.6	0.7 ± 0.6	1.6 ± 0.7	0.9 ± 0.5
Spouse's overall satisfaction	1.2 ± 0.6	1.2 ± 1.5	4.3 ± 2.9	3.0 ± 2.3	6.5 ± 1.4	5.5 ± 1.3	4.6 ± 1.8	7.4 ± 3.0	7.3 ± 1.9	6.7 ± 2.1	6.1 ± 1.3	5.6 ± 0.8
Quality of place for children to grow up	1.1 ± 0.4	11.8 ± 4.8	2.3 ± 1.5	8.9 ± 3.8	1.2 ± 0.6	4.7 ± 1.0	3.1 ± 1.4	0.7 ± 0.9	5.3 ± 2.0	2.1 ± 1.4	3.1 ± 0.6	3.3 ± 0.8
Quality of schools for children	0.5 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0	1.0 ± 1.1	1.1 ± 0.9	0.7 ± 0.5	1.3 ± 0.8	1.9 ± 1.2	0.2 ± 0.3	2.1 ± 1.7	1.0 ± 0.8	0.8 ± 0.4	1.7 ± 0.6
Quality of family medical care	0.9 ± 0.4	2.7 ± 2.4	1.6 ± 1.4	1.1 ± 1.1	2.4 ± 1.0	4.9 ± 1.1	3.6 ± 1.5	0.7 ± 0.6	1.9 ± 0.9	3.6 ± 1.8	3.0 ± 0.7	4.5 ± 1.1
Programs and services for families	0.5 ± 0.4	0.6 ± 0.8	1.0 ± 1.4	0.9 ± 1.4	0.2 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.7	0.6 ± 0.7	0.0 ± 0.0	0.3 ± 0.3	0.2 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.3	0.7 ± 0.5
Quality of community	0.5 ± 0.3	1.4 ± 1.6	0.6 ± 0.6	1.0 ± 1.4	0.5 ± 0.4	0.6 ± 0.4	0.7 ± 0.8	0.7 ± 0.7	1.3 ± 1.3	1.5 ± 0.9	0.7 ± 0.4	0.9 ± 0.4
Opportunity to make good friends	0.5 ± 0.3	0.7 ± 0.9	0.4 ± 0.7	0.0 ± 0.0	0.2 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.1	0.0 ± 0.0	0.8 ± 1.0	0.2 ± 0.3	0.2 ± 0.3	0.1 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.2
Sample size	2,703	226	439	391	1,207	1,801	591	622	878	877	3,111	2,992
Weighted total	164,161	9,908	13,720	12,955	51,109	81,068	38,037	10,006	13,743	14,948	113,630	100,800

Table S 70C

Third Most Critical Factor Impacting Decision to Stay In or Leave the Army
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank					Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Opportunities for advancement	6.1% ± 0.5	5.9% ± 0.9	6.2% ± 1.0	6.8% ± 1.5	7.5% ± 1.4	5.9% ± 0.6	6.5% ± 1.2	6.6% ± 0.9	5.5% ± 1.0	6.0% ± 1.4	6.8% ± 1.1	6.7% ± 1.3
Pay	9.1 ± 0.5	9.4 ± 0.9	7.9 ± 1.1	11.7 ± 1.7	10.3 ± 1.7	8.9 ± 0.7	9.4 ± 0.8	9.7 ± 2.9	8.7 ± 0.9	9.2 ± 1.7	9.8 ± 1.6	9.0 ± 1.1
Retirement benefits	7.7 ± 0.6	5.8 ± 0.8	9.9 ± 1.2	6.6 ± 1.3	9.6 ± 2.0	8.2 ± 0.8	6.4 ± 1.1	8.1 ± 1.8	6.9 ± 1.0	6.5 ± 1.5	8.0 ± 1.3	9.6 ± 1.5
Type of work	6.2 ± 0.6	7.0 ± 0.8	5.1 ± 0.8	6.0 ± 1.3	5.5 ± 1.3	6.6 ± 0.8	5.2 ± 0.5	6.8 ± 1.5	6.2 ± 0.9	6.8 ± 1.2	5.9 ± 1.4	5.8 ± 1.1
Treatment by supervisors	3.9 ± 0.4	5.4 ± 0.8	2.2 ± 0.5	3.3 ± 0.9	2.5 ± 1.1	3.8 ± 0.5	4.0 ± 0.9	4.5 ± 1.2	4.0 ± 0.7	4.6 ± 1.1	3.3 ± 1.0	3.6 ± 0.9
Opportunities to use abilities	5.5 ± 0.6	5.3 ± 0.8	4.9 ± 0.9	6.8 ± 1.5	9.2 ± 1.9	5.9 ± 0.8	4.8 ± 0.9	5.5 ± 1.1	5.8 ± 1.1	5.3 ± 1.0	5.5 ± 1.1	5.5 ± 1.1
Job security	6.8 ± 0.7	5.5 ± 0.8	8.1 ± 1.1	6.7 ± 1.6	9.1 ± 1.6	6.5 ± 0.9	7.2 ± 1.1	7.8 ± 2.7	6.7 ± 1.2	5.7 ± 1.1	6.8 ± 1.3	7.8 ± 1.3
Work rules and regulations	2.6 ± 0.4	3.6 ± 0.7	1.8 ± 0.6	1.0 ± 0.5	1.5 ± 0.6	2.5 ± 0.5	2.7 ± 0.8	2.9 ± 1.5	2.8 ± 0.6	3.1 ± 1.1	3.1 ± 1.0	1.4 ± 0.5
Working hours and schedule	5.7 ± 0.5	6.8 ± 0.8	4.6 ± 0.8	4.9 ± 1.7	4.2 ± 1.5	5.9 ± 0.6	5.6 ± 1.0	3.6 ± 0.8	6.3 ± 0.9	6.3 ± 1.6	4.6 ± 1.1	5.1 ± 0.8
Personal freedom	6.7 ± 0.7	9.6 ± 0.9	3.9 ± 0.9	5.4 ± 1.8	4.0 ± 1.2	6.5 ± 0.9	7.1 ± 1.4	7.1 ± 1.6	7.6 ± 1.0	6.3 ± 1.4	6.6 ± 1.4	5.4 ± 1.2
Opportunities for excitement/adventure	3.8 ± 0.5	4.0 ± 0.7	2.8 ± 0.6	6.7 ± 1.9	4.7 ± 1.3	3.5 ± 0.5	4.2 ± 1.1	4.8 ± 1.1	4.3 ± 0.7	3.7 ± 1.2	4.2 ± 1.2	2.5 ± 0.6
Opportunity to serve country	2.6 ± 0.4	1.9 ± 0.4	2.7 ± 0.6	5.0 ± 1.4	4.3 ± 1.3	2.7 ± 0.5	2.5 ± 0.7	2.0 ± 0.6	2.5 ± 0.7	2.6 ± 1.0	2.1 ± 0.9	3.1 ± 0.9
Time for personal/family life	6.8 ± 0.7	7.3 ± 1.1	6.2 ± 1.2	7.4 ± 1.8	5.9 ± 1.4	6.6 ± 0.8	7.1 ± 1.4	7.3 ± 2.4	6.9 ± 0.8	7.6 ± 1.7	7.1 ± 1.6	5.7 ± 0.9

Table S 70C

Third Most Critical Factor Impacting Decision to Stay In or Leave the Army
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	WCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Spouse's job/career opportunities	2.1% ± 0.4	1.2% ± 0.3	3.1% ± 0.7	2.5% ± 0.6	1.8% ± 0.6	2.1% ± 0.5	1.9% ± 0.6	2.3% ± 0.8	1.9% ± 0.6	2.0% ± 1.0	1.7% ± 0.5	2.7% ± 0.8
Spouse's job satisfaction	0.9 ± 0.3	0.8 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.5	1.5 ± 0.5	0.6 ± 0.4	0.9 ± 0.3	0.9 ± 0.5	0.2 ± 0.3	0.9 ± 0.4	1.1 ± 0.6	0.7 ± 0.4	0.8 ± 0.4
Spouse's overall satisfaction	4.0 ± 0.3	3.5 ± 0.6	4.1 ± 0.7	4.4 ± 1.1	5.9 ± 1.3	4.0 ± 0.4	3.9 ± 0.6	3.9 ± 1.5	4.1 ± 0.5	4.3 ± 1.0	3.7 ± 0.8	3.8 ± 0.8
Quality of place for children to grow up	3.7 ± 0.5	2.6 ± 0.5	5.2 ± 0.9	3.4 ± 1.2	3.2 ± 0.9	3.4 ± 0.6	4.2 ± 1.0	4.1 ± 1.9	3.3 ± 0.6	3.9 ± 1.5	3.2 ± 0.8	4.7 ± 0.9
Quality of schools for children	2.5 ± 0.4	1.6 ± 0.4	3.6 ± 0.7	2.0 ± 0.7	1.9 ± 0.7	2.4 ± 0.5	2.7 ± 0.7	2.0 ± 0.9	2.4 ± 0.5	1.8 ± 0.7	3.0 ± 0.9	2.7 ± 0.8
Quality of family medical care	6.7 ± 0.7	5.1 ± 0.8	10.0 ± 1.3	3.1 ± 1.1	2.1 ± 0.7	7.2 ± 0.9	6.1 ± 1.0	4.1 ± 0.8	6.4 ± 1.2	6.3 ± 1.4	6.3 ± 1.3	7.9 ± 1.9
Programs and services for families	1.9 ± 0.3	1.5 ± 0.4	2.6 ± 0.6	0.9 ± 0.4	1.1 ± 0.6	1.7 ± 0.4	2.1 ± 0.6	2.1 ± 0.7	1.8 ± 0.4	2.0 ± 0.7	1.9 ± 0.8	1.9 ± 0.7
Quality of community	3.1 ± 0.4	3.6 ± 0.5	2.5 ± 0.7	3.2 ± 1.1	4.5 ± 1.2	2.8 ± 0.5	4.0 ± 0.6	3.4 ± 1.1	3.1 ± 0.8	3.3 ± 0.8	3.5 ± 0.8	2.8 ± 0.6
Opportunity to make good friends	1.9 ± 0.4	2.5 ± 0.6	1.5 ± 0.6	1.0 ± 0.5	0.6 ± 0.4	2.0 ± 0.5	1.7 ± 0.8	1.3 ± 0.8	2.1 ± 0.7	1.7 ± 0.8	2.3 ± 0.9	1.4 ± 0.6
Sample size	10,271	4,355	2,619	1,685	1,414	6,112	3,154	1,005	3,839	1,449	1,957	3,026
Weighted total	433,126	210,278	164,631	29,715	19,304	278,450	128,794	25,882	178,223	75,169	80,817	98,887

Table S_70C (cont.)

Third Most Critical Factor Impacting Decision to Stay In or Leave the Army
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
	5.9%	6.8%	7.0%	6.0%	8.2%	5.5%	5.9%	4.7%	5.8%	6.4%	6.4%	Spouse Employed
	± 1.0	± 3.4	± 2.3	± 2.4	± 1.6	± 1.2	± 2.0	± 1.8	± 1.7	± 2.1	± 1.2	± 1.1
Opportunities for advancement	10.1	5.6	7.6	9.7	9.8	8.4	7.5	12.7	10.9	9.3	8.1	10.0
Pay	± 1.2	± 3.4	± 3.3	± 2.8	± 1.8	± 1.5	± 2.4	± 3.3	± 2.6	± 2.3	± 1.2	± 1.4
Retirement benefits	6.0	12.9	6.8	10.3	7.8	8.6	9.4	11.1	8.1	8.7	8.4	8.7
	± 1.0	± 4.8	± 2.9	± 5.6	± 1.8	± 1.3	± 2.6	± 3.2	± 2.2	± 2.1	± 1.2	± 1.3
Type of work	8.3	4.3	7.1	4.1	6.1	3.8	3.9	5.7	5.4	4.1	4.2	5.1
	± 1.1	± 3.6	± 3.4	± 2.6	± 1.7	± 1.0	± 2.3	± 2.6	± 1.7	± 1.2	± 0.9	± 1.0
Treatment by supervisors	5.8	1.5	5.1	4.2	3.5	2.3	1.8	3.3	2.7	2.5	2.9	2.2
	± 0.9	± 1.6	± 2.5	± 2.3	± 0.9	± 0.7	± 1.2	± 1.3	± 1.9	± 1.3	± 0.7	± 0.6
Opportunities to use abilities	6.4	6.1	7.4	3.8	4.6	3.9	3.9	6.1	6.2	7.5	4.8	4.4
	± 1.1	± 3.5	± 3.2	± 1.8	± 1.5	± 1.1	± 1.8	± 2.0	± 1.5	± 2.4	± 0.8	± 1.0
Job security	6.6	6.2	7.5	7.3	5.9	6.2	7.8	6.9	7.5	8.6	7.2	6.2
	± 1.2	± 4.1	± 2.3	± 2.9	± 1.6	± 1.4	± 1.9	± 2.2	± 2.2	± 2.7	± 1.0	± 1.0
Work rules and regulations	3.8	2.4	2.7	0.6	1.8	2.1	2.5	1.9	1.1	0.8	2.2	1.5
	± 0.9	± 2.1	± 1.5	± 0.8	± 0.8	± 0.9	± 1.3	± 1.5	± 1.1	± 0.5	± 0.7	± 0.6
Working hours and schedule	7.3	5.4	5.7	4.8	4.6	4.0	5.7	6.2	3.9	3.9	5.0	4.1
	± 1.0	± 3.4	± 3.0	± 2.2	± 1.0	± 0.9	± 2.5	± 3.4	± 2.3	± 1.4	± 0.8	± 0.8
Personal freedom	11.0	6.7	5.5	6.0	5.3	3.6	2.9	3.3	2.8	3.6	3.6	4.2
	± 1.2	± 3.8	± 2.1	± 3.2	± 1.5	± 0.9	± 1.4	± 1.4	± 1.2	± 1.5	± 0.7	± 0.9
Opportunities for excitement/adventure	5.2	2.2	2.5	1.4	2.0	2.6	2.8	6.5	4.4	4.3	3.3	2.8
	± 0.9	± 1.8	± 1.4	± 1.3	± 0.8	± 0.8	± 1.4	± 1.8	± 1.5	± 1.8	± 0.7	± 0.6
Opportunity to serve country	2.7	1.8	2.1	1.0	1.3	3.1	3.1	4.2	5.2	2.8	2.8	2.7
	± 0.6	± 1.4	± 1.4	± 0.7	± 0.6	± 0.9	± 1.5	± 1.7	± 1.9	± 1.4	± 0.8	± 0.6
Time for personal/family life	6.5	6.0	6.6	9.4	7.7	7.3	6.7	6.6	6.5	5.7	6.9	7.2
	± 1.4	± 3.8	± 2.4	± 3.6	± 2.8	± 1.4	± 1.9	± 2.0	± 1.5	± 2.5	± 1.2	± 1.3

Table S 70C (cont.)

Third Most Critical Factor Impacting Decision to Stay In or Leave the Army
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted		Officer		Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children				Child 0-5
Spouse's job/career opportunities	0.5 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0	2.8 ± 2.2	2.1 ± 1.3	2.5 ± 1.1	3.1 ± 1.0	4.7 ± 1.8	3.6 ± 1.5	2.2 ± 1.2	3.0 ± 1.6	4.0 ± 0.9	2.3 ± 0.7
Spouse's job satisfaction	0.3 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.5	2.6 ± 2.0	1.2 ± 0.8	1.8 ± 0.7	1.1 ± 0.6	0.6 ± 0.5	2.0 ± 1.1	1.3 ± 0.8	0.5 ± 0.5	1.2 ± 0.5	1.3 ± 0.5
Spouse's overall satisfaction	0.9 ± 0.3	1.2 ± 1.8	8.1 ± 3.4	3.4 ± 2.6	9.1 ± 2.0	5.6 ± 1.2	3.3 ± 1.2	7.5 ± 2.2	6.5 ± 2.1	7.6 ± 2.0	6.3 ± 0.9	6.1 ± 1.1
Quality of place for children to grow up	1.2 ± 0.4	7.5 ± 4.9	1.8 ± 1.4	4.9 ± 2.5	3.3 ± 1.0	7.3 ± 1.5	5.5 ± 2.0	1.4 ± 1.2	6.1 ± 2.1	4.2 ± 1.5	4.9 ± 1.0	5.7 ± 1.1
Quality of schools for children	0.8 ± 0.4	4.4 ± 2.7	1.1 ± 1.1	3.1 ± 2.0	1.9 ± 0.9	3.4 ± 1.0	6.3 ± 2.3	0.6 ± 0.6	3.3 ± 1.5	4.6 ± 1.9	4.0 ± 0.8	2.8 ± 1.0
Quality of family medical care	3.2 ± 0.8	9.7 ± 3.7	4.5 ± 2.4	10.2 ± 3.2	6.9 ± 1.6	11.9 ± 1.9	8.6 ± 2.5	1.7 ± 1.0	5.1 ± 1.7	4.0 ± 1.7	7.4 ± 1.2	10.1 ± 1.4
Programs and services for families	1.1 ± 0.5	2.3 ± 1.8	1.0 ± 1.0	2.2 ± 1.9	2.2 ± 0.8	2.9 ± 1.0	2.1 ± 1.2	0.6 ± 0.5	1.1 ± 0.7	2.3 ± 1.3	2.3 ± 0.7	2.5 ± 0.7
Quality of community	3.5 ± 0.5	4.0 ± 3.0	2.2 ± 1.7	2.8 ± 1.9	2.3 ± 1.1	3.0 ± 1.0	3.2 ± 1.6	3.3 ± 1.5	3.3 ± 1.3	4.3 ± 1.6	2.6 ± 0.6	3.2 ± 0.8
Opportunity to make good friends	2.8 ± 0.8	2.6 ± 2.7	2.2 ± 2.2	1.4 ± 1.3	1.5 ± 0.9	0.7 ± 0.5	1.9 ± 1.1	0.3 ± 0.3	0.6 ± 0.6	1.3 ± 0.8	1.3 ± 0.5	0.9 ± 0.5
Sample size	2,687	227	438	391	1,199	1,794	586	621	872	876	3,091	2,981
Weighted total	163,446	9,992	13,710	12,957	50,673	80,710	37,638	9,973	13,661	14,895	113,162	100,006

Table JOBMOST

Job Security Most Important Factor In Retention Decision
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Is most important reason	8.5% ± 0.6	8.9% ± 0.8	11.2% ± 1.4	7.1% ± 1.2	5.4% ± 0.9	8.1% ± 0.8	9.3% ± 1.2	8.6% ± 0.7	8.1% ± 1.1	8.5% ± 1.4	8.1% ± 1.5	9.4% ± 1.4
Is not most important reason	91.5% ± 0.6	93.1% ± 0.8	88.8% ± 1.4	92.9% ± 1.2	94.6% ± 0.9	91.9% ± 0.8	90.7% ± 1.2	91.4% ± 0.7	91.9% ± 1.1	91.5% ± 1.4	91.9% ± 1.5	90.6% ± 1.4
Sample size	11,035	4,709	2,903	1,742	1,470	6,574	3,379	1,082	4,147	1,544	2,124	3,220
Weighted total	471,497	228,255	182,636	30,753	20,060	303,589	139,946	27,962	195,090	80,818	88,495	107,094

Table JOBMOST (cont.)

Job Security Most Important Factor in Retention Decision
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
Is most important reason	6.3% + 0.9	13.5% + 4.3	6.8% + 1.9	8.4% + 2.9	7.5% + 1.6	13.0% + 1.8	9.5% + 2.5	8.4% + 1.6	7.1% + 1.9	9.7% + 1.4
Is not most important reason	93.7 + 0.9	86.5 + 4.3	93.2 + 1.9	91.6 + 2.9	92.5 + 1.6	87.0 + 1.8	90.5 + 2.5	91.6 + 1.6	92.9 + 1.9	90.3 + 1.4
Sample size	2,899	245	483	426	1,301	1,923	639	898	907	3,283
Weighted total	177,385	11,007	15,274	14,170	55,050	86,998	41,253	14,055	15,528	121,887
										107,906

Table JOBIMP

Job Security 1st or 2nd Most Important Factor In Retention Decision
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type		
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
Is most or 2nd most important reason	16.1% ± 0.9	12.2% ± 1.2	21.5% ± 1.5	14.6% ± 2.2	13.2% ± 1.9	15.4% ± 1.2	17.6% ± 1.6	16.2% ± 1.2	14.3% ± 1.3	15.9% ± 1.8
Is not most or 2nd most important reason	83.9 ± 0.9	87.8 ± 1.2	78.5 ± 1.5	85.4 ± 2.2	86.8 ± 1.9	84.6 ± 1.2	82.4 ± 1.6	83.8 ± 1.2	85.7 ± 1.3	84.1 ± 1.8
Sample size	11,035	4,709	2,903	1,742	1,470	6,574	3,379	1,082	4,147	2,124
Weighted total	471,497	228,255	182,636	30,753	20,060	303,589	139,946	27,962	80,818	88,495
										107,094

Table J0811P (cont.)

Job Security 1st or 2nd Most Important Factor In Retention Decision
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse									
		Single				Dual Military				Enlisted	
		No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+
										Officer	
		No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+
Is most or 2nd most important reason		11.5% ± 1.2	20.6% ± 5.4	15.5% ± 3.2	15.3% ± 3.8	16.5% ± 3.2	22.6% ± 3.0	13.1% ± 2.5	20.0% ± 3.3	15.0% ± 2.6	18.8% ± 1.9
Is not most or 2nd most important reason		88.5% ± 1.2	79.2% ± 5.4	84.5% ± 3.2	84.7% ± 3.8	83.5% ± 3.2	77.4% ± 3.0	86.9% ± 2.5	80.0% ± 3.3	85.0% ± 2.6	81.2% ± 1.9
Sample size		2,899	245	483	426	1,301	1,923	639	898	907	3,162
Weighted total		177,385	11,007	15,274	14,170	55,050	86,998	41,253	14,055	15,528	121,887
											107,906

Table PAYMOSI

Pay/Retirement Benefits Most Important Factor in Retention Decision
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Is most important reason	27.4%	24.9%	31.1%	20.4%	29.9%	29.2%	24.1%	25.5%	25.0%	27.3%	32.1%
	+ 1.6	+ 1.7	+ 2.3	+ 3.1	+ 2.1	+ 2.3	+ 2.0	+ 3.9	+ 2.1	+ 2.2	+ 2.6
Is not most important reason	72.6	75.1	68.9	79.6	70.1	70.8	75.9	74.5	75.0	72.7	67.9
	+ 1.6	+ 1.7	+ 2.3	+ 3.1	+ 2.1	+ 2.3	+ 2.0	+ 3.9	+ 2.1	+ 2.2	+ 2.6
Sample size	11,035	4,709	2,903	1,742	1,470	6,574	3,379	1,082	4,147	1,544	3,220
Weighted total	471,497	228,255	182,636	30,753	20,060	303,589	139,946	27,962	195,090	80,818	88,495
											107,094

Pay/Retirement Benefits Most Important Factor In Retirement Decision by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

391

Table PAYIMP

Pay/Retirement Benefits 1st or 2nd Most-Important Factor in Retention Decision
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
Is most or 2nd most important reason	47.5% + 1.8	45.1% + 1.8	51.4% + 2.5	39.3% + 3.5	49.3% + 3.0	49.7% + 2.7	43.1% + 1.6	46.3% + 5.4	45.1% + 2.0	46.6% + 2.8	46.3% + 2.9	53.5% + 3.5
Is not most or 2nd most important reason	52.5% + 1.8	54.9% + 1.8	48.6% + 2.5	60.7% + 3.5	50.7% + 3.0	50.3% + 2.7	56.9% + 1.6	53.7% + 5.4	54.9% + 2.0	53.4% + 2.8	53.7% + 2.9	46.5% + 3.5
Sample size	11,035	4,709	2,903	1,742	1,470	6,574	3,379	1,082	4,147	1,544	2,124	3,220
Weighted total	471,497	228,255	182,636	30,753	20,060	303,589	139,946	27,962	195,090	80,818	88,495	107,094

Pay/Retirement Benefits 1st or 2nd Most Important Factor In Retention Decision by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

393

Table WORKMOST

Work Rewards Most Important Factor in Retention Decision
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Is most important reason	33.3% + 1.2	33.3% + 1.6	30.8% + 1.9	44.6% + 2.5	40.8% + 3.2	32.4% + 1.6	34.6% + 1.6	37.1% + 3.9	32.7% + 1.5	33.0% + 2.5	32.8% + 2.8	35.2% + 2.7
Is not most important reason	66.7 + 1.2	66.7 + 1.6	69.2 + 1.9	55.4 + 2.5	59.2 + 3.2	67.6 + 1.6	65.4 + 1.6	62.9 + 3.9	67.3 + 1.5	67.0 + 2.5	67.2 + 2.8	64.8 + 2.7
Sample size	11,035	4,709	2,903	1,742	1,470	6,574	3,379	1,082	4,147	1,544	2,124	3,220
Weighted total	471,497	228,255	182,636	30,753	20,060	303,589	139,946	27,962	195,090	80,818	88,495	107,094

Table WORKMOST (cont.)

Work Rewards Most Important Factor in Retention Decision
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
Is most important reason	36.5% + 2.2	29.1% + 7.7	36.6% + 5.5	32.0% + 4.8	32.8% + 2.9	30.2% + 2.5	27.3% + 3.9	35.2% + 3.4	38.8% + 4.2	33.0% + 2.3
Is not most important reason	63.5% + 2.2	70.9% + 7.7	63.4% + 5.5	68.0% + 4.8	67.2% + 2.9	69.8% + 2.5	72.7% + 3.9	64.8% + 3.4	61.2% + 4.2	67.0% + 2.3
Sample size	2,899	245	483	426	1,301	1,923	639	898	907	3,283
Weighted total	177,385	11,007	15,274	14,170	55,050	86,998	41,253	14,055	15,528	121,887
										107,906

Table WORKIMP

Work Rewards 1st or 2nd Most Important Factor In Retention Decision
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type		
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
Is most or 2nd most important reason	47.5% + 1.6	49.0% + 1.8	42.8% + 2.4	60.0% + 2.5	55.3% + 3.6	47.3% + 2.1	47.0% + 2.1	52.5% + 5.7	46.5% + 1.6	46.9% + 2.8
Is not most or 2nd most important reason	52.5% + 1.6	51.0% + 1.8	57.2% + 2.4	40.0% + 2.5	44.7% + 3.6	52.7% + 2.1	53.0% + 2.1	47.5% + 5.7	53.5% + 1.6	53.1% + 2.8
Sample size	11,035	4,709	2,903	1,742	1,470	6,574	3,379	1,082	4,147	2,124
Weighted total	471,497	228,255	182,636	30,753	20,060	303,589	139,946	27,962	195,090	88,495
									80,818	107,084

Table WORKIMP (cont.)

Work Rewards 1st or 2nd Most Important Factor In Retention Decision
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
Is most or 2nd most important reason	53.3% + 2.5	44.7% + 7.8	51.2% + 6.1	45.0% + 4.7	46.7% + 3.2	41.5% + 2.2	37.0% + 4.6	50.7% + 3.5	54.5% + 5.5	45.7% + 2.4
Is not most or 2nd most important reason	46.7% + 2.5	55.3% + 7.8	48.8% + 6.1	55.0% + 4.7	53.3% + 3.2	58.5% + 2.2	63.0% + 4.6	49.3% + 3.5	45.5% + 5.5	54.3% + 2.4
Sample size	2,899	245	483	426	1,301	1,923	639	898	907	3,283
Weighted total	177,385	11,007	15,274	14,170	55,050	86,998	41,253	14,055	15,528	121,887
										107,906

Table S 71A

Supportiveness of Army Families by High Position Officers
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very supportive	14.1% + 1.2 -	11.2% + 1.3 -	14.9% + 1.7 -	22.2% + 2.5 -	26.1% + 4.0 -	14.9% + 1.6 -	13.1% + 1.5 -	10.5% + 5.5 -	14.9% + 1.9 -	12.4% + 1.9 -	11.7% + 2.1 -	15.9% + 2.1 -
Supportive	34.9 + 1.0 -	30.0 + 1.4 -	38.0 + 1.7 -	44.1 + 3.9 -	43.8 + 3.7 -	35.3 + 1.1 -	34.8 + 2.0 -	31.3 + 3.6 -	36.0 + 1.7 -	33.3 + 2.8 -	32.6 + 2.6 -	36.1 + 2.5 -
Neutral	22.5 + 1.0 -	24.9 + 1.3 -	21.9 + 1.8 -	15.5 + 2.0 -	14.2 + 2.4 -	22.1 + 1.2 -	23.4 + 1.9 -	22.4 + 2.3 -	22.1 + 1.5 -	23.9 + 2.2 -	25.3 + 2.5 -	19.7 + 2.0 -
Unsupportive	4.9 + 0.5 -	5.5 + 0.6 -	4.5 + 0.9 -	3.2 + 1.1 -	4.5 + 1.0 -	4.3 + 0.7 -	5.8 + 1.0 -	6.7 + 1.7 -	5.1 + 0.8 -	4.8 + 1.1 -	5.8 + 1.5 -	3.9 + 0.9 -
Very unsupportive	2.6 + 0.4 -	2.4 + 0.4 -	3.1 + 0.7 -	1.8 + 0.6 -	1.8 + 0.7 -	2.4 + 0.4 -	2.6 + 0.7 -	4.2 + 1.7 -	2.6 + 0.6 -	2.9 + 1.3 -	2.6 + 0.8 -	2.3 + 0.6 -
Don't know	21.0 + 1.3 -	26.1 + 2.0 -	17.7 + 1.8 -	13.2 + 3.3 -	9.7 + 3.1 -	21.0 + 1.8 -	20.2 + 1.8 -	24.8 + 5.3 -	19.2 + 1.9 -	22.7 + 2.3 -	22.0 + 2.7 -	22.1 + 2.7 -
Sample size	10,885	4,629	2,854	1,733	1,459	6,490	3,331	1,064	4,092	1,522	2,094	3,177
Weighted total	463,639	223,851	179,548	30,598	19,909	298,621	137,551	27,466	192,004	79,530	86,911	105,193

Table S_71A (cont.)

Supportiveness of Army Families by High Position Officers
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Very supportive	13.5% ± 1.6	14.1% ± 5.8	11.9% ± 3.6	12.6% ± 3.7	11.7% ± 2.5	13.0% ± 2.1	17.4% ± 4.6	24.6% ± 4.0	20.5% ± 2.7	22.4% ± 4.3	14.8% ± 2.1	15.4% ± 2.0
Supportive	31.8 ± 1.4	28.3 ± 6.0	32.3 ± 4.4	32.9 ± 5.8	36.2 ± 2.7	35.6 ± 2.2	39.9 ± 4.4	48.9 ± 4.7	45.9 ± 3.5	44.7 ± 3.9	39.1 ± 2.2	37.8 ± 2.2
Neutral	21.7 ± 1.8	22.5 ± 6.3	22.6 ± 4.9	25.7 ± 4.7	21.5 ± 2.4	25.5 ± 2.5	21.5 ± 3.7	14.7 ± 3.1	19.4 ± 3.2	14.4 ± 3.7	22.3 ± 2.2	21.7 ± 2.1
Unsupportive	4.1 ± 0.7	8.6 ± 4.3	6.1 ± 2.1	6.3 ± 3.1	4.8 ± 1.4	4.8 ± 1.2	5.6 ± 2.0	2.0 ± 1.6	4.3 ± 2.1	5.9 ± 2.1	4.6 ± 1.1	5.5 ± 1.1
Very unsupportive	1.6 ± 0.5	2.4 ± 3.0	1.8 ± 1.4	3.7 ± 2.4	4.1 ± 1.4	3.0 ± 0.9	3.5 ± 1.4	2.5 ± 1.5	1.9 ± 0.9	1.9 ± 1.1	2.8 ± 0.7	3.5 ± 1.0
Don't know	27.3 ± 2.4	24.2 ± 5.5	25.3 ± 5.3	18.7 ± 4.8	21.7 ± 2.5	18.0 ± 2.0	12.0 ± 2.2	7.2 ± 2.7	8.1 ± 2.5	10.7 ± 2.6	16.3 ± 1.3	16.2 ± 1.8
Sample size	2,843	244	479	423	1,273	1,910	630	631	892	900	3,241	3,133
Weighted total	173,797	10,937	15,135	14,083	53,803	86,318	40,573	10,191	13,976	15,422	119,856	106,821

Table S_71B

Supportiveness of Army Families by Officers at Place of Duty
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very supportive	12.3% + 1.1	8.6% + 1.1	12.8% + 1.3	22.9% + 2.8	28.6% + 3.1	12.9% + 1.3	10.9% + 2.2	12.0% + 4.6	12.6% + 1.6	8.3% + 2.0	10.7% + 1.7	16.0% + 1.7
Supportive	39.4 + 1.0	34.3 + 1.8	41.5 + 1.9	54.3 + 3.1	48.6 + 3.0	40.0 + 1.3	38.7 + 2.2	36.1 + 2.0	38.8 + 1.8	40.9 + 3.6	35.9 + 2.6	42.1 + 2.4
Neutral	27.5 + 1.4	29.8 + 1.5	28.3 + 2.0	16.1 + 1.9	15.4 + 2.5	26.6 + 1.3	29.4 + 3.3	28.7 + 4.2	27.4 + 1.8	29.2 + 2.9	30.8 + 2.7	23.9 + 1.9
Unsupportive	7.5 + 0.8	8.8 + 0.9	7.2 + 1.0	3.0 + 0.9	3.7 + 0.8	7.2 + 1.0	8.1 + 1.2	7.2 + 0.8	8.1 + 1.2	8.4 + 1.8	8.7 + 1.6	4.7 + 1.1
Very unsupportive	3.5 + 0.6	4.4 + 0.7	3.3 + 0.8	0.7 + 0.3	0.9 + 0.5	3.5 + 0.7	3.8 + 1.0	2.2 + 1.3	4.0 + 0.8	3.8 + 1.6	3.9 + 1.3	2.0 + 0.6
Don't know	9.8 + 0.9	14.0 + 1.6	6.9 + 1.4	3.0 + 1.4	2.8 + 0.9	9.8 + 1.1	9.2 + 1.7	13.8 + 5.2	9.1 + 1.3	9.3 + 1.8	10.0 + 2.1	11.4 + 2.3
Sample size	10,872	4,624	2,847	1,731	1,460	6,488	3,324	1,060	4,090	1,519	2,094	3,169
Weighted total	462,578	223,403	178,953	30,576	19,913	298,167	137,034	27,376	191,768	79,221	86,894	104,695

Supportiveness of Army Families by Officers at Place of Duty by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

401

Supportiveness of Army Families by NCOs at Place of Duty by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

402

Supportiveness of Army Families by NCOs at Place of Duty by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

403

Table S 72A
I Feel No Commitment to the Army
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	6.3% ± 0.6	9.0% ± 0.8	4.1% ± 0.8	3.7% ± 0.8	2.5% ± 0.8	6.2% ± 0.7	6.6% ± 1.1	5.6% ± 1.8	6.5% ± 0.8	7.5% ± 1.5	6.6% ± 1.1	4.8% ± 0.9
Agree	11.4 ± 0.9	14.8 ± 1.1	9.0 ± 1.4	5.3 ± 1.5	4.2 ± 1.1	11.7 ± 1.2	11.0 ± 1.4	10.6 ± 1.5	13.1 ± 1.3	11.0 ± 1.6	12.0 ± 1.5	8.1 ± 1.1
Neither agree nor disagree	20.8 ± 1.1	27.3 ± 1.3	16.8 ± 1.4	9.3 ± 1.4	6.7 ± 1.9	20.4 ± 1.6	21.4 ± 1.7	21.4 ± 1.9	21.5 ± 1.2	25.3 ± 2.4	21.2 ± 1.9	15.7 ± 2.2
Disagree	38.3 ± 1.1	36.1 ± 1.5	40.2 ± 2.3	43.7 ± 3.3	33.5 ± 3.2	38.2 ± 1.6	38.5 ± 1.4	38.6 ± 3.1	38.2 ± 1.2	37.5 ± 2.7	38.1 ± 2.5	39.4 ± 3.0
Strongly disagree	23.2 ± 1.6	12.8 ± 1.1	29.9 ± 2.5	38.1 ± 3.6	53.0 ± 4.1	23.5 ± 2.2	22.5 ± 2.6	23.8 ± 3.8	20.7 ± 1.5	18.7 ± 2.4	22.1 ± 2.3	32.1 ± 3.7
Sample size	10,944	4,659	2,877	1,733	1,466	6,516	3,351	1,077	4,115	1,528	2,101	3,200
Weighted total	467,173	225,920	180,996	30,551	20,005	300,502	138,832	27,838	193,451	80,005	87,492	106,225

Table S 728

My Values and the Army's Values are Similar
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type					
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOJ	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	8.2% + 1.0	3.6% + 0.6	10.4% + 1.6	16.5% + 2.8	25.8% + 3.1	8.7% + 1.4	6.7% + 1.2	9.6% + 2.4	7.2% + 1.2	5.6% + 1.9	7.3% + 1.2	12.6% + 2.5
Agree	36.9 + 1.5	25.9 + 1.3	45.8 + 1.7	50.9 + 2.8	51.8 + 3.0	37.5 + 2.1	36.0 + 1.9	35.2 + 2.1	34.4 + 1.7	35.2 + 2.2	34.9 + 2.9	44.5 + 2.5
Neither agree nor disagree	26.3 + 0.9	29.9 + 1.5	24.8 + 1.5	19.1 + 2.6	11.4 + 1.6	25.3 + 1.2	28.3 + 1.6	26.4 + 1.6	26.8 + 1.4	27.3 + 2.3	27.2 + 2.6	23.8 + 2.3
Disagree	20.8 + 1.3	28.5 + 1.5	14.8 + 1.5	10.9 + 2.0	7.8 + 1.5	20.8 + 1.8	20.7 + 1.7	22.0 + 1.5	22.6 + 1.5	22.8 + 2.9	23.1 + 2.4	14.1 + 2.0
Strongly disagree	7.9 + 0.7	12.1 + 0.9	4.2 + 0.7	2.7 + 0.8	3.2 + 1.0	7.8 + 0.9	8.3 + 1.3	6.8 + 2.5	9.1 + 1.0	9.1 + 1.5	7.5 + 1.4	5.0 + 1.1
Sample size	10,948	4,652	2,884	1,736	1,468	6,519	3,352	1,077	4,116	1,528	2,102	3,202
Weighted total	467,454	225,642	181,424	30,641	20,014	300,768	138,809	27,878	193,661	80,047	87,446	106,301

Table S-72B (cont.)

by Values and the Army's Values are Similar
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+			
Strongly agree	4.8% ± 0.7	9.0% ± 4.4	6.8% ± 2.4	8.7% ± 3.4	6.8% ± 2.2	7.3% ± 1.4	11.3% ± 3.4	21.8% ± 5.2	19.5% ± 3.0	23.2% ± 2.9	10.7% ± 1.6	10.8% ± 2.0		
Agree	28.1 ± 1.9	42.6 ± 6.1	37.3 ± 5.2	44.5 ± 8.9	34.2 ± 3.2	39.9 ± 2.7	49.6 ± 3.5	48.9 ± 4.8	51.2 ± 4.4	53.5 ± 3.7	43.6 ± 2.1	40.7 ± 2.1		
Neither agree nor disagree	29.3 ± 2.1	26.2 ± 5.6	22.1 ± 3.9	24.7 ± 5.8	28.9 ± 2.5	28.3 ± 2.3	23.6 ± 3.8	18.1 ± 4.5	16.1 ± 2.5	11.9 ± 2.8	24.1 ± 1.8	24.9 ± 1.8		
Disagree	26.3 ± 2.0	17.1 ± 4.6	25.8 ± 4.6	17.6 ± 4.9	22.5 ± 2.6	18.8 ± 2.4	12.4 ± 2.7	9.4 ± 2.7	9.4 ± 2.7	8.5 ± 2.5	16.2 ± 1.8	17.6 ± 1.9		
Strongly disagree	11.6 ± 1.2	5.1 ± 3.3	7.9 ± 2.7	4.5 ± 2.7	9.6 ± 1.7	5.6 ± 1.0	3.2 ± 1.6	1.8 ± 1.0	3.8 ± 1.2	3.0 ± 1.6	5.3 ± 0.9	6.1 ± 1.3		
Sample size	2,873	244	479	421	1,286	1,910	637	632	894	905	3,261	3,145		
Weighted total	175,785	10,937	15,127	14,019	54,431	86,453	41,088	10,210	13,998	15,502	120,925	107,360		

Table S 72C

There's Not Much to be Gained by Staying in the Army Until Retirement
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	12.2% ± 1.2	18.5% ± 2.0	6.6% ± 1.1	8.0% ± 1.7	3.5% ± 1.4	12.3% ± 1.4	12.7% ± 2.5	9.7% ± 1.3	14.2% ± 1.7	13.4% ± 2.4	12.3% ± 1.5	7.7% ± 1.4
Agree	17.7 ± 1.1	23.5 ± 1.7	13.0 ± 1.5	13.7 ± 2.8	5.6 ± 1.3	17.9 ± 1.6	17.3 ± 1.4	18.3 ± 4.1	19.4 ± 1.3	21.1 ± 2.3	18.0 ± 2.1	11.9 ± 1.7
Neither agree nor disagree	21.5 ± 1.0	24.9 ± 1.5	19.8 ± 1.6	18.3 ± 1.6	6.8 ± 2.0	21.7 ± 1.3	21.3 ± 1.9	20.4 ± 1.8	23.6 ± 1.3	21.2 ± 2.6	20.6 ± 1.8	18.5 ± 2.9
Disagree	33.2 ± 1.6	24.8 ± 1.8	39.8 ± 1.9	41.3 ± 2.8	46.5 ± 2.3	32.7 ± 2.3	33.5 ± 1.5	36.5 ± 3.9	29.7 ± 1.7	32.0 ± 2.9	33.0 ± 2.1	40.5 ± 2.4
Strongly disagree	15.4 ± 1.2	8.4 ± 0.8	20.8 ± 2.0	18.7 ± 1.8	37.6 ± 2.9	15.5 ± 1.6	15.2 ± 2.2	15.1 ± 3.0	13.0 ± 1.2	12.3 ± 2.6	16.2 ± 1.8	21.4 ± 2.6
Sample size	10,953	4,659	2,882	1,735	1,467	6,524	3,350	1,079	4,119	1,529	2,102	3,203
Weighted total	467,360	225,800	181,204	30,603	20,019	300,756	138,707	27,897	193,638	80,038	87,422	106,262

Table S_72C (cont.)

There's Not Much to be Gained by Staying in the Army Until Retirement
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse										
	Single					Dual Military					Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Enlisted	No Children	Children	Child 6+	Officer	
Strongly agree	17.9% + 2.4	10.5% + 5.6	9.9% + 3.0	8.9% + 3.9	12.9% + 1.7	9.4% + 2.0	5.5% + 1.8	7.8% + 2.7	7.4% + 2.2	2.4% + 1.4	9.3% + 1.4
Agree	23.2 + 2.0	13.8 + 5.6	20.9 + 4.1	16.1 + 4.9	17.0 + 2.4	14.8 + 1.7	11.9 + 3.1	8.3 + 2.3	11.6 + 2.6	6.2 + 1.5	14.0 + 1.6
Neither agree nor disagree	23.6 + 1.6	23.8 + 6.5	21.1 + 4.2	21.0 + 5.0	23.8 + 2.7	22.4 + 2.6	15.9 + 3.5	13.0 + 3.4	13.0 + 2.7	7.5 + 2.4	17.9 + 1.9
Disagree	25.9 + 2.1	35.8 + 7.4	33.5 + 4.5	38.1 + 5.6	31.8 + 2.6	37.1 + 2.6	42.1 + 4.4	41.3 + 4.1	45.4 + 3.4	49.8 + 4.0	39.2 + 2.6
Strongly disagree	9.4 + 1.3	16.1 + 5.0	14.6 + 2.9	15.8 + 4.4	14.5 + 3.2	16.4 + 1.9	24.6 + 3.4	29.6 + 4.4	22.6 + 3.4	34.1 + 3.8	19.6 + 2.1
Sample size	2,873	243	479	422	1,285	1,915	636	632	894	905	3,259
Weighted total	175,779	10,891	15,127	14,058	54,310	86,595	40,974	10,201	13,998	15,502	120,681
											107,471

Table S 720

For Me, The Army Is the Best Organization to Work for
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type					
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	5.3% + 0.6	3.2% + 0.6	7.3% + 1.1	6.0% + 1.2	9.5% + 1.4	5.1% + 0.7	5.4% + 1.2	6.8% + 1.9	4.8% + 0.9	4.1% + 1.3	5.4% + 1.2	7.2% + 1.4
Agree	14.9 + 1.2	9.2 + 0.7	19.6 + 1.8	20.2 + 2.1	29.1 + 2.8	15.8 + 1.7	13.1 + 1.5	13.9 + 1.9	12.4 + 1.1	12.5 + 1.4	14.1 + 1.8	21.8 + 2.5
Neither agree nor disagree	37.7 + 1.4	32.1 + 2.0	44.2 + 2.3	38.8 + 2.5	37.9 + 2.5	37.4 + 1.9	38.1 + 2.1	38.9 + 1.4	36.3 + 1.7	35.3 + 3.4	38.3 + 2.0	41.5 + 3.1
Disagree	26.9 + 1.3	31.8 + 1.7	21.6 + 1.8	27.2 + 2.7	18.4 + 2.4	27.0 + 1.8	26.9 + 1.7	25.4 + 1.3	28.5 + 1.5	29.5 + 2.5	27.5 + 1.7	21.5 + 1.7
Strongly disagree	15.2 + 1.2	23.7 + 1.4	7.4 + 1.1	7.9 + 1.8	5.1 + 1.1	14.7 + 1.5	18.4 + 2.1	15.0 + 1.9	18.0 + 1.7	18.6 + 2.3	14.7 + 1.6	8.0 + 1.3
Sample size	10,952	4,661	2,880	1,738	1,465	6,529	3,346	1,077	4,117	1,527	2,100	3,208
Weighted total	467,370	225,893	181,110	30,664	19,991	300,884	138,654	27,832	193,430	79,994	87,321	106,626

Table S.72E

Joining the Army was a Mistake
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	5.7% + 0.7	9.7% + 1.3	2.2% + 0.5	2.0% + 0.9	0.7% + 0.5	5.6% + 0.9	6.1% + 1.5	5.7% + 1.1	6.4% + 1.1	6.8% + 1.5	6.6% + 1.5	2.9% + 0.7
Agree	6.8 + 0.6	9.7 + 1.1	4.5 + 0.9	2.3 + 0.8	3.1 + 0.8	8.4 + 0.9	7.5 + 0.9	8.1 + 1.0	7.9 + 0.7	7.8 + 1.6	7.0 + 1.7	4.0 + 1.0
Neither agree nor disagree	22.7 + 1.3	28.3 + 1.4	19.2 + 1.9	13.1 + 1.7	9.9 + 1.7	23.1 + 1.7	22.5 + 1.9	18.9 + 2.8	25.1 + 1.8	23.6 + 2.6	24.1 + 2.5	16.4 + 1.9
Disagree	40.3 + 1.2	37.5 + 1.8	42.9 + 2.3	44.9 + 2.5	37.7 + 2.4	40.2 + 1.5	40.0 + 2.1	42.7 + 4.1	38.0 + 1.6	43.0 + 4.2	39.7 + 2.9	43.0 + 1.5
Strongly disagree	24.5 + 1.6	14.7 + 1.4	31.3 + 2.2	37.9 + 3.0	48.6 + 2.7	24.8 + 2.0	23.9 + 3.3	24.4 + 3.3	22.6 + 1.8	18.8 + 2.9	22.6 + 2.2	33.8 + 2.7
Sample size	10,941	4,658	2,878	1,736	1,461	6,518	3,346	1,077	4,112	1,528	2,101	3,200
Weighted total	466,847	225,717	180,951	30,628	19,960	300,842	138,324	27,880	193,197	79,870	87,354	106,426

Table S 72f

I Can Fulfill Personal Goals If I Stay Until Retirement
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	10.0% + 0.8	5.7% + 0.7	13.8% + 1.5	11.6% + 1.5	20.5% + 2.0	10.2% + 1.0	9.6% + 1.5	9.9% + 1.0	9.3% + 1.0	8.4% + 1.9	9.6% + 1.4	12.7% + 1.5
Agree	25.4 + 1.8	14.1 + 1.1	34.7 + 2.5	32.1 + 2.1	49.9 + 2.7	25.2 + 2.5	25.5 + 2.2	27.0 + 3.2	21.0 + 1.8	22.0 + 2.3	26.5 + 2.4	35.0 + 2.7
Neither agree nor disagree	26.2 + 1.2	25.2 + 1.6	29.0 + 2.1	24.2 + 2.9	18.5 + 1.8	25.8 + 1.5	26.4 + 2.4	29.7 + 2.5	26.0 + 1.5	26.1 + 3.3	27.7 + 2.3	25.5 + 2.3
Disagree	22.3 + 1.3	29.3 + 1.5	15.4 + 1.5	22.0 + 2.1	9.8 + 1.5	23.1 + 1.7	21.1 + 2.1	19.6 + 2.4	24.7 + 1.8	24.8 + 1.8	20.7 + 1.9	17.4 + 1.8
Strongly disagree	16.1 + 1.2	25.6 + 1.4	7.1 + 1.0	10.1 + 2.4	3.4 + 1.0	15.7 + 1.5	17.4 + 2.3	13.8 + 1.6	19.0 + 1.6	18.8 + 2.1	15.5 + 1.8	9.4 + 1.3
Sample size	10,942	4,655	2,882	1,734	1,462	6,517	3,349	1,076	4,114	1,529	2,102	3,197
Weighted total	466,885	225,415	181,312	30,557	19,945	300,490	138,564	27,831	193,246	79,987	87,369	106,283

Table S 72F (cont.)

I Can Fulfill Personal Goals If I Stay Until Retirement
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+
Strongly agree	6.5% ± 1.0	8.3% ± 4.3	10.0% ± 3.3	11.7% ± 4.2	9.6% ± 2.7	11.0% ± 2.0	13.3% ± 2.7	14.8% ± 2.7	18.0% ± 2.7	18.7% ± 3.4	12.9% ± 1.6	11.7% ± 1.7
Agree	14.5 ± 1.6	27.6 ± 5.8	25.5 ± 4.7	35.2 ± 5.0	24.5 ± 3.4	29.3 ± 2.7	41.2 ± 4.7	40.5 ± 3.6	39.9 ± 3.4	49.3 ± 4.3	32.6 ± 2.8	32.8 ± 2.3
Neither agree nor disagree	25.0 ± 1.8	32.8 ± 6.8	26.8 ± 4.7	28.1 ± 5.1	27.3 ± 3.1	28.0 ± 2.9	27.5 ± 4.8	20.2 ± 3.3	17.6 ± 3.3	19.3 ± 3.2	25.4 ± 2.3	26.9 ± 2.2
Disagree	28.9 ± 1.8	20.4 ± 4.8	23.6 ± 4.4	15.4 ± 4.7	21.7 ± 2.2	20.2 ± 2.1	13.3 ± 2.3	16.8 ± 3.0	14.8 ± 3.4	10.3 ± 2.9	18.1 ± 1.7	18.3 ± 1.7
Strongly disagree	25.1 ± 2.0	10.8 ± 4.7	14.1 ± 4.4	9.6 ± 3.0	16.9 ± 2.0	11.5 ± 1.8	4.7 ± 1.8	7.7 ± 2.4	9.6 ± 2.6	2.4 ± 1.3	10.9 ± 1.6	10.3 ± 1.5
Sample size	2,868	243	477	422	1,289	1,910	636	894	630	902	3,262	3,140
Weighted total	175,362	10,891	15,078	14,083	54,509	86,384	40,974	13,989	10,110	15,424	120,811	107,152

Table S 726

The Army Is Responsive to Family Needs
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other DDONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	4.0% + 0.5	3.4% + 0.5	4.7% + 0.7	3.9% + 1.1	5.3% + 1.6	4.2% + 0.6	3.3% + 0.5	4.7% + 2.3	3.6% + 0.7	3.4% + 1.0	4.9% + 1.2	4.5% + 1.3
Agree	29.9 + 1.3	25.0 + 1.4	34.2 + 2.0	34.8 + 2.5	39.1 + 3.0	30.3 + 1.4	28.5 + 2.6	32.6 + 2.5	30.1 + 1.8	28.6 + 3.0	27.0 + 3.6	34.4 + 2.4
Neither agree nor disagree	42.8 + 1.2	47.8 + 1.9	39.1 + 2.2	37.4 + 2.2	31.3 + 2.9	42.1 + 1.4	44.9 + 2.5	40.0 + 1.4	42.8 + 1.4	48.5 + 4.0	45.2 + 2.9	38.0 + 2.2
Disagree	16.8 + 0.7	16.4 + 1.3	16.4 + 1.3	19.5 + 2.2	19.3 + 2.1	16.8 + 0.8	17.2 + 1.7	16.0 + 2.9	16.6 + 1.5	16.2 + 2.2	16.8 + 1.8	17.7 + 1.6
Strongly disagree	8.5 + 0.5	7.5 + 0.8	5.6 + 0.8	4.8 + 1.2	5.0 + 1.4	6.8 + 0.7	6.1 + 0.7	6.8 + 1.5	6.9 + 0.9	7.3 + 1.3	6.2 + 1.3	5.4 + 0.9
Sample size	10,880	4,624	2,864	1,731	1,456	6,484	3,323	1,073	4,095	1,519	2,081	3,185
Weighted total	463,411	223,631	179,900	30,435	19,858	238,447	137,196	27,769	192,015	79,471	86,359	105,566

Table S 72H

Too Many Non-Job Activities are Required by the Army
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	15.7% ± 1.2	20.1% ± 1.6	12.2% ± 1.3	11.2% ± 2.2	5.2% ± 1.7	15.5% ± 1.6	15.8% ± 2.2	17.3% ± 0.6	16.4% ± 2.0	18.7% ± 2.3	17.6% ± 1.9	10.7% ± 2.2
Agree	25.9% ± 1.2	28.9% ± 1.5	25.5% ± 1.9	28.9% ± 2.8	17.2% ± 3.0	25.6% ± 1.3	28.3% ± 2.5	27.8% ± 5.1	27.1% ± 1.6	28.3% ± 2.5	28.0% ± 2.6	21.7% ± 2.2
Neither agree nor disagree	33.2% ± 1.0	33.1% ± 1.6	33.5% ± 1.8	33.5% ± 2.8	30.8% ± 2.2	33.4% ± 1.1	33.3% ± 1.8	30.3% ± 4.0	33.2% ± 2.0	32.0% ± 2.3	32.5% ± 2.4	34.5% ± 1.7
Disagree	20.9% ± 1.4	16.7% ± 1.5	23.6% ± 2.2	24.4% ± 2.1	39.9% ± 4.4	21.4% ± 1.8	20.1% ± 2.7	20.4% ± 2.8	19.4% ± 1.8	19.1% ± 2.1	17.3% ± 2.0	28.1% ± 2.9
Strongly disagree	4.2% ± 0.5	3.2% ± 0.6	5.2% ± 0.9	3.9% ± 1.1	6.9% ± 1.2	4.1% ± 0.5	4.5% ± 1.2	4.2% ± 0.7	3.9% ± 0.9	3.8% ± 1.1	4.6% ± 1.1	5.0% ± 0.9
Sample size	10,948	4,659	2,882	1,734	1,464	6,521	3,348	1,079	4,116	1,532	2,099	3,201
Weighted total	467,424	225,828	181,319	30,604	19,973	300,842	138,686	27,897	193,541	80,173	87,269	108,441

Table S 72H (cont..)

Too Many Non-Job Activities are Required by the Army
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse												
Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
No Children	Children		No Children	Children		No Children	Children		No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
No Children	Children		No Children	Children		No Children	Children		No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse not Employed
19.8% + 1.9	12.9% + 4.7	13.8% + 4.2	9.8% + 3.1	19.1% + 2.3	13.3% + 2.0	10.5% + 2.6	9.4% + 2.9	11.1% + 2.8	7.0% + 2.8	14.1% + 2.1	13.3% + 1.5	
27.3 + 1.7	28.2 + 6.3	26.7 + 5.1	27.5 + 5.5	23.2 + 2.3	25.5 + 2.5	26.3 + 4.6	23.4 + 4.7	27.2 + 4.9	18.3 + 4.0	24.3 + 2.1	24.2 + 2.2	
32.1 + 2.0	33.0 + 6.6	30.5 + 5.1	33.9 + 5.2	35.1 + 2.7	34.9 + 2.5	34.1 + 4.6	30.3 + 5.4	30.5 + 3.6	33.3 + 4.2	33.8 + 1.8	34.5 + 2.3	
17.2 + 1.8	20.7 + 5.8	23.9 + 5.3	23.9 + 5.4	18.8 + 2.4	22.4 + 2.7	24.0 + 4.3	31.9 + 5.2	27.0 + 4.0	35.2 + 4.9	23.2 + 2.1	23.8 + 2.5	
3.6 + 0.6	5.2 + 3.7	5.2 + 3.3	4.9 + 2.9	3.9 + 1.5	3.9 + 1.0	5.1 + 1.7	5.0 + 2.1	4.2 + 1.4	6.2 + 2.2	4.7 + 1.0	4.2 + 1.1	
2,874	243	475	422	1,287	1,914	636	631	895	903	3,262	3,145	
175,853	10,869	14,996	14,057	54,417	86,644	40,974	10,192	14,010	15,460	120,941	107,271	

Table S 721

A Soldier's Spouse Should Feel Like Part of the Army Community
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank					Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	18.7% + 0.8	15.5% + 1.3	22.1% + 1.4	20.6% + 2.4	21.8% + 2.6	18.2% + 1.1	20.0% + 1.6	17.7% + 2.7	18.1% + 1.6	17.2% + 1.7	18.8% + 2.5	20.8% + 1.5
Agree	47.8 + 1.3	44.4 + 1.9	50.8 + 1.8	50.4 + 2.4	51.6 + 3.2	47.3 + 1.8	48.7 + 1.8	48.4 + 4.2	44.8 + 1.9	49.2 + 2.9	47.8 + 2.8	52.1 + 2.0
Neither agree nor disagree	21.7 + 1.2	26.5 + 1.6	17.6 + 1.6	16.4 + 2.1	14.6 + 2.2	22.0 + 1.5	20.9 + 2.2	22.2 + 4.4	23.3 + 1.7	21.8 + 2.5	23.2 + 2.1	17.6 + 2.0
Disagree	8.8 + 0.7	9.2 + 1.1	7.9 + 1.3	9.7 + 1.8	10.6 + 1.7	9.4 + 0.9	7.5 + 1.2	9.6 + 2.5	10.0 + 1.5	8.8 + 1.2	7.9 + 1.5	7.4 + 1.2
Strongly disagree	3.0 + 0.5	4.3 + 0.9	1.6 + 0.5	2.9 + 0.8	1.4 + 0.5	3.1 + 0.6	3.0 + 0.7	2.1 + 0.9	3.9 + 0.8	3.0 + 1.3	2.2 + 0.8	2.2 + 0.4
Sample size	10,952	4,648	2,889	1,738	1,467	6,528	3,354	1,070	4,114	1,532	2,099	3,207
Weighted total	468,584	224,274	181,887	30,639	20,031	300,708	138,359	27,497	192,958	79,844	87,259	106,504

Table S 721 (cont.)

A Soldier's Spouse Should Feel Like Part of the Army Community
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Strongly agree	13.7% ± 1.5	24.0% ± 4.7	21.1% ± 4.7	18.8% ± 4.5	19.7% ± 2.4	23.3% ± 2.2	22.5% ± 3.3	19.9% ± 3.8	24.4% ± 3.5	21.2% ± 3.1	21.1% ± 1.6	23.1% ± 1.9
Agree	44.5 ± 2.3	48.7 ± 6.9	47.6 ± 4.8	59.5 ± 5.4	47.0 ± 3.3	48.9 ± 2.6	54.2 ± 4.0	51.4 ± 4.5	48.5 ± 3.4	54.1 ± 3.7	49.8 ± 2.5	49.3 ± 2.3
Neither agree nor disagree	28.1 ± 2.2	20.8 ± 7.1	23.9 ± 4.3	15.9 ± 3.8	19.6 ± 3.1	18.5 ± 2.5	13.6 ± 2.9	15.1 ± 3.6	14.2 ± 2.2	14.5 ± 3.2	17.5 ± 1.8	16.9 ± 1.4
Disagree	9.4 ± 1.3	4.4 ± 3.0	5.5 ± 2.4	4.8 ± 2.3	10.1 ± 2.2	7.5 ± 1.1	8.6 ± 3.2	10.5 ± 2.4	10.7 ± 2.6	8.2 ± 1.9	9.3 ± 1.2	8.4 ± 1.3
Strongly disagree	4.3 ± 0.9	2.0 ± 2.0	2.0 ± 1.3	1.0 ± 0.8	3.6 ± 1.0	1.9 ± 0.6	1.1 ± 0.8	3.0 ± 1.4	2.2 ± 1.2	2.0 ± 1.1	2.2 ± 0.6	2.3 ± 0.5
Sample size	2,847	244	480	421	1,294	1,919	638	632	897	906	3,270	3,156
Weighted total	173,628	10,986	15,195	14,045	54,706	86,831	41,122	10,208	14,035	15,521	121,237	107,688

Table S 72J

A Soldier Is More Likely to Stay in the Army If Spouse has a Good Job
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	28.6% + 1.2 -	19.5% + 1.4 -	32.7% + 1.9 -	35.2% + 2.7 -	34.1% + 2.6 -	28.9% + 1.5 -	28.5% + 2.4 -	23.6% + 1.2 -	24.2% + 1.4 -	23.2% + 2.1 -	27.5% + 2.3 -	32.7% + 1.8 -
Agree	45.3 + 1.1 -	42.6 + 1.6 -	46.5 + 1.8 -	49.4 + 3.4 -	52.3 + 2.9 -	44.3 + 1.2 -	47.1 + 2.3 -	47.6 + 0.7 -	43.9 + 1.8 -	48.9 + 2.9 -	45.3 + 2.0 -	46.5 + 1.5 -
Neither agree nor disagree	20.8 + 1.1 -	27.6 + 1.6 -	15.5 + 1.4 -	12.0 + 1.9 -	11.7 + 1.4 -	20.7 + 1.5 -	20.9 + 1.5 -	22.4 + 2.0 -	23.4 + 1.6 -	22.0 + 2.3 -	20.5 + 1.7 -	15.7 + 2.0 -
Disagree	5.7 + 0.6 -	7.7 + 0.8 -	4.5 + 1.0 -	2.9 + 0.8 -	1.5 + 0.7 -	6.4 + 0.8 -	4.3 + 0.7 -	5.4 + 2.3 -	6.7 + 0.9 -	5.8 + 1.3 -	5.4 + 1.0 -	4.1 + 0.9 -
Strongly disagree	1.6 + 0.4 -	2.5 + 0.6 -	0.7 + 0.4 -	0.5 + 0.3 -	0.5 + 0.3 -	1.8 + 0.4 -	1.2 + 0.7 -	1.1 + 0.5 -	1.8 + 0.6 -	2.1 + 0.9 -	1.4 + 0.7 -	1.0 + 0.7 -
Sample size	10,956	4,651	2,889	1,738	1,468	8,531	3,352	1,073	4,114	1,532	2,105	3,205
Weighted total	466,640	224,412	181,815	30,643	20,036	300,850	138,222	27,568	192,883	79,844	87,478	106,436

A Soldier Is More Likely to Stay in the Army If Spouse has a Good Job by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

423

Table S_72K

A Man's Family Should Adjust to his Job Demands
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	4.4% + 0.5	4.4% + 0.7	5.2% + 1.1	2.4% + 0.8	2.7% + 1.0	4.4% + 0.7	4.5% + 0.9	4.5% + 1.8	5.1% + 0.8	4.2% + 1.0	4.5% + 0.9	3.3% + 1.0
Agree	30.0 + 1.2	27.5 + 1.5	32.2 + 2.0	31.1 + 2.9	37.0 + 2.8	30.5 + 1.4	28.7 + 2.5	32.0 + 4.1	29.6 + 1.8	27.2 + 2.5	29.5 + 2.4	33.3 + 2.3
Neither agree nor disagree	36.7 + 1.5	37.9 + 2.0	36.1 + 2.5	34.9 + 2.5	31.6 + 3.0	36.1 + 1.8	38.0 + 2.9	35.9 + 5.2	35.8 + 1.8	38.3 + 4.0	38.1 + 2.6	35.8 + 2.7
Disagree	21.5 + 1.0	21.2 + 1.5	20.7 + 1.6	25.5 + 2.8	24.3 + 2.5	21.7 + 1.1	21.2 + 1.9	20.8 + 4.3	22.0 + 1.5	21.1 + 2.6	21.5 + 2.2	20.7 + 1.9
Strongly disagree	7.4 + 0.6	9.0 + 1.1	5.8 + 0.9	6.1 + 1.3	4.4 + 1.0	7.4 + 0.9	7.5 + 1.0	6.8 + 2.0	7.4 + 1.3	9.2 + 1.9	6.4 + 1.2	6.8 + 1.1
Sample size	10,906	4,635	2,873	1,726	1,464	6,502	3,333	1,071	4,098	1,526	2,092	3,190
Weighted total	464,584	223,557	180,940	30,423	19,980	299,371	137,676	27,537	192,172	79,545	87,027	105,841

Table S 72K (cont.)

A Man's Family Should Adjust to his Job Demands
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Officer
Strongly agree	4.3% ± 0.8	5.7% ± 3.4	3.3% ± 2.3	3.7% ± 2.5	4.4% ± 1.3	3.7% ± 2.5	5.2% ± 1.3	4.5% ± 1.7	2.2% ± 1.2	2.3% ± 1.0	2.3% ± 1.3	4.0% ± 0.9
Agree	28.5 ± 1.8	27.1 ± 6.6	22.6 ± 4.3	30.8 ± 6.2	31.7 ± 2.7	30.1 ± 2.6	30.1 ± 2.6	30.7 ± 4.2	33.8 ± 3.8	32.0 ± 3.8	37.1 ± 3.2	30.5 ± 2.5
Neither agree nor disagree	39.9 ± 2.5	39.1 ± 9.6	37.0 ± 6.3	33.8 ± 6.3	31.9 ± 3.0	35.6 ± 2.4	39.2 ± 5.4	39.2 ± 5.4	32.3 ± 4.2	32.8 ± 4.6	31.9 ± 3.8	34.6 ± 2.7
Disagree	19.3 ± 1.6	20.0 ± 5.5	24.2 ± 5.2	24.8 ± 4.4	24.0 ± 3.0	22.6 ± 2.1	19.8 ± 3.5	25.8 ± 4.0	25.8 ± 4.0	25.4 ± 3.3	24.2 ± 3.0	24.0 ± 1.9
Strongly disagree	8.0 ± 1.4	8.0 ± 4.2	12.8 ± 3.2	6.9 ± 3.1	8.0 ± 1.7	6.4 ± 1.3	5.8 ± 2.1	5.8 ± 2.1	5.8 ± 1.8	7.5 ± 2.9	4.6 ± 1.2	6.9 ± 0.9
Sample size	2,836	239	478	419	1,288	1,913	635	628	894	903	3,256	3,146
Weighted total	172,913	10,833	15,141	13,990	54,548	86,467	40,883	10,153	13,984	15,485	120,697	107,402

Table S 72L

A Husband and Wife Should Share Child Care Responsibilities Equally
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	33.1% ± 1.2	34.3% ± 1.7	33.2% ± 2.0	30.4% ± 2.5	23.4% ± 2.1	33.3% ± 1.5	33.0% ± 2.2	31.9% ± 3.5	31.4% ± 1.8	32.2% ± 3.1	36.2% ± 2.4	34.3% ± 2.1
Agree	49.2 ± 1.1	46.6 ± 1.6	51.6 ± 2.2	49.9 ± 2.9	51.4 ± 2.7	48.8 ± 1.5	49.9 ± 1.8	49.7 ± 1.3	49.6 ± 1.6	49.4 ± 2.5	48.0 ± 2.6	49.2 ± 1.8
Neither agree nor disagree	13.9 ± 0.9	15.9 ± 1.3	11.8 ± 1.3	12.9 ± 1.5	14.4 ± 1.6	14.1 ± 1.1	13.8 ± 1.6	12.9 ± 1.4	15.0 ± 1.4	15.0 ± 2.5	13.2 ± 1.4	12.0 ± 1.6
Disagree	3.2 ± 0.4	2.5 ± 0.5	2.9 ± 0.8	6.0 ± 1.1	9.5 ± 1.5	3.3 ± 0.5	2.8 ± 0.5	4.7 ± 2.3	3.3 ± 0.6	3.3 ± 0.9	2.1 ± 0.9	3.9 ± 1.0
Strongly disagree	0.6 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.3	0.5 ± 0.3	0.7 ± 0.6	1.4 ± 0.5	0.6 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.4	0.8 ± 0.2	0.7 ± 0.4	0.2 ± 0.3	0.6 ± 0.5	0.6 ± 0.3
Sample size	10,933	4,650	2,882	1,729	1,464	6,514	3,348	1,071	4,102	1,528	2,103	3,200
Weighted total	468,201	224,469	181,505	30,539	20,007	300,300	138,366	27,535	192,483	79,828	87,508	106,382

A Husband and Wife Should Share Child Care Responsibilities Equally by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

427

Table S 72M

A Woman Should be able to Make Long Range Career Plans
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type					
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
Strongly agree	34.4% ± 1.3	33.9% ± 1.5	35.4% ± 2.0	35.5% ± 3.1	29.9% ± 2.3	34.8% ± 1.7	34.4% ± 2.3	29.9% ± 1.9	32.1% ± 1.9	33.5% ± 2.6	38.6% ± 2.4	37.2% ± 3.2
Agree	45.9 ± 1.1	42.7 ± 1.6	47.9 ± 2.0	49.2 ± 2.9	52.3 ± 2.7	45.7 ± 1.4	45.6 ± 2.2	49.4 ± 2.7	45.9 ± 1.7	44.9 ± 2.7	45.4 ± 3.3	47.2 ± 1.9
Neither agree nor disagree	15.1 ± 1.0	18.3 ± 1.3	12.5 ± 1.5	11.3 ± 2.1	11.5 ± 1.3	15.0 ± 1.1	15.2 ± 2.2	15.2 ± 2.9	16.4 ± 1.7	16.9 ± 2.6	14.3 ± 1.9	11.9 ± 1.9
Disagree	3.8 ± 0.5	4.1 ± 0.7	3.4 ± 0.7	3.1 ± 0.9	5.3 ± 1.2	3.7 ± 0.6	3.8 ± 0.8	4.0 ± 1.1	4.7 ± 0.9	3.6 ± 0.9	3.1 ± 1.0	2.7 ± 0.8
Strongly disagree	0.9 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.3	0.7 ± 0.3	0.8 ± 0.7	1.1 ± 0.5	0.9 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.3	1.5 ± 0.7	1.0 ± 0.3	1.1 ± 0.5	0.6 ± 0.4	0.9 ± 0.5
Sample size	10,930	4,643	2,882	1,729	1,466	6,510	3,347	1,073	4,101	1,524	2,104	3,201
Weighted total	465,413	223,792	181,438	30,441	20,009	299,625	138,210	27,578	192,129	79,493	87,498	106,292

Table S_72M (cont.)

A Woman Should be able to Make Long Range Career Plans
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse														
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer					
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed		
Strongly agree	30.9% ± 1.9	45.1% ± 7.8	45.8% ± 5.1	49.9% ± 5.4	38.0% ± 2.9	35.0% ± 2.5	34.5% ± 3.3	37.9% ± 4.5	30.0% ± 3.8	28.6% ± 3.4	37.8% ± 2.2	31.8% ± 1.8			
Agree	43.3 ± 1.8	42.1 ± 6.2	44.1 ± 5.4	40.4 ± 5.1	45.6 ± 3.2	47.7 ± 2.6	49.7 ± 4.0	48.1 ± 4.9	53.0 ± 3.6	58.5 ± 3.4	48.0 ± 2.4	49.6 ± 2.0			
Neither agree nor disagree	20.2 ± 1.7	10.5 ± 4.8	8.6 ± 3.7	8.4 ± 2.5	11.8 ± 2.0	12.9 ± 1.5	12.9 ± 2.7	10.1 ± 2.2	11.7 ± 2.5	9.6 ± 1.8	10.6 ± 1.5	13.8 ± 1.5			
Disagree	4.5 ± 1.0	1.0 ± 1.2	1.0 ± 1.3	0.9 ± 0.9	3.6 ± 1.3	3.4 ± 0.9	2.8 ± 1.2	3.3 ± 1.3	4.7 ± 1.3	4.5 ± 1.4	2.8 ± 0.7	4.3 ± 1.0			
Strongly disagree	1.1 ± 0.4	1.3 ± 2.1	0.4 ± 0.5	0.4 ± 0.6	1.0 ± 0.6	1.0 ± 0.5	0.1 ± 0.1	0.7 ± 0.5	0.7 ± 0.5	0.7 ± 0.4	0.9 ± 0.4	0.6 ± 0.3			
Sample size	2,837	243	480	425	1,289	1,914	636	631	896	905	3,263	3,148			
Weighted total	173,058	10,935	15,204	14,141	54,454	86,629	41,035	10,196	13,968	15,516	120,948	107,412			

Table S 72N

The Husband Should be the Head of the Family
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	17.6% + 0.8	17.4% + 1.2	18.7% + 1.6	15.0% + 2.1	16.0% + 1.6	17.5% + 1.1	17.7% + 1.4	17.5% + 1.9	19.9% + 1.6	16.5% + 2.0	15.8% + 2.3	15.7% + 1.6
Agree	27.5 + 1.2	24.6 + 1.7	29.2 + 1.5	30.9 + 2.2	33.1 + 2.6	27.9 + 1.6	26.0 + 1.6	29.9 + 3.8	27.9 + 1.6	27.2 + 3.2	24.2 + 2.8	29.6 + 1.7
Neither agree nor disagree	40.3 + 1.2	43.2 + 1.7	38.1 + 2.2	34.2 + 2.1	36.4 + 2.8	40.4 + 1.5	40.6 + 2.2	37.2 + 4.2	40.6 + 1.9	40.1 + 2.8	41.3 + 2.5	38.8 + 1.8
Disagree	9.6 + 0.7	9.6 + 1.0	9.3 + 1.4	12.1 + 1.9	9.8 + 1.8	9.2 + 0.8	10.5 + 1.6	9.9 + 2.5	8.2 + 0.9	10.5 + 1.9	11.3 + 1.8	10.1 + 1.2
Strongly disagree	5.1 + 0.6	5.2 + 0.7	4.6 + 1.1	7.9 + 1.9	4.8 + 1.2	5.0 + 0.7	5.2 + 1.1	5.5 + 1.9	3.3 + 0.6	5.7 + 1.5	7.4 + 1.5	5.8 + 1.4
Sample size	10,877	4,618	2,868	1,725	1,458	6,492	3,320	1,065	4,085	1,514	2,087	3,191
Weighted total	463,064	222,446	180,583	30,444	19,924	298,693	137,019	27,351	191,149	79,182	86,646	106,087

Table S 72N (cont.)

The Husband Should be the Head of the Family
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted				Civilian Spouse				
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Strongly agree	18.5% ± 1.4	13.6% ± 5.2	12.5% ± 3.9	12.5% ± 3.9	14.5% ± 5.5	17.9% ± 2.6	20.0% ± 2.5	20.3% ± 2.9	20.3% ± 2.9	15.0% ± 3.1	18.3% ± 3.8	15.3% ± 3.0	17.3% ± 1.7	21.2% ± 1.7	
Agree	25.0 ± 2.1	19.0 ± 5.6	17.3 ± 4.0	17.3 ± 4.0	19.8 ± 3.9	29.6 ± 3.0	29.9 ± 2.4	30.8 ± 3.7	30.8 ± 3.7	30.6 ± 3.8	37.3 ± 4.0	37.9 ± 5.0	29.7 ± 1.8	32.1 ± 2.0	
Neither agree nor disagree	44.3 ± 2.3	34.0 ± 8.0	40.4 ± 6.0	40.4 ± 6.0	39.6 ± 5.8	39.1 ± 2.7	38.3 ± 2.9	37.7 ± 4.0	37.7 ± 4.0	37.2 ± 4.4	31.8 ± 3.7	35.8 ± 4.1	39.3 ± 2.3	36.1 ± 2.5	
Disagree	8.7 ± 1.0	18.9 ± 4.5	17.3 ± 3.7	17.3 ± 3.7	14.7 ± 3.5	9.3 ± 2.0	8.8 ± 1.8	7.9 ± 2.6	7.9 ± 2.6	10.9 ± 2.8	8.9 ± 2.1	7.9 ± 1.7	9.5 ± 1.3	8.1 ± 1.5	
Strongly disagree	5.5 ± 0.9	14.6 ± 4.8	12.5 ± 3.5	12.5 ± 3.5	11.5 ± 2.9	4.1 ± 1.3	3.0 ± 0.8	3.2 ± 1.3	3.2 ± 1.3	6.3 ± 1.9	3.6 ± 1.7	3.1 ± 1.3	4.3 ± 0.9	2.6 ± 0.7	
Sample size	2,816	242	480	480	420	1,288	1,908	635	635	629	896	894	3,255	3,133	
Weighted total	171,817	10,900	15,204	15,204	13,876	54,410	86,292	40,904	40,904	10,147	14,023	15,374	120,690	106,960	

Table S_720

If I Became Rich, I Would Continue My Army Career
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	10.5% ± 0.9	4.1% ± 0.6	16.8% ± 1.6	10.4% ± 1.2	22.8% ± 2.5	10.5% ± 1.2	10.5% ± 1.4	10.8% ± 1.3	8.8% ± 1.2	7.8% ± 1.6	10.0% ± 1.4	16.0% ± 1.9
Agree	19.8% ± 1.8	9.7% ± 1.0	27.3% ± 2.3	25.1% ± 2.5	48.2% ± 3.1	20.4% ± 2.7	17.9% ± 1.8	22.2% ± 2.9	15.8% ± 1.7	16.9% ± 2.5	18.7% ± 2.1	30.1% ± 2.1
Neither agree nor disagree	20.4% ± 0.9	21.9% ± 1.5	19.7% ± 1.3	22.1% ± 2.3	9.8% ± 2.2	19.8% ± 1.2	22.0% ± 1.5	19.0% ± 0.7	21.7% ± 1.6	19.8% ± 2.0	20.7% ± 2.3	18.1% ± 1.6
Disagree	15.1% ± 1.0	17.1% ± 1.5	13.1% ± 1.6	18.2% ± 2.2	9.9% ± 1.3	15.2% ± 1.4	14.9% ± 1.2	15.7% ± 2.0	15.4% ± 1.3	16.6% ± 2.3	16.0% ± 2.1	12.9% ± 1.3
Strongly disagree	34.2% ± 2.1	47.3% ± 2.1	23.2% ± 1.8	24.1% ± 2.6	9.2% ± 2.3	34.2% ± 2.9	34.7% ± 2.6	32.3% ± 4.9	38.4% ± 2.0	39.0% ± 2.9	34.6% ± 3.3	22.9% ± 2.7
Sample size	10,954	4,658	2,887	1,735	1,465	6,526	3,352	1,076	4,112	1,534	2,105	3,203
Weighted total	467,044	225,019	181,734	30,612	20,006	300,733	138,499	27,812	192,751	80,234	87,539	106,520

Table S 720 (cont.)

If I Became Rich I Would Continue My Army Career
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse															
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
Strongly agree	4.8% ± 0.7	11.6% ± 3.4	11.3% ± 3.3	11.7% ± 4.5	8.9% ± 2.1	11.1% ± 1.8	22.2% ± 3.0	16.5% ± 3.0	15.9% ± 3.0	22.6% ± 3.2	14.6% ± 1.5	13.4% ± 1.9				
Agree	11.6 ± 1.5	23.9 ± 7.1	15.3 ± 3.8	24.0 ± 4.4	16.6 ± 2.1	21.1 ± 2.3	34.9 ± 3.9	32.7 ± 5.2	30.3 ± 4.9	46.8 ± 3.8	28.3 ± 2.4	24.7 ± 2.5				
Neither agree nor disagree	22.0 ± 1.7	19.4 ± 5.9	19.1 ± 4.4	23.7 ± 5.6	19.7 ± 2.5	21.7 ± 2.2	16.5 ± 3.3	18.0 ± 3.2	15.8 ± 2.8	12.4 ± 3.9	17.9 ± 1.6	20.1 ± 2.0				
Disagree	16.5 ± 2.0	14.7 ± 5.3	18.5 ± 3.7	15.7 ± 4.1	16.4 ± 2.5	14.7 ± 1.5	9.8 ± 2.4	12.7 ± 2.9	15.5 ± 3.2	9.1 ± 2.4	13.0 ± 1.6	14.5 ± 1.5				
Strongly disagree	45.1 ± 2.3	30.5 ± 5.5	35.8 ± 4.8	24.8 ± 5.1	38.5 ± 2.6	31.4 ± 2.9	16.6 ± 2.9	20.1 ± 3.6	22.5 ± 3.8	9.0 ± 3.2	28.1 ± 2.6	27.2 ± 2.4				
Sample size	2,856	243	480	423	1,294	1,915	636	631	897	902	3,265	3,151				
Weighted total	174,271	10,935	15,191	14,114	54,703	86,735	41,018	10,189	14,043	15,424	121,175	107,516				

Table S_73

Overall Satisfaction with the Army as a Way of Life
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very satisfied	16.2% + 1.6	7.3% + 1.0	23.2% + 1.7	21.7% + 2.3	42.0% + 2.9	16.7% + 2.2	15.2% + 2.1	16.3% + 2.7	13.0% + 1.4	12.8% + 2.0	15.1% + 2.3	25.5% + 2.6
Somewhat satisfied	37.7 + 1.1	29.7 + 1.3	44.8 + 1.6	49.4 + 2.8	42.5 + 3.2	38.0 + 1.5	36.6 + 1.9	39.3 + 1.2	33.9 + 1.6	35.7 + 2.6	39.7 + 2.0	44.4 + 2.2
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	15.7 + 1.0	19.9 + 1.5	13.5 + 1.2	7.7 + 1.4	5.2 + 1.2	15.2 + 1.4	17.0 + 2.1	15.4 + 1.7	17.5 + 1.7	17.5 + 2.0	16.1 + 1.5	11.0 + 2.0
Somewhat dissatisfied	18.4 + 1.2	23.3 + 1.1	14.3 + 1.6	15.4 + 2.4	8.2 + 1.3	18.3 + 1.7	18.5 + 1.5	19.6 + 1.9	21.1 + 1.4	19.6 + 2.2	16.9 + 1.9	13.9 + 1.6
Very dissatisfied	11.9 + 1.1	19.8 + 1.5	4.4 + 0.9	5.7 + 1.4	2.2 + 0.7	11.8 + 1.5	12.6 + 1.9	9.3 + 1.2	14.5 + 1.3	14.3 + 2.0	12.1 + 1.9	5.2 + 0.9
Sample size	10,974	4,671	2,894	1,733	1,465	6,533	3,364	1,077	4,119	1,537	2,107	3,211
Weighted total	468,669	226,302	182,002	30,571	20,001	301,366	139,450	27,853	193,644	80,401	87,807	106,816

Table S_73 (cont..)

Overall Satisfaction with the Army as a Way of Life
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children		Children		Spouse not Employed	Enlisted		Child 6+		Spouse Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children		No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 0-5	
Very satisfied	9.3% ± 1.5	18.2% ± 5.5	16.6% ± 3.4	16.2% ± 5.4	32.1% ± 4.3	13.3% ± 3.0	18.6% ± 2.1	24.5% ± 3.3	25.5% ± 3.9	21.7% ± 2.4
Somewhat satisfied	30.5% ± 2.0	41.5% ± 6.8	39.8% ± 5.4	51.4% ± 5.7	45.1% ± 5.2	39.2% ± 3.4	39.3% ± 2.2	48.2% ± 3.6	49.3% ± 3.9	43.0% ± 2.2
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	19.0% ± 1.9	16.0% ± 6.0	15.6% ± 3.9	11.7% ± 3.5	6.6% ± 2.0	15.7% ± 2.4	15.8% ± 1.8	12.0% ± 2.8	5.8% ± 1.9	12.0% ± 1.3
Somewhat dissatisfied	22.1% ± 1.6	16.8% ± 3.7	19.6% ± 3.8	15.4% ± 4.7	11.2% ± 2.6	18.3% ± 3.0	19.3% ± 2.1	10.5% ± 2.4	13.9% ± 2.4	15.6% ± 1.4
Very dissatisfied	19.0% ± 2.0	7.5% ± 5.0	8.4% ± 2.7	5.4% ± 2.1	5.1% ± 2.2	13.5% ± 2.1	7.0% ± 1.2	4.9% ± 1.5	5.5% ± 2.2	7.7% ± 1.3
Sample size	2,876	244	481	424	631	1,294	1,916	635	896	3,268
Weighted total	175,751	10,986	15,235	14,121	10,197	54,805	86,731	40,928	14,029	121,387
										3,150
										107,520

Table S. 74A

How Often In the Last Month Felt Secure
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
All of the time	14.9% + 1.1 -	11.4% + 1.2 -	17.2% + 1.5 -	17.8% + 2.4 -	24.5% + 2.7 -	14.8% + 1.4 -	15.1% + 2.0 -	15.0% + 2.5 -	13.7% + 1.4 -	14.4% + 2.5 -	15.4% + 2.3 -	17.0% + 2.0 -
Most of the time	35.0 + 1.5 -	29.4 + 1.6 -	38.9 + 2.2 -	46.2 + 2.3 -	46.7 + 3.5 -	35.9 + 1.9 -	33.2 + 2.4 -	33.8 + 3.1 -	33.0 + 1.7 -	32.1 + 2.7 -	34.4 + 2.6 -	41.3 + 2.6 -
More often than not	18.4 + 0.7 -	19.5 + 1.3 -	17.0 + 1.3 -	19.2 + 2.5 -	15.6 + 2.2 -	18.5 + 1.0 -	18.0 + 1.2 -	19.0 + 1.3 -	18.6 + 1.3 -	19.0 + 1.9 -	18.3 + 2.0 -	17.7 + 1.3 -
Occasionally	19.0 + 1.0 -	22.2 + 1.5 -	18.1 + 1.7 -	10.2 + 1.6 -	8.3 + 1.5 -	18.2 + 1.2 -	20.5 + 2.0 -	19.8 + 1.5 -	20.2 + 1.3 -	20.7 + 2.3 -	19.8 + 2.2 -	15.0 + 1.6 -
Rarely	9.5 + 0.9 -	13.0 + 1.3 -	6.4 + 1.1 -	4.9 + 1.1 -	4.1 + 1.0 -	9.4 + 1.1 -	9.8 + 1.8 -	8.8 + 1.4 -	10.8 + 1.3 -	10.2 + 1.7 -	9.3 + 1.8 -	8.5 + 1.3 -
Never	3.2 + 0.5 -	4.5 + 0.8 -	2.3 + 0.6 -	1.7 + 1.2 -	0.9 + 0.5 -	3.2 + 0.6 -	3.2 + 0.8 -	3.6 + 1.2 -	3.7 + 0.5 -	3.5 + 0.9 -	2.9 + 1.0 -	2.4 + 0.9 -
Sample size	10,904	4,644	2,870	1,728	1,454	6,496	3,339	1,069	4,092	1,529	2,101	3,182
Weighted total	465,392	224,829	180,565	30,422	19,876	299,547	138,251	27,595	192,189	79,850	87,586	105,767

How Often in the Last Month Felt Secure by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

437

Table S 74B

How Often in the Last Month Felt Isolated
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
All of the time	6.3% + 0.8 -	8.8% + 1.2 -	4.5% + 0.6 -	2.8% + 0.9 -	1.5% + 0.7 -	5.7% + 0.9 -	6.7% + 1.3 -	11.1% + 5.1 -	6.8% + 1.1 -	7.4% + 1.9 -	6.0% + 1.2 -	4.9% + 1.0 -
Most of the time	14.4 + 1.4 -	18.4 + 1.8 -	11.7 + 1.5 -	9.1 + 2.1 -	4.1 + 1.1 -	13.3 + 1.8 -	16.6 + 2.6 -	15.4 + 2.5 -	16.5 + 1.6 -	17.9 + 2.6 -	13.9 + 2.4 -	8.4 + 2.2 -
More often than not	14.3 + 0.9 -	16.5 + 1.5 -	13.0 + 1.2 -	10.9 + 1.9 -	7.6 + 1.7 -	14.3 + 1.2 -	14.4 + 1.5 -	13.4 + 2.7 -	15.7 + 1.5 -	14.7 + 2.2 -	13.8 + 1.7 -	11.9 + 1.2 -
Occasionally	30.2 + 1.1 -	28.6 + 1.2 -	31.6 + 2.0 -	34.4 + 2.4 -	28.1 + 2.9 -	30.1 + 1.2 -	30.1 + 2.3 -	31.7 + 5.0 -	29.9 + 1.7 -	30.9 + 2.5 -	30.4 + 2.6 -	30.0 + 1.8 -
Rarely	23.0 + 1.4 -	19.6 + 1.7 -	24.8 + 2.1 -	27.2 + 2.6 -	35.7 + 4.4 -	23.9 + 2.0 -	21.8 + 2.3 -	19.4 + 4.4 -	21.3 + 1.8 -	20.5 + 2.4 -	23.7 + 2.1 -	27.4 + 2.1 -
Never	11.8 + 1.1 -	8.1 + 0.8 -	14.4 + 1.6 -	15.6 + 2.5 -	23.0 + 4.3 -	12.7 + 1.5 -	10.3 + 1.5 -	9.0 + 1.7 -	9.9 + 0.9 -	8.5 + 2.2 -	12.2 + 1.6 -	17.4 + 2.3 -
Sample size	10,880	4,635	2,857	1,728	1,452	6,485	3,332	1,063	4,085	1,528	2,091	3,176
Weighted total	464,183	224,389	179,859	30,449	19,842	298,845	137,949	27,389	191,754	79,795	87,110	105,524

Table S_748 (cont.)

How Often In the Last Month Felt Isolated
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse														
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+				
All of the time	8.9% ± 1.5	6.7% ± 4.2	5.2% ± 2.3	3.5% ± 1.6	7.1% ± 1.3	4.7% ± 1.1	3.1% ± 1.7	1.4% ± 0.9	2.8% ± 1.7	1.8% ± 1.3	4.7% ± 1.0	4.4% ± 0.8			
Most of the time	17.9 ± 2.1	15.9 ± 4.8	14.2 ± 4.1	9.8 ± 4.7	14.1 ± 2.0	14.8 ± 2.1	9.3 ± 2.9	6.6 ± 2.3	8.4 ± 2.3	5.4 ± 1.7	10.9 ± 1.4	13.3 ± 2.3			
More often than not	16.0 ± 1.8	17.8 ± 4.7	13.4 ± 3.7	14.2 ± 5.5	13.4 ± 2.4	13.8 ± 1.4	11.9 ± 2.9	8.9 ± 3.3	11.0 ± 2.4	8.3 ± 2.1	11.6 ± 1.4	13.8 ± 1.4			
Occasionally	29.6 ± 2.1	28.0 ± 6.0	28.7 ± 5.2	35.1 ± 5.4	30.0 ± 3.5	30.4 ± 2.7	31.9 ± 3.8	31.7 ± 3.8	29.2 ± 3.2	28.7 ± 3.5	31.0 ± 1.8	30.0 ± 2.5			
Rarely	19.1 ± 2.1	18.3 ± 4.3	24.3 ± 4.8	25.7 ± 5.0	23.5 ± 2.7	24.3 ± 2.1	28.4 ± 4.5	32.5 ± 5.4	31.8 ± 3.6	34.8 ± 3.0	27.5 ± 2.2	24.8 ± 2.1			
Never	8.6 ± 1.3	13.3 ± 4.9	14.2 ± 3.0	11.8 ± 3.3	11.9 ± 2.8	11.9 ± 1.7	15.4 ± 3.0	18.8 ± 4.0	16.8 ± 2.9	21.0 ± 4.6	14.3 ± 1.9	13.8 ± 1.8			
Sample size	2,848	243	480	418	1,279	1,899	631	629	889	899	3,237	3,125			
Weighted total	174,085	10,897	15,194	13,907	54,156	85,914	40,709	10,106	13,923	15,426	120,107	106,614			

Table S_74C

How Often In the Last Month Felt Pleased with Yourself
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
All of the time	10.9% + 0.7	10.7% + 1.2	12.6% + 1.3	5.7% + 1.4	5.7% + 1.3	10.5% + 0.9	11.9% + 1.1	9.7% + 4.6	11.2% + 1.2	9.9% + 1.7	12.7% + 1.5	9.6% + 1.5
Most of the time	40.4 + 1.5	35.2 + 1.6	44.2 + 2.5	48.4 + 2.9	52.9 + 4.2	41.0 + 2.0	38.7 + 2.8	43.8 + 2.5	38.4 + 1.7	38.9 + 2.9	38.9 + 3.1	46.5 + 2.9
More often than not	23.1 + 0.9	23.2 + 1.4	21.4 + 1.5	29.1 + 2.1	28.0 + 3.1	23.1 + 1.2	23.5 + 1.8	22.3 + 3.3	23.0 + 1.6	22.7 + 2.1	23.1 + 1.9	23.7 + 2.1
Occasionally	18.8 + 0.9	21.7 + 1.2	16.3 + 1.5	13.8 + 2.1	13.5 + 2.8	18.7 + 1.1	18.5 + 1.9	18.5 + 1.2	19.6 + 1.1	20.8 + 1.9	18.2 + 1.8	15.5 + 1.6
Rarely	5.6 + 0.6	7.6 + 1.0	4.3 + 0.9	2.7 + 0.9	1.6 + 0.8	5.6 + 0.7	5.9 + 1.4	4.5 + 0.5	6.2 + 1.0	6.4 + 1.4	5.7 + 1.3	3.9 + 0.8
Never	1.3 + 0.2	1.6 + 0.3	1.3 + 0.4	0.2 + 0.3	0.3 + 0.3	1.1 + 0.3	1.6 + 0.4	1.4 + 0.8	1.6 + 0.4	1.3 + 0.7	1.4 + 0.6	0.6 + 0.3
Sample size	10,881	4,636	2,855	1,724	1,456	6,482	3,333	1,066	4,081	1,528	2,095	3,177
Weighted total	464,330	224,863	179,497	30,303	19,913	298,701	137,979	27,650	191,648	79,887	87,220	105,575

Table S 74C (cont.)

How Often in the Last Month Felt Pleased with Yourself
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Civilian Spouse				
										Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
All of the time	10.3% ± 1.3	9.3% ± 4.6	13.1% ± 3.9	7.7% ± 3.4	13.1% ± 2.2	10.9% ± 1.7	11.6% ± 2.6	6.2% ± 2.4	5.0% ± 1.4	6.9% ± 2.4	10.5% ± 1.4	10.9% ± 1.2		
Most of the time	35.7 ± 2.0	39.0 ± 8.3	41.9 ± 5.7	41.1 ± 5.3	38.9 ± 3.4	42.8 ± 2.7	48.5 ± 3.9	46.1 ± 4.2	52.2 ± 3.8	51.4 ± 3.9	44.1 ± 2.4	44.6 ± 2.4		
More often than not	24.5 ± 1.5	19.7 ± 6.3	22.4 ± 4.7	25.5 ± 4.4	21.3 ± 2.7	20.8 ± 2.4	22.9 ± 3.0	29.2 ± 4.4	26.7 ± 3.3	27.5 ± 3.3	22.8 ± 1.7	21.5 ± 2.0		
Occasionally	20.9 ± 1.8	24.2 ± 6.1	18.0 ± 3.7	16.9 ± 3.7	19.9 ± 2.9	18.3 ± 1.7	12.5 ± 2.9	15.1 ± 2.9	13.7 ± 3.2	12.4 ± 2.5	17.5 ± 1.6	16.6 ± 1.2		
Rarely	7.1 ± 1.2	7.4 ± 4.7	3.3 ± 2.1	8.1 ± 3.6	5.2 ± 1.0	5.6 ± 1.1	3.6 ± 1.8	3.1 ± 1.8	2.3 ± 1.3	1.7 ± 1.0	4.0 ± 0.8	5.2 ± 0.8		
Never	1.5 ± 0.4	0.5 ± 0.9	1.3 ± 1.3	0.7 ± 0.8	1.6 ± 0.8	1.6 ± 0.6	1.1 ± 0.8	0.2 ± 0.4	0.2 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	1.1 ± 0.5	1.1 ± 0.5		
Sample size	2,856	243	479	420	1,276	1,898	632	629	890	899	3,240	3,120		
Weighted total	174,608	10,897	15,138	13,978	54,118	85,760	40,818	10,170	13,926	15,451	120,374	106,273		

Table S 740

How Often in the Last Month Felt Lonely
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOJ	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCNUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Services	TDA
All of the time	6.0% + 0.8	8.8% + 1.1	4.1% + 0.8	1.6% + 0.8	0.5% + 0.5	5.2% + 0.9	6.7% + 1.6	10.3% + 3.4	6.9% + 1.1	6.6% + 2.2	6.4% + 1.1	3.4% + 1.0
Most of the time	10.2 + 0.9	14.6 + 1.3	6.4 + 1.2	6.6 + 1.4	2.5 + 1.0	9.5 + 1.2	11.2 + 1.7	12.2 + 4.7	12.0 + 1.0	10.8 + 1.9	10.0 + 1.5	6.6 + 1.6
More often than not	9.9 + 0.7	11.7 + 1.1	8.8 + 1.0	8.3 + 1.7	2.7 + 1.1	10.1 + 1.1	9.7 + 1.0	9.8 + 0.8	9.5 + 1.0	12.1 + 2.5	11.3 + 1.5	8.0 + 1.8
Occasionally	29.4 + 0.8	30.0 + 1.8	29.4 + 1.6	30.6 + 3.1	23.9 + 1.7	28.8 + 0.8	30.8 + 2.1	28.8 + 1.8	30.5 + 1.6	28.8 + 2.2	29.9 + 2.2	27.3 + 1.7
Rarely	27.7 + 1.2	22.4 + 1.4	30.9 + 1.5	33.1 + 2.6	42.5 + 3.0	28.7 + 1.5	26.3 + 2.5	23.3 + 5.1	25.2 + 1.6	25.9 + 2.0	27.3 + 1.8	33.8 + 2.3
Never	18.9 + 0.9	12.5 + 1.3	20.4 + 1.3	19.7 + 2.2	28.0 + 3.7	17.7 + 1.4	15.3 + 1.2	15.7 + 1.2	15.9 + 1.3	15.8 + 2.2	15.2 + 1.5	20.8 + 1.7
Sample size	10,880	4,637	2,861	1,721	1,451	6,480	3,336	1,064	4,082	1,527	2,093	3,178
Weighted total	464,508	224,666	179,972	30,252	19,864	298,533	138,389	27,588	191,644	79,935	87,228	105,702

Table S 74E
How Often In the Last Month Felt Afraid
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
All of the time	1.4% ± 0.3	1.9% ± 0.4	1.1% ± 0.4	0.5% ± 0.4	0.1% ± 0.2	1.4% ± 0.4	1.0% ± 0.4	2.6% ± 0.5	1.3% ± 0.4	1.5% ± 0.8	1.8% ± 0.8	1.1% ± 0.6
Most of the time	2.8 ± 0.4	3.4 ± 0.5	2.7 ± 0.7	1.8 ± 1.3	0.3 ± 0.3	2.7 ± 0.4	3.2 ± 0.7	2.2 ± 0.2	3.1 ± 0.7	3.1 ± 1.0	2.4 ± 0.8	2.2 ± 0.7
More often than not	5.3 ± 0.6	7.0 ± 0.9	4.2 ± 1.0	2.8 ± 0.9	1.7 ± 0.7	5.5 ± 0.9	4.7 ± 0.8	5.2 ± 1.2	5.2 ± 0.9	5.8 ± 1.5	6.5 ± 1.5	4.0 ± 0.9
Occasionally	18.8 ± 0.9	20.2 ± 1.0	18.4 ± 1.6	18.7 ± 2.5	11.5 ± 1.1	18.3 ± 1.0	20.1 ± 2.2	17.2 ± 1.4	18.6 ± 1.3	18.4 ± 2.4	21.1 ± 1.9	17.6 ± 1.8
Rarely	40.9 ± 1.1	39.8 ± 1.8	41.1 ± 1.9	45.5 ± 3.1	41.8 ± 2.5	41.6 ± 1.2	39.2 ± 2.5	42.3 ± 3.8	40.5 ± 1.7	41.8 ± 2.7	40.3 ± 2.2	41.5 ± 2.5
Never	30.8 ± 1.2	27.8 ± 1.3	32.6 ± 2.2	33.0 ± 2.9	44.9 ± 3.0	30.4 ± 1.7	31.8 ± 1.7	30.5 ± 4.1	31.3 ± 1.7	29.4 ± 2.3	27.9 ± 2.1	33.8 ± 2.4
Sample size	10,879	4,639	2,858	1,721	1,451	6,480	3,334	1,065	4,089	1,523	2,089	3,178
Weighted total	464,302	224,740	179,737	30,239	19,831	298,609	138,111	27,583	191,814	79,839	87,065	105,785

Table S_74E (cont.)

How Often in the Last Month Felt Afraid
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
All of the time	1.8% ± 0.6	2.7% ± 2.6	1.6% ± 1.6	2.4% ± 1.4	0.9% ± 0.4	1.0% ± 0.5	0.4% ± 0.4	0.4% ± 0.5	0.2% ± 0.3	0.0% ± 0.0	0.5% ± 0.3	1.0% ± 0.4
Most of the time	2.9% ± 0.6	6.0% ± 2.5	4.5% ± 2.2	2.3% ± 1.5	2.1% ± 0.8	3.0% ± 0.9	2.3% ± 1.1	0.7% ± 0.6	1.0% ± 1.0	0.5% ± 0.8	1.8% ± 0.5	2.9% ± 0.8
More often than not	6.2% ± 1.1	9.4% ± 4.1	4.7% ± 1.7	7.7% ± 3.2	4.9% ± 1.5	4.4% ± 1.1	4.2% ± 1.8	2.9% ± 1.9	2.4% ± 1.0	1.3% ± 0.6	4.0% ± 1.0	4.0% ± 0.8
Occasionally	18.7% ± 1.5	23.2% ± 7.1	25.8% ± 6.1	20.0% ± 5.9	19.4% ± 2.1	19.7% ± 2.0	17.6% ± 3.5	14.9% ± 3.0	14.6% ± 4.4	15.0% ± 3.2	19.3% ± 1.5	17.8% ± 1.6
Rarely	42.4% ± 2.2	34.3% ± 6.8	39.1% ± 5.5	42.2% ± 6.1	39.5% ± 2.5	39.7% ± 2.6	40.7% ± 4.2	41.1% ± 3.8	44.0% ± 4.8	42.2% ± 4.1	41.2% ± 1.8	39.6% ± 2.0
Never	28.0% ± 1.6	24.3% ± 7.0	24.2% ± 5.0	25.3% ± 5.5	33.2% ± 2.4	32.2% ± 2.9	34.8% ± 3.5	39.9% ± 4.8	37.8% ± 4.5	41.1% ± 4.2	33.2% ± 1.9	34.7% ± 2.1
Sample size	2,848	243	476	420	1,280	1,906	630	630	886	899	3,243	3,124
Weighted total	174,118	10,897	15,091	14,033	54,147	86,133	40,625	10,184	13,873	15,437	120,485	106,310

Table S 74f

How Often In the Last Month Felt Hopeful
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
All of the time	15.8% ± 0.9	16.5% ± 1.3	16.4% ± 1.9	11.7% ± 1.7	10.9% ± 1.2	15.2% ± 1.1	16.7% ± 1.5	16.9% ± 4.1	15.9% ± 1.3	15.3% ± 2.0	17.2% ± 2.7	14.7% ± 1.6
Most of the time	27.3 ± 1.3	24.2 ± 1.4	27.9 ± 1.7	34.8 ± 2.1	41.3 ± 2.8	27.4 ± 1.8	26.8 ± 1.6	28.6 ± 3.9	25.3 ± 2.0	26.7 ± 2.9	26.5 ± 1.8	32.0 ± 1.9
More often than not	20.1 ± 0.9	19.2 ± 1.3	19.9 ± 1.9	25.4 ± 2.0	23.9 ± 2.5	20.5 ± 1.2	19.6 ± 1.3	19.7 ± 4.1	19.4 ± 1.5	20.0 ± 2.6	18.6 ± 2.2	22.9 ± 1.9
Occasionally	21.8 ± 1.2	22.5 ± 1.6	21.9 ± 1.8	19.6 ± 1.9	17.7 ± 1.7	21.9 ± 1.6	22.0 ± 1.9	20.3 ± 4.2	22.2 ± 1.7	22.2 ± 2.6	23.2 ± 2.0	19.6 ± 2.3
Rarely	10.0 ± 0.6	12.1 ± 1.1	8.5 ± 1.3	6.5 ± 1.4	4.7 ± 1.4	16.0 ± 0.8	9.9 ± 1.1	9.7 ± 2.6	11.1 ± 1.2	10.8 ± 1.7	9.8 ± 1.5	7.4 ± 1.4
Never	5.0 ± 0.5	5.5 ± 0.8	5.4 ± 0.9	2.0 ± 0.7	1.4 ± 0.7	5.0 ± 0.7	5.1 ± 1.1	4.8 ± 1.5	6.0 ± 1.0	5.0 ± 1.4	4.6 ± 1.0	3.4 ± 0.9
Sample size	10,885	4,650	2,863	1,715	1,448	6,488	3,334	1,063	4,094	1,528	2,090	3,173
Weighted total	465,011	225,199	180,129	30,155	19,808	299,130	138,395	27,485	192,343	79,937	87,117	105,613

Table S 74F (cont.)

How Often In the Last Month Felt Hopeful
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed		
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+				
All of the time	15.5% ± 1.4	16.7% ± 5.9	13.5% ± 3.4	18.6% ± 3.8	17.3% ± 2.0	16.0% ± 2.3	15.8% ± 2.6	10.4% ± 2.2	11.0% ± 2.6	10.3% ± 1.8	15.0% ± 1.6	15.8% ± 1.9		
Most of the time	25.0 ± 1.5	26.2 ± 5.8	30.5 ± 5.5	28.7 ± 4.9	28.0 ± 3.3	27.7 ± 2.7	27.5 ± 3.6	38.0 ± 5.3	36.8 ± 3.4	39.0 ± 4.3	29.7 ± 2.3	29.1 ± 1.9		
More often than not	19.7 ± 1.6	17.9 ± 4.3	21.1 ± 4.5	21.3 ± 5.3	20.6 ± 2.5	20.5 ± 2.5	20.2 ± 2.9	22.4 ± 4.0	23.9 ± 3.4	25.5 ± 3.8	21.0 ± 1.7	20.6 ± 2.2		
Occasionally	22.2 ± 1.8	25.9 ± 7.3	20.2 ± 3.4	18.7 ± 3.9	22.6 ± 3.4	21.5 ± 2.6	23.1 ± 4.3	19.2 ± 3.7	19.9 ± 2.9	17.3 ± 2.7	21.3 ± 2.0	21.7 ± 2.3		
Rarely	12.3 ± 1.2	8.5 ± 4.1	8.9 ± 2.8	8.6 ± 3.7	8.2 ± 1.6	8.5 ± 1.5	8.5 ± 2.5	7.5 ± 2.8	6.1 ± 2.1	6.3 ± 2.4	7.9 ± 1.3	8.5 ± 1.3		
Never	5.3 ± 0.9	4.8 ± 3.6	5.8 ± 3.2	4.0 ± 2.5	5.3 ± 1.5	5.6 ± 1.3	5.0 ± 1.7	2.5 ± 2.0	2.3 ± 1.0	1.6 ± 1.2	5.0 ± 1.1	4.4 ± 1.0		
Sample size	2,852	242	476	421	1,281	1,907	633	630	884	896	3,245	3,120		
Weighted total	174,382	10,833	15,144	14,056	54,197	86,266	40,760	10,192	13,840	15,412	120,627	106,427		

Table S_75A

How Often Feel Too Tired to Enjoy Doing Things
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Almost every day	22.7% + 1.5 - 1.0	23.8% + 1.7 - 1.3	22.3% + 2.0 - 1.8	22.1% + 2.8 - 2.8	17.4% + 2.9 - 2.7	22.6% + 1.9 - 1.3	22.7% + 2.6 - 1.8	24.7% + 2.3 - 3.0	23.8% + 1.9 - 1.6	25.3% + 3.1 - 3.1	23.7% + 2.2 - 2.6	18.1% + 2.6 - 2.3
About 2-3 times a week	35.1 + 1.4 - 1.4	35.6 + 1.6 - 1.6	34.2 + 2.3 - 2.3	39.2 + 2.8 - 2.8	32.0 + 2.0 - 2.0	34.6 + 1.8 - 1.8	35.9 + 2.4 - 2.4	36.0 + 3.6 - 3.6	37.4 + 1.9 - 1.9	37.0 + 2.3 - 2.3	35.5 + 2.5 - 2.5	29.0 + 1.5 - 1.5
About once a week	22.3 + 1.0 - 1.0	23.0 + 1.3 - 1.3	21.1 + 1.8 - 1.8	21.3 + 2.8 - 2.8	24.0 + 2.7 - 2.7	22.3 + 1.3 - 1.3	22.4 + 1.8 - 1.8	21.2 + 3.0 - 3.0	21.8 + 1.8 - 1.6	21.6 + 3.1 - 3.1	22.6 + 2.6 - 2.6	23.3 + 2.3 - 2.3
About 2-3 times a month	8.5 + 0.9 - 0.9	7.5 + 1.1 - 1.1	9.5 + 1.5 - 1.5	7.1 + 1.4 - 1.4	11.6 + 1.3 - 1.3	8.8 + 1.3 - 1.3	8.0 + 1.1 - 1.1	7.6 + 2.5 - 2.5	7.5 + 1.2 - 1.2	8.2 + 2.0 - 2.0	7.8 + 1.3 - 1.3	11.2 + 1.5 - 1.5
About once a month	4.5 + 0.6 - 0.6	4.2 + 0.7 - 0.7	5.0 + 1.1 - 1.1	4.3 + 1.1 - 1.1	4.8 + 1.5 - 1.5	4.4 + 0.7 - 0.7	5.0 + 1.5 - 1.5	3.7 + 1.9 - 1.9	4.3 + 0.9 - 0.9	3.2 + 0.8 - 0.8	3.7 + 1.0 - 1.0	6.4 + 1.4 - 1.4
Almost never	6.9 + 0.9 - 0.9	5.9 + 0.7 - 0.7	8.0 + 1.4 - 1.4	5.9 + 1.6 - 1.6	10.2 + 1.8 - 1.8	7.3 + 1.2 - 1.2	6.1 + 1.5 - 1.5	6.8 + 2.1 - 2.1	5.2 + 0.8 - 0.8	4.7 + 1.6 - 1.6	6.7 + 1.0 - 1.0	11.9 + 1.3 - 1.3
Sample size	10,953	4,664	2,884	1,734	1,460	6,519	3,358	1,076	4,114	1,532	2,105	3,202
Weighted total	467,010	225,369	181,306	30,616	19,926	300,429	138,804	27,777	192,960	80,027	87,513	106,510

Table S.758

How Often Feel Charged Up by Work Accomplishments
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Almost every day	5.0% ± 0.5	4.2% ± 0.7	6.4% ± 0.9	2.9% ± 0.9	4.7% ± 1.3	4.9% ± 0.7	5.0% ± 0.7	6.1% ± 1.7	4.5% ± 0.9	3.7% ± 0.9	6.1% ± 1.4	5.9% ± 1.1
About 2-3 times a week	18.5 ± 0.9	15.7 ± 1.1	21.3 ± 1.7	22.4 ± 2.7	18.5 ± 2.1	19.3 ± 1.1	16.9 ± 1.7	17.4 ± 1.8	18.3 ± 1.2	15.3 ± 2.0	19.4 ± 1.9	20.4 ± 2.1
About once a week	28.6 ± 1.0	25.5 ± 1.5	30.1 ± 1.6	38.7 ± 2.4	32.6 ± 2.3	28.7 ± 1.4	27.9 ± 1.4	31.5 ± 2.3	27.1 ± 1.4	30.9 ± 2.0	28.2 ± 2.5	29.9 ± 1.8
About 2-3 times a month	13.6 ± 0.7	12.6 ± 1.1	13.7 ± 1.2	16.1 ± 2.3	19.9 ± 2.0	13.5 ± 0.8	14.1 ± 1.8	13.0 ± 2.2	13.1 ± 1.2	13.5 ± 2.1	13.5 ± 1.6	14.8 ± 1.4
About once a month	13.5 ± 0.7	15.3 ± 0.9	11.6 ± 1.2	11.1 ± 1.7	14.3 ± 2.2	12.9 ± 0.9	14.6 ± 1.0	13.6 ± 2.9	14.2 ± 0.9	13.5 ± 1.9	13.4 ± 1.3	12.2 ± 1.7
Almost never	20.8 ± 1.2	26.7 ± 1.4	16.9 ± 1.6	10.8 ± 1.8	9.9 ± 1.5	20.8 ± 1.4	21.5 ± 2.3	18.4 ± 2.5	22.7 ± 1.3	23.2 ± 2.8	19.5 ± 2.4	16.7 ± 1.8
Sample size	10,924	4,650	2,876	1,731	1,457	6,499	3,351	1,074	4,104	1,577	2,100	3,193
Weighted total	465,493	224,500	180,831	30,509	19,893	299,295	138,421	27,777	192,337	79,628	87,434	106,094

Table S. 758 (cont.)

How Often Feel Charged Up by Work Accomplishments
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Almost every day	4.9% ± 0.8	4.4% ± 3.0	2.7% ± 2.0	3.2% ± 2.3	3.6% ± 1.2	5.1% ± 1.2	6.6% ± 1.8	3.6% ± 2.0	2.7% ± 1.5	5.3% ± 1.4	4.7% ± 0.9	5.0% ± 0.8
About 2-3 times a week	16.7 ± 1.4	19.0 ± 7.4	17.4 ± 3.8	18.9 ± 4.8	18.2 ± 2.8	19.2 ± 1.9	19.7 ± 4.0	21.9 ± 4.0	18.5 ± 3.7	20.0 ± 3.4	18.6 ± 1.9	20.1 ± 2.0
About once a week	25.3 ± 1.8	25.3 ± 6.8	30.1 ± 4.9	33.9 ± 6.8	28.4 ± 3.0	29.8 ± 2.5	30.5 ± 3.8	32.9 ± 4.2	39.1 ± 3.6	33.9 ± 3.1	30.6 ± 2.1	30.9 ± 1.8
About 2-3 times a month	13.0 ± 1.5	10.8 ± 3.8	18.0 ± 4.6	14.1 ± 3.7	13.4 ± 2.5	14.4 ± 1.7	14.8 ± 3.2	17.2 ± 4.3	17.4 ± 3.1	17.8 ± 2.8	15.8 ± 1.7	13.3 ± 1.3
About once a month	14.7 ± 1.2	13.8 ± 4.3	12.4 ± 3.1	12.7 ± 4.5	14.4 ± 1.8	12.9 ± 1.6	12.5 ± 3.4	13.7 ± 2.7	12.1 ± 3.0	11.5 ± 2.7	13.1 ± 1.3	12.5 ± 1.1
Almost never	25.4 ± 1.9	26.7 ± 7.1	21.4 ± 4.0	17.1 ± 4.6	21.9 ± 2.2	18.7 ± 2.5	16.2 ± 3.1	10.6 ± 3.0	10.2 ± 2.5	11.5 ± 3.1	17.2 ± 1.8	18.1 ± 1.5
Sample size	2,855	244	479	423	1,290	1,912	635	630	888	901	3,255	3,139
Weighted total	174,036	10,917	15,132	14,043	54,535	86,547	40,944	10,185	13,870	15,445	120,807	107,115

Table S 75C

How Often Feel in a Good Mood and Ready to Have Fun
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Almost every day	18.8% + 1.0	17.6% + 1.5	17.1% + 1.8	10.8% + 2.1	14.5% + 1.9	17.8% + 1.3	14.9% + 1.5	16.3% + 5.5	16.3% + 1.2	14.5% + 2.2	15.5% + 2.0	20.6% + 1.9
About 2-3 times a week	30.9 + 0.8	31.0 + 1.3	30.5 + 1.9	31.3 + 2.9	32.5 + 2.8	31.1 + 1.0	30.4 + 1.5	31.0 + 3.8	30.8 + 1.2	31.3 + 2.6	30.0 + 2.4	31.6 + 1.6
About once a week	27.0 + 1.1	26.1 + 1.4	26.8 + 2.2	32.3 + 2.4	28.2 + 1.9	28.0 + 1.3	28.9 + 2.1	27.3 + 7.0	26.6 + 1.4	28.9 + 2.6	29.0 + 3.0	24.5 + 1.9
About 2-3 times a month	10.2 + 0.5	9.7 + 1.0	10.1 + 1.1	13.3 + 2.2	13.4 + 1.8	9.9 + 0.7	10.8 + 1.0	10.8 + 1.1	10.6 + 1.0	9.4 + 1.5	10.8 + 1.8	9.7 + 1.2
About once a month	8.4 + 0.6	6.3 + 0.9	6.4 + 0.9	6.4 + 1.2	5.9 + 1.4	6.3 + 0.7	6.5 + 1.4	6.7 + 0.8	6.8 + 1.0	5.9 + 1.1	5.8 + 1.1	6.3 + 1.0
Almost never	8.7 + 0.6	9.3 + 0.9	9.0 + 1.0	5.8 + 1.5	5.5 + 1.5	8.9 + 0.8	8.5 + 1.1	7.8 + 2.5	8.9 + 0.9	10.0 + 1.3	8.9 + 1.7	7.3 + 1.1
Sample size	10,930	4,652	2,878	1,727	1,463	6,510	3,344	1,076	4,102	1,529	2,099	3,200
Weighted total	465,865	224,775	180,885	30,472	19,972	299,894	138,176	27,795	192,304	79,823	87,360	106,377

Table S_750

How Often Feel In Such a Bad Mood that I'm Difficult to be Around
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Almost every day	6.6% + 0.7	8.6% + 1.0	5.5% + 0.8	2.9% + 0.9	1.7% + 0.7	6.4% + 0.9	7.1% + 1.4	6.0% + 1.5	7.1% + 1.0	8.5% + 1.5	6.9% + 1.5	4.1% + 0.9
About 2-3 times a week	15.2 + 1.0	17.9 + 1.0	13.8 + 1.8	10.2 + 1.8	8.0 + 1.4	15.2 + 1.4	15.0 + 1.5	15.4 + 4.1	17.2 + 1.4	15.7 + 1.8	15.9 + 1.6	10.6 + 1.7
About once a week	18.8 + 0.9	19.7 + 1.2	18.0 + 1.7	18.7 + 2.5	14.6 + 2.0	18.3 + 1.2	20.0 + 1.7	17.7 + 2.8	20.7 + 1.3	18.5 + 1.8	17.9 + 1.9	16.3 + 2.0
About 2-3 times a month	15.6 + 0.8	15.0 + 1.3	15.4 + 1.3	19.9 + 3.0	17.2 + 1.5	15.2 + 1.2	18.2 + 1.2	17.1 + 2.6	15.2 + 1.2	17.4 + 1.8	15.5 + 2.0	15.2 + 1.4
About once a month	17.5 + 1.3	16.0 + 1.4	18.2 + 2.0	19.3 + 2.5	23.4 + 3.5	17.3 + 1.5	17.7 + 2.6	17.5 + 1.2	16.5 + 1.4	15.6 + 2.2	17.8 + 2.6	20.2 + 2.2
Almost never	28.3 + 1.4	22.8 + 1.4	29.0 + 1.9	28.9 + 2.6	35.1 + 2.5	27.5 + 1.7	23.9 + 2.3	26.4 + 5.8	23.3 + 1.3	24.3 + 2.5	28.0 + 3.3	33.6 + 2.2
Sample size	10,910	4,639	2,876	1,724	1,461	6,485	3,350	1,075	4,092	1,523	2,102	3,193
Weighted total	464,683	224,039	180,643	30,345	19,925	298,670	138,253	27,759	191,852	79,312	87,505	106,013

Table S 76A

Luck Is More Important Than Hard Work for Success
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCNUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	3.8% ± 0.4	4.4% ± 0.7	3.5% ± 0.6	2.2% ± 0.7	2.6% ± 0.8	3.7% ± 0.5	4.1% ± 0.6	3.7% ± 1.9	3.7% ± 0.7	4.1% ± 1.2	4.4% ± 1.0	3.1% ± 0.6
Agree	10.0 ± 0.7	10.0 ± 1.1	10.1 ± 1.1	10.4 ± 2.0	10.2 ± 1.5	9.8 ± 0.9	10.6 ± 1.0	9.7 ± 3.0	10.6 ± 1.2	9.7 ± 1.5	9.8 ± 1.4	9.4 ± 1.6
Neither agree nor disagree	23.4 ± 1.1	26.0 ± 1.8	22.2 ± 1.4	18.2 ± 1.9	18.0 ± 1.9	23.1 ± 1.1	23.8 ± 2.5	24.1 ± 4.0	24.4 ± 1.5	23.4 ± 2.9	24.5 ± 2.2	20.6 ± 1.8
Disagree	40.2 ± 1.3	39.3 ± 1.9	40.2 ± 2.0	44.3 ± 3.4	41.5 ± 2.7	41.0 ± 1.4	38.4 ± 2.6	39.4 ± 5.2	39.1 ± 1.9	39.9 ± 4.4	39.1 ± 2.6	43.1 ± 1.8
Strongly disagree	22.6 ± 1.0	20.3 ± 1.6	24.0 ± 1.7	24.9 ± 3.3	27.8 ± 3.5	22.3 ± 1.0	23.1 ± 2.4	23.1 ± 1.7	22.1 ± 1.5	22.8 ± 2.5	22.1 ± 2.7	23.7 ± 1.9
Sample size	10,967	4,674	2,884	1,734	1,464	6,534	3,360	1,073	4,117	1,535	2,107	3,208
Weighted total	468,181	226,438	181,356	30,622	19,973	301,592	138,934	27,656	193,550	80,307	87,691	106,633

Table S-768

Every Time I Try to Get Ahead I'm Stopped
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	8.2% ± 0.9	11.2% ± 1.1	6.8% ± 1.1	1.7% ± 0.7	0.7% ± 0.4	8.2% ± 1.3	8.4% ± 1.2	7.9% ± 2.3	9.2% ± 0.8	10.3% ± 2.1	8.3% ± 1.6	4.8% ± 1.3
Agree	28.3 ± 1.4	35.0 ± 1.5	26.3 ± 1.5	11.2 ± 2.1	4.2 ± 1.2	27.4 ± 1.8	30.6 ± 2.2	27.5 ± 4.5	32.1 ± 1.5	29.8 ± 3.6	28.2 ± 2.0	20.4 ± 3.0
Neither agree nor disagree	31.9 ± 0.9	32.7 ± 1.5	33.5 ± 1.9	28.6 ± 2.3	17.8 ± 2.6	31.5 ± 1.3	33.0 ± 1.2	31.6 ± 3.8	31.5 ± 1.6	32.8 ± 1.8	32.9 ± 2.5	31.3 ± 2.2
Disagree	25.8 ± 1.5	18.1 ± 1.6	27.6 ± 1.8	49.0 ± 2.5	54.7 ± 2.1	27.1 ± 2.0	22.9 ± 2.4	26.3 ± 3.9	22.7 ± 1.7	22.5 ± 3.0	25.5 ± 2.5	34.3 ± 3.1
Strongly disagree	5.7 ± 0.7	3.0 ± 0.6	5.8 ± 1.1	11.5 ± 1.6	22.7 ± 2.6	5.8 ± 0.9	5.1 ± 0.8	6.8 ± 1.7	4.6 ± 0.7	4.5 ± 1.5	5.0 ± 1.2	9.1 ± 1.5
Sample size	10,965	4,673	2,882	1,734	1,465	6,531	3,362	1,072	4,114	1,536	2,108	3,207
Weighted total	468,211	226,494	181,296	30,630	19,997	301,445	139,124	27,642	193,389	80,357	87,841	106,624

Table S 76C

Planning Makes a Person Unhappy, Since Plans Rarely Work Out
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOJ	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	5.3% ± 0.7	7.3% ± 0.9	4.3% ± 0.9	1.0% ± 0.5	0.8% ± 0.5	5.3% ± 0.9	5.6% ± 1.2	5.0% ± 2.2	6.6% ± 0.8	6.1% ± 2.2	5.3% ± 1.1	2.4% ± 0.9
Agree	17.2 ± 1.5	21.1 ± 1.6	16.5 ± 1.8	5.1 ± 1.3	2.1 ± 0.5	17.0 ± 1.9	18.1 ± 2.7	14.8 ± 2.9	20.1 ± 2.1	20.6 ± 3.4	17.3 ± 2.6	9.3 ± 1.7
Neither agree nor disagree	27.1 ± 1.3	29.9 ± 1.9	28.4 ± 1.8	15.1 ± 2.3	7.1 ± 1.5	28.9 ± 1.9	27.9 ± 1.6	25.8 ± 2.0	28.2 ± 2.2	27.9 ± 2.8	27.3 ± 2.3	24.3 ± 3.4
Disagree	37.1 ± 1.8	31.3 ± 1.5	38.5 ± 2.7	54.6 ± 2.4	54.2 ± 3.0	37.3 ± 2.5	36.4 ± 2.3	37.4 ± 4.2	34.0 ± 2.0	33.0 ± 3.5	38.1 ± 2.3	44.8 ± 3.1
Strongly disagree	13.3 ± 1.1	10.4 ± 1.2	12.3 ± 1.4	24.2 ± 2.7	35.8 ± 3.3	13.6 ± 1.3	12.0 ± 1.9	17.0 ± 5.4	11.0 ± 1.3	12.5 ± 2.4	12.0 ± 2.0	19.2 ± 2.6
Sample size	10,945	4,667	2,875	1,731	1,461	6,518	3,356	1,071	4,109	1,534	2,104	3,198
Weighted total	467,280	228,221	180,776	30,545	19,946	300,797	138,853	27,630	193,191	80,238	87,628	106,223

Table S 76C (cont.)

Planning Makes a Person Unhappy, Since Plans Rarely Work Out
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children
	Enlisted					Officer				
	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 0-5	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Strongly agree	6.3% ± 1.0	6.1% ± 4.8	3.9% ± 2.5	4.8% ± 2.6	6.1% ± 1.5	5.1% ± 1.1	3.7% ± 1.4	1.5% ± 1.3	0.7% ± 0.8	3.4% ± 0.8
Agree	19.3 ± 1.8	14.9 ± 4.9	13.4 ± 3.3	15.5 ± 4.3	18.7 ± 2.6	18.6 ± 2.3	17.5 ± 3.3	3.7 ± 1.6	4.3 ± 1.8	14.5 ± 2.0
Neither agree nor disagree	28.9 ± 1.9	24.2 ± 6.9	25.8 ± 4.0	22.7 ± 4.4	27.7 ± 2.7	29.6 ± 2.2	29.1 ± 5.2	11.9 ± 2.8	8.9 ± 2.4	25.8 ± 2.0
Disagree	33.3 ± 1.9	41.4 ± 7.4	42.6 ± 5.0	44.1 ± 6.2	35.3 ± 3.0	35.9 ± 2.5	39.1 ± 5.0	51.0 ± 4.8	55.7 ± 3.9	40.6 ± 2.6
Strongly disagree	12.2 ± 1.6	13.5 ± 3.8	14.2 ± 4.1	13.0 ± 3.7	12.1 ± 2.3	10.8 ± 1.8	10.6 ± 2.9	31.8 ± 4.2	30.4 ± 3.8	15.8 ± 1.8
Sample size	2,868	243	481	422	1,291	1,910	636	630	904	3,262
Weighted total	175,479	10,940	15,164	14,012	54,514	88,478	41,014	10,175	15,485	121,136
										106,966

Table S 76D

What Happens to Me Is My Own Doing
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank					Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCNUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	9.1% ± 0.8	9.1% ± 1.4	8.0% ± 1.1	11.4% ± 1.9	13.0% ± 2.2	9.0% ± 1.1	9.2% ± 1.3	9.2% ± 3.1	9.1% ± 1.3	9.1% ± 1.8	8.7% ± 1.6	9.3% ± 1.4
Agree	36.7% ± 1.4	34.3% ± 1.6	35.6% ± 2.0	48.7% ± 3.2	51.5% ± 2.5	37.1% ± 1.8	36.1% ± 2.4	34.6% ± 7.1	35.9% ± 1.8	35.9% ± 3.0	35.6% ± 2.7	39.6% ± 2.6
Neither agree nor disagree	34.1% ± 1.2	34.6% ± 1.7	36.1% ± 1.7	26.4% ± 2.7	24.4% ± 2.3	34.2% ± 1.5	33.7% ± 1.7	34.9% ± 7.7	33.2% ± 1.5	33.9% ± 2.6	35.8% ± 2.5	34.5% ± 2.4
Disagree	18.5% ± 1.1	17.9% ± 1.2	16.6% ± 1.6	11.6% ± 2.0	9.7% ± 1.7	16.4% ± 1.4	16.6% ± 1.9	16.8% ± 2.4	18.5% ± 1.4	16.5% ± 2.3	15.1% ± 1.7	14.1% ± 1.1
Strongly disagree	3.6% ± 0.5	4.1% ± 0.7	3.7% ± 0.7	1.9% ± 0.8	1.4% ± 0.6	3.2% ± 0.6	4.3% ± 0.8	4.5% ± 2.4	3.3% ± 0.7	4.6% ± 1.3	4.7% ± 1.3	2.7% ± 0.7
Sample size	10,931	4,658	2,877	1,728	1,458	6,505	3,355	1,071	4,101	1,529	2,104	3,197
Weighted total	466,764	225,487	181,092	30,545	19,907	300,149	139,011	27,604	192,682	79,972	87,742	106,369

Table S_76E

When I Make Plans, I'm Almost Certain They Will Work Out
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	11.7% ± 0.9	11.2% ± 1.4	11.0% ± 1.1	13.8% ± 2.2	18.1% ± 2.1	11.4% ± 1.1	12.1% ± 1.5	12.8% ± 4.5	11.5% ± 1.7	11.2% ± 2.1	10.7% ± 1.3
Agree	50.1 ± 1.6	45.3 ± 1.8	52.0 ± 2.3	63.8 ± 3.0	63.6 ± 2.7	51.0 ± 2.2	48.0 ± 2.4	51.4 ± 4.8	47.6 ± 2.5	46.7 ± 3.0	50.0 ± 2.5
Neither agree nor disagree	28.4 ± 1.0	29.3 ± 1.5	25.9 ± 1.7	17.7 ± 1.7	14.0 ± 1.9	26.4 ± 1.4	28.0 ± 0.9	28.1 ± 4.1	26.3 ± 1.6	29.1 ± 2.6	28.8 ± 2.5
Disagree	9.4 ± 0.9	11.2 ± 1.2	9.0 ± 1.3	4.3 ± 1.3	3.4 ± 1.0	8.9 ± 1.0	11.2 ± 2.1	6.2 ± 1.0	11.6 ± 1.3	10.6 ± 2.5	7.8 ± 1.4
Strongly disagree	2.3 ± 0.4	3.0 ± 0.5	2.0 ± 0.7	0.4 ± 0.3	0.9 ± 0.6	2.3 ± 0.5	2.6 ± 0.9	1.6 ± 1.0	3.0 ± 0.7	2.3 ± 1.2	2.7 ± 1.0
Sample size	10,949	4,672	2,878	1,730	1,458	6,524	3,356	1,069	4,116	1,534	2,105
Weighted total	467,727	228,370	181,069	30,583	19,912	301,251	138,940	27,535	193,536	80,276	87,705
											106,209

Table S_76E (cont.)

When I Make Plans, I'm Almost Certain They Will Work Out
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted				Civilian Spouse			
	No Children			Children			No Children				Officer			
	No Children	Children	Spouse not Employed	No Children	Children	Spouse not Employed	No Children	Children	Spouse not Employed	Spouse Employed	No Children	Children	Spouse not Employed	Spouse Employed
Strongly agree	11.5% ± 1.6	11.1% ± 5.2	12.8% ± 3.5	10.0% ± 4.3	12.0% ± 1.8	9.9% ± 1.4	10.2% ± 2.6	15.9% ± 3.2	14.3% ± 3.4	17.5% ± 2.8	11.7% ± 1.4	12.1% ± 1.1		
Agree	48.5 ± 1.9	49.8 ± 8.2	52.2 ± 5.3	58.0 ± 6.3	45.1 ± 3.6	50.7 ± 2.6	51.2 ± 4.2	64.1 ± 4.6	60.1 ± 5.7	63.6 ± 3.7	53.6 ± 3.1	49.0 ± 2.4		
Neither agree nor disagree	27.0 ± 1.8	29.5 ± 6.8	25.0 ± 5.0	25.7 ± 5.2	30.0 ± 3.2	26.5 ± 2.4	28.8 ± 3.6	14.7 ± 3.2	19.9 ± 4.3	14.3 ± 2.9	23.8 ± 2.2	27.8 ± 1.9		
Disagree	10.4 ± 1.4	7.4 ± 3.9	9.0 ± 3.8	4.5 ± 2.2	9.4 ± 2.0	10.4 ± 2.0	8.4 ± 2.0	4.6 ± 2.1	5.2 ± 1.9	3.3 ± 1.6	9.0 ± 1.5	8.8 ± 1.3		
Strongly disagree	2.6 ± 0.5	2.2 ± 1.8	1.0 ± 0.7	1.7 ± 1.5	3.5 ± 1.2	2.4 ± 0.7	1.4 ± 1.0	0.8 ± 0.8	0.5 ± 0.6	0.8 ± 0.8	2.0 ± 0.6	2.3 ± 0.8		
Sample size	2,875	244	481	423	1,290	1,916	637	629	886	901	3,258	3,140		
Weighted total	175,795	10,971	15,164	14,016	54,504	86,739	41,108	10,158	13,912	15,445	121,046	107,334		

Table S-77A
Demand of Work Responsibilities
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCNUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
1 - Not at all demanding	3.5% + 0.4	4.4% + 0.7	3.1% + 0.7	1.3% + 0.7	1.2% + 0.5	3.7% + 0.5	3.2% + 0.7	2.9% + 1.5	2.7% + 0.7	5.1% + 1.3	3.5% + 0.9	3.6% + 1.0
2	3.4 + 0.4	4.4 + 0.8	2.4 + 0.6	2.1 + 1.0	2.9 + 1.1	3.4 + 0.5	3.3 + 0.8	2.8 + 0.9	3.2 + 0.6	3.5 + 1.1	3.0 + 0.8	3.7 + 0.8
3	6.1 + 0.6	7.9 + 0.9	4.7 + 0.9	3.9 + 1.0	2.7 + 1.2	6.7 + 0.8	5.0 + 0.7	4.8 + 1.3	6.1 + 0.8	6.2 + 1.5	6.3 + 1.1	5.9 + 1.3
4	15.6 + 0.9	18.9 + 1.5	14.2 + 1.3	7.0 + 1.8	7.3 + 1.8	16.1 + 1.2	15.0 + 1.5	14.4 + 4.3	15.2 + 1.5	15.4 + 2.2	17.7 + 2.3	14.9 + 2.2
5	22.2 + 0.7	24.4 + 1.3	20.0 + 1.4	20.7 + 2.7	20.8 + 2.0	21.6 + 1.0	23.7 + 1.3	21.9 + 1.7	23.6 + 1.5	22.0 + 2.8	21.0 + 1.7	20.9 + 1.7
6	24.9 + 1.1	21.2 + 1.3	26.1 + 2.4	37.0 + 3.1	33.3 + 2.7	24.4 + 1.4	25.7 + 1.6	25.3 + 4.2	26.0 + 1.8	24.3 + 2.1	21.4 + 2.6	26.0 + 2.2
7 - Extremely demanding	24.3 + 1.3	18.8 + 1.6	29.5 + 2.2	28.1 + 2.7	31.8 + 3.7	24.1 + 1.7	24.1 + 2.5	27.8 + 2.8	23.1 + 1.4	23.3 + 2.6	27.2 + 2.7	24.9 + 3.3
Sample size	10,851	4,594	2,856	1,727	1,465	6,480	3,305	1,066	4,083	1,508	2,076	3,184
Weighted total	460,677	220,520	180,002	30,440	19,982	297,618	135,747	27,313	191,119	78,337	85,710	105,512

Table S. 77A (cont.)

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed	Spouse Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
1 - Not at all demanding	5.2% + 1.0	2.8% + 2.4	2.9% + 1.7	2.7% + 2.0	3.3% + 1.4	2.5% + 0.9	1.8% + 0.9	0.6% + 0.5	0.6% + 0.8	2.1% + 1.3	2.1% + 0.8	2.4% + 0.7
2	4.6 + 0.9	2.3 + 2.2	2.6 + 1.7	2.6 + 2.3	2.5 + 0.8	2.5 + 0.9	3.7 + 1.5	1.5 + 0.9	1.5 + 0.7	3.2 + 1.5	3.0 + 0.7	2.2 + 0.5
3	8.1 + 1.2	7.8 + 3.2	3.7 + 2.2	4.3 + 2.1	6.4 + 1.4	4.5 + 1.1	5.0 + 1.9	4.6 + 2.2	2.6 + 1.3	3.6 + 1.4	5.3 + 0.9	4.4 + 0.8
4	18.8 + 1.9	16.3 + 5.1	15.1 + 4.3	15.1 + 4.8	15.6 + 2.1	14.9 + 1.8	13.9 + 3.0	8.2 + 2.5	7.7 + 2.4	7.1 + 1.7	13.5 + 1.3	13.9 + 1.5
5	23.7 + 1.5	22.2 + 6.4	22.0 + 4.6	20.5 + 3.8	23.0 + 2.3	21.2 + 2.0	18.4 + 3.7	21.4 + 4.1	20.7 + 3.1	21.2 + 3.1	20.0 + 1.6	22.8 + 1.4
6	21.2 + 1.7	21.0 + 5.7	26.1 + 4.1	26.3 + 5.1	27.0 + 2.3	26.7 + 2.4	27.4 + 3.4	34.9 + 4.9	35.5 + 3.8	29.7 + 4.4	28.9 + 2.0	26.5 + 1.9
7 - Extremely demanding	18.3 + 1.7	27.6 + 7.3	27.6 + 6.0	28.4 + 6.6	22.2 + 2.7	27.7 + 2.4	29.9 + 4.9	28.6 + 4.3	31.4 + 3.6	33.2 + 3.6	27.2 + 2.1	27.8 + 2.0
Sample size	2,767	244	482	424	1,290	1,917	636	630	894	907	3,266	3,147
Weighted total	168,908	10,983	15,194	14,069	54,509	86,825	41,048	10,168	13,992	15,528	121,213	107,371

Table S_77B
Demand of Family Responsibilities
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
1 - Not at all demanding	5.1% ± 0.8	8.5% ± 1.8	3.2% ± 0.6	5.0% ± 1.6	1.4% ± 0.6	5.5% ± 1.1	4.1% ± 0.9	5.1% ± 2.8	6.4% ± 1.0	5.0% ± 1.9	4.9% ± 1.5	3.4% ± 0.8
2	3.7 ± 0.4	4.9 ± 0.9	2.3 ± 0.6	7.0 ± 2.1	3.7 ± 1.4	3.7 ± 0.5	3.6 ± 0.8	3.9 ± 0.8	3.8 ± 0.5	5.2 ± 1.2	3.1 ± 0.9	3.1 ± 0.6
3	6.3 ± 0.8	6.3 ± 1.4	5.4 ± 1.1	9.9 ± 2.1	7.8 ± 1.3	5.9 ± 0.9	6.7 ± 1.6	8.1 ± 1.7	6.5 ± 1.4	5.8 ± 1.8	6.0 ± 1.4	6.4 ± 1.3
4	14.4 ± 0.7	12.7 ± 1.1	14.2 ± 1.2	17.9 ± 2.5	19.7 ± 2.7	14.1 ± 0.8	14.9 ± 1.6	15.2 ± 1.5	14.2 ± 1.2	12.2 ± 1.8	15.1 ± 2.7	15.5 ± 1.6
5	19.0 ± 1.1	15.6 ± 1.6	19.7 ± 1.6	22.6 ± 2.4	28.0 ± 2.2	19.0 ± 1.5	19.1 ± 1.7	18.8 ± 3.6	17.4 ± 1.4	19.3 ± 2.9	17.8 ± 1.8	22.1 ± 2.2
6	21.9 ± 1.2	19.0 ± 1.3	23.9 ± 2.0	22.8 ± 2.8	24.4 ± 2.5	21.9 ± 1.3	22.3 ± 2.6	19.9 ± 4.3	22.0 ± 2.0	22.6 ± 3.4	20.2 ± 1.9	22.6 ± 2.2
7 - Extremely demanding	29.6 ± 1.3	33.0 ± 1.6	31.3 ± 2.4	14.7 ± 2.5	15.1 ± 2.7	29.8 ± 1.5	29.3 ± 2.7	28.8 ± 2.0	29.6 ± 1.4	30.0 ± 2.9	32.8 ± 2.5	28.9 ± 2.7
Sample size	8,855	3,301	2,537	1,449	1,379	5,399	2,636	820	3,192	1,144	1,681	2,838
Weighted total	337,219	123,369	162,903	23,196	18,885	224,071	93,991	19,158	130,606	52,246	63,557	90,810

Table S 77B (cont.)

Demand of Family Responsibilities
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse													
Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
No Children	Children		No Children	Children		No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
21.9%	1.0%	6.0%	0.8%	3.2%	1.4%	2.1%	2.7%	0.5%	0.7%	2.0%	1.7%		
+ 3.1	+ 1.2	+ 2.5	+ 0.9	+ 1.0	+ 0.6	+ 1.4	+ 1.2	+ 0.4	+ 0.7	+ 0.5	+ 0.6		
11.7	1.6	6.4	0.8	2.5	1.8	1.4	6.8	1.3	1.9	2.2	1.8		
+ 2.2	+ 2.0	+ 1.8	+ 1.2	+ 0.7	+ 0.7	+ 0.9	+ 3.1	+ 0.8	+ 1.0	+ 0.6	+ 0.5		
12.1	2.0	7.2	1.4	8.9	2.5	5.8	17.1	3.4	6.6	6.6	4.3		
+ 3.0	+ 1.9	+ 2.5	+ 1.0	+ 2.3	+ 0.8	+ 1.9	+ 3.6	+ 1.4	+ 1.8	+ 1.1	+ 1.0		
14.7	9.0	19.7	8.5	16.0	10.9	14.6	24.4	15.5	20.1	15.1	13.6		
+ 2.1	+ 4.4	+ 4.4	+ 3.1	+ 2.9	+ 1.6	+ 2.6	+ 3.8	+ 2.3	+ 3.2	+ 1.5	+ 1.5		
14.8	13.1	23.2	20.9	20.3	16.7	20.2	26.2	28.2	29.0	21.8	18.5		
+ 3.0	+ 4.7	+ 4.3	+ 5.1	+ 2.9	+ 1.7	+ 3.5	+ 4.2	+ 3.3	+ 3.8	+ 2.0	+ 1.6		
9.1	20.0	17.2	28.7	21.9	27.9	25.3	16.2	29.8	25.0	24.0	26.8		
+ 1.9	+ 5.3	+ 5.8	+ 5.6	+ 2.9	+ 2.7	+ 3.5	+ 2.9	+ 4.1	+ 3.8	+ 2.1	+ 1.9		
15.7	53.4	20.2	38.9	27.3	38.8	30.5	6.7	21.4	16.7	28.2	33.4		
+ 2.5	+ 7.3	+ 3.9	+ 5.4	+ 3.3	+ 2.8	+ 3.8	+ 2.1	+ 3.0	+ 3.3	+ 2.3	+ 2.3		
885	239	466	423	1,261	1,913	632	621	894	904	3,232	3,130		
50,277	10,647	14,748	14,003	53,202	86,570	40,759	10,046	13,992	15,497	119,558	106,865		

Table S 78A

Success at Dealing with Work Responsibilities
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
1 - Not at all successful	1.5% ± 0.3	2.0% ± 0.6	1.2% ± 0.4	0.4% ± 0.4	0.1% ± 0.2	1.5% ± 0.4	1.4% ± 0.5	1.7% ± 0.5	1.4% ± 0.5	1.9% ± 0.9	1.8% ± 0.9	1.0% ± 0.5
2	1.3 ± 0.3	2.1 ± 0.5	0.7 ± 0.4	0.9 ± 0.6	0.5 ± 0.4	1.3 ± 0.3	1.4 ± 0.5	1.0 ± 0.8	1.4 ± 0.4	1.7 ± 0.7	1.7 ± 0.7	0.8 ± 0.4
3	3.4 ± 0.4	5.1 ± 0.7	2.1 ± 0.5	1.4 ± 0.6	0.8 ± 0.5	3.2 ± 0.5	3.8 ± 0.7	3.6 ± 1.6	3.5 ± 0.7	4.7 ± 1.1	3.6 ± 1.0	2.1 ± 0.7
4	11.4 ± 1.0	15.1 ± 1.1	8.7 ± 1.1	6.7 ± 1.3	5.7 ± 1.3	11.5 ± 1.4	11.2 ± 1.1	11.2 ± 3.1	13.0 ± 1.6	10.6 ± 1.6	12.4 ± 2.0	8.2 ± 1.3
5	24.0 ± 1.0	26.8 ± 1.4	21.5 ± 1.8	23.0 ± 2.1	17.8 ± 2.1	23.6 ± 1.3	25.1 ± 1.8	22.7 ± 3.6	25.5 ± 1.5	28.3 ± 2.9	21.9 ± 2.2	19.7 ± 1.5
6	38.2 ± 1.1	31.4 ± 1.3	38.4 ± 2.0	48.1 ± 2.6	47.6 ± 2.6	38.2 ± 1.5	38.5 ± 2.0	34.1 ± 4.4	35.5 ± 1.9	34.1 ± 2.5	34.9 ± 2.2	40.1 ± 2.5
7 - Extremely successful	22.2 ± 1.3	17.5 ± 1.2	27.4 ± 1.9	21.4 ± 1.9	27.6 ± 2.9	22.6 ± 1.7	20.6 ± 1.7	25.7 ± 2.2	19.8 ± 1.5	18.7 ± 3.1	23.7 ± 2.6	28.0 ± 2.0
Sample size	10,820	4,567	2,852	1,727	1,464	6,456	3,300	1,064	4,065	1,505	2,072	3,178
Weighted total	458,483	218,526	179,806	30,422	19,974	295,745	135,526	27,212	189,819	78,032	85,377	105,255

Table S 78A (cont.)

Success at Dealing with Work Responsibilities
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	6+	No Children	Children	6+	Officer	Spouse not Employed
1 - Not at all successful	2.3% ± 0.7	1.0% ± 1.4	1.3% ± 1.1	0.1% ± 0.3	1.1% ± 0.5	0.8% ± 0.5	1.6% ± 0.7	1.7% ± 1.0	0.6% ± 0.7	0.0% ± 0.0
2	1.9 ± 0.5	0.9 ± 1.3	1.2 ± 1.4	1.1 ± 0.9	1.1 ± 0.5	1.6 ± 0.7	1.6 ± 0.7	0.2 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.6	0.8 ± 0.7
3	4.7 ± 0.8	3.5 ± 2.5	1.3 ± 1.0	1.6 ± 1.2	2.7 ± 0.6	4.2 ± 1.2	4.2 ± 1.2	1.9 ± 1.3	2.4 ± 1.7	1.0 ± 0.8
4	15.0 ± 1.5	12.9 ± 4.9	11.1 ± 3.2	7.6 ± 2.1	9.9 ± 1.7	10.4 ± 1.8	10.4 ± 1.8	7.6 ± 1.9	3.9 ± 1.5	4.9 ± 1.6
5	27.6 ± 1.3	19.6 ± 5.3	20.2 ± 3.3	23.1 ± 5.9	22.1 ± 2.4	24.7 ± 2.6	24.7 ± 2.6	19.1 ± 3.5	20.3 ± 3.7	17.5 ± 2.9
6	31.7 ± 1.7	33.1 ± 6.7	37.0 ± 4.3	39.2 ± 6.4	38.6 ± 2.8	36.6 ± 3.3	36.6 ± 3.3	41.2 ± 3.5	45.3 ± 4.3	48.6 ± 3.5
7 - Extremely successful	16.8 ± 1.5	29.1 ± 6.4	27.9 ± 5.3	27.2 ± 6.1	24.6 ± 2.3	21.7 ± 3.1	21.7 ± 3.1	28.4 ± 4.3	27.0 ± 3.8	27.3 ± 3.4
Sample size	2,740	244	480	424	1,918	1,286	1,286	636	631	896
Weighted total	167,078	10,893	15,113	14,069	86,862	54,284	54,284	41,048	10,189	15,528
										3,265
										907
										121,185
										107,256

Table S 788

Success at Dealing with Family Responsibilities
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCNUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
1 - Not at all successful	3.0% ± 0.5	5.1% ± 0.8	2.0% ± 0.5	1.4% ± 0.8	0.5% ± 0.4	3.0% ± 0.6	2.6% ± 0.8	4.0% ± 1.3	3.8% ± 0.7	3.5% ± 1.4	2.9% ± 1.0	1.4% ± 0.5
2	3.1 ± 0.5	4.2 ± 0.7	2.1 ± 0.6	3.5 ± 1.1	3.1 ± 1.0	2.9 ± 0.6	3.3 ± 0.9	4.6 ± 1.7	3.3 ± 0.6	3.1 ± 1.2	3.9 ± 1.3	2.1 ± 0.8
3	6.0 ± 0.6	7.7 ± 1.1	4.9 ± 0.8	6.4 ± 1.6	5.6 ± 1.6	6.7 ± 0.8	4.6 ± 1.0	4.9 ± 1.9	6.2 ± 1.2	6.9 ± 1.7	5.1 ± 1.4	5.9 ± 1.1
4	12.6 ± 0.7	13.3 ± 1.1	11.6 ± 1.6	14.3 ± 2.5	15.0 ± 2.2	12.1 ± 0.9	13.1 ± 1.3	15.9 ± 1.7	11.6 ± 1.1	11.4 ± 1.7	14.2 ± 2.5	13.5 ± 1.9
5	20.5 ± 0.9	20.1 ± 1.5	19.3 ± 1.4	24.2 ± 1.9	24.9 ± 1.8	20.0 ± 1.1	21.3 ± 1.5	22.3 ± 3.4	20.5 ± 1.5	22.6 ± 3.3	18.7 ± 1.9	20.5 ± 1.0
6	30.2 ± 1.2	25.8 ± 1.4	32.6 ± 1.8	32.1 ± 2.5	34.4 ± 2.4	30.5 ± 1.6	30.6 ± 1.4	25.1 ± 5.2	29.9 ± 1.8	28.9 ± 3.0	28.6 ± 3.0	32.7 ± 1.9
7 - Extremely successful	24.7 ± 1.2	23.7 ± 1.6	27.4 ± 2.1	18.2 ± 2.5	16.5 ± 2.3	24.8 ± 1.6	24.5 ± 1.7	23.3 ± 4.7	24.7 ± 1.5	23.7 ± 2.8	26.5 ± 3.2	23.9 ± 2.6
Sample size	8,802	3,269	2,530	1,436	1,377	5,359	2,624	819	3,162	1,135	1,676	2,829
Weighted total	333,938	120,739	162,745	22,708	18,858	221,532	93,401	19,005	128,761	51,625	63,184	90,368

Table S 788 (cont.)
Success at Dealing with Family Responsibilities
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse										
	Single					Dual Military					Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
1 - Not at all successful	12.8% + 2.5	2.6% + 2.7	1.8% + 1.6	1.1% + 0.8	1.8% + 1.0	1.2% + 0.6	1.0% + 1.0	0.4% + 0.4	0.3% + 0.4	0.5% + 0.5	1.3% + 0.6
2	7.0 + 1.7	2.0 + 1.8	2.2 + 1.6	0.8 + 0.8	2.9 + 1.1	2.6 + 0.8	1.3 + 0.9	2.7 + 1.6	3.1 + 1.4	2.9 + 1.1	2.2 + 0.6
3	12.1 + 2.1	5.1 + 3.2	5.7 + 2.2	6.5 + 2.5	4.8 + 1.2	5.2 + 0.9	4.3 + 2.1	5.1 + 1.9	4.5 + 1.6	6.6 + 2.2	5.2 + 1.0
4	16.5 + 2.5	9.4 + 4.2	9.9 + 3.4	9.2 + 2.6	11.8 + 1.7	12.4 + 1.9	11.3 + 3.0	10.1 + 2.2	14.7 + 2.8	13.2 + 3.3	12.1 + 1.4
5	18.2 + 3.2	22.0 + 6.0	23.0 + 3.5	20.9 + 5.8	19.6 + 2.2	20.4 + 2.1	19.6 + 2.9	25.3 + 3.7	26.3 + 3.0	25.9 + 3.3	20.2 + 1.6
6	17.8 + 2.5	29.5 + 5.8	30.2 + 4.8	31.4 + 4.7	32.2 + 2.9	32.0 + 1.9	35.5 + 4.5	33.8 + 4.7	35.6 + 3.0	32.8 + 3.5	34.2 + 2.4
7 - Extremely successful	15.6 + 2.4	29.5 + 6.3	27.2 + 4.3	30.2 + 5.6	27.0 + 2.9	28.1 + 2.3	26.9 + 4.2	22.6 + 3.4	15.3 + 2.6	18.1 + 2.6	24.9 + 2.2
Sample size	833	242	462	424	1,256	1,913	632	626	896	904	3,237
Weighted total	46,952	10,786	14,621	14,069	53,015	86,644	40,781	10,118	14,016	15,489	119,881
											106,625

ARMY PLANS

Table S 79

If Married, Who Makes The Decision to Stay In or Leave the Army
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Make decision myself	6.9% ± 0.6	8.4% ± 1.2	7.1% ± 1.2	3.3% ± 0.9	3.0% ± 0.8	7.1% ± 0.8	6.5% ± 1.1	7.0% ± 1.4	6.5% ± 1.1	6.8% ± 1.4	7.6% ± 2.1	7.2% ± 1.2
Make decision/consider spouse's opinion	25.4 ± 1.3	24.3 ± 1.2	26.2 ± 2.2	24.7 ± 2.6	24.7 ± 2.2	25.8 ± 1.6	24.2 ± 2.5	26.2 ± 4.0	25.0 ± 2.1	24.2 ± 2.7	24.7 ± 2.5	27.0 ± 2.5
Make the decision together with spouse	65.8 ± 1.5	65.3 ± 1.7	64.7 ± 2.6	70.4 ± 2.8	71.2 ± 2.4	65.4 ± 2.0	68.7 ± 2.3	65.2 ± 4.5	68.9 ± 2.5	68.4 ± 2.9	68.3 ± 2.4	63.7 ± 2.6
Largely decide to do what spouse wants	1.9 ± 0.3	2.0 ± 0.6	2.0 ± 0.5	1.6 ± 0.7	1.1 ± 0.6	1.6 ± 0.5	2.5 ± 0.6	1.7 ± 0.7	1.7 ± 0.5	2.6 ± 1.2	1.5 ± 0.6	2.1 ± 0.7
Sample size	7,930	2,792	2,319	1,342	1,304	4,761	2,431	738	2,812	1,033	1,510	2,575
Weighted total	284,056	87,200	150,978	19,676	17,851	184,915	82,724	16,417	105,446	44,823	53,283	80,503

Table S 79 (cont.)

If Married, Who Makes The Decision to Stay In or Leave the Army
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Enlisted	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Officer
Make decision myself	32.8% + 7.9 -	38.2% + 14.6 -	8.3% + 3.1 -	3.4% + 1.9 -	9.0% + 2.2 -	4.7% + 0.9 -	2.0% + 1.2 -	3.3% + 1.7 -	6.5% + 1.1 -	4.5% + 0.8 -
Make decision/consider spouse's opinion	29.1 + 6.9 -	32.6 + 19.7 -	26.1 + 5.9 -	27.2 + 6.9 -	27.0 + 3.0 -	24.4 + 2.0 -	23.5 + 2.5 -	22.7 + 2.6 -	23.8 + 1.7 -	26.0 + 2.5 -
Make the decision together with spouse	36.1 + 8.5 -	27.1 + 14.2 -	61.8 + 5.6 -	67.4 + 6.9 -	62.5 + 2.7 -	69.0 + 2.4 -	73.6 + 3.0 -	72.7 + 2.6 -	67.9 + 2.0 -	67.8 + 2.4 -
Largely decide to do what spouse wants	2.0 + 2.4 -	2.1 + 4.2 -	3.8 + 2.2 -	2.0 + 1.5 -	1.5 + 0.7 -	2.0 + 0.5 -	1.0 + 0.7 -	1.3 + 0.9 -	1.7 + 0.4 -	1.7 + 0.5 -
Sample size	179	46	474	419	1,276	1,912	891	898	3,248	3,128
Weighted total	7,657	1,807	15,034	13,837	53,792	86,282	13,937	15,261	120,189	106,643

Table S 80

Feeling If Stayed in the Army When Obligation Ends
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Extremely good	18.4% ± 1.2	10.1% ± 1.2	27.2% ± 2.0	22.6% ± 2.1	26.4% ± 3.0	18.5% ± 1.6	17.7% ± 2.2	20.1% ± 4.7	17.2% ± 1.4	14.8% ± 2.7	18.6% ± 1.7	23.0% ± 2.6
Quite good	23.1 ± 1.2	17.7 ± 1.3	26.7 ± 1.7	33.1 ± 2.7	35.3 ± 3.0	22.5 ± 1.5	24.2 ± 2.0	24.5 ± 3.4	19.7 ± 1.7	21.8 ± 1.8	24.4 ± 2.1	29.3 ± 1.9
Slightly good	11.0 ± 0.8	10.7 ± 1.2	11.2 ± 1.3	10.9 ± 1.5	10.2 ± 1.8	10.4 ± 1.0	12.1 ± 1.6	11.8 ± 2.5	11.7 ± 1.4	10.5 ± 2.5	10.4 ± 1.5	10.4 ± 1.4
Neither good nor bad	22.5 ± 1.1	24.0 ± 1.5	21.6 ± 1.8	17.6 ± 2.5	17.8 ± 2.1	22.5 ± 1.3	22.5 ± 2.3	22.2 ± 0.9	23.0 ± 1.6	23.3 ± 2.4	22.6 ± 2.3	20.7 ± 1.7
Slightly bad	6.8 ± 0.6	8.4 ± 0.9	5.1 ± 0.9	6.7 ± 1.6	4.7 ± 1.0	7.1 ± 0.7	6.3 ± 1.2	7.0 ± 2.1	6.7 ± 0.7	8.7 ± 1.5	6.1 ± 1.1	6.2 ± 1.0
Quite bad	6.9 ± 0.8	9.9 ± 1.3	4.0 ± 0.8	4.2 ± 1.4	3.8 ± 1.0	7.1 ± 1.0	6.4 ± 1.2	6.7 ± 2.2	7.8 ± 1.2	6.7 ± 1.6	7.0 ± 1.1	5.3 ± 1.1
Extremely bad	11.3 ± 1.2	19.1 ± 1.9	4.2 ± 0.9	4.8 ± 1.4	1.8 ± 0.7	11.9 ± 1.6	10.8 ± 1.7	7.7 ± 2.8	13.8 ± 1.7	14.2 ± 2.1	10.8 ± 2.0	5.1 ± 0.9
Sample size	10,868	4,639	2,866	1,723	1,434	6,462	3,334	1,072	4,081	1,528	2,097	3,162
Weighted total	464,041	223,996	180,496	30,429	19,569	298,360	137,971	27,710	191,350	79,962	87,237	105,492

Table S_80 (cont.)

Feeling If Stayed In the Army When Obligation Ends
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed	Spouse Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
Extremely good	11.4% + 1.5	24.0% + 5.6	20.8% + 4.6	18.6% + 4.8	16.3% + 2.7	21.9% + 2.2	29.6% + 4.5	25.1% + 4.1	22.9% + 3.4	25.3% + 3.9	22.5% + 2.0	22.1% + 2.0
Quite good	18.5 + 1.7	28.0 + 5.4	22.6 + 5.0	27.9 + 5.8	22.2 + 2.5	25.8 + 2.8	27.0 + 4.1	35.2 + 4.0	31.4 + 3.2	33.0 + 4.0	26.8 + 2.2	25.9 + 1.7
Slightly good	10.0 + 1.5	10.0 + 4.1	13.2 + 3.6	11.8 + 4.9	12.8 + 2.1	11.8 + 1.7	8.7 + 3.0	9.7 + 2.9	14.0 + 2.5	10.7 + 2.9	11.7 + 1.7	11.0 + 1.5
Neither good nor bad	23.2 + 2.1	26.4 + 6.4	18.5 + 4.2	22.9 + 5.3	22.3 + 2.8	22.6 + 2.2	23.0 + 3.9	14.3 + 3.1	18.4 + 3.7	19.6 + 4.2	20.7 + 1.5	23.2 + 2.2
Slightly bad	7.9 + 0.9	3.8 + 2.8	9.8 + 3.0	7.3 + 2.8	7.0 + 1.5	6.5 + 1.3	4.6 + 1.6	5.7 + 2.5	6.3 + 2.3	3.9 + 1.7	5.6 + 0.9	6.6 + 1.2
Quite bad	9.7 + 1.8	3.3 + 2.5	6.5 + 2.9	4.9 + 2.7	7.1 + 1.5	5.4 + 1.0	3.2 + 1.4	6.3 + 2.2	3.4 + 1.1	4.6 + 2.2	6.1 + 1.0	4.5 + 0.7
Extremely bad	19.2 + 2.3	4.3 + 2.5	8.6 + 2.5	6.5 + 2.6	12.3 + 1.9	6.1 + 1.2	4.0 + 1.6	3.7 + 1.7	3.6 + 1.6	2.8 + 1.6	6.7 + 1.3	6.6 + 1.2
Sample size	2,842	238	475	420	1,288	1,908	634	626	889	888	3,248	3,127
Weighted total	173,570	10,662	15,085	14,060	54,555	86,226	40,997	10,047	13,919	15,232	120,712	106,912

Table S 81

of Civilian Jobs Applied for During the Last Year
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank					Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
None	84.2% + 1.1	82.4% + 1.7	85.0% + 1.3	88.1% + 2.1	89.7% + 2.6	81.9% + 1.4	88.8% + 0.9	87.5% + 2.8	83.3% + 1.8	85.5% + 2.1	84.7% + 1.9	84.5% + 1.6
One	5.5% + 0.5	6.4% + 0.8	5.3% + 0.7	3.4% + 1.1	3.0% + 1.3	6.0% + 0.7	4.3% + 0.6	5.8% + 2.3	5.8% + 0.9	4.9% + 1.0	5.7% + 1.1	5.3% + 0.9
Two	4.5% + 0.5	5.4% + 0.9	4.2% + 0.8	2.8% + 1.0	2.4% + 1.3	5.2% + 0.7	3.2% + 0.6	3.9% + 1.0	5.3% + 1.0	3.4% + 0.8	4.4% + 1.2	4.1% + 0.9
Three or more	5.7% + 0.6	5.9% + 0.8	5.5% + 0.8	5.9% + 1.5	4.8% + 1.4	7.0% + 0.8	3.7% + 0.5	2.8% + 0.5	5.8% + 0.7	6.2% + 1.5	5.2% + 1.2	6.1% + 1.3
Sample size	10,530	4,415	2,750	1,708	1,450	6,314	3,202	1,014	3,918	1,460	2,029	3,123
Weighted total	445,844	212,494	173,825	30,162	19,772	289,111	131,123	25,611	182,697	75,805	84,268	103,074

Table S 81 (cont.)

of Civilian Jobs Applied for During the Last Year
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Civilian Spouse				
										Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
None	84.4% + 1.8	85.3% + 5.0	87.6% + 4.2	87.0% + 4.3	80.6% + 2.3	81.9% + 2.1	88.3% + 2.6	87.1% + 4.1	86.9% + 2.5	89.3% + 2.6	83.5% + 1.6	84.4% + 1.9		
One	5.6 + 0.9	6.1 + 2.9	4.1 + 2.3	5.1 + 2.3	7.0 + 1.8	6.3 + 1.2	4.8 + 1.7	4.3 + 2.2	2.8 + 1.3	2.3 + 1.1	5.7 + 1.1	5.1 + 0.8		
Two	4.9 + 1.1	2.9 + 2.3	3.6 + 2.7	4.1 + 3.3	5.3 + 1.3	5.2 + 1.1	2.0 + 1.0	3.5 + 1.7	3.0 + 1.4	2.9 + 1.4	4.2 + 0.8	4.5 + 0.9		
Three or more	5.1 + 1.1	5.6 + 2.9	4.6 + 3.6	3.8 + 2.1	7.2 + 1.5	6.6 + 1.3	5.0 + 1.7	5.1 + 3.0	7.3 + 1.9	5.5 + 1.7	6.7 + 0.9	6.0 + 1.3		
Sample size	2,708	236	469	412	1,235	1,840	606	623	886	896	3,173	3,045		
Weighted total	164,770	10,609	14,887	13,637	52,465	83,356	39,129	10,115	13,842	15,287	116,777	103,611		

Table S 82

Currently Seeking Civilian Job Information
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, currently seeking job information	46.4% + 1.4	50.8% + 2.1	42.0% + 2.1	44.5% + 3.0	37.8% + 4.8	48.8% + 1.7	41.7% + 2.1	42.1% + 2.7	48.0% + 2.2	48.2% + 2.9	43.7% + 2.6	44.3% + 2.6
No, not seeking civilian job information	53.6 + 1.4	49.2 + 2.1	58.0 + 2.1	55.5 + 3.0	62.2 + 4.8	51.2 + 1.7	58.3 + 2.1	57.9 + 2.7	52.0 + 2.2	51.8 + 2.9	56.3 + 2.6	55.7 + 2.6
Sample size	10,410	4,362	2,712	1,688	1,442	6,249	3,163	998	3,879	1,441	1,997	3,093
Weighted total	440,120	209,674	171,300	29,861	19,670	285,813	129,119	25,189	180,559	74,775	82,917	101,869

Table S-82 (cont.)

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Yes, currently seeking job information	49.2% + 2.5	47.9% + 8.5	41.5% + 5.5	41.4% + 4.5	48.0% + 2.8	44.4% + 2.8	43.0% + 4.1	44.9% + 4.2	42.4% + 3.3	42.3% + 3.8	48.4% + 2.3	41.0% + 2.3	
No, not seeking civilian job information	50.8 + 2.5	52.1 + 8.5	58.5 + 5.5	58.6 + 4.5	52.0 + 2.8	55.6 + 2.8	57.0 + 4.1	55.1 + 4.2	57.6 + 3.3	57.7 + 3.8	51.6 + 2.3	59.0 + 2.3	
Sample size	2,678	232	463	405	1,217	1,824	596	618	878	892	3,131	3,022	
Weighted total	162,685	10,412	14,681	13,275	51,680	82,545	38,452	10,034	13,801	15,230	115,158	102,643	

Table S 83A

Stay In or Leave the Army - Opinion of Spouse or Significant Other
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly wants me to stay	23.8% + 1.7	12.0% + 1.3	36.6% + 2.0	22.8% + 1.9	28.5% + 3.5	23.1% + 2.5	24.6% + 2.1	27.4% + 4.5	20.6% + 1.7	21.2% + 2.9	23.8% + 2.5	31.3% + 2.7
Somewhat wants me to stay	21.8 + 1.0	17.6 + 1.3	25.6 + 2.1	24.1 + 2.6	26.5 + 2.2	21.0 + 1.4	23.6 + 1.5	22.0 + 2.3	21.5 + 1.4	19.5 + 2.4	23.3 + 2.0	23.0 + 1.9
Is neutral or has no opinion	15.6 + 1.1	19.2 + 1.8	12.0 + 1.5	15.6 + 2.6	11.8 + 2.1	16.1 + 1.2	14.6 + 2.4	15.7 + 1.8	15.9 + 1.3	17.2 + 2.7	16.3 + 2.3	13.4 + 1.4
Somewhat wants me to leave	14.1 + 0.8	14.7 + 1.1	12.0 + 1.4	18.7 + 2.5	18.2 + 1.8	14.9 + 0.9	13.1 + 1.9	11.1 + 1.3	14.4 + 1.6	13.9 + 1.8	13.2 + 1.9	14.6 + 1.7
Strongly wants me to leave	21.5 + 1.5	32.1 + 1.8	11.8 + 1.5	15.9 + 2.9	14.3 + 2.3	22.2 + 2.0	20.2 + 2.5	19.4 + 2.4	24.5 + 1.8	25.7 + 2.4	19.3 + 2.5	15.1 + 1.4
Don't know what he/she thinks	3.1 + 0.3	4.4 + 0.6	2.1 + 0.6	2.8 + 1.3	0.7 + 0.5	2.7 + 0.4	3.8 + 0.7	4.4 + 1.5	3.1 + 0.7	2.5 + 0.9	4.1 + 1.1	2.8 + 0.8
Sample size	9,734	4,065	2,616	1,528	1,334	5,823	2,989	922	3,632	1,337	1,894	2,871
Weighted total	401,825	182,788	166,246	25,571	18,298	261,224	117,616	22,985	163,790	67,163	76,311	94,560

Table S_83A (cont.)

Stay In or Leave the Army - Opinion of Spouse or Significant Other
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5					
Strongly wants me to stay	9.8% + 1.9	25.1% + 5.6	22.9% + 5.5	35.3% + 5.7	22.9% + 3.0	30.2% + 1.7	40.6% + 4.4	24.9% + 4.2	24.9% + 3.5	28.8% + 4.4	30.1% + 2.5	29.1% + 2.3		
Somewhat wants me to stay	13.5 + 1.5	23.4 + 6.7	21.8 + 5.8	28.3 + 6.4	23.4 + 3.6	28.3 + 2.7	23.4 + 3.0	25.5 + 4.6	28.6 + 4.2	26.9 + 3.7	26.4 + 1.9	25.4 + 2.6		
Is neutral or has no opinion	23.3 + 2.5	17.5 + 4.7	15.5 + 3.9	12.1 + 3.3	12.9 + 2.2	10.7 + 1.5	10.8 + 2.6	13.8 + 3.5	13.3 + 2.1	9.6 + 2.5	11.1 + 1.5	12.3 + 1.4		
Somewhat wants me to leave	13.4 + 1.3	10.5 + 4.4	16.9 + 3.5	9.7 + 2.8	14.2 + 2.7	14.5 + 1.6	11.3 + 2.6	19.0 + 3.9	18.9 + 3.6	17.2 + 3.3	14.1 + 1.7	15.3 + 1.5		
Strongly wants me to leave	33.5 + 2.3	17.1 + 5.0	21.6 + 4.2	13.6 + 4.4	24.8 + 3.2	14.9 + 1.9	12.1 + 2.5	15.1 + 2.8	14.1 + 3.0	16.6 + 3.1	17.2 + 2.0	16.1 + 1.8		
Don't know what he/she thinks	6.6 + 1.0	6.3 + 3.4	1.2 + 0.8	1.0 + 1.3	1.7 + 0.8	1.4 + 0.6	1.8 + 1.1	1.6 + 1.7	0.3 + 0.4	0.8 + 0.8	1.1 + 0.5	1.7 + 0.6		
Sample size	1,907	181	466	418	1,276	1,891	624	604	869	877	3,197	3,088		
Weighted total	119,553	8,482	14,813	13,863	53,844	85,052	40,396	9,835	13,528	14,955	118,785	105,368		

Table S-838

Stay In or Leave the Army - Opinion of Children
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly wants me to stay	13.3% + 1.5	6.3% + 1.1	17.1% + 2.4	10.0% + 2.6	13.5% + 2.1	14.2% + 2.1	10.8% + 2.0	14.2% + 4.1	13.0% + 1.9	10.1% + 2.7	13.0% + 2.7	15.4% + 3.3
Somewhat wants me to stay	10.7 + 1.1	5.2 + 1.1	12.5 + 1.5	10.0 + 2.5	16.7 + 2.0	10.4 + 1.5	11.4 + 1.6	11.4 + 4.4	8.8 + 1.6	10.9 + 2.8	10.0 + 2.0	13.4 + 1.7
Is neutral or has no opinion	37.7 + 1.8	37.9 + 2.2	35.6 + 2.7	47.2 + 3.2	42.3 + 2.7	37.0 + 2.4	40.0 + 2.7	35.7 + 3.5	38.0 + 2.7	35.5 + 5.0	38.9 + 3.1	37.8 + 3.2
Somewhat wants me to leave	7.7 + 0.8	5.5 + 1.1	8.4 + 1.2	6.6 + 3.1	10.8 + 2.2	8.2 + 1.0	6.4 + 1.5	9.3 + 2.4	8.2 + 1.6	7.0 + 2.5	5.9 + 1.7	8.8 + 1.8
Strongly wants me to leave	10.4 + 1.1	14.7 + 2.0	8.8 + 1.6	7.2 + 2.6	9.0 + 1.7	10.7 + 1.5	9.9 + 1.7	8.7 + 1.6	11.5 + 1.6	10.5 + 2.9	11.3 + 2.1	8.4 + 1.3
Don't know what he/she thinks	20.2 + 1.5	30.4 + 2.5	17.7 + 1.8	19.1 + 2.9	7.7 + 1.5	19.6 + 1.8	21.5 + 2.6	20.7 + 4.5	20.5 + 1.8	26.1 + 3.4	21.1 + 3.2	16.3 + 2.3
Sample size	5,674	1,739	1,927	716	1,140	3,456	1,690	528	1,924	700	1,028	2,022
Weighted total	218,282	58,140	126,735	10,735	15,480	144,761	60,970	12,551	79,729	33,393	39,871	65,287

Table S 838 (cont.)

Stay in or Leave the Army - Opinion of Children
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+			
Strongly wants me to stay	9.1% + 3.4	14.4% + 5.7	12.4% + 12.9	11.2% + 6.7	12.7% + 5.3	9.9% + 1.6	21.0% + 4.4	8.0% + 3.9	8.2% + 1.8	14.5% + 3.1	13.1% + 2.1	13.4% + 1.8	
Somewhat wants me to stay	4.9 + 2.0	10.5 + 4.1	7.3 + 12.4	7.9 + 2.9	6.3 + 2.4	8.7 + 1.8	16.7 + 3.1	13.1 + 5.9	9.6 + 2.7	17.0 + 2.9	12.4 + 1.8	10.3 + 2.0	
Is neutral or has no opinion	35.0 + 5.0	35.4 + 7.5	38.6 + 18.1	36.8 + 6.2	39.7 + 8.0	40.6 + 2.7	30.7 + 3.6	51.3 + 10.0	51.6 + 3.9	41.0 + 4.5	39.7 + 3.1	38.6 + 2.4	
Somewhat wants me to leave	6.4 + 3.3	6.0 + 3.7	10.7 + 10.0	6.3 + 3.2	7.6 + 2.9	5.2 + 1.2	12.1 + 3.2	10.8 + 6.5	5.6 + 2.3	10.9 + 2.6	8.2 + 1.8	7.5 + 1.2	
Strongly wants me to leave	21.4 + 5.6	8.6 + 4.0	3.5 + 4.6	10.1 + 3.7	13.5 + 4.7	7.0 + 1.6	11.9 + 2.3	3.7 + 3.1	4.8 + 1.7	11.6 + 3.1	9.9 + 1.3	8.2 + 1.6	
Don't know what he/she thinks	23.2 + 4.4	25.2 + 6.0	27.5 + 13.5	27.7 + 6.3	20.2 + 5.9	28.6 + 2.5	7.7 + 2.3	13.1 + 7.8	20.3 + 3.7	5.0 + 1.8	16.7 + 2.0	22.0 + 2.1	
Sample size	376	215	50	340	308	1,572	615	132	728	880	2,084	2,273	
Weighted total	19,655	9,361	1,988	11,396	13,692	72,507	39,821	2,164	11,563	14,962	82,114	77,977	

Table S 83C

Stay In or Leave the Army - Opinion of Parents
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other DCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly wants me to stay	20.6% + 1.3	14.1% + 1.3	29.0% + 1.9	21.7% + 2.7	21.6% + 2.4	21.0% + 1.8	19.8% + 1.6	20.3% + 3.6	18.4% + 1.4	19.9% + 2.4	21.0% + 2.3	24.8% + 2.1
Somewhat wants me to stay	19.3 + 0.9	17.6 + 1.1	20.5 + 2.0	25.6 + 2.0	18.7 + 2.6	19.5 + 1.1	19.3 + 1.6	17.3 + 2.8	19.8 + 1.6	18.4 + 2.4	18.8 + 2.3	19.3 + 1.9
Is neutral or has no opinion	26.5 + 0.9	27.5 + 1.3	23.7 + 1.9	28.1 + 2.5	35.7 + 2.9	26.7 + 1.0	25.6 + 1.8	28.5 + 2.8	26.1 + 1.4	26.4 + 2.2	26.2 + 2.2	27.8 + 1.7
Somewhat wants me to leave	10.6 + 0.9	11.8 + 1.1	8.9 + 1.1	11.7 + 2.2	9.8 + 1.7	10.4 + 1.2	10.9 + 1.2	11.8 + 1.9	11.6 + 1.4	10.4 + 1.7	10.2 + 1.3	9.2 + 1.2
Strongly wants me to leave	15.0 + 1.3	20.8 + 1.6	10.1 + 1.3	6.9 + 1.9	5.7 + 1.4	15.1 + 1.6	15.1 + 2.3	13.9 + 2.2	16.8 + 1.9	17.0 + 2.7	15.1 + 2.2	10.1 + 1.5
Don't know what he/she thinks	7.9 + 0.6	8.2 + 1.0	7.9 + 1.1	6.0 + 1.5	6.3 + 1.7	7.4 + 0.7	9.2 + 1.1	8.2 + 1.5	7.2 + 0.9	7.8 + 1.5	8.7 + 1.4	8.8 + 1.3
Sample size	9,930	4,337	2,556	1,625	1,234	5,898	3,045	987	3,792	1,407	1,928	2,803
Weighted total	422,458	208,220	160,557	28,541	16,876	272,274	125,031	25,151	176,667	72,868	79,179	93,742

**Stay In or Leave the Army - Opinion of Parents
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status**

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed	
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
Strongly wants me to stay	14.4% + 1.9	27.8% + 6.3	18.0% + 4.8	27.8% + 7.0	20.6% + 2.5	25.2% + 2.5	27.0% + 3.8	19.6% + 4.3	22.1% + 3.3	19.7% + 3.4	23.7% + 2.0	23.5% + 1.7
Somewhat wants me to stay	17.4 + 1.4	17.8 + 6.3	22.3 + 5.4	16.5 + 4.2	20.5 + 3.2	20.7 + 2.3	19.4 + 4.3	24.2 + 4.5	25.5 + 4.2	17.5 + 2.8	20.9 + 2.1	20.3 + 2.0
Is neutral or has no opinion	26.9 + 1.8	21.1 + 5.7	25.8 + 5.8	26.1 + 3.8	28.0 + 2.5	25.6 + 2.1	24.4 + 4.1	31.6 + 4.0	27.8 + 4.4	37.7 + 3.4	26.9 + 2.1	27.3 + 2.2
Somewhat wants me to leave	12.4 + 1.7	12.5 + 3.8	8.0 + 3.2	8.0 + 2.8	8.1 + 1.8	10.9 + 1.3	8.0 + 2.7	11.8 + 3.3	11.1 + 3.6	11.1 + 2.4	9.8 + 1.2	9.5 + 1.2
Strongly wants me to leave	21.5 + 2.0	13.0 + 5.2	16.0 + 4.8	10.4 + 3.9	13.8 + 2.2	10.4 + 1.4	11.6 + 3.3	5.5 + 2.4	7.0 + 2.4	6.9 + 2.5	10.9 + 1.6	10.9 + 1.2
Don't know what he/she thinks	7.4 + 1.3	7.7 + 4.5	9.9 + 3.3	11.3 + 4.2	8.9 + 1.5	7.3 + 1.5	9.6 + 2.5	7.5 + 2.2	6.5 + 1.9	7.2 + 2.6	7.8 + 1.2	8.4 + 1.4
Sample size	2,564	227	447	402	1,175	1,803	561	552	843	777	2,998	2,845
Weighted total	157,570	10,236	14,120	13,288	49,571	81,214	35,727	8,838	13,135	13,213	110,984	96,702

Table S 830

Stay In or Leave the Army - Opinion of Friends
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other DOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly wants me to stay	9.3% + 1.0	3.8% + 0.7	15.6% + 1.7	10.9% + 2.0	13.1% + 2.3	9.5% + 1.4	8.5% + 1.1	10.5% + 3.2	7.5% + 1.0	8.4% + 1.6	9.2% + 1.5	13.3% + 2.4
Somewhat wants me to stay	11.2 + 0.7	7.9 + 0.8	13.9 + 1.5	15.5 + 2.2	17.2 + 2.2	11.1 + 1.0	11.8 + 1.2	9.0 + 1.2	9.6 + 1.0	10.4 + 1.7	11.2 + 1.6	14.7 + 2.0
Is neutral or has no opinion	39.0 + 1.0	37.0 + 1.8	39.3 + 1.5	43.7 + 2.9	46.1 + 3.8	39.0 + 1.3	38.8 + 2.1	38.9 + 1.3	39.2 + 1.7	38.4 + 3.1	39.9 + 2.5	39.8 + 2.2
Somewhat wants me to leave	10.3 + 0.8	13.0 + 1.1	7.2 + 1.0	11.2 + 1.5	8.7 + 1.2	10.5 + 1.1	9.8 + 1.3	10.1 + 2.1	11.2 + 1.0	11.6 + 1.7	9.6 + 1.5	8.2 + 1.7
Strongly wants me to leave	14.4 + 1.2	23.0 + 1.6	6.6 + 1.0	5.6 + 1.8	4.2 + 1.4	14.6 + 1.5	14.1 + 2.4	14.2 + 2.1	17.1 + 1.7	18.5 + 3.0	12.3 + 1.8	8.2 + 1.5
Don't know what he/she thinks	15.9 + 0.9	15.2 + 1.3	17.4 + 1.5	13.1 + 2.1	12.7 + 1.8	15.2 + 1.1	17.0 + 2.0	17.3 + 0.8	15.4 + 1.4	14.7 + 2.3	17.9 + 1.8	15.8 + 1.7
Sample size	10,846	4,648	2,842	1,713	1,436	6,444	3,336	1,066	4,071	1,524	2,094	3,157
Weighted total	463,021	224,884	178,730	30,232	19,604	297,661	137,830	27,530	191,391	79,597	87,187	104,845

Table S 830 (cont.)

Stay in or Leave the Army - Opinion of Friends
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	Children	No Children
	Enlisted					Officer				
	No Children	Children	0-5	Child 6+	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed
Strongly wants me to stay	5.2% ± 1.1	12.9% ± 4.9	8.1% ± 2.9	13.5% ± 4.3	8.1% ± 2.1	10.1% ± 1.6	10.3% ± 2.7	13.3% ± 2.5	12.1% ± 2.4	11.3% ± 1.5
Somewhat wants me to stay	8.9 ± 1.0	18.4 ± 5.1	9.0 ± 2.9	13.7 ± 3.6	10.7 ± 2.0	11.5 ± 2.0	17.4 ± 2.9	14.8 ± 2.9	16.7 ± 3.3	12.9 ± 1.5
Is neutral or has no opinion	33.9 ± 2.4	34.4 ± 6.8	45.8 ± 5.3	42.0 ± 4.8	42.8 ± 2.9	43.7 ± 2.2	46.4 ± 4.2	46.5 ± 4.6	46.4 ± 4.0	42.8 ± 2.2
Somewhat wants me to leave	13.4 ± 1.1	7.7 ± 3.4	8.2 ± 2.6	7.4 ± 2.9	9.2 ± 1.6	9.7 ± 1.4	9.0 ± 2.2	8.4 ± 2.4	6.8 ± 2.2	8.2 ± 1.3
Strongly wants me to leave	25.0 ± 2.2	9.6 ± 3.9	8.6 ± 2.9	7.3 ± 2.6	12.8 ± 1.7	7.4 ± 1.4	3.3 ± 1.5	4.8 ± 1.7	3.4 ± 1.7	7.3 ± 1.2
Don't know what he/she thinks	13.6 ± 1.6	17.0 ± 6.1	20.3 ± 4.2	16.0 ± 3.9	16.4 ± 3.0	17.6 ± 1.8	13.6 ± 3.4	12.3 ± 2.7	14.6 ± 3.3	17.5 ± 2.1
Sample size	2,848	238	475	424	1,279	1,907	620	880	885	3,116
Weighted total	174,047	10,656	15,007	14,129	53,833	86,204	10,038	13,785	15,035	119,842
										106,503

Table S.83E

Stay In or Leave the Army - Opinion of Co-workers
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly wants me to stay	18.0% + 1.4	9.1% + 1.1	27.0% + 2.2	24.1% + 2.2	27.8% + 3.5	18.1% + 1.8	17.8% + 2.2	18.1% + 3.6	15.7% + 1.5	16.4% + 2.5	17.1% + 2.4	24.3% + 2.7
Somewhat wants me to stay	20.6 + 0.9	16.8 + 1.4	22.8 + 1.4	28.3 + 2.7	29.9 + 2.3	20.6 + 1.2	20.5 + 1.5	20.6 + 3.2	19.4 + 1.5	19.8 + 2.6	19.3 + 2.0	24.6 + 1.6
Is neutral or has no opinion	34.0 + 1.3	38.7 + 1.5	29.8 + 2.0	28.7 + 2.5	27.6 + 2.3	34.0 + 1.5	33.8 + 2.7	34.8 + 4.3	35.4 + 1.9	34.0 + 2.4	35.5 + 2.8	30.1 + 1.7
Somewhat wants me to leave	5.2 + 0.5	7.9 + 0.8	2.9 + 0.7	3.1 + 1.0	1.2 + 0.5	5.1 + 0.6	5.5 + 1.1	5.1 + 1.9	6.5 + 0.8	5.8 + 1.2	5.1 + 1.1	2.6 + 0.7
Strongly wants me to leave	6.3 + 1.0	10.9 + 1.5	2.1 + 0.6	1.1 + 0.7	0.9 + 0.7	6.5 + 1.4	6.0 + 1.7	4.9 + 1.4	8.1 + 1.5	8.2 + 2.0	5.0 + 1.5	2.6 + 0.7
Don't know what he/she thinks	15.8 + 1.0	16.5 + 1.4	15.4 + 1.6	14.5 + 2.5	12.6 + 1.8	15.5 + 1.2	18.4 + 2.3	16.4 + 2.6	14.9 + 1.6	15.7 + 2.4	18.0 + 2.1	15.9 + 1.8
Sample size	10,890	4,656	2,863	1,721	1,441	6,477	3,345	1,068	17	1,531	2,103	3,169
Weighted total	465,281	225,384	180,178	30,376	19,669	299,439	138,262	27,580	192,186	79,961	87,537	105,597

Table S 83E (cont.)

Stay in or Leave the Army - Opinion of Co-workers
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Strongly wants me to stay	12.1% ± 1.5	21.0% ± 6.7	21.3% ± 4.7	29.1% ± 8.8	15.4% ± 2.7	18.6% ± 2.4	30.6% ± 4.4	24.4% ± 5.2	24.8% ± 3.2	27.4% ± 4.4	22.0% ± 1.9	20.5% ± 2.1
Somewhat wants me to stay	17.3% ± 1.7	25.6% ± 5.9	21.4% ± 3.8	20.4% ± 5.3	21.0% ± 2.5	22.1% ± 1.8	21.0% ± 3.8	29.6% ± 4.0	28.2% ± 2.8	28.2% ± 2.8	22.8% ± 1.8	23.0% ± 1.5
Is neutral or has no opinion	35.4% ± 1.9	29.1% ± 5.5	34.7% ± 6.1	31.3% ± 5.2	36.0% ± 3.6	36.0% ± 2.1	29.2% ± 3.5	28.5% ± 3.9	30.3% ± 3.2	28.2% ± 4.0	33.6% ± 2.2	33.1% ± 2.2
Somewhat wants me to leave	8.1% ± 1.0	2.9% ± 2.1	4.0% ± 2.2	3.1% ± 1.8	5.2% ± 1.2	4.4% ± 1.1	1.3% ± 0.9	1.7% ± 0.9	2.9% ± 1.3	1.0% ± 0.6	3.4% ± 0.8	3.7% ± 0.8
Strongly wants me to leave	11.3% ± 1.9	3.5% ± 3.8	3.9% ± 3.1	2.1% ± 1.7	5.9% ± 1.2	3.2% ± 0.9	1.0% ± 0.7	0.9% ± 0.8	1.2% ± 0.7	1.1% ± 0.9	2.6% ± 0.8	3.4% ± 0.7
Don't know what he/she thinks	15.8% ± 1.5	17.9% ± 5.8	14.8% ± 3.5	14.1% ± 3.6	16.6% ± 2.5	15.6% ± 1.9	16.9% ± 3.4	14.8% ± 3.9	12.6% ± 2.3	14.2% ± 2.7	15.6% ± 1.9	16.2% ± 1.7
Sample size	2,872	240	475	425	1,281	1,904	633	623	885	888	3,236	3,125
Weighted total	175,325	10,813	15,007	14,158	54,066	86,273	40,930	10,074	13,857	15,142	120,165	106,861

Table S 83F

Stay In or Leave the Army - Opinion of 1st-Line Supervisor
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCINUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly wants me to stay	32.9% + 1.4	22.0% + 1.7	44.1% + 1.9	39.9% + 2.8	42.6% + 3.8	33.5% + 2.0	31.5% + 2.1	33.2% + 1.6	31.5% + 1.8	32.0% + 3.1	30.2% + 3.0	38.5% + 2.2
Somewhat wants me to stay	23.4 + 1.0	24.7 + 1.4	21.4 + 1.6	25.6 + 2.3	24.1 + 3.1	23.7 + 1.4	22.9 + 1.3	23.3 + 0.9	23.3 + 2.0	25.4 + 2.0	23.8 + 2.0	22.0 + 1.7
Is neutral or has no opinion	22.5 + 1.4	27.3 + 1.9	18.2 + 1.7	16.3 + 1.9	17.1 + 1.9	22.4 + 2.0	23.3 + 1.8	19.2 + 2.8	24.1 + 1.9	21.0 + 2.4	23.4 + 2.9	19.8 + 1.5
Somewhat wants me to leave	1.9 + 0.4	2.7 + 0.6	1.1 + 0.4	1.1 + 0.6	0.8 + 0.5	1.8 + 0.5	1.9 + 0.5	2.7 + 0.5	1.7 + 0.5	2.4 + 0.8	2.1 + 0.8	1.6 + 0.6
Strongly wants me to leave	2.2 + 0.4	3.6 + 0.5	1.1 + 0.4	0.7 + 0.5	0.7 + 0.5	2.1 + 0.5	2.5 + 0.5	2.9 + 1.1	2.5 + 0.6	3.0 + 0.9	2.4 + 0.8	1.2 + 0.5
Don't know what he/she thinks	17.1 + 1.1	19.7 + 1.6	14.1 + 1.4	16.5 + 1.8	14.6 + 2.3	16.5 + 1.4	18.0 + 2.1	18.7 + 4.0	16.9 + 1.7	16.1 + 2.6	18.3 + 1.6	17.0 + 2.1
Sample size	10,900	4,661	2,872	1,721	1,438	6,487	3,344	1,069	4,095	1,532	2,106	3,167
Weighted total	466,151	225,663	180,833	30,373	19,634	300,184	138,305	27,661	192,831	80,045	87,777	105,499

Table S 83f (cont.)

Stay in or Leave the Army - Opinion of 1st-Line Supervisor
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
Strongly wants me to stay	25.0% ± 2.1	34.0% ± 6.6	32.4% ± 4.3	38.5% ± 7.8	30.2% ± 3.1	37.6% ± 3.2	42.1% ± 5.0	41.3% ± 4.7	41.7% ± 4.7	38.1% ± 1.8	38.0% ± 2.4	
Somewhat wants me to stay	23.8 ± 1.9	19.6 ± 5.4	23.3 ± 3.7	20.4 ± 4.5	26.7 ± 2.7	23.1 ± 2.3	25.1 ± 4.1	26.5 ± 4.2	23.8 ± 3.5	25.1 ± 2.0	22.8 ± 1.9	
Is neutral or has no opinion	26.3 ± 2.4	17.6 ± 5.2	23.0 ± 3.3	19.8 ± 4.6	21.9 ± 2.7	21.8 ± 2.1	17.4 ± 3.4	16.3 ± 3.1	16.7 ± 2.6	19.5 ± 1.7	20.2 ± 2.1	
Somewhat wants me to leave	2.5 ± 0.7	3.8 ± 2.7	1.9 ± 1.5	3.5 ± 2.2	1.8 ± 0.9	1.2 ± 0.6	1.0 ± 0.8	0.9 ± 0.8	0.6 ± 0.5	1.0 ± 0.4	1.4 ± 0.6	
Strongly wants me to leave	3.5 ± 0.7	1.3 ± 1.3	1.4 ± 1.0	0.9 ± 0.8	2.3 ± 0.7	1.7 ± 0.5	0.4 ± 0.5	0.8 ± 1.0	0.3 ± 0.3	1.2 ± 0.4	1.8 ± 0.5	
Don't know what he/she thinks	18.9 ± 1.7	23.7 ± 5.9	18.0 ± 3.7	17.0 ± 4.3	17.2 ± 2.8	14.6 ± 2.0	13.8 ± 3.3	14.3 ± 2.7	17.2 ± 3.3	15.2 ± 1.8	15.8 ± 1.4	
Sample size	2,868	240	476	423	1,287	1,909	623	887	886	3,244	3,126	
Weighted total	175,328	10,799	15,149	14,070	54,452	86,356	10,077	13,876	15,106	120,649	106,925	

Table S 83G

Stay In or Leave the Army - Opinion of Commanding Officer
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCNUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly wants me to stay	36.3% + 1.5 -	28.3% + 1.6 -	44.5% + 2.2 -	42.3% + 3.1 -	41.2% + 5.0 -	37.7% + 2.2 -	33.2% + 2.1 -	36.3% + 1.2 -	36.4% + 2.2 -	35.5% + 3.4 -	34.0% + 3.3 -	38.4% + 3.1 -
Somewhat wants me to stay	18.2 + 1.0 -	18.1 + 1.4 -	17.5 + 1.6 -	21.4 + 2.6 -	20.6 + 2.8 -	19.1 + 1.3 -	16.5 + 1.9 -	17.4 + 4.0 -	19.0 + 2.3 -	18.0 + 2.0 -	17.4 + 1.8 -	17.7 + 1.4 -
Is neutral or has no opinion	17.5 + 1.3 -	19.9 + 1.9 -	14.8 + 1.5 -	13.8 + 2.1 -	18.1 + 2.1 -	17.0 + 1.8 -	18.6 + 1.9 -	16.8 + 1.1 -	17.7 + 1.9 -	17.1 + 2.3 -	17.8 + 2.5 -	17.0 + 2.1 -
Somewhat wants me to leave	1.2 + 0.3 -	1.6 + 0.5 -	1.0 + 0.4 -	0.4 + 0.3 -	0.4 + 0.3 -	1.1 + 0.3 -	1.4 + 0.5 -	1.8 + 1.0 -	1.1 + 0.4 -	1.3 + 0.6 -	1.5 + 0.7 -	1.2 + 0.5 -
Strongly wants me to leave	2.0 + 0.4 -	2.8 + 0.5 -	1.3 + 0.5 -	1.1 + 0.6 -	0.5 + 0.4 -	2.1 + 0.5 -	2.0 + 0.6 -	1.4 + 0.6 -	2.3 + 0.5 -	2.2 + 1.0 -	2.4 + 0.9 -	1.0 + 0.5 -
Don't know what he/she thinks	24.8 + 1.2 -	29.2 + 1.8 -	20.8 + 1.5 -	20.9 + 2.7 -	19.3 + 2.4 -	23.0 + 1.7 -	28.3 + 1.5 -	26.3 + 2.1 -	23.5 + 2.0 -	25.8 + 2.1 -	26.8 + 2.3 -	24.8 + 3.1 -
Sample size	10,893	4,659	2,873	1,720	1,433	6,488	3,334	1,071	4,096	1,527	2,106	3,164
Weighted total	465,783	225,518	180,744	30,344	19,573	300,276	137,805	27,701	192,703	79,759	87,936	105,385

Table S_84

Likelihood of Staying in the Army at the End of Current Obligation
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
(0 in 10) No chance	10.1% + 0.9	15.7% + 1.3	5.1% + 0.9	5.3% + 2.0	2.9% + 0.8	10.5% + 1.3	9.6% + 1.5	8.3% + 1.7	11.2% + 1.2	11.9% + 1.9	10.4% + 1.6	6.4% + 1.5
(1 in 10) Very slight possibility	6.3 + 0.6	8.0 + 0.9	4.4 + 0.9	5.6 + 1.3	3.9 + 1.0	6.8 + 0.8	5.5 + 0.9	4.5 + 0.9	6.7 + 1.0	5.9 + 1.4	6.9 + 1.5	5.3 + 1.1
(2 in 10) Slight possibility	4.6 + 0.6	5.7 + 0.9	3.4 + 0.8	4.3 + 1.1	3.1 + 0.9	4.5 + 0.7	4.4 + 1.1	5.5 + 2.2	4.6 + 1.0	5.0 + 1.1	3.9 + 1.1	4.6 + 0.9
(3 in 10) Some possibility	5.9 + 0.6	6.6 + 0.9	5.1 + 0.8	6.1 + 1.1	5.1 + 1.3	5.9 + 0.7	5.9 + 1.2	6.1 + 1.2	6.8 + 0.8	4.8 + 1.1	5.4 + 1.1	5.5 + 0.9
(4 in 10) Fair possibility	4.5 + 0.6	5.4 + 1.1	3.4 + 0.7	5.7 + 1.2	3.1 + 0.9	4.4 + 0.9	4.8 + 0.6	3.8 + 0.9	4.8 + 0.9	4.6 + 1.2	4.7 + 0.9	3.6 + 0.8
(5 in 10) Fairly good possibility	5.7 + 0.6	6.2 + 1.0	5.1 + 0.9	6.6 + 1.7	4.9 + 1.0	5.0 + 0.6	6.9 + 1.4	7.7 + 1.7	6.2 + 1.0	5.7 + 1.1	5.5 + 1.4	4.9 + 0.7
(6 in 10) Good possibility	5.5 + 0.5	4.9 + 0.7	6.5 + 0.8	6.4 + 1.7	3.7 + 1.1	5.6 + 0.6	5.3 + 0.7	6.0 + 0.9	5.3 + 0.6	5.2 + 1.9	6.4 + 1.2	5.4 + 0.9
(7 in 10) Probable	4.7 + 0.5	4.2 + 0.6	4.7 + 0.7	7.7 + 1.4	3.7 + 0.9	4.6 + 0.6	5.1 + 0.8	3.9 + 0.7	4.5 + 0.8	5.5 + 1.2	5.0 + 0.9	4.0 + 0.6
(8 in 10) Very probable	5.8 + 0.5	4.1 + 0.6	7.4 + 1.0	8.7 + 1.7	5.7 + 1.3	5.6 + 0.7	5.9 + 0.9	6.8 + 1.9	5.6 + 0.9	5.4 + 1.1	5.5 + 1.1	6.6 + 1.2
(9 in 10) Almost sure	8.1 + 0.5	5.6 + 0.5	10.9 + 1.1	11.2 + 1.3	7.6 + 1.8	7.8 + 0.6	8.9 + 1.0	7.7 + 1.6	7.2 + 0.6	7.6 + 1.3	9.4 + 1.2	9.0 + 1.1
(10 in 10) Certain	16.9 + 1.2	6.6 + 1.0	28.4 + 1.9	19.6 + 2.2	23.3 + 3.8	16.1 + 1.5	18.0 + 1.8	19.7 + 2.7	14.2 + 1.4	14.6 + 2.5	17.4 + 2.4	22.9 + 2.5
N/A, I will retire when obligation ends	7.1 + 1.1	1.4 + 0.4	10.9 + 1.3	4.8 + 1.8	31.2 + 3.3	7.9 + 1.5	5.4 + 1.4	6.5 + 2.6	5.1 + 0.8	4.5 + 1.3	6.0 + 1.5	13.7 + 2.4

Table S_84

Likelihood of Staying in the Army at the End of Current Obligation
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
N/A. I plan to leave the army	14.9% ± 1.5	25.7% ± 1.8	4.7% ± 1.0	8.0% ± 1.4	2.0% ± 0.8	15.3% ± 2.1	14.4% ± 2.1	13.5% ± 3.0	17.6% ± 1.7	19.3% ± 2.7	13.5% ± 1.8	7.9% ± 1.3
Sample size	10,974	4,688	2,888	1,735	1,454	6,535	3,359	1,080	4,124	1,538	2,118	3,194
Weighted total	469,098	227,185	181,750	30,645	19,844	302,013	139,162	27,922	193,881	80,541	88,231	106,445

Table S_84 (cont..)

Likelihood of Staying in the Army at the End of Current Obligation
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse											
		Single		Dual Military		Enlisted				Officer			
		No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Sample size		2,886	241	481	423	1,296	1,916	638	630	893	898	3,269	3,145
Weighted total		176,604	10,834	15,243	14,091	54,854	86,583	41,194	10,189	13,960	15,347	121,371	107,437

Table RETPLAN

Retention Plans at End of Current Obligation
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
No chance (Incl. retiring/leaving Army)	32.1% ± 1.2	42.7% ± 2.0	20.7% ± 1.5	18.1% ± 3.5	36.1% ± 3.5	33.8% ± 1.6	29.4% ± 1.9	28.4% ± 1.8	33.9% ± 1.8	35.6% ± 3.1	29.9% ± 2.2	28.1% ± 2.0
Low probability (1-4 chances in 10)	21.2 ± 1.2	25.6 ± 1.5	16.3 ± 1.5	21.8 ± 2.5	15.1 ± 2.0	21.7 ± 1.4	20.5 ± 2.5	19.8 ± 2.5	22.9 ± 1.6	20.3 ± 2.8	21.0 ± 2.0	19.1 ± 1.7
Moderate probability (5-7 chances in 10)	15.9 ± 0.8	15.3 ± 1.4	16.3 ± 1.2	20.6 ± 2.2	12.3 ± 1.9	15.1 ± 1.0	17.3 ± 1.6	17.6 ± 1.5	16.1 ± 1.4	18.5 ± 2.0	16.9 ± 1.7	14.3 ± 1.3
High probability (8-10 chances in 10)	30.7 ± 1.4	16.4 ± 1.4	46.7 ± 2.0	39.5 ± 3.0	36.5 ± 4.5	29.5 ± 1.8	32.8 ± 2.1	34.2 ± 2.4	27.0 ± 1.7	27.6 ± 2.9	32.3 ± 2.5	38.5 ± 2.5
Sample size	10,974	4,688	2,888	1,735	1,454	6,535	3,359	1,080	4,124	1,538	2,118	3,194
Weighted total	469,098	227,185	181,750	30,645	19,844	302,013	139,162	27,922	193,881	80,541	88,231	106,445

Table RETPLAN (cont.)

Retention Plans at End of Current Obligation
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
No chance (incl. retiring/leaving Army)	45.1% ± 2.3	27.0% ± 7.3	22.4% ± 3.7	19.3% ± 5.8	29.0% ± 2.7	18.8% ± 2.0	26.5% ± 3.9	20.6% ± 3.4	35.6% ± 3.6	25.8% ± 2.1
Low probability (1-4 chances in 10)	22.6% ± 1.6	18.4% ± 6.3	27.3% ± 4.1	22.6% ± 4.8	23.7% ± 2.9	21.5% ± 2.2	13.5% ± 2.9	19.8% ± 3.7	17.3% ± 3.2	19.7% ± 2.0
Moderate probability (5-7 chances in 10)	14.6% ± 1.7	14.9% ± 5.3	17.4% ± 3.6	21.9% ± 4.7	17.8% ± 2.3	18.0% ± 2.2	13.1% ± 2.9	17.4% ± 2.8	12.0% ± 2.2	15.7% ± 1.3
High probability (8-10 chances in 10)	17.6% ± 1.7	39.7% ± 7.1	32.9% ± 4.6	36.2% ± 5.8	29.5% ± 2.7	41.8% ± 2.7	46.8% ± 5.5	42.3% ± 3.6	35.1% ± 4.2	38.8% ± 2.6
Sample size	2,886	241	481	423	1,296	1,916	638	893	898	3,269
Weighted total	176,604	10,834	15,243	14,091	54,854	86,583	41,194	13,960	15,347	121,371
										107,437

Table RETPLN2

Retention Plans at End of Current Obligation
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
No chance (excludes retiring from Army)	26.9% + 1.9	41.9% + 2.1	11.0% + 1.4	14.0% + 3.0	7.0% + 2.0	28.0% + 2.6	25.4% + 2.8	23.4% + 2.2	30.4% + 2.0	32.6% + 3.4	25.4% + 2.4	16.7% + 2.2
Low probability (1-4 chances in 10)	22.9 + 1.3	25.9 + 1.5	18.3 + 1.8	22.9 + 2.5	22.0 + 3.4	23.6 + 1.5	21.7 + 2.7	21.2 + 2.6	24.2 + 1.7	21.2 + 3.0	22.3 + 2.1	22.1 + 2.0
Moderate probability (5-7 chances in 10)	17.1 + 0.9	15.5 + 1.4	18.3 + 1.3	21.6 + 2.2	17.8 + 2.7	16.4 + 1.1	18.3 + 1.7	18.8 + 1.4	17.0 + 1.5	17.2 + 2.2	17.9 + 1.8	16.6 + 1.3
High probability (8-10 chances in 10)	33.1 + 1.7	16.6 + 1.4	52.5 + 2.0	41.5 + 3.1	53.1 + 4.8	32.0 + 2.4	34.7 + 2.5	36.6 + 3.0	28.5 + 1.8	29.0 + 2.9	34.3 + 2.7	44.7 + 3.2
Sample size	10,044	4,641	2,595	1,654	1,002	5,928	3,124	992	3,921	1,468	2,000	2,655
Weighted total	435,752	224,115	161,962	29,184	13,644	278,010	131,639	26,104	184,086	76,895	82,923	91,848

Table RETPLN2 (cont.)

Retention Plans at End of Current Obligation
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single		Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer		Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
			No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
No chance (excludes retiring from Army)	43.4% ± 2.5	18.5% ± 6.5	19.9% ± 4.4	14.2% ± 4.3	24.5% ± 2.9	15.1% ± 1.8	10.6% ± 3.4	11.3% ± 2.8	10.0% ± 2.8	6.8% ± 2.8	16.1% ± 2.3	15.8% ± 1.7		
Low probability (1-4 chances in 10)	23.4 ± 1.6	20.5 ± 6.9	28.2 ± 4.2	24.0 ± 4.9	25.2 ± 3.2	22.4 ± 2.4	16.5 ± 3.5	22.3 ± 4.9	22.5 ± 4.2	25.1 ± 4.7	22.3 ± 2.4	22.1 ± 2.2		
Moderate probability (5-7 chances in 10)	15.1 ± 1.8	16.6 ± 6.1	17.9 ± 3.7	23.3 ± 5.0	18.9 ± 2.3	18.8 ± 2.2	16.0 ± 3.6	20.6 ± 4.7	19.7 ± 3.1	17.3 ± 3.1	17.7 ± 1.5	18.4 ± 1.5		
High probability (8-10 chances in 10)	18.2 ± 1.8	44.4 ± 7.0	34.0 ± 4.9	38.5 ± 5.8	31.4 ± 3.0	43.7 ± 2.7	57.0 ± 5.9	45.8 ± 5.3	47.9 ± 4.0	50.8 ± 5.0	43.9 ± 2.8	43.7 ± 2.7		
Sample size	2,741	216	461	399	1,247	1,861	542	530	800	634	2,884	2,866		
Weighted total	171,097	9,693	14,772	13,255	51,568	82,819	33,860	8,426	12,321	10,601	107,293	98,710		

Table S 85

Feeling If Left the Army at the End of Current Obligation
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Extremely good	25.7% + 1.5	35.4% + 2.1	16.7% + 1.4	12.7% + 2.0	16.0% + 1.9	26.7% + 2.1	24.3% + 2.1	22.2% + 2.5	28.9% + 1.8	27.9% + 2.7	25.5% + 2.0	18.3% + 2.0
Quite good	18.3 + 1.0	20.6 + 1.5	14.4 + 1.3	19.0 + 2.4	23.5 + 2.7	18.9 + 1.3	17.3 + 1.5	17.6 + 2.3	18.4 + 1.5	18.7 + 1.6	17.8 + 1.8	18.4 + 2.1
Slightly good	8.9 + 0.7	8.9 + 0.9	8.8 + 1.2	9.6 + 1.3	9.1 + 1.4	9.0 + 0.9	8.6 + 1.1	9.3 + 2.5	9.2 + 1.0	8.9 + 1.7	8.6 + 1.5	8.6 + 1.5
Neither good nor bad	25.6 + 1.0	24.2 + 1.7	27.8 + 1.6	25.5 + 3.0	24.3 + 2.5	24.4 + 1.4	27.5 + 1.4	28.7 + 2.0	24.6 + 1.7	25.1 + 2.5	27.2 + 2.0	26.5 + 1.9
Slightly bad	9.0 + 0.6	6.4 + 0.7	10.7 + 1.4	15.9 + 2.4	10.6 + 1.4	8.9 + 0.8	9.1 + 1.2	9.1 + 2.1	8.7 + 1.2	8.8 + 1.4	8.8 + 1.4	9.7 + 1.1
Quite bad	6.1 + 0.7	2.8 + 0.6	9.2 + 1.1	11.5 + 1.8	9.3 + 2.5	5.9 + 1.0	6.4 + 0.8	7.8 + 1.5	5.0 + 0.9	5.9 + 1.8	5.8 + 1.2	8.8 + 1.4
Extremely bad	6.4 + 0.7	1.8 + 0.6	12.2 + 1.5	5.8 + 1.0	7.1 + 1.4	8.3 + 1.0	6.8 + 1.1	5.3 + 1.7	5.2 + 0.9	4.8 + 1.3	6.5 + 1.2	9.8 + 1.4
Sample size	10,905	4,663	2,878	1,721	1,436	6,481	3,349	1,075	4,103	1,528	2,105	3,169
Weighted total	466,369	225,863	180,989	30,305	19,593	299,704	138,820	27,845	192,985	80,040	87,686	105,659

Upon Leaving the Army, Plan to Join the Reserves or National Guard by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

508

Table S 86 (cont.)

Upon Leaving the Army, Plan to Join the Reserves or National Guard
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Definitely will join	17.0% ± 1.6	15.5% ± 4.7	17.6% ± 4.3	18.8% ± 4.9	15.9% ± 2.5	14.5% ± 1.7	20.2% ± 4.7	17.0% ± 3.0	5.4% ± 2.2	13.5% ± 1.5	13.8% ± 1.8			
Probably will join	24.5 ± 1.8	20.2 ± 6.2	22.6 ± 3.8	18.1 ± 3.6	22.2 ± 2.6	23.2 ± 2.7	26.1 ± 4.7	23.5 ± 3.4	11.4 ± 3.7	20.2 ± 2.3	22.0 ± 2.2			
Don't know/not sure	32.7 ± 1.8	34.3 ± 7.0	34.4 ± 4.7	34.3 ± 5.5	31.3 ± 2.7	31.8 ± 2.4	19.5 ± 4.2	26.1 ± 3.8	22.3 ± 5.2	28.8 ± 2.0	31.9 ± 2.4			
Probably will not join	9.7 ± 1.4	13.0 ± 4.5	11.2 ± 3.2	15.7 ± 4.8	10.9 ± 2.3	11.2 ± 1.8	16.7 ± 5.2	15.6 ± 3.0	20.8 ± 4.9	12.7 ± 1.4	12.6 ± 1.5			
Definitely will not join	16.1 ± 1.8	17.1 ± 5.3	14.2 ± 4.2	15.0 ± 4.2	19.8 ± 2.2	19.2 ± 3.1	17.5 ± 4.0	17.8 ± 3.4	40.0 ± 6.7	24.9 ± 1.9	19.7 ± 2.0			
Sample size	2,716	216	454	387	1,250	1,852	476	762	495	2,767	2,729			
Weighted total	169,420	9,767	14,406	13,020	51,988	82,799	7,694	11,994	8,814	106,225	96,618			

Table EXPYOS

Expected # of Years Active Duty Service
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
0-4 years	24.9% + 2.6 - 1.1	48.4% + 2.9 - 1.1	1.9% + 0.5 - 1.1	11.6% + 2.3 - 2.5	0.5% + 0.4 - 1.2	25.7% + 3.6 - 1.4	23.7% + 4.1 - 1.8	22.6% + 4.2 - 1.6	31.6% + 2.2 - 1.4	30.3% + 3.4 - 2.0	23.0% + 3.1 - 1.8	10.2% + 2.1 - 1.9
5-9 years	16.1 + 1.1 - 0.6	20.6 + 1.9 - 3.0	12.0 + 1.1 - 0.6	18.4 + 2.5 - 2.6	2.3 + 1.2 - 1.3	15.4 + 1.4 - 0.7	18.1 + 1.8 - 1.0	13.2 + 1.6 - 2.3	15.9 + 1.4 - 0.8	19.9 + 2.0 - 1.5	18.9 + 1.8 - 1.1	11.0 + 1.9 - 7.5
10-19 years	6.5 + 0.6 - 2.3	3.0 + 0.6 - 0.7	11.1 + 1.3 - 2.2	8.4 + 2.6 - 3.5	2.4 + 1.3 - 3.1	6.5 + 0.7 - 2.5	6.5 + 1.0 - 2.2	6.6 + 2.3 - 4.8	6.2 + 0.8 - 1.3	5.5 + 1.5 - 2.3	6.8 + 1.1 - 2.1	7.5 + 1.4 - 2.3
20 years	23.8 + 1.7 - 13.3	7.0 + 0.7 - 1.7	42.3 + 2.2 - 2.9	27.0 + 3.5 - 1.6	32.2 + 3.1 - 4.5	24.2 + 2.5 - 2.4	22.9 + 2.2 - 2.2	22.8 + 4.8 - 15.1	19.1 + 1.3 - 10.3	19.0 + 2.3 - 9.7	23.6 + 2.2 - 12.1	35.9 + 2.4 - 22.3
21 or more years	13.3 + 1.7 - 15.5	2.9 + 0.5 - 0.9	20.4 + 1.6 - 1.3	12.5 + 1.8 - 2.1	55.2 + 4.5 - 7.4	13.6 + 2.4 - 14.8	12.2 + 2.2 - 16.6	15.1 + 2.2 - 19.6	10.3 + 1.4 - 16.8	9.7 + 2.2 - 15.8	12.1 + 2.1 - 15.6	22.3 + 4.0 - 13.0
Don't know	15.5 + 0.9 - 10.956	18.2 + 1.6 - 4.665	12.2 + 1.3 - 2.883	22.1 + 2.9 - 1.735	7.4 + 1.6 - 1.463	14.8 + 1.3 - 6.518	16.6 + 0.9 - 3.361	19.6 + 4.0 - 1.077	16.8 + 1.5 - 4.112	15.8 + 2.6 - 1.532	15.6 + 2.2 - 2.112	13.0 + 2.1 - 3.200
Sample size	10,956	4,665	2,883	1,735	1,463	6,518	3,361	1,077	4,112	1,532	2,112	3,200
Weighted total	467,594	228,002	181,205	30,666	19,959	300,674	139,111	27,809	193,121	80,135	87,913	106,425

Table EXPYOS (cont.)

Expected # of Years Active Duty Service
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
0-4 years	47.6% + 3.3	14.5% + 5.7	25.4% + 4.9	8.4% + 3.1	22.5% + 3.0	10.3% + 1.9	2.2% + 1.0	8.0% + 2.9	4.0% + 1.6	0.5% + 0.5	10.3% + 1.7	10.5% + 1.5
5-9 years	16.8 + 1.6	17.2 + 4.3	21.9 + 4.8	19.1 + 4.8	20.0 + 2.9	19.6 + 1.6	4.7 + 1.1	13.0 + 3.6	12.3 + 3.4	0.8 + 0.6	14.0 + 1.8	16.1 + 1.6
10-19 years	3.4 + 0.7	10.5 + 4.1	7.5 + 3.0	11.2 + 3.3	7.0 + 1.6	9.7 + 1.5	6.4 + 1.9	5.4 + 2.2	9.2 + 3.2	2.2 + 1.4	7.6 + 1.2	8.3 + 1.1
20 years	9.4 + 1.2	27.9 + 7.2	21.4 + 4.4	34.9 + 5.9	23.2 + 3.2	31.7 + 2.5	50.9 + 5.3	26.9 + 3.8	37.1 + 4.9	35.1 + 3.8	34.4 + 2.6	32.0 + 2.0
21 or more years	4.4 + 0.7	12.5 + 4.7	7.3 + 2.9	13.7 + 4.4	10.9 + 2.7	13.0 + 2.3	29.3 + 3.8	34.6 + 6.9	23.2 + 4.0	54.6 + 4.4	21.5 + 2.6	18.5 + 2.7
Don't know	18.4 + 1.9	17.5 + 6.2	16.5 + 4.1	12.7 + 3.7	16.4 + 2.5	15.8 + 1.7	6.5 + 2.2	12.2 + 3.0	14.2 + 2.9	6.8 + 2.1	12.2 + 1.3	14.6 + 1.6
Sample size	2,877	242	482	422	1,287	1,910	638	628	894	905	3,256	3,148
Weighted total	175,814	10,852	15,248	14,020	54,394	88,302	41,224	10,146	14,012	15,507	120,872	107,322

Table EXPYOS2

Expected # of Years Active Duty Service
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
0-19 years	47.5% + 2.9	71.9% + 2.1	25.0% + 2.1	38.4% + 3.4	5.2% + 2.5	47.5% + 4.1	48.3% + 3.7	42.5% + 5.4	53.8% + 2.0	55.7% + 3.3	48.7% + 3.5	28.8% + 3.5
Don't know	15.5 + 0.9	18.2 + 1.6	12.2 + 1.3	22.1 + 2.9	7.4 + 1.6	14.6 + 1.3	16.6 + 0.9	19.6 + 4.0	16.8 + 1.5	15.6 + 2.6	15.6 + 2.2	13.0 + 2.1
20+ years	37.0 + 3.0	9.8 + 0.8	62.8 + 2.1	39.5 + 4.0	87.3 + 3.7	37.8 + 4.2	35.1 + 4.0	37.9 + 7.0	29.5 + 2.0	28.7 + 3.3	35.6 + 2.9	58.2 + 4.5
Sample size	10,956	4,665	2,883	1,735	1,463	6,518	3,361	1,077	4,112	1,532	2,112	3,200
Weighted total	467,594	226,002	181,205	30,668	19,959	300,674	139,111	27,809	193,121	80,135	87,913	106,425

Table EXPYOS2 (cont.)

Expected # of Years Active Duty Service
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Officer
0-19 years	67.8% ± 2.6	42.2% ± 7.4	54.8% ± 5.6	38.7% ± 6.6	49.4% ± 3.5	39.5% ± 2.9	25.6% ± 4.7	3.5% ± 1.7	31.9% ± 3.2	34.9% ± 2.8
Don't know	18.4 ± 1.9	17.5 ± 6.2	16.5 ± 4.1	12.7 ± 3.7	16.4 ± 2.5	15.8 ± 1.7	14.2 ± 2.9	6.8 ± 2.1	12.2 ± 1.3	14.6 ± 1.6
20+ years	13.8 ± 1.5	40.3 ± 8.0	28.7 ± 5.3	48.6 ± 6.1	34.1 ± 3.7	44.7 ± 3.3	60.3 ± 5.2	89.7 ± 2.6	55.9 ± 3.4	50.5 ± 3.4
Sample size	2,877	242	482	422	1,287	1,910	894	905	3,256	3,148
Weighted total	175,814	10,852	15,248	14,020	54,394	86,302	14,012	15,507	120,872	107,322

RELATIONSHIPS

Table S-88A

Person at Location Who Listens to You when You Need to Talk
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, always	48.9% + 1.6 -	49.2% + 2.2 -	44.6% + 2.1 -	48.1% + 3.1 -	43.0% + 2.3 -	47.2% + 2.3 -	46.4% + 2.2 -	47.0% + 3.9 -	46.0% + 2.0 -	44.2% + 2.9 -	49.8% + 3.1 -	48.4% + 2.6 -
Yes, sometimes	39.0 + 1.2 -	38.2 + 1.9 -	39.5 + 1.7 -	39.9 + 2.6 -	40.6 + 2.7 -	38.5 + 1.6 -	40.3 + 1.8 -	37.7 + 2.5 -	39.0 + 1.8 -	40.8 + 2.6 -	38.9 + 2.6 -	37.6 + 1.7 -
No	14.1 + 1.0 -	12.6 + 1.3 -	15.9 + 1.6 -	12.0 + 1.4 -	16.5 + 1.5 -	14.3 + 1.4 -	13.3 + 1.2 -	15.3 + 2.1 -	15.0 + 1.4 -	15.0 + 2.2 -	11.3 + 1.9 -	14.0 + 1.8 -
Sample size	10,922	4,653	2,870	1,730	1,458	6,495	3,355	1,072	4,097	1,533	2,106	3,186
Weighted total	465,830	224,981	180,588	30,560	19,908	299,340	138,739	27,751	192,057	80,253	87,689	105,830

Table S_88A (cont.)

Person at Location Who Listens to You when You Need to Talk
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Yes, always	51.9% ± 2.7	52.2% ± 8.0	49.2% ± 5.6	49.3% ± 5.8	47.1% ± 3.2	39.1% ± 2.7	45.2% ± 4.4	46.5% ± 3.9	40.6% ± 3.5	41.1% ± 3.6	45.5% ± 2.2	39.7% ± 2.5
Yes, sometimes	37.4 ± 2.5	32.6 ± 8.5	36.5 ± 4.5	39.9 ± 5.1	37.3 ± 2.7	43.4 ± 2.6	38.2 ± 3.5	38.8 ± 3.1	43.5 ± 3.5	40.7 ± 3.4	39.3 ± 1.9	41.9 ± 2.3
No	10.7 ± 1.3	15.1 ± 6.2	14.2 ± 3.7	10.8 ± 3.7	15.6 ± 2.5	17.4 ± 2.4	16.6 ± 3.0	14.7 ± 3.1	15.9 ± 2.8	18.2 ± 2.8	15.2 ± 1.6	18.4 ± 1.8
Sample size	2,850	242	479	424	1,291	1,911	637	624	896	901	3,259	3,144
Weighted total	174,221	10,867	15,086	14,151	54,548	86,342	41,141	10,096	14,036	15,443	120,807	107,476

Table S 888

Person at Location Who Does Enjoyable Things with You
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, always	41.2% + 1.5	46.2% + 2.0	37.2% + 2.1	37.2% + 2.9	30.2% + 2.2	40.9% + 2.0	41.7% + 2.3	41.8% + 4.0	42.1% + 2.0	40.5% + 3.1	43.3% + 2.2	38.3% + 2.9
Yes, sometimes	47.2% + 1.2	44.6% + 1.5	48.3% + 2.1	53.1% + 2.6	55.5% + 2.3	47.5% + 1.3	47.7% + 1.7	45.9% + 3.6	46.4% + 1.7	47.8% + 2.1	45.9% + 2.4	49.4% + 2.9
No	11.6% + 0.8	9.2% + 1.1	14.5% + 1.4	9.8% + 1.7	14.3% + 1.7	11.6% + 1.1	11.3% + 1.2	12.3% + 1.5	11.5% + 1.1	11.8% + 2.2	10.7% + 1.6	12.3% + 1.8
Sample size	10,929	4,657	2,871	1,732	1,458	6,503	3,354	1,072	4,108	1,531	2,106	3,184
Weighted total	466,126	225,162	180,674	30,596	19,901	299,817	138,666	27,643	192,590	80,112	87,640	105,782

Table S_888 (cont.)

Person at Location Who Does Enjoyable Things with You
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse												
		Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
		No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Yes, always		49.9% + 2.4	42.8% + 7.1	37.3% + 4.7	35.7% + 5.5	41.0% + 3.2	33.4% + 3.1	34.2% + 3.8	34.8% + 3.6	29.9% + 3.2	29.7% + 3.6	36.7% + 1.9	33.2% + 2.5	
Yes, sometimes		42.8 + 2.2	39.9 + 7.1	50.9 + 4.2	53.9 + 6.0	46.4 + 3.2	51.1 + 3.3	50.4 + 4.8	52.7 + 3.6	55.2 + 4.1	58.9 + 3.6	50.7 + 2.1	50.4 + 2.4	
No		7.2 + 1.2	17.4 + 5.2	11.8 + 2.4	10.4 + 4.1	12.6 + 2.0	15.5 + 2.0	15.4 + 3.0	12.5 + 2.9	14.9 + 2.8	13.4 + 2.2	12.6 + 1.4	16.4 + 1.7	
Sample size		2,853	242	479	422	1,292	1,913	639	622	897	903	3,264	3,146	
Weighted total		174,334	10,867	15,091	14,073	54,612	86,432	41,253	10,065	14,046	15,464	121,139	107,453	

Table S 88C

Person at Location Who Helps with Chores If You are Sick
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, always	28.5% + 1.4	26.4% + 2.1	26.7% + 1.8	26.9% + 2.7	27.5% + 2.9	26.9% + 1.8	25.7% + 2.5	27.0% + 3.0	26.2% + 1.9	24.0% + 2.6	27.2% + 2.7	28.5% + 2.5
Yes, sometimes	40.6 + 1.1	40.8 + 1.9	39.8 + 1.9	40.9 + 2.5	42.9 + 2.9	39.7 + 1.2	42.4 + 2.4	40.9 + 2.0	40.0 + 2.0	41.9 + 2.2	40.8 + 2.9	40.5 + 2.1
No	32.9 + 1.4	32.8 + 2.0	33.4 + 1.7	32.2 + 2.7	29.6 + 2.5	33.4 + 2.0	31.9 + 1.6	32.1 + 1.5	33.8 + 1.9	34.1 + 2.2	32.0 + 2.6	31.0 + 2.3
Sample size	10,855	4,627	2,856	1,715	1,447	6,457	3,337	1,061	4,076	1,524	2,091	3,164
Weighted total	462,987	223,604	179,585	30,276	19,754	297,552	137,948	27,487	190,896	79,925	87,004	105,161

Table S 88C (cont.)

Person at Location Who Helps with Chores If You are Sick
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Yes, always	29.6% + 2.4	30.2% + 7.1	20.8% + 4.1	27.2% + 6.1	25.6% + 3.6	21.0% + 2.1	27.7% + 3.3	26.6% + 3.7	22.7% + 3.6	25.0% + 3.5	24.5% + 2.0	23.1% + 2.0
Yes, sometimes	40.2 + 2.1	37.8 + 6.7	37.0 + 4.9	36.1 + 4.7	36.7 + 3.3	42.8 + 2.3	42.2 + 4.2	42.2 + 4.2	45.5 + 4.0	46.6 + 4.3	42.3 + 2.1	41.5 + 1.9
No	30.2 + 2.3	32.1 + 6.1	42.1 + 5.1	36.7 + 5.9	37.7 + 3.6	36.2 + 2.4	30.2 + 3.4	31.2 + 3.6	31.8 + 4.4	28.4 + 3.0	33.2 + 1.9	35.4 + 2.3
Sample size	2,832	242	474	420	1,288	1,897	635	617	890	899	3,244	3,125
Weighted total	173,078	10,867	15,012	14,074	54,428	85,559	41,056	9,995	13,888	15,407	120,224	106,845

Table S 880

Person at Location Who Takes Care of Your Children
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, always	47.0% + 2.1	47.4% + 2.8	46.9% + 2.9	48.1% + 3.7	47.8% + 4.6	46.9% + 3.0	48.1% + 2.1	41.7% + 2.7	46.3% + 2.7	45.7% + 5.0	48.4% + 4.6	47.5% + 2.5
Yes, sometimes	41.6% + 1.9	40.3% + 2.4	41.5% + 2.7	43.1% + 3.9	43.0% + 4.4	41.8% + 2.5	40.8% + 2.8	44.0% + 3.4	42.9% + 2.9	41.8% + 4.2	40.4% + 4.0	40.8% + 2.7
No	11.4% + 1.4	12.3% + 2.0	11.6% + 1.8	8.8% + 2.1	9.2% + 1.5	11.3% + 1.9	11.2% + 1.7	14.3% + 1.9	10.8% + 1.8	12.5% + 3.8	11.2% + 2.3	11.7% + 2.6
Sample size	5,703	1,814	1,886	837	1,026	3,515	1,711	477	1,963	727	1,041	1,972
Weighted total	214,515	56,061	125,269	12,371	14,143	144,120	59,268	11,127	77,583	33,337	38,684	64,910

Table S 880 (cont.)

Person at Location Who Takes Care of Your Children
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Officer
Yes, always	61.7% + 11.5	56.6% + 7.2	--	50.5% + 6.5	47.5% + 9.7	44.5% + 3.1	48.0% + 3.5	44.5% + 4.9	49.1% + 3.4	48.1% + 2.4
Yes, sometimes	20.4 + 8.1	31.2 + 7.3	--	42.4 + 6.3	28.8 + 7.3	44.6 + 3.4	40.5 + 3.7	46.9 + 5.1	42.2 + 3.4	42.4 + 2.5
No	17.9 + 10.0	12.2 + 5.0	--	7.2 + 2.6	23.8 + 10.1	10.9 + 1.9	11.5 + 2.7	8.6 + 2.5	8.8 + 2.6	9.5 + 1.7
Sample size	134	230	25	421	166	1,886	611	843	881	2,090
Weighted total	6,344	10,300	952	14,057	6,990	85,254	39,658	14,532	13,837	82,380
										2,457
										84,339

(—) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S-88E

Person at Location Who Lends You Household Tools
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, always	41.1% ± 1.8	38.0% ± 2.4	42.9% ± 2.1	46.8% ± 2.4	48.4% ± 2.9	41.3% ± 2.5	40.8% ± 2.9	39.4% ± 2.7	39.0% ± 2.6	38.6% ± 2.8	43.2% ± 3.2	44.9% ± 2.8
Yes, sometimes	45.4 ± 1.5	46.4 ± 2.2	44.3 ± 1.7	44.0 ± 2.4	44.5 ± 3.4	45.6 ± 2.0	45.4 ± 2.6	42.4 ± 4.1	46.7 ± 2.2	47.9 ± 2.7	43.3 ± 3.0	42.8 ± 2.0
No	13.6 ± 1.1	15.6 ± 1.5	12.8 ± 1.5	9.2 ± 1.3	7.0 ± 1.5	13.0 ± 1.6	13.8 ± 1.2	18.2 ± 3.2	14.3 ± 1.5	13.5 ± 2.3	13.6 ± 2.2	12.3 ± 2.0
Sample size	10,854	4,613	2,849	1,727	1,455	6,462	3,331	1,061	4,084	1,520	2,081	3,169
Weighted total	462,213	222,718	179,323	30,531	19,879	297,277	137,490	27,446	191,247	79,421	88,429	105,115

Table S 88f

Person at Location Who Makes You Loans of \$25-\$50
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, always	40.3% + 1.5	40.7% + 2.0	39.3% + 1.9	42.5% + 2.8	41.6% + 2.9	39.3% + 2.0	42.2% + 2.3	40.9% + 4.1	39.0% + 2.1	38.6% + 3.2	43.3% + 3.0	41.3% + 1.9
Yes, sometimes	41.6% + 1.6	44.9% + 2.0	38.8% + 2.1	38.1% + 2.6	33.8% + 2.5	41.4% + 2.1	42.1% + 2.5	40.6% + 4.0	44.1% + 2.4	44.4% + 3.1	40.2% + 2.7	38.0% + 2.4
No	18.2% + 1.1	14.4% + 1.5	21.9% + 1.7	19.4% + 1.9	24.6% + 2.5	19.3% + 1.7	15.7% + 1.1	18.5% + 1.4	16.9% + 1.5	17.0% + 2.0	16.5% + 2.3	22.8% + 1.7
Sample size	10,828	4,633	2,844	1,711	1,432	6,436	3,325	1,067	4,070	1,524	2,084	3,150
Weighted total	462,295	224,080	178,769	30,234	19,544	297,116	137,606	27,572	190,920	79,875	86,782	104,718

Table S_88F (cont.)

Person at Location Who Makes You Loans of \$25-\$50
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
Yes, always	43.6% + 2.4	40.2% + 6.5	39.7% + 5.2	37.3% + 5.7	40.6% + 3.2	41.8% + 3.9	34.0% + 2.6	43.5% + 4.2	37.3% + 4.3	38.8% + 3.8	40.1% + 2.1	35.8% + 2.6
Yes, sometimes	44.6% + 2.5	34.8% + 6.8	40.7% + 4.5	40.3% + 5.0	39.0% + 3.8	36.0% + 3.8	44.0% + 2.7	36.1% + 4.5	39.1% + 3.4	36.4% + 3.3	40.0% + 2.2	40.2% + 2.5
No	11.8% + 1.3	25.0% + 5.0	19.6% + 4.4	22.5% + 5.1	20.4% + 2.8	22.2% + 3.4	22.0% + 2.5	20.5% + 3.8	23.7% + 3.3	24.7% + 3.4	19.9% + 1.7	24.0% + 2.0
Sample size	2,838	240	476	421	1,280	633	1,899	615	880	892	3,223	3,115
Weighted total	173,639	10,764	15,041	14,109	54,025	40,845	85,513	9,959	13,789	15,301	119,563	106,440

Table S 88G

Person at Location Who Provides Transportation when Needed
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, always	42.2% + 1.4	39.4% + 2.0	44.3% + 1.8	48.9% + 3.2	45.8% + 2.5	43.3% + 1.9	40.6% + 2.2	37.2% + 4.8	41.0% + 2.0	39.4% + 2.5	43.6% + 2.4	45.3% + 1.8
Yes, sometimes	47.8 + 1.4	51.5 + 1.7	44.0 + 2.0	44.2 + 2.9	45.9 + 2.5	47.3 + 1.8	49.2 + 1.9	45.9 + 6.3	48.9 + 2.0	50.8 + 2.7	47.1 + 2.5	44.1 + 1.7
No	10.0 + 0.9	9.1 + 1.1	11.7 + 1.5	9.0 + 1.7	8.3 + 1.7	9.3 + 1.2	10.2 + 1.3	16.9 + 8.1	10.1 + 1.4	9.8 + 1.6	9.3 + 1.5	10.6 + 1.7
Sample size	10,880	4,637	2,855	1,723	1,455	6,473	3,341	1,066	4,093	1,528	2,087	3,172
Weighted total	463,657	223,937	179,628	30,461	19,870	298,154	138,044	27,459	191,841	79,892	88,664	105,260

Table S 89A

Count on the Leader at Place of Duty with a Personal Problem
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	MCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very great extent	12.7% + 1.1	9.0% + 0.9	15.3% + 1.9	17.1% + 1.9	23.1% + 2.5	13.0% + 1.4	11.9% + 1.8	12.8% + 3.8	11.8% + 1.3	9.9% + 2.0	12.4% + 1.6	16.6% + 2.2
Great extent	25.2 + 1.0	21.1 + 1.0	28.0 + 1.6	31.7 + 2.6	30.8 + 2.0	26.4 + 1.1	23.1 + 2.0	22.6 + 3.6	24.2 + 1.3	24.9 + 3.0	24.1 + 2.8	28.2 + 1.7
Moderate extent	38.4 + 1.3	40.2 + 1.5	34.3 + 1.7	31.7 + 2.9	22.9 + 2.3	35.6 + 1.7	37.9 + 2.1	37.4 + 4.6	38.0 + 1.8	37.7 + 3.1	37.5 + 3.0	31.6 + 2.7
Slight extent	17.4 + 0.7	19.8 + 1.1	15.2 + 1.2	14.0 + 2.2	14.6 + 1.7	16.9 + 1.0	18.5 + 1.0	16.9 + 0.7	17.8 + 1.2	18.8 + 2.6	17.1 + 1.7	15.6 + 1.8
Not at all	8.4 + 0.7	9.9 + 1.1	7.2 + 1.0	5.5 + 1.4	8.6 + 2.0	8.1 + 1.1	8.6 + 0.8	10.2 + 2.1	8.2 + 1.2	8.6 + 1.7	8.9 + 1.8	8.0 + 1.3
Sample size	10,936	4,660	2,874	1,729	1,463	6,512	3,352	1,072	4,115	1,527	2,100	3,194
Weighted total	468,107	225,197	180,689	30,505	19,947	299,768	138,631	27,707	193,069	79,760	87,177	108,100

Table S 898

Count on a Co-worker for Help with a Personal Problem
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type					
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOJ	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
Very great extent	14.0% + 0.9	11.5% + 1.4	14.9% + 1.6	19.9% + 2.2	23.7% + 2.6	14.1% + 1.1	13.9% + 2.0	14.0% + 3.1	13.5% + 1.5	13.3% + 2.1	13.2% + 1.7	16.2% + 1.9
Great extent	32.9 + 1.0	31.6 + 1.6	32.5 + 1.6	38.2 + 2.1	37.2 + 2.9	33.3 + 1.3	32.7 + 1.9	29.2 + 3.7	32.9 + 1.6	31.6 + 2.8	32.4 + 3.2	34.1 + 1.8
Moderate extent	35.1 + 1.1	38.3 + 1.6	34.3 + 1.9	27.5 + 2.4	22.3 + 3.1	35.0 + 1.4	34.8 + 1.9	37.6 + 4.7	36.0 + 2.0	37.7 + 2.6	35.3 + 2.4	31.3 + 2.3
Slight extent	12.7 + 0.9	13.3 + 1.2	12.5 + 1.3	10.6 + 1.8	11.1 + 2.5	12.3 + 0.9	13.4 + 1.9	13.1 + 1.9	12.5 + 1.1	12.3 + 1.8	13.3 + 1.9	12.8 + 1.5
Not at all	5.4 + 0.6	5.3 + 0.9	5.9 + 1.0	3.8 + 1.0	5.8 + 1.4	5.4 + 0.8	5.2 + 1.2	6.1 + 2.4	5.2 + 0.9	5.0 + 1.3	5.9 + 1.3	5.6 + 1.0
Sample size	10,923	4,655	2,866	1,729	1,463	6,502	3,349	1,072	4,112	1,525	2,097	3,189
Weighted total	465,289	224,905	180,149	30,512	19,955	299,027	138,537	27,725	192,820	79,485	87,159	105,825

Table S 89C

Count on a Neighbor or Friend in the Army for Help with a Personal Problem
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very great extent	17.3% + 1.0	16.3% + 1.5	17.4% + 1.3	21.9% + 2.5	22.6% + 3.2	17.2% + 1.2	17.2% + 2.0	18.4% + 3.5	17.2% + 1.6	14.2% + 1.8	17.7% + 1.9	19.5% + 2.2
Great extent	31.2 + 1.5	30.0 + 2.0	30.7 + 2.1	37.9 + 2.3	35.7 + 3.7	30.0 + 2.0	34.0 + 2.0	29.6 + 4.4	31.5 + 1.8	31.5 + 3.1	32.1 + 2.9	29.7 + 2.5
Moderate extent	30.5 + 1.3	33.6 + 1.8	29.3 + 2.0	23.0 + 2.1	19.4 + 2.1	31.3 + 1.4	28.9 + 2.7	30.6 + 6.7	30.8 + 2.1	32.6 + 2.9	31.4 + 2.8	27.8 + 1.4
Slight extent	12.8 + 0.9	12.3 + 1.2	13.8 + 1.3	10.9 + 1.2	12.1 + 2.9	12.7 + 1.1	13.0 + 1.7	13.2 + 3.1	13.0 + 1.6	14.4 + 2.1	11.3 + 1.7	12.5 + 1.6
Not at all	8.2 + 0.8	7.7 + 1.1	8.9 + 1.5	6.2 + 1.5	10.2 + 1.9	8.8 + 1.1	6.9 + 1.4	8.2 + 2.3	7.6 + 1.1	7.2 + 1.4	7.6 + 1.8	10.5 + 1.8
Sample size	10,894	4,640	2,861	1,726	1,457	6,482	3,341	1,071	4,099	1,518	2,094	3,183
Weighted total	464,195	224,222	179,858	30,483	19,863	298,299	138,183	27,713	192,148	79,353	87,095	105,598

Count on a Neighbor or Friend in the Army for Help with a Personal Problem by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

535

Table S 890

Count on a Neighbor or Friend not in the Army for Help with a Personal Problem
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very great extent	16.4% + 0.9 -	17.3% + 1.4 -	15.6% + 1.4 -	15.7% + 2.1 -	17.4% + 2.1 -	17.4% + 1.1 -	14.8% + 1.7 -	14.9% + 1.5 -	16.7% + 1.3 -	14.5% + 1.8 -	15.8% + 1.8 -	18.0% + 1.6 -
Great extent	24.0 + 1.2 -	23.1 + 1.5 -	24.5 + 1.7 -	26.2 + 2.3 -	26.8 + 2.8 -	24.6 + 1.6 -	23.3 + 2.1 -	21.9 + 4.0 -	22.8 + 1.4 -	25.9 + 2.3 -	23.0 + 2.9 -	25.6 + 2.3 -
Moderate extent	26.4 + 1.1 -	27.5 + 1.5 -	25.5 + 1.6 -	24.5 + 2.6 -	24.9 + 2.9 -	27.3 + 1.4 -	24.9 + 1.9 -	23.9 + 2.1 -	26.0 + 1.9 -	28.5 + 2.3 -	26.3 + 2.6 -	25.7 + 1.7 -
Slight extent	14.3 + 0.9 -	13.8 + 1.2 -	14.2 + 1.2 -	16.3 + 2.0 -	17.1 + 2.1 -	13.5 + 1.2 -	15.6 + 1.3 -	15.8 + 3.5 -	14.4 + 1.4 -	13.1 + 1.8 -	16.1 + 2.2 -	13.5 + 1.5 -
Not at all	18.8 + 1.1 -	18.3 + 1.6 -	20.2 + 1.9 -	17.3 + 2.0 -	13.6 + 3.3 -	17.2 + 1.3 -	21.4 + 1.9 -	23.5 + 2.6 -	20.0 + 1.5 -	17.9 + 2.1 -	18.8 + 2.1 -	17.2 + 2.7 -
Sample size	10,829	4,598	2,856	1,716	1,452	6,446	3,320	1,063	4,066	1,506	2,079	3,178
Weighted total	461,365	221,999	179,640	30,274	19,830	296,644	137,234	27,486	190,566	78,787	86,611	105,401

Table S 89D (cont.)

Count on a Neighbor or Friend not in the Army for Help with a Personal Problem
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Enlisted	Child 6+	Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Enlisted	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Very great extent	19.6% ± 2.1	19.2% ± 5.3	11.6% ± 2.6	15.3% ± 3.9	15.2% ± 3.2	12.4% ± 1.8	16.7% ± 3.1	14.4% ± 3.5	15.8% ± 2.5	14.9% ± 1.6
Great extent	22.4% ± 1.8	28.0% ± 5.6	20.8% ± 4.2	27.3% ± 4.6	22.6% ± 2.8	24.1% ± 2.8	27.9% ± 3.5	26.1% ± 3.6	28.6% ± 3.9	25.7% ± 2.0
Moderate extent	27.6% ± 1.9	25.2% ± 6.9	24.6% ± 5.2	27.6% ± 4.7	25.6% ± 2.5	26.9% ± 2.6	22.8% ± 3.2	24.7% ± 4.4	24.7% ± 3.6	25.4% ± 1.4
Slight extent	12.5% ± 1.5	11.5% ± 3.9	18.0% ± 3.8	12.4% ± 3.7	17.4% ± 2.7	15.8% ± 1.9	11.6% ± 2.8	19.2% ± 3.8	16.0% ± 2.9	15.6% ± 1.6
Not at all	18.0% ± 2.1	16.1% ± 4.6	25.0% ± 6.2	17.4% ± 4.7	19.2% ± 2.7	20.7% ± 2.5	20.9% ± 3.4	15.7% ± 3.2	14.9% ± 3.7	18.3% ± 1.7
Sample size	2,823	242	476	422	1,276	1,893	632	620	896	3,230
Weighted total	172,214	10,829	15,065	14,096	53,992	85,731	40,719	10,019	15,333	119,969
										106,288

Table S 89C

Count on Staff of an Army Service Agency for Help with a Personal Problem
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very great extent	13.0% + 1.1	11.9% + 1.1	15.5% + 1.8	10.0% + 1.5	11.0% + 2.0	13.1% + 1.5	13.1% + 1.4	12.2% + 0.6	13.2% + 1.8	12.6% + 2.4	13.5% + 1.8	12.7% + 2.4
Great extent	26.7 + 1.2	25.6 + 1.5	28.8 + 1.9	25.5 + 3.0	22.3 + 2.0	26.0 + 1.6	28.1 + 1.6	26.5 + 4.9	26.7 + 2.0	28.5 + 2.9	28.9 + 2.7	24.9 + 2.4
Moderate extent	34.6 + 1.0	36.0 + 1.4	33.0 + 2.0	33.8 + 3.0	33.7 + 3.3	34.2 + 1.1	34.9 + 1.9	36.9 + 2.7	34.7 + 1.6	34.2 + 2.6	34.9 + 2.9	34.4 + 2.2
Slight extent	15.5 + 0.7	15.8 + 1.1	13.7 + 1.4	18.7 + 2.4	21.4 + 3.0	16.3 + 0.9	14.1 + 1.5	13.8 + 3.3	15.0 + 1.3	16.0 + 2.2	14.7 + 1.7	16.6 + 1.6
Not at all	10.2 + 0.8	10.8 + 1.0	9.0 + 1.5	12.0 + 2.1	11.7 + 1.8	10.4 + 1.1	9.8 + 0.9	10.6 + 2.3	10.3 + 1.2	8.7 + 1.3	10.0 + 2.0	11.4 + 1.7
Sample size	10,821	4,604	2,848	1,712	1,449	6,441	3,318	1,062	4,078	1,506	2,076	3,161
Weighted total	460,404	221,628	179,174	30,179	19,745	296,232	136,784	27,388	190,730	78,593	86,163	104,918

Table S-89E (cont.)

Count on Staff of an Army Service Agency for Help with a Personal Problem
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Enlisted	No Children	Children	Child 6+	Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Very great extent	11.6% ± 1.4	8.8% ± 3.7	14.0% ± 3.5	13.3% ± 4.7	14.6% ± 2.0	12.5% ± 1.7	16.3% ± 2.7	12.1% ± 2.8	9.7% ± 2.1	10.4% ± 2.3
Great extent	25.2% ± 1.6	27.4% ± 5.7	24.1% ± 5.2	28.6% ± 4.4	27.2% ± 2.6	30.0% ± 2.7	28.2% ± 3.6	26.5% ± 4.5	25.4% ± 3.7	24.5% ± 2.7
Moderate extent	36.1% ± 1.9	35.2% ± 8.4	37.8% ± 4.7	35.5% ± 5.4	34.0% ± 3.2	33.6% ± 2.7	30.9% ± 3.2	34.1% ± 3.9	32.5% ± 3.5	35.2% ± 3.3
Slight extent	15.9% ± 1.2	17.7% ± 5.6	13.2% ± 3.6	13.2% ± 3.2	15.2% ± 2.7	15.0% ± 1.9	15.3% ± 3.1	17.1% ± 2.6	21.4% ± 3.5	18.6% ± 3.3
Not at all	11.3% ± 1.2	10.8% ± 5.3	10.9% ± 3.3	9.4% ± 3.0	9.0% ± 1.9	9.0% ± 1.6	9.3% ± 2.3	10.3% ± 2.8	11.0% ± 3.1	11.3% ± 2.1
Spouse size	2,809	241	472	421	1,284	1,901	635	622	887	894
Weighted total	171,309	10,797	14,902	14,085	54,180	85,886	40,911	10,055	13,783	15,296
										3,242
										120,391
										106,300

Table S 89f

Count on Parents or Other Relatives for Help with a Personal Problem
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCINUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very great extent	45.1% + 1.2	48.2% + 1.9	41.3% + 2.1	50.0% + 3.1	41.7% + 2.5	46.6% + 1.4	41.6% + 2.4	44.8% + 3.4	45.9% + 1.7	43.6% + 2.8	44.5% + 3.1	45.0% + 2.5
Great extent	28.1 + 1.2	24.6 + 1.6	27.7 + 2.0	28.6 + 2.9	24.9 + 2.0	28.8 + 1.6	24.8 + 1.5	24.4 + 3.0	25.9 + 1.6	27.7 + 3.1	28.2 + 2.4	25.1 + 1.7
Moderate extent	15.4 + 0.9	15.3 + 1.1	15.9 + 1.5	12.3 + 2.2	14.7 + 2.1	14.8 + 1.1	16.6 + 1.4	16.1 + 4.8	15.6 + 1.5	14.8 + 2.4	15.7 + 2.3	15.2 + 1.6
Slight extent	6.4 + 0.7	5.5 + 0.9	7.4 + 1.1	5.1 + 1.4	8.5 + 1.9	5.8 + 0.8	7.9 + 1.3	6.1 + 1.8	6.1 + 1.1	5.9 + 1.2	6.8 + 1.4	7.1 + 1.0
Not at all	7.1 + 0.7	6.4 + 1.0	7.7 + 1.0	6.0 + 1.4	10.3 + 1.8	6.0 + 0.8	8.9 + 1.3	8.6 + 1.4	6.5 + 1.0	8.0 + 1.9	6.9 + 1.4	7.5 + 1.2
Sample size	10,892	4,639	2,861	1,726	1,457	6,485	3,335	1,072	4,104	1,511	2,096	3,181
Weighted total	463,817	223,741	179,988	30,468	19,894	298,546	137,569	27,701	192,237	78,659	87,202	105,719

Table S 90

Any Close Relatives Live Within a Two-hour Drive
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, close relatives within 2-hour drive	17.9% + 1.6	15.8% + 1.6	20.9% + 2.4	16.0% + 2.7	16.4% + 3.5	20.1% + 2.2	14.9% + 2.2	9.4% + 2.8	16.4% + 1.9	18.0% + 2.4	18.1% + 2.6	20.3% + 2.7
No, no relatives within 2-hour drive	82.1 + 1.6	84.2 + 1.6	79.1 + 2.4	84.0 + 2.7	83.6 + 3.5	79.9 + 2.2	85.1 + 2.2	90.6 + 2.8	83.6 + 1.9	82.0 + 2.4	81.9 + 2.6	79.7 + 2.7
Sample size	10,876	4,629	2,850	1,730	1,458	6,478	3,329	1,069	4,089	1,525	2,086	3,176
Weighted total	463,872	224,484	179,173	30,601	19,904	298,283	137,895	27,695	192,164	79,578	86,629	105,501

Table MARRAGE

Age at Time of First Marriage
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Age 19 or younger when first married	25.8% + 1.6	33.5% + 2.2	28.1% + 1.9	7.8% + 1.6	4.7% + 1.2	26.3% + 2.1	25.5% + 2.7	21.9% + 4.0	28.8% + 2.2	23.7% + 3.3	26.3% + 2.0	22.7% + 3.2
Age 20-22 when first married	40.6 + 1.5	45.4 + 2.0	39.9 + 2.0	30.2 + 2.5	36.9 + 2.5	40.4 + 2.2	40.6 + 1.8	43.0 + 3.9	40.1 + 2.5	46.6 + 3.6	41.7 + 2.5	37.3 + 1.6
Age 23-24 when first married	18.0 + 1.1	11.9 + 1.5	15.5 + 1.5	29.5 + 2.6	22.0 + 1.8	15.8 + 1.4	16.5 + 1.6	15.3 + 3.6	15.7 + 1.5	15.5 + 2.5	16.2 + 2.2	16.5 + 1.8
Age 25 or older when first married	17.6 + 1.5	9.2 + 1.3	18.5 + 1.6	32.5 + 3.3	36.4 + 2.8	17.6 + 2.1	17.3 + 2.1	19.8 + 4.3	15.4 + 1.7	14.3 + 2.9	15.9 + 2.2	23.5 + 3.1
Sample size	8,527	2,997	2,560	1,408	1,374	5,136	2,600	791	2,984	1,096	1,653	2,794
Weighted total	309,075	96,549	163,511	21,301	18,761	202,080	89,324	17,671	113,990	47,702	59,516	87,867

Table MARRAGE (cont.)

Civilian Spouse

Table S 92

Current Marital Status
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Married for the first time	48.0% ± 1.7	32.9% ± 1.6	61.2% ± 2.4	58.0% ± 3.4	75.5% ± 2.2	48.3% ± 2.4	47.5% ± 2.5	46.5% ± 4.4	44.6% ± 2.0	44.7% ± 2.5	47.9% ± 2.1	58.6% ± 2.9
Remarried, was divorced or widowed	11.0 ± 1.2	3.5 ± 0.5	20.4 ± 2.1	6.4 ± 1.4	14.0 ± 1.4	11.4 ± 1.6	10.0 ± 2.0	11.1 ± 2.3	8.4 ± 0.9	8.5 ± 1.9	10.7 ± 1.5	17.9 ± 2.3
Single and never married	32.8 ± 2.4	56.3 ± 2.1	8.4 ± 0.8	29.9 ± 3.7	5.4 ± 2.0	31.9 ± 3.3	34.4 ± 3.5	35.2 ± 7.3	39.9 ± 2.1	39.4 ± 2.7	31.3 ± 2.8	18.2 ± 2.6
Legally separated or filing for divorce	3.5 ± 0.4	3.5 ± 0.6	4.0 ± 0.7	1.5 ± 0.6	1.2 ± 0.7	3.4 ± 0.5	3.7 ± 0.7	2.8 ± 0.8	2.9 ± 0.6	3.8 ± 1.0	4.5 ± 1.2	3.3 ± 0.7
Divorced	4.7 ± 0.5	3.7 ± 0.6	6.0 ± 0.9	4.1 ± 1.4	3.7 ± 1.2	4.9 ± 0.6	4.3 ± 0.8	4.3 ± 1.4	4.1 ± 0.7	3.5 ± 1.0	5.7 ± 1.2	5.7 ± 0.9
Widowed	0.1 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.3	0.2 ± 0.2	0.1 ± 0.1	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.1	0.0 ± 0.1	0.0 ± 0.0	0.2 ± 0.2
Sample size	11,035	4,709	2,903	1,742	1,470	6,574	3,379	1,082	4,147	1,544	2,124	3,220
Weighted total	471,497	228,255	182,636	30,753	20,060	303,589	139,946	27,962	195,090	80,818	88,495	107,094

Table S_92 (cont.)

Current Marital Status
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+
Married for the first time	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	83.0% ± 3.4	68.6% ± 6.3	81.1% ± 2.4	82.8% ± 2.5	75.5% ± 3.9	83.6% ± 3.5	90.7% ± 2.1	85.2% ± 2.8	79.7% ± 2.0	84.0% ± 1.6
Remarried, was divorced or widowed	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	17.0 ± 3.4	31.4 ± 6.3	18.9 ± 2.4	17.2 ± 2.5	24.5 ± 3.9	16.4 ± 3.5	9.3 ± 2.1	14.8 ± 2.8	20.3 ± 2.0	16.0 ± 1.6
Single and never married	85.0 ± 1.6	32.3 ± 8.2	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0
Legally separated or filing for divorce	6.2 ± 1.0	28.8 ± 5.9	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0
Divorced	8.7 ± 1.2	37.5 ± 7.9	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0
Widowed	0.1 ± 0.1	1.3 ± 1.6	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0
Sample size	2,899	245	483	426	1,301	1,923	639	633	898	907	3,283	3,162
Weighted total	177,385	11,007	15,274	14,170	55,050	86,998	41,253	10,222	14,055	15,528	121,887	107,906

Table MARSTAT
Soldier's Marital Status
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Single, never married	33.9% + 2.5 -	57.3% + 2.1 -	8.9% + 0.9 -	30.4% + 3.8 -	5.5% + 2.0 -	32.9% + 3.5 -	35.4% + 3.6 -	36.5% + 7.5 -	41.1% + 2.3 -	40.6% + 2.7 -	32.3% + 2.8 -	16.8% + 2.7 -
Married to civilian spouse	51.0% + 2.2 -	29.7% + 1.4 -	72.7% + 1.7 -	54.6% + 3.7 -	83.0% + 2.9 -	51.5% + 3.0 -	50.0% + 3.4 -	50.4% + 5.4 -	48.6% + 2.0 -	45.5% + 2.5 -	46.1% + 3.1 -	63.7% + 3.4 -
Married to military spouse	6.7% + 0.8 -	5.6% + 1.0 -	7.8% + 1.1 -	9.2% + 1.7 -	6.4% + 1.4 -	6.9% + 1.0 -	6.3% + 1.7 -	5.5% + 1.9 -	3.0% + 0.6 -	6.3% + 1.7 -	11.2% + 1.8 -	9.9% + 2.0 -
Widowed, separated, divorced	8.5% + 0.6 -	7.4% + 0.9 -	10.6% + 1.1 -	5.8% + 1.8 -	5.2% + 1.2 -	8.6% + 0.8 -	8.3% + 1.1 -	7.6% + 1.5 -	7.3% + 0.9 -	7.5% + 1.5 -	10.5% + 1.8 -	9.6% + 1.2 -
Sample size	10,695	4,580	2,758	1,708	1,442	6,363	3,283	1,049	4,015	1,495	2,050	3,135
Weighted total	456,833	224,168	173,126	30,248	19,685	293,908	135,947	26,978	189,337	78,453	85,700	103,342

Table MARSTAT (cont.)

Soldier's Marital Status
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse										
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
Single, never married	85.0% ± 1.6	32.3% ± 8.2	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0
Married to civilian spouse	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	100.0 ± 0.0	100.0 ± 0.0	100.0 ± 0.0	100.0 ± 0.0	100.0 ± 0.0	100.0 ± 0.0	100.0 ± 0.0
Married to military spouse	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	100.0 ± 0.0	100.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0
Widowed, separated, divorced	15.0 ± 1.6	67.7 ± 8.2	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0
Sample size	2,899	245	483	426	1,301	1,923	639	898	907	3,283	3,162
Weighted total	177,385	11,007	15,274	14,170	55,050	86,998	41,253	14,055	15,528	121,887	107,906

Table MS2

Soldier's Marital Status
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	WCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
Not married	41.0% + 2.3	63.6% + 1.8	18.4% + 1.2	35.6% + 3.2	10.5% + 2.2	40.2% + 3.2	42.4% + 3.6	42.5% + 6.7	46.7% + 2.4	41.4% + 2.7
Married, remarried	59.0% + 2.3	36.4% + 1.8	81.6% + 1.2	64.4% + 3.2	89.5% + 2.2	59.8% + 3.2	57.6% + 3.6	57.5% + 6.7	53.3% + 2.4	58.6% + 2.7
Sample size	11,035	4,709	2,903	1,742	1,470	6,574	3,379	1,082	4,147	2,124
Weighted total	471,497	228,255	182,636	30,753	20,060	303,589	139,946	27,962	195,090	88,495

Table MS2 (cont.)

Soldier's Marital Status
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse											
		Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer			
	Single	No Children		Children		No Children		Child 0-5		Child 6+		Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
		100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%		
Not married		100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0
Married, remarried		0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
		+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	+ 0.0
Sample size		2,899	245	483	426	1,301	1,923	639	898	907	3,283	3,162	
		177,385	11,007	15,274	14,170	55,050	86,998	41,253	14,055	15,528	121,887	107,906	

Table MS4

Soldier's Marital Status
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
Never married	32.8% + 2.4	56.3% + 2.1	8.4% + 0.8	29.9% + 3.7	5.4% + 2.0	31.9% + 3.3	34.4% + 3.5	35.2% + 7.3	39.9% + 2.1	39.4% + 2.7	31.3% + 2.8
Formerly married	8.2% + 0.6	7.3% + 0.9	10.0% + 1.1	5.7% + 1.8	5.1% + 1.2	8.4% + 0.8	8.0% + 1.1	7.3% + 1.4	7.1% + 0.9	7.3% + 1.5	10.1% + 1.7
Currently married	59.0% + 2.3	36.4% + 1.8	81.6% + 1.2	64.4% + 3.2	89.5% + 2.2	59.8% + 3.2	57.6% + 3.6	57.5% + 6.7	53.0% + 2.0	53.3% + 2.4	58.6% + 2.7
Sample size	11,035	4,709	2,903	1,742	1,470	6,574	3,379	1,082	4,147	1,544	2,124
Weighted total	471,497	228,255	182,636	30,753	20,060	303,589	139,946	27,962	195,090	80,818	88,495
											107,094

Table MS4 (cont.)

Soldier's Marital Status
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse												
Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed
No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	
85.0% ± 1.6	32.3% ± 8.2	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0%
15.0% ± 1.6	67.7% ± 8.2	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0%
0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	100.0% ± 0.0	100.0% ± 0.0	100.0% ± 0.0	100.0% ± 0.0	100.0% ± 0.0	100.0% ± 0.0	100.0% ± 0.0	100.0% ± 0.0	100.0% ± 0.0	100.0% ± 0.0	100.0%
2,899	245	483	426	1,301	1,923	639	633	898	907	3,283	3,162	
177,385	11,007	15,274	14,170	55,050	86,998	41,253	10,222	14,055	15,528	121,887	107,906	

Table DUAL IND

Dual Military Couple Indicator
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Spouse is in the military	6.7% ± 0.8	5.6% ± 1.0	7.8% ± 1.1	9.2% ± 1.7	8.4% ± 1.4	6.9% ± 1.0	6.3% ± 1.7	5.5% ± 1.9	3.0% ± 0.6	6.3% ± 1.7	11.2% ± 1.8	9.9% ± 2.0
Not in a dual military marriage	93.3 ± 0.8	94.4 ± 1.0	92.2 ± 1.1	90.8 ± 1.7	93.6 ± 1.4	93.1 ± 1.0	93.7 ± 1.7	94.5 ± 1.9	97.0 ± 0.6	93.7 ± 1.7	88.8 ± 1.8	90.1 ± 2.0
Sample size	10,695	4,580	2,758	1,708	1,442	6,363	3,283	1,049	4,015	1,495	2,050	3,135
Weighted total	456,833	224,168	173,126	30,248	19,685	293,908	135,947	26,978	189,337	78,453	85,700	103,342

Table DUAL IND (cont.)

Dual Military Couple Indicator
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Spouse is in the military	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0
Not in a dual military marriage	100.0 + 0.0	100.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	100.0 + 0.0	100.0 + 0.0	100.0 + 0.0	100.0 + 0.0	100.0 + 0.0	100.0 + 0.0	100.0 + 0.0	100.0 + 0.0
Sample size	2,899	245	483	428	1,301	1,923	639	633	898	907	3,283	3,162
Weighted total	177,385	11,007	15,274	14,170	55,050	86,998	41,253	10,222	14,055	15,528	121,887	107,906

Table S 93

Single and Engaged or Significantly Involved with Someone
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCINUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
Yes, single and attached	46.6% + 1.6	46.7% + 1.8	46.7% + 3.4	45.8% + 5.9	45.6% + 9.9	46.8% + 2.0	48.8% + 3.0	43.5% + 6.7	45.3% + 2.9	46.1% + 4.2	49.7% + 3.7
No, single but not attached	53.4 + 1.6	53.3 + 1.8	53.3 + 3.4	54.2 + 5.9	54.4 + 9.9	53.2 + 2.0	53.2 + 3.0	56.5 + 6.7	54.7 + 2.9	53.9 + 4.2	50.3 + 3.7
Sample size	3,101	1,968	612	391	153	1,835	980	346	1,348	532	630
Weighted total	56,469	141,267	32,807	10,799	2,021	119,068	57,666	11,634	89,172	36,728	35,902
											26,567

Table S_93 (cont.)

Single and Engaged or Significantly Involved with Someone
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse									
		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed
Single		No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
Yes, single and attached	45.7% ± 1.7	57.6% ± 5.5	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
No, single but not attached	54.3 ± 1.7	42.4 ± 5.5	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Sample size	2,830	237	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted total	172,895	10,657	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(-) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 94

If Single and Attached, Has Girl/Boyfriend Served on Active Duty in the U.S. Armed Forces
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOJ	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, is currently on active duty	22.6% + 3.4	20.6% + 3.6	26.0% + 6.8	34.0% + 8.8	32.6% + 12.5	21.5% + 4.5	23.8% + 4.8	28.4% + 18.0	9.8% + 2.5	22.2% + 7.2	41.7% + 5.7	36.9% + 9.2
Yes, was on active duty	4.8 + 1.4	3.1 + 1.2	10.7 + 4.1	7.7 + 6.3	11.0 + 8.5	5.0 + 1.8	3.9 + 2.0	7.0 + 6.3	3.1 + 1.5	2.4 + 1.5	7.0 + 3.5	10.1 + 4.6
No, significant other never active duty	72.6 + 3.6	76.2 + 3.8	63.3 + 6.4	58.4 + 9.0	56.3 + 13.9	73.5 + 4.7	72.3 + 5.3	64.6 + 19.5	87.0 + 2.3	75.4 + 7.3	51.3 + 6.4	53.0 + 8.4
Sample size	1,450	925	274	171	64	850	453	147	605	240	312	293
Weighted total	86,306	65,020	14,840	4,901	907	54,944	26,520	4,841	39,767	16,549	17,726	12,264

Table S-94 (cont.)

If Single and Attached, Has Girl/Boyfriend Served on Active Duty in the U.S. Armed Forces
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Civilian Spouse			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Yes, is currently on active duty	20.0% ± 3.4	56.4% ± 9.2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Yes, was on active duty	4.3 ± 1.4	12.9 ± 6.5	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
No, significant other never active duty	75.7 ± 3.7	30.8 ± 9.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Sample size	1,270	127	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted total	77,672	6,040	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 95

How Supportive Is Girl/Boyfriend of Army Service
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCNUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very supportive	38.8% + 3.6 -	34.7% + 4.2 -	51.4% + 7.6 -	48.4% + 10.8 -	52.8% + 11.1 -	38.9% + 5.0 -	39.1% + 5.2 -	35.4% + 8.7 -	34.2% + 5.0 -	33.3% + 6.5 -	47.9% + 5.8 -	47.6% + 7.0 -
Fairly supportive	19.5 + 2.5 -	19.2 + 3.0 -	20.3 + 5.8 -	23.5 + 6.3 -	15.5 + 6.4 -	20.0 + 3.0 -	18.3 + 5.4 -	19.6 + 4.1 -	18.2 + 3.8 -	23.5 + 6.9 -	17.6 + 4.7 -	20.8 + 4.2 -
Mixed or neutral	27.2 + 2.6 -	29.2 + 3.3 -	22.0 + 4.5 -	19.2 + 6.8 -	21.0 + 10.9 -	26.2 + 3.2 -	28.1 + 4.9 -	33.9 + 9.2 -	29.3 + 4.2 -	30.8 + 7.5 -	24.5 + 5.6 -	19.4 + 3.5 -
Fairly unsupportive	5.6 + 1.3 -	6.1 + 1.7 -	3.9 + 3.0 -	5.0 + 3.3 -	3.5 + 5.4 -	6.0 + 1.7 -	5.1 + 2.1 -	4.0 + 2.6 -	7.4 + 2.1 -	4.2 + 2.5 -	3.4 + 2.0 -	4.9 + 3.4 -
Very unsupportive	5.9 + 1.3 -	7.3 + 1.5 -	0.9 + 1.0 -	3.5 + 4.2 -	4.9 + 5.8 -	6.3 + 1.6 -	5.5 + 2.8 -	4.3 + 3.4 -	6.6 + 2.5 -	5.5 + 3.9 -	5.6 + 2.8 -	4.6 + 3.0 -
Don't know	3.0 + 1.2 -	3.5 + 1.5 -	1.5 + 2.2 -	0.4 + 0.8 -	2.2 + 3.9 -	2.6 + 1.5 -	3.9 + 2.5 -	2.8 + 2.4 -	4.2 + 2.3 -	2.7 + 2.2 -	1.0 + 1.1 -	2.7 + 2.1 -
Sample size	1,450	925	274	171	64	848	454	148	604	240	313	293
Weighted total	86,360	65,074	14,840	4,901	907	54,817	26,661	4,882	39,681	16,549	17,863	12,264

Table S_95 (cont.)

How Supportive Is Girl/Boyfriend of Army Service
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
Very supportive	36.7% ± 4.0	58.3% ± 9.9	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Fairly supportive	19.7 ± 2.7	18.2 ± 8.5	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Mixed or neutral	28.3 ± 2.7	16.4 ± 6.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Fairly unsupportive	5.8 ± 1.4	4.3 ± 4.9	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Very unsupportive	6.5 ± 1.5	0.0 ± 0.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Don't know	3.0 ± 1.3	2.8 ± 2.5	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Sample size	1,271	127	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted total	77,764	6,040	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S_96

How Supportive Is Girl/Boyfriend of Army Career
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very supportive	19.9% ± 2.7	13.2% ± 2.9	43.3% ± 5.7	27.8% ± 7.5	50.3% ± 11.5	19.5% ± 3.2	21.5% ± 5.3	16.0% ± 8.2	18.0% ± 3.1	15.5% ± 6.3	20.6% ± 5.1	31.1% ± 4.4
Fairly supportive	11.5% ± 1.2	10.3% ± 1.8	13.7% ± 5.1	21.1% ± 4.6	13.3% ± 7.6	12.4% ± 1.3	10.3% ± 2.5	8.4% ± 4.4	12.3% ± 2.1	9.3% ± 3.8	11.4% ± 3.7	12.2% ± 4.4
Mixed or neutral	31.6% ± 2.4	32.7% ± 3.0	27.1% ± 5.7	33.6% ± 8.3	22.8% ± 9.1	29.8% ± 2.8	34.9% ± 4.9	32.6% ± 2.2	27.9% ± 4.1	39.1% ± 7.5	33.4% ± 4.4	30.5% ± 5.9
Fairly unsupportive	8.0% ± 1.7	8.4% ± 2.0	7.0% ± 3.9	6.9% ± 3.6	3.0% ± 2.9	8.7% ± 2.3	4.4% ± 1.5	19.4% ± 4.4	8.8% ± 2.5	6.5% ± 3.3	7.3% ± 3.5	8.4% ± 2.8
Very unsupportive	20.7% ± 2.4	25.3% ± 2.7	5.8% ± 3.0	9.3% ± 5.5	8.5% ± 8.3	20.9% ± 3.0	20.8% ± 4.1	17.9% ± 6.1	24.0% ± 2.7	23.5% ± 6.5	17.1% ± 3.5	11.7% ± 4.5
Don't know	8.3% ± 1.8	10.1% ± 2.3	3.0% ± 2.6	1.3% ± 1.8	2.2% ± 3.9	8.6% ± 2.6	8.1% ± 2.5	5.8% ± 2.0	9.0% ± 2.5	6.2% ± 3.3	10.2% ± 3.9	6.1% ± 2.4
Sample size	1,452	927	274	171	64	849	455	148	605	240	313	294
Weighted total	86,470	65,184	14,840	4,901	907	54,907	26,681	4,882	39,771	16,549	17,866	12,284

Table S_96 (cont.)

How Supportive Is Girl/Boys' friend of Army Career
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	41.2% ± 2.8	No Children	Children	41.2% ± 2.8	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
Very supportive	17.6% ± 2.8	41.2% ± 8.7	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Fairly supportive	11.3% ± 1.5	13.2% ± 5.2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Mixed or neutral	32.1% ± 2.5	26.0% ± 7.4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Fairly unsupportive	8.3% ± 1.9	5.5% ± 4.1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Very unsupportive	22.3% ± 2.6	8.1% ± 5.5	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Don't know	8.5% ± 1.9	6.1% ± 4.7	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Sample size	1,273	127	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted total	77,874	6,040	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S.97

Does Girl/Boyfriend Live Within Two-hour Drive
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, significant other within 2 hours	57.5% + 3.5	54.4% + 4.2	69.1% + 4.9	63.4% + 8.3	70.6% + 15.3	57.9% + 4.4	58.5% + 6.6	48.0% + 14.1	51.5% + 4.9	53.5% + 7.6	65.7% + 5.6	70.5% + 5.2
No, significant other not within 2 hours	42.5 + 3.5	45.6 + 4.2	30.9 + 4.9	38.6 + 8.3	29.4 + 15.3	42.1 + 4.4	41.5 + 6.6	52.0 + 14.1	48.5 + 4.9	46.5 + 7.6	34.3 + 5.6	29.5 + 5.2
Sample size	1,444	921	272	171	64	845	452	147	601	237	313	293
Weighted total	85,978	64,789	14,744	4,901	907	54,635	26,513	4,831	39,513	16,336	17,866	12,264

Table S 97 (cont.)

Does Girl/Boyfriend Live Within Two-hour Drive
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse													
Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer					
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed		
Yes, significant other within 2 hours													
55.7% + 3.8	76.0% + 8.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
No, significant other not within 2 hours													
44.3 + 3.8	24.0 + 8.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--		
Sample size													
1,266	127	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Weighted total													
77,482	6,040	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

(—) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 98

How Often Is Marriage Discussed with Girl/Boyfriend
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	22.0% + 2.5 -	21.0% + 3.0 -	27.2% + 4.9 -	17.5% + 8.0 -	25.1% + 10.1 -	23.6% + 3.6 -	19.9% + 3.3 -	14.2% + 5.0 -	20.8% + 3.6 -	25.0% + 6.4 -	22.2% + 5.8 -	21.3% + 4.5 -
Seldom	13.4 + 2.0 -	14.5 + 2.4 -	9.2 + 3.7 -	15.2 + 5.0 -	7.9 + 7.2 -	14.1 + 2.4 -	11.4 + 3.9 -	16.5 + 5.7 -	13.5 + 3.2 -	14.0 + 3.7 -	14.9 + 4.1 -	10.2 + 4.6 -
Sometimes	24.5 + 2.2 -	24.0 + 2.9 -	24.4 + 4.4 -	29.5 + 6.6 -	38.1 + 12.0 -	24.2 + 2.9 -	24.3 + 3.5 -	29.6 + 9.1 -	23.7 + 3.3 -	21.9 + 6.5 -	25.0 + 4.9 -	29.9 + 4.7 -
Often	17.8 + 2.2 -	17.9 + 2.6 -	19.6 + 4.3 -	11.0 + 4.9 -	17.7 + 10.8 -	18.2 + 2.5 -	17.0 + 4.2 -	17.8 + 10.4 -	15.8 + 3.3 -	24.0 + 6.5 -	17.7 + 4.2 -	16.1 + 3.8 -
Very often	22.3 + 2.2 -	22.6 + 2.6 -	19.6 + 3.8 -	26.8 + 7.5 -	11.2 + 8.4 -	19.8 + 3.3 -	27.5 + 2.4 -	21.9 + 5.1 -	26.1 + 4.3 -	15.0 + 4.2 -	20.3 + 4.6 -	22.4 + 5.9 -
Sample size	1,437	913	274	171	63	842	448	147	599	236	311	291
Weighted total	85,651	64,375	14,840	4,901	897	54,451	26,335	4,865	39,366	16,301	17,747	12,238

Table S_98 (cont.)

How Often Is Marriage Discussed with Girl/Boyfriend
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Very seldom or never	21.8% ± 2.4	22.7% ± 7.5	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Seldom	14.1 ± 2.2	3.9 ± 3.4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Sometimes	24.7 ± 2.3	23.4 ± 7.3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Often	17.4 ± 2.3	22.5 ± 7.9	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Very often	22.0 ± 2.4	27.6 ± 7.4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Sample size	1,259	126	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted total	77,145	5,950	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

SPOUSE

Table MARLENTH

Length, in Years, of Soldier's Current Marriage
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type					
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
1 year or less	17.7% ± 1.6	37.8% ± 2.9	9.1% ± 1.5	13.8% ± 2.4	3.3% ± 0.8	18.5% ± 2.3	16.1% ± 2.5	16.5% ± 3.6	20.9% ± 2.0	19.2% ± 3.2	20.1% ± 2.4	11.2% ± 2.2
2-4 years	28.5 ± 1.6	45.0 ± 2.4	22.4 ± 1.5	32.1 ± 3.4	4.8 ± 1.5	25.5 ± 2.0	35.3 ± 2.4	28.8 ± 5.2	30.1 ± 2.0	37.3 ± 3.4	30.3 ± 2.8	20.3 ± 2.2
5-9 years	28.5 ± 1.0	14.3 ± 1.5	34.7 ± 2.1	33.2 ± 2.8	12.4 ± 2.0	26.1 ± 1.2	28.9 ± 1.9	28.9 ± 2.7	26.7 ± 1.8	24.9 ± 2.7	27.9 ± 2.3	28.0 ± 2.0
10-14 years	13.8 ± 1.3	2.2 ± 0.5	18.8 ± 1.9	15.3 ± 3.4	22.5 ± 2.5	15.2 ± 1.7	10.5 ± 1.7	14.5 ± 3.2	12.2 ± 1.6	9.3 ± 2.3	13.3 ± 2.4	18.6 ± 2.1
15 years or more	13.6 ± 1.9	0.8 ± 0.3	15.0 ± 1.5	5.5 ± 1.3	57.0 ± 3.5	14.7 ± 2.8	11.2 ± 2.1	13.2 ± 2.6	10.2 ± 1.6	9.3 ± 2.6	8.5 ± 2.2	23.8 ± 4.0
Sample size	7,578	2,630	2,171	1,318	1,290	4,548	2,328	702	2,658	982	1,448	2,490
Weighted total	269,458	82,415	141,715	19,405	17,888	175,113	78,967	15,376	99,177	42,358	51,085	76,835

Table MARLENTH (cont.)

Length, in Years, of Soldier's Current Marriage
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Enlisted	No Children	Children	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
						Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
1 year or less	19.5% ± 10.4	10.9% ± 10.0	44.5% ± 5.6	22.7% ± 4.9	38.8% ± 3.3	10.5% ± 1.3	4.7% ± 1.6	20.5% ± 4.5	3.5% ± 1.4	15.0% ± 1.9
2-4 years	39.1 ± 10.9	25.3 ± 12.2	35.8 ± 5.1	35.0 ± 5.8	35.5 ± 3.3	35.4 ± 2.0	10.9 ± 2.5	27.8 ± 4.7	21.2 ± 4.2	26.7 ± 1.9
5-9 years	25.2 ± 10.0	36.5 ± 13.7	15.0 ± 4.0	26.2 ± 6.1	16.3 ± 2.6	39.8 ± 2.3	18.9 ± 3.6	20.8 ± 3.5	39.5 ± 3.8	25.7 ± 1.8
10-14 years	7.6 ± 5.4	21.7 ± 17.4	3.3 ± 2.0	12.1 ± 4.1	5.0 ± 1.6	10.3 ± 1.5	31.7 ± 4.8	10.1 ± 3.9	24.9 ± 3.5	14.5 ± 1.8
15 years or more	8.5 ± 6.4	5.6 ± 6.3	1.5 ± 1.3	4.0 ± 2.6	4.4 ± 1.4	3.9 ± 1.1	33.8 ± 3.7	20.8 ± 6.4	11.0 ± 3.1	18.1 ± 2.4
Sample size	110	36	480	424	1,287	1,911	636	632	896	3,268
Weighted total	4,902	1,484	15,217	14,122	54,598	88,447	41,064	10,204	14,039	121,190
										107,367

Table MRLNTH2

Length, in Years, of Soldier's Current Marriage
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	WCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
1 year or less	17.7% + 1.6	37.8% + 2.9	9.1% + 1.5	13.8% + 2.4	3.3% + 0.8	18.5% + 2.3	16.1% + 2.5	16.5% + 3.6	20.9% + 2.0	19.2% + 3.2	20.1% + 2.4	11.2% + 2.2
2-4 years	28.5 + 1.6	45.0 + 2.4	22.4 + 1.5	32.1 + 3.4	4.8 + 1.5	25.5 + 2.0	35.3 + 2.4	28.8 + 5.2	30.1 + 2.0	37.3 + 3.4	30.3 + 2.8	20.3 + 2.2
5-9 years	28.5 + 1.0	14.3 + 1.5	34.7 + 2.1	33.2 + 2.8	12.4 + 2.0	26.1 + 1.2	26.9 + 1.9	28.9 + 2.7	26.7 + 1.8	24.9 + 2.7	27.9 + 2.3	28.0 + 2.0
10 years or more	27.4 + 2.7	2.9 + 0.6	33.8 + 2.6	20.8 + 3.9	79.6 + 2.7	29.9 + 3.8	21.7 + 3.4	27.7 + 4.8	22.4 + 2.0	18.6 + 3.7	21.8 + 3.0	42.4 + 4.2
Sample size	7,578	2,630	2,171	1,318	1,290	4,548	2,328	702	2,658	982	1,448	2,490
Weighted total	269,456	82,415	141,715	19,405	17,688	175,113	78,967	15,376	99,177	42,358	51,085	78,835

Table MRLNTH2 (cont.)

Length, in Years, of Soldier's Current Marriage
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
1 year or less	19.5% ± 10.4	10.9% ± 10.0	44.5% ± 5.6	22.7% ± 4.9	38.6% ± 3.3	10.5% ± 1.3	4.7% ± 1.6	20.5% ± 4.5	3.5% ± 1.4	2.3% ± 1.2	15.0% ± 1.9	16.3% ± 2.1
2-4 years	39.1 ± 10.9	25.3 ± 12.2	35.8 ± 5.1	35.0 ± 5.8	35.5 ± 3.3	35.4 ± 2.0	10.9 ± 2.5	27.8 ± 4.7	21.2 ± 4.2	3.1 ± 1.4	26.7 ± 1.9	28.5 ± 2.4
5-9 years	25.2 ± 10.0	36.5 ± 13.7	15.0 ± 4.0	26.2 ± 6.1	16.3 ± 2.6	39.8 ± 2.3	18.9 ± 3.6	20.8 ± 3.5	39.5 ± 3.6	6.6 ± 1.8	25.7 ± 1.8	28.3 ± 1.9
10 years or more	16.1 ± 7.9	27.3 ± 18.0	4.7 ± 2.5	16.1 ± 4.2	9.3 ± 2.4	14.2 ± 1.9	65.5 ± 4.5	30.9 ± 7.5	35.9 ± 4.7	88.1 ± 2.6	32.6 ± 3.3	26.8 ± 3.1
Sample size	110	36	480	424	1,287	1,911	636	632	896	906	3,268	3,145
Weighted total	4,902	1,484	15,217	14,122	54,598	86,447	41,064	10,204	14,039	15,509	121,190	107,367

Table S_100
Spouse Place of Birth
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Outside U.S. to non-U.S. citizens	15.3% ± 1.4	12.0% ± 1.9	19.0% ± 2.4	7.7% ± 1.7	8.7% ± 1.8	12.3% ± 1.4	21.0% ± 3.1	20.7% ± 11.0	16.2% ± 2.3	16.9% ± 3.1	15.5% ± 2.6	13.3% ± 2.7
Outside U.S., 1+ parent is U.S. citizen	3.9 ± 0.4	4.4 ± 0.9	3.9 ± 0.7	3.9 ± 1.2	2.8 ± 0.9	3.8 ± 0.5	4.1 ± 0.9	3.6 ± 2.2	3.3 ± 0.8	5.6 ± 1.2	3.0 ± 0.9	4.3 ± 0.7
IN U.S. (including Puerto Rico or Guam)	80.8 ± 1.4	83.6 ± 2.1	77.1 ± 2.2	88.4 ± 2.2	88.6 ± 2.0	83.9 ± 1.2	74.9 ± 3.0	75.7 ± 10.5	80.6 ± 2.4	77.5 ± 3.2	81.5 ± 2.9	82.4 ± 2.8
Sample size	7,603	2,640	2,183	1,316	1,293	4,562	2,334	707	2,678	979	1,450	2,496
Weighted total	270,223	82,475	142,401	19,380	17,733	175,730	79,032	15,461	99,981	42,221	51,071	76,971

Table S_100 (cont.)

Spouse Place of Birth
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Outside U.S. to non-U.S. citizens	5.9% ± 4.7	6.2% ± 8.8	2.3% ± 1.3	2.1% ± 1.6	22.0% ± 3.3	18.6% ± 1.9	19.5% ± 3.7	11.1% ± 2.9	9.1% ± 2.6	11.5% ± 2.8	14.9% ± 1.8	19.5% ± 2.1		
Outside U.S., 1+ parent is U.S. citizen	5.2 ± 5.0	7.1 ± 7.4	4.8 ± 2.3	4.9 ± 2.1	4.1 ± 1.2	4.4 ± 0.8	2.6 ± 1.2	3.1 ± 1.7	3.3 ± 1.2	1.8 ± 0.7	3.6 ± 0.7	3.6 ± 0.8		
IN U.S. (Including Puerto Rico or Guam)	89.0 ± 6.5	86.7 ± 10.9	92.9 ± 2.6	93.0 ± 2.5	73.9 ± 3.4	79.0 ± 1.9	77.9 ± 3.6	85.7 ± 3.4	87.6 ± 2.8	86.7 ± 2.8	81.5 ± 1.8	76.9 ± 2.2		
Sample size	113	36	482	425	1,296	1,917	639	633	897	906	3,277	3,155		
Weighted total	5,072	1,484	15,257	14,140	54,872	86,690	41,253	10,222	14,046	15,508	121,537	107,693		

Table S_101
Spouse Race
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Asian or Pacific Islander	7.3% + 1.1	5.1% + 0.8	9.3% + 1.7	3.5% + 1.3	4.6% + 1.5	7.1% + 1.1	5.5% + 1.6	18.4% + 12.2	6.2% + 1.3	7.3% + 2.3	7.6% + 2.4	8.5% + 1.6
American Indian or Alut/Eskimo	1.5% + 0.3	1.7% + 0.4	1.6% + 0.6	0.4% + 0.3	0.1% + 0.2	1.6% + 0.5	1.3% + 0.4	0.7% + 0.6	1.4% + 0.5	1.8% + 0.9	1.7% + 0.7	1.2% + 0.7
Black	24.8% + 2.1	25.7% + 2.7	29.3% + 3.1	13.5% + 2.4	6.0% + 1.5	24.1% + 3.0	27.0% + 2.3	21.7% + 3.9	24.5% + 2.6	21.6% + 3.4	32.0% + 4.8	22.2% + 3.2
White	66.5% + 2.0	67.5% + 2.6	59.8% + 3.0	82.6% + 2.6	89.3% + 2.1	67.2% + 2.8	66.2% + 2.6	59.2% + 12.4	67.9% + 2.5	69.3% + 4.2	58.8% + 4.5	68.1% + 3.5
Sample size	7,387	2,542	2,088	1,302	1,285	4,431	2,272	684	2,595	942	1,402	2,448
Weighted total	260,196	79,317	135,882	19,184	17,639	169,199	76,258	14,741	96,565	40,429	48,622	74,579

Spouse Race
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

577

Table S 102
Spouse of Hispanic Background
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, spouse of Hispanic background	8.9% + 1.0	10.4% + 1.1	9.5% + 1.6	5.2% + 1.4	3.1% + 1.1	8.6% + 1.4	8.9% + 0.9	11.1% + 7.2	9.6% + 1.5	8.5% + 1.6	9.1% + 2.1	7.9% + 2.3
No, spouse not of Hispanic background	91.1 + 1.0	89.6 + 1.1	90.5 + 1.6	94.8 + 1.4	96.9 + 1.1	91.4 + 1.4	91.1 + 0.9	88.9 + 7.2	90.4 + 1.5	91.5 + 1.6	90.9 + 2.1	92.1 + 2.3
Sample size	7,553	2,622	2,165	1,307	1,289	4,532	2,319	702	2,664	969	1,438	2,482
Weighted total	268,091	81,883	141,077	19,239	17,688	174,241	78,546	15,305	99,321	41,793	50,527	76,450

Table S_102 (cont.)

Spouse of Hispanic Background
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	
Yes, spouse of Hispanic background	9.2% + 5.8	7.6% + 10.1	7.5% + 2.8	8.6% + 2.9	9.4% + 1.9	11.1% + 2.2	8.1% + 2.1	3.8% + 1.8	4.6% + 1.8	3.4% + 1.5
No, spouse not of Hispanic background	90.8 + 5.8	92.4 + 10.1	92.5 + 2.8	91.4 + 2.9	90.6 + 1.9	88.9 + 2.2	91.9 + 2.1	96.2 + 1.8	95.4 + 1.8	96.6 + 1.5
Spouse not Employed	10.4% + 1.6	7.3% + 1.1	7.3% + 1.1	7.3% + 1.1	7.3% + 1.1	7.3% + 1.1	7.3% + 1.1	7.3% + 1.1	7.3% + 1.1	7.3% + 1.1
Sample size	112	36	479	423	1,285	1,905	635	628	891	903
Weighted total	5,049	1,484	15,045	14,001	54,374	86,052	40,957	10,108	13,986	15,465
										106,996

Table S_103

English First Language of Spouse
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type		
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
Yes, English is spouse's first language	80.4% + 1.4	83.1% + 1.8	76.3% + 2.4	90.0% + 2.0	88.9% + 1.7	83.0% + 1.3	75.8% + 3.2	74.1% + 9.7	80.3% + 2.4	80.1% + 3.2
No, English not spouse's first language	19.6 + 1.4	16.9 + 1.8	23.7 + 2.4	10.0 + 2.0	11.1 + 1.7	17.0 + 1.3	24.2 + 3.2	25.9 + 9.7	19.7 + 2.4	19.9 + 3.2
Sample size	7,590	2,640	2,174	1,315	1,290	4,553	2,331	706	2,672	1,448
Weighted total	269,590	82,486	141,822	19,363	17,684	175,296	78,857	15,437	99,777	50,921
									42,227	76,684

Table S_103 (cont.)

English First Language of Spouse
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse													
Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer					
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed		
86.0% ± 6.5	79.3% ± 13.9	94.6% ± 1.8	94.1% ± 2.8	75.0% ± 3.2	77.4% ± 2.2	75.4% ± 3.7	87.2% ± 3.3	89.1% ± 2.5	85.9% ± 3.2	81.6% ± 2.0	75.3% ± 2.1		
14.0 ± 6.5	20.7 ± 13.9	5.4 ± 1.8	5.9 ± 2.8	25.0 ± 3.2	22.6 ± 2.2	24.6 ± 3.7	12.8 ± 3.3	10.9 ± 2.5	14.1 ± 3.2	18.4 ± 2.0	24.7 ± 2.1		
Sample size		113	36	481	422	1,296	1,913	637	632	897	904	3,269	3,152
Weighted total		5,072	1,484	15,243	14,012	54,894	86,421	41,096	10,194	14,046	15,474	121,141	107,585

Table S 104

Spouse Currently Serving on Active Duty
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Spouse is not on active duty	88.5% + 1.3	84.5% + 2.4	90.3% + 1.3	85.5% + 2.8	92.9% + 1.6	88.3% + 1.5	88.8% + 2.9	90.2% + 2.5	94.3% + 1.2	87.9% + 3.2	80.8% + 3.2	86.6% + 2.7
Spouse on active duty in the Army	11.1 + 1.3	15.3 + 2.4	9.3 + 1.3	14.0 + 2.7	6.8 + 1.6	11.4 + 1.5	10.9 + 2.9	9.8 + 2.5	5.6 + 1.1	11.7 + 3.3	18.7 + 3.3	13.0 + 2.6
Spouse on active duty in another branch	0.3 + 0.2	0.3 + 0.2	0.4 + 0.3	0.5 + 0.5	0.2 + 0.2	0.4 + 0.3	0.2 + 0.3	0.0 + 0.0	0.1 + 0.2	0.4 + 0.4	0.5 + 0.6	0.4 + 0.3
Sample size	7,616	2,648	2,186	1,317	1,294	4,572	2,336	708	2,682	980	1,451	2,503
Weighted total	270,709	82,722	142,611	19,401	17,742	176,227	79,015	15,467	100,103	42,241	51,037	77,328

Table S.104 (cont.)

Spouse Currently Serving on Active Duty
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
Spouse is not on active duty	91.6% + 5.7	85.2% + 14.6	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0
Spouse on active duty in the Army	8.4 + 5.7	10.3 + 8.6	97.3 + 1.7	97.3 + 2.2	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0
Spouse on active duty in another branch	0.0 + 0.0	4.4 + 8.4	2.7 + 1.7	2.7 + 2.2	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0
Sample size	113	36	483	42	1,301	1,923	639	898	907	3,283
Weighted total	5,072	1,484	15,274	14,170	55,050	86,998	41,253	14,055	15,528	121,887
										107,906

Table S 105
Spouse Present Pay Grade
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank					Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
E1	1.0% ± 0.8	1.3% ± 1.4	0.9% ± 1.5	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	1.3% ± 1.2	0.4% ± 0.6	0.0% ± 0.0	0.5% ± 1.0	0.0% ± 0.0	1.3% ± 1.8	1.4% ± 1.7
E2	0.8 ± 0.7	1.3 ± 1.0	0.6 ± 1.2	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	1.0 ± 0.9	0.6 ± 0.9	0.0 ± 0.0	2.4 ± 3.1	0.4 ± 0.8	0.7 ± 0.9	0.3 ± 0.5
E3	6.8 ± 2.3	14.2 ± 4.7	1.6 ± 1.6	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	6.5 ± 2.4	7.6 ± 5.2	6.5 ± 7.1	10.6 ± 5.1	6.0 ± 3.6	8.5 ± 4.6	3.7 ± 2.6
E4	30.8 ± 3.5	52.3 ± 5.2	19.7 ± 5.5	3.0 ± 3.5	0.0 ± 0.0	30.5 ± 4.7	30.4 ± 4.3	35.7 ± 15.3	33.2 ± 12.1	37.7 ± 9.6	34.5 ± 6.2	22.6 ± 4.4
E5	21.2 ± 3.2	22.4 ± 4.3	26.2 ± 6.0	3.8 ± 2.7	0.0 ± 0.0	18.5 ± 3.9	27.1 ± 5.7	22.4 ± 11.9	23.2 ± 9.5	20.5 ± 8.7	24.8 ± 5.9	17.0 ± 6.3
E6	15.2 ± 3.0	5.7 ± 2.6	28.7 ± 6.8	1.4 ± 1.6	0.0 ± 0.0	16.5 ± 3.9	13.4 ± 4.9	7.8 ± 10.1	10.8 ± 8.8	13.9 ± 5.8	13.7 ± 5.1	19.6 ± 5.9
E7	7.8 ± 3.0	1.6 ± 1.4	14.8 ± 6.6	1.4 ± 2.2	4.0 ± 4.8	8.7 ± 4.1	6.0 ± 3.6	5.6 ± 9.7	3.2 ± 3.5	10.3 ± 8.9	6.0 ± 4.2	10.7 ± 5.6
E8	1.7 ± 0.8	0.4 ± 0.8	3.3 ± 1.8	0.8 ± 1.5	0.0 ± 0.0	1.2 ± 0.7	3.0 ± 2.2	0.6 ± 1.1	0.8 ± 1.3	2.6 ± 2.6	2.0 ± 2.2	1.3 ± 1.1
E9	0.2 ± 0.3	0.1 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.7	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 1.1	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.5 ± 1.0	0.2 ± 0.3
W1	0.7 ± 0.6	0.0 ± 0.0	1.2 ± 1.3	0.9 ± 1.5	0.0 ± 0.0	0.6 ± 0.7	0.9 ± 1.4	0.0 ± 0.0	0.3 ± 0.6	1.2 ± 2.3	0.5 ± 0.8	0.7 ± 1.0
W2	0.6 ± 0.6	0.0 ± 0.0	0.4 ± 0.7	2.5 ± 2.5	0.0 ± 0.0	0.8 ± 0.9	0.3 ± 0.4	0.3 ± 0.8	0.3 ± 0.5	0.3 ± 0.7	0.4 ± 0.6	1.1 ± 1.5
W3	0.2 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0	0.3 ± 0.5	1.1 ± 1.3	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.8	0.6 ± 1.5	0.3 ± 0.5	0.0 ± 0.0	0.4 ± 0.7	0.1 ± 0.2
W4	0.0 ± 0.1	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.9 ± 1.9	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.8 ± 1.4	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.0

Table S 105

Spouse Present Pay Grade
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
01	0.8% ± 0.4	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	8.4% ± 4.7	1.1% ± 2.3	0.7% ± 0.5	1.1% ± 0.9	0.5% ± 1.2	1.3% ± 1.1	1.3% ± 1.4	0.7% ± 0.9	0.4% ± 0.5
02	1.8 ± 0.7	0.4 ± 0.8	0.4 ± 0.8	15.7 ± 6.5	1.4 ± 2.6	2.0 ± 1.0	0.9 ± 0.8	5.7 ± 3.9	2.9 ± 2.1	2.1 ± 2.2	0.9 ± 0.7	2.0 ± 1.6
03	6.5 ± 1.5	0.0 ± 0.0	0.8 ± 1.0	50.8 ± 6.9	31.3 ± 9.7	7.3 ± 1.9	4.5 ± 2.2	7.7 ± 6.1	8.3 ± 3.7	2.8 ± 1.8	3.4 ± 1.9	10.1 ± 3.0
04	2.5 ± 1.1	0.0 ± 0.0	0.7 ± 1.4	7.6 ± 3.3	36.1 ± 9.2	2.9 ± 1.5	1.7 ± 1.0	2.3 ± 4.5	0.9 ± 0.9	0.9 ± 0.9	1.2 ± 0.8	5.4 ± 3.0
05	0.8 ± 0.5	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	2.0 ± 2.4	14.7 ± 7.6	0.8 ± 0.6	0.6 ± 0.9	1.5 ± 0.9	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.2 ± 0.4	2.2 ± 1.2
06	0.4 ± 0.4	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.8 ± 1.5	9.0 ± 6.2	0.5 ± 0.5	0.3 ± 0.7	0.0 ± 0.0	0.3 ± 0.6	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	1.1 ± 1.0
07+	0.2 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.5	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	1.5 ± 2.9	0.1 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	2.1 ± 3.6	0.6 ± 1.2	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.2 ± 0.4
Sample size	931	377	266	191	87	560	293	78	159	132	292	348
Weighted total	30,431	12,720	13,343	2,805	1,252	20,094	8,789	1,548	5,607	5,024	9,523	10,276

Table S_105 (cont.)
Spouse Present Pay Grade
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse														
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+			
E1	--	--	--	1.0% ± 1.2	1.0% ± 1.4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
E2	--	--	--	1.2 ± 1.2	0.5 ± 0.6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
E3	--	--	--	10.5 ± 3.4	2.5 ± 1.6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
E4	--	--	--	36.9 ± 4.8	25.4 ± 4.5	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
E5	--	--	--	19.4 ± 3.5	22.3 ± 6.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
E6	--	--	--	6.1 ± 2.5	25.6 ± 5.8	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
E7	--	--	--	8.3 ± 3.4	8.6 ± 3.5	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
E8	--	--	--	2.0 ± 1.5	1.4 ± 1.1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
E9	--	--	--	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
W1	--	--	--	0.7 ± 0.8	0.7 ± 0.8	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
W2	--	--	--	0.3 ± 0.5	0.6 ± 0.6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
W3	--	--	--	0.3 ± 0.5	0.1 ± 0.2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
W4	--	--	--	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Table S_105 (cont.)

Spouse Present Pay Grade
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Civilian Spouse			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
01	--	--	--	1.7 ± 0.8	0.0 ± 0.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
02	--	--	--	3.1 ± 1.5	0.6 ± 0.4	--	--	--	--	--	--
03	--	--	--	7.4 ± 2.4	5.8 ± 1.8	--	--	--	--	--	--
04	--	--	--	2.0 ± 1.3	3.1 ± 1.7	--	--	--	--	--	--
05	--	--	--	0.6 ± 0.5	1.0 ± 0.8	--	--	--	--	--	--
06	--	--	--	0.5 ± 0.5	0.3 ± 0.4	--	--	--	--	--	--
07+	--	--	--	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.3	--	--	--	--	--	--
Sample size	10	6	477	418	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Weighted total	425	219	15,045	13,885	32	0	0	0	0	0	32

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 106

Joint Domicile Assignment for You and Military Spouse
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, joint domicile assignment	68.9% + 4.1	58.1% + 4.7	73.8% + 7.7	84.4% + 5.6	89.7% + 7.5	68.9% + 5.1	70.1% + 7.7	62.9% + 10.5	62.9% + 9.8	71.6% + 10.4	68.3% + 6.8	71.5% + 7.2
No, did not request it	13.2 + 3.5	17.6 + 4.6	10.6 + 5.3	8.2 + 4.3	9.1 + 7.2	11.3 + 2.9	16.5 + 9.9	20.9 + 9.7	12.5 + 6.4	15.8 + 8.3	15.2 + 6.7	10.5 + 4.0
No, but requested it	17.8 + 3.5	24.3 + 5.8	15.6 + 6.7	7.5 + 3.6	1.3 + 2.5	19.9 + 4.7	13.4 + 6.3	16.2 + 7.9	24.6 + 8.8	12.5 + 5.9	16.5 + 5.6	18.0 + 7.1
Sample size	943	383	271	192	87	569	296	78	162	133	298	350
Weighted total	30,990	12,960	13,651	2,817	1,252	20,524	8,918	1,548	5,693	5,119	9,788	10,389

Table S 106 (cont.)

Joint Domicile Assignment for You and Military Spouse
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse												
		Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer					
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
--	--	--	63.1% ± 5.3	78.5% ± 6.1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	16.5 ± 5.1	7.3 ± 2.8	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
--	--	--	20.4 ± 3.6	14.2 ± 5.6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
10	6	478	424	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2
425	219	15,075	14,096	62	189	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	156	120
Sample size														
Weighted total														

(—) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 107

Unwanted Assignment Ever Taken to be Stationed with Military Spouse
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
Yes, have taken unwanted assignment	30.4% + 4.6	18.1% + 4.9	39.1% + 7.6	34.1% + 9.4	44.8% + 11.0	31.1% + 6.1	28.3% + 7.1	33.3% + 18.6	31.7% + 10.2	35.0% + 14.3	29.6% + 8.2	28.2% + 5.8
No, haven't taken unwanted assignment	69.6 + 4.6	81.9 + 4.9	60.9 + 7.6	65.9 + 9.4	55.2 + 11.0	68.9 + 6.1	71.7 + 7.1	66.7 + 18.6	68.3 + 10.2	65.0 + 14.3	70.4 + 8.2	71.8 + 5.8
Sample size	937	380	269	191	87	564	295	78	161	133	297	346
Weighted total	30,848	12,895	13,590	2,801	1,252	20,402	8,898	1,548	5,677	5,119	9,768	10,284

Table S 107 (cont.)

Unwanted Assignment Ever Taken to be Stationed with Military Spouse
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse									
		Single		Dual Military		Enlisted		Officer		Child 6+	Spouse not Employed
				No Children	Children	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse not Employed
<hr/>											
Yes, have taken unwanted assignment		--	--	28.1% + 5.0	32.7% + 7.2	--	--	--	--	--	--
No, haven't taken unwanted assignment		--	--	71.9 + 5.0	67.3 + 7.2	--	--	--	--	--	--
<hr/>											
Sample size		10	6	477	419	2	2	0	0	0	2
Weighted total		425	219	15,046	13,983	62	189	0	0	0	120

Table S_108

Military Spouse Ever Taken Unwanted Assignment to be Stationed with Soldier
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, has taken unwanted assignment	29.2% + 3.9 -	16.2% + 5.1 -	40.2% + 6.8 -	30.2% + 6.4 -	36.7% + 10.0 -	30.4% + 5.3 -	26.7% + 5.0 -	28.2% + 11.2 -	32.5% + 8.1 -	31.4% + 9.6 -	25.8% + 8.0 -	29.5% + 4.9 -
No, hasn't taken unwanted assignment	70.8 + 3.9 -	83.8 + 5.1 -	59.8 + 6.8 -	69.8 + 6.4 -	63.3 + 10.0 -	69.6 + 5.3 -	73.3 + 5.0 -	71.8 + 11.2 -	67.5 + 8.1 -	68.6 + 9.6 -	74.2 + 8.0 -	70.5 + 4.9 -
Sample size	936	380	268	191	87	566	292	78	161	132	295	348
Weighted total	30,683	12,891	13,429	2,801	1,252	20,382	8,753	1,548	5,677	5,099	9,642	10,264

Table S 108 (cont.)

Military Spouse Ever Taken Unwanted Assignment to be Stationed with Soldier
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+			
Yes, has taken unwanted assignment	--	--	23.5% + 4.8	34.2% + 6.5	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
No, hasn't taken unwanted assignment	--	--	76.5 + 4.8	65.8 + 6.5	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Sample size	10	6	475	421	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	2
Weighted total	425	219	14,949	13,964	62	189	0	0	0	0	0	0	156	120

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 109

My Military Career Is More Important than my Military Spouse's Career
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly disagree	31.4% + 3.2	34.7% + 4.5	31.8% + 6.3	20.0% + 4.8	18.3% + 8.0	33.2% + 3.7	29.0% + 7.4	22.2% + 2.2	39.0% + 6.6	23.5% + 6.8	36.1% + 7.2	26.2% + 6.0
Disagree	27.6% + 4.0	27.3% + 5.3	26.1% + 5.8	31.9% + 7.1	35.3% + 9.5	27.0% + 5.3	28.0% + 6.3	33.6% + 8.8	21.9% + 6.7	25.9% + 8.8	29.1% + 6.4	30.2% + 8.3
Can't say	29.1% + 4.1	29.6% + 5.0	29.3% + 6.7	30.0% + 7.9	23.5% + 12.4	27.8% + 4.0	31.2% + 11.0	34.8% + 7.0	25.0% + 5.3	37.8% + 9.7	25.3% + 7.8	30.7% + 7.3
Agree	7.7% + 2.4	4.3% + 2.0	8.0% + 4.3	12.9% + 6.4	22.9% + 7.5	9.0% + 3.2	5.1% + 3.1	4.4% + 2.9	8.0% + 4.5	6.5% + 4.1	5.3% + 2.5	10.3% + 5.4
Strongly agree	4.2% + 1.5	4.0% + 3.3	4.7% + 2.7	5.1% + 3.7	0.0% + 0.0	3.1% + 1.5	6.7% + 3.4	5.0% + 7.1	6.1% + 5.5	6.3% + 4.8	3.7% + 2.4	2.6% + 1.8
Sample size	942	382	271	192	87	569	295	78	162	133	298	349
Weighted total	30,970	12,940	13,651	2,817	1,252	20,524	8,899	1,548	5,693	5,119	9,788	10,369

Table S_109 (cont.)

My Military Career Is More Important than my Military Spouse's Career
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted		Officer		Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+
Strongly disagree	--	--	32.2% ± 5.5	31.7% ± 5.4	--	--	--	--	--	--
Disagree	--	--	28.6 ± 6.1	26.8 ± 5.4	--	--	--	--	--	--
Can't say	--	--	28.2 ± 6.2	28.8 ± 4.5	--	--	--	--	--	--
Agree	--	--	7.0 ± 2.5	8.6 ± 3.9	--	--	--	--	--	--
Strongly agree	--	--	3.9 ± 2.1	4.1 ± 2.4	--	--	--	--	--	--
Sample size	10	6	477	424	2	2	0	0	0	2
Weighted total	425	219	15,055	14,096	62	189	0	0	0	156

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S.110

Dual Military Couple's Action If Long Separations are Required by Future Assignments
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Accept assignments	35.8% + 3.5 - 3.5	22.7% + 6.0 - 6.0	46.8% + 7.1 - 7.1	28.6% + 8.2 - 8.2	37.4% + 14.1 - 14.1	32.1% + 4.5 - 4.5	44.4% + 6.1 - 6.1	40.7% + 13.0 - 13.0	37.8% + 11.6 - 11.6	36.8% + 11.6 - 11.6	32.9% + 7.5 - 7.5	36.7% + 5.4 - 5.4
Soldier will leave the military	31.1 + 4.0 - 4.0	41.7 + 7.0 - 7.0	25.2 + 5.7 - 5.7	29.4 + 9.0 - 9.0	20.1 + 9.1 - 9.1	33.9 + 5.2 - 5.2	24.7 + 7.1 - 7.1	26.1 + 10.9 - 10.9	18.0 + 7.4 - 7.4	22.4 + 11.4 - 11.4	39.3 + 7.0 - 7.0	34.9 + 4.9 - 4.9
Spouse will leave the military	15.8 + 3.8 - 3.8	15.3 + 6.2 - 6.2	16.6 + 5.4 - 5.4	15.9 + 5.5 - 5.5	16.2 + 10.2 - 10.2	17.0 + 5.1 - 5.1	13.3 + 5.3 - 5.3	13.7 + 7.6 - 7.6	10.9 + 6.1 - 6.1	24.1 + 10.1 - 10.1	13.4 + 5.5 - 5.5	16.6 + 6.4 - 6.4
Both will leave the military	17.3 + 3.8 - 3.8	20.3 + 5.9 - 5.9	11.4 + 4.7 - 4.7	26.1 + 7.3 - 7.3	26.3 + 10.7 - 10.7	17.1 + 5.2 - 5.2	17.6 + 5.0 - 5.0	19.5 + 4.5 - 4.5	33.3 + 10.5 - 10.5	16.7 + 9.7 - 9.7	14.4 + 5.0 - 5.0	11.7 + 4.6 - 4.6
Sample size	694	246	224	148	68	429	209	56	124	93	206	271
Weighted total	22,715	8,196	11,175	2,132	968	15,571	6,042	1,102	4,200	3,673	6,704	8,137

Table S 110 (cont.)

Dual Military Couple's Action if Long Separations are Required by Future Assignments
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Enlisted				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Officer
Accept assignments	--	--	32.6% + 5.7	36.9% + 5.8	--	--	--	--	--	--
Soldier will leave the military	--	--	29.6 + 5.2	33.8 + 6.5	--	--	--	--	--	--
Spouse will leave the military	--	--	16.3 + 5.2	16.8 + 5.4	--	--	--	--	--	--
Both will leave the military	--	--	21.4 + 6.5	12.5 + 4.2	--	--	--	--	--	--
Sample size	6	4	341	320	2	2	0	0	0	3
Weighted total	254	137	10,515	10,778	62	189	0	0	0	156

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S-111

Spouse Working in Paid Civilian Job
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, full-time (35+ hours a week)	37.1% ± 2.5	31.6% ± 2.7	40.0% ± 3.2	38.3% ± 3.2	35.3% ± 3.8	36.1% ± 3.5	39.4% ± 2.9	36.4% ± 7.2	36.3% ± 2.4	34.0% ± 4.4	41.3% ± 3.5	37.3% ± 5.5
Yes, part-time (< 35 hours a week)	16.2 ± 1.3	14.8 ± 1.8	16.7 ± 1.7	14.7 ± 2.0	18.8 ± 3.2	17.2 ± 1.4	13.7 ± 3.1	17.2 ± 2.4	16.1 ± 1.6	15.0 ± 2.8	14.9 ± 2.5	17.6 ± 2.4
No, spouse is looking for work	16.8 ± 1.7	23.1 ± 2.1	15.8 ± 2.3	9.8 ± 2.5	7.4 ± 1.2	17.2 ± 2.3	18.0 ± 2.1	15.9 ± 7.2	17.7 ± 2.3	17.7 ± 3.3	17.3 ± 3.0	14.7 ± 3.3
No, spouse not looking but wants to work	13.0 ± 1.2	15.1 ± 1.9	12.2 ± 1.6	12.9 ± 2.8	9.8 ± 2.1	12.6 ± 1.6	13.5 ± 2.0	14.7 ± 5.3	13.0 ± 1.5	15.9 ± 2.8	12.0 ± 2.5	11.9 ± 2.1
No, spouse does not want to work	17.0 ± 1.2	15.5 ± 1.7	15.3 ± 1.6	28.5 ± 2.6	28.8 ± 3.0	16.9 ± 1.5	17.4 ± 2.4	15.8 ± 4.3	16.8 ± 1.9	17.4 ± 3.0	14.5 ± 1.9	18.4 ± 2.3
Sample size	6,581	2,216	1,882	1,120	1,203	3,943	2,017	621	2,478	836	1,131	2,136
Weighted total	238,053	68,415	126,787	16,513	16,444	152,947	69,409	13,697	92,855	36,807	40,229	66,162

Table S_111 (cont.)

Spouse Working in Paid Civilian Job
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Yes, full-time (35+ hours a week)	51.3% ± 9.7	—	—	—	—	45.1% ± 3.4	28.7% ± 3.1	45.2% ± 4.6	58.1% ± 5.6	19.2% ± 2.7	39.8% ± 5.3	69.3% ± 2.4	0.0% ± 0.0
Yes, part-time (< 35 hours a week)	13.5% ± 6.8	—	—	—	—	16.5% ± 2.4	14.6% ± 1.8	19.7% ± 3.0	14.0% ± 3.2	15.0% ± 3.3	20.5% ± 3.6	30.7% ± 2.4	0.0% ± 0.0
No, spouse is looking for work	12.9% ± 7.7	—	—	—	—	19.0% ± 2.9	19.6% ± 2.2	14.2% ± 3.2	9.8% ± 2.7	10.5% ± 2.6	7.3% ± 1.5	0.0% ± 0.0	35.8% ± 2.5
No, spouse not looking but wants to work	4.3% ± 4.5	—	—	—	—	9.0% ± 1.9	19.1% ± 2.1	8.1% ± 2.9	6.7% ± 2.0	17.3% ± 3.1	10.8% ± 2.7	0.0% ± 0.0	28.0% ± 2.0
No, spouse does not want to work	18.1% ± 7.3	—	—	—	—	10.4% ± 1.8	20.0% ± 2.3	12.7% ± 3.0	11.4% ± 2.4	38.0% ± 3.9	21.6% ± 3.5	0.0% ± 0.0	36.2% ± 2.7
Sample size	94	26	0	0	0	1,279	1,892	630	629	896	904	3,283	3,162
Weighted total	4,289	1,127	0	0	0	54,175	85,700	40,742	10,153	14,021	15,497	121,887	107,906

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SPLF
Spouse Labor Force Status
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Spouse in civilian labor force	61.9% + 1.9	58.4% + 2.8	65.4% + 2.4	51.8% + 3.4	57.2% + 4.1	62.1% + 2.1	61.3% + 4.1	62.6% + 8.4	66.1% + 2.2	58.5% + 4.2	59.1% + 3.5	60.3% + 3.8
Spouse not in civilian labor force	28.5 + 1.4	25.8 + 1.8	24.8 + 2.1	33.7 + 3.0	35.7 + 4.2	28.0 + 1.8	27.4 + 2.3	27.5 + 7.5	28.1 + 1.9	29.2 + 3.4	21.3 + 2.4	28.2 + 2.5
Spouse on active duty	11.6 + 1.3	15.8 + 2.4	9.8 + 1.3	14.5 + 2.8	7.1 + 1.6	11.9 + 1.5	11.3 + 2.9	10.0 + 2.5	5.8 + 1.2	12.2 + 3.3	19.6 + 3.2	13.5 + 2.7
Sample size	7,525	2,598	2,155	1,312	1,290	4,514	2,313	698	2,641	969	1,430	2,485
Weighted total	267,078	81,277	140,577	19,324	17,698	173,627	78,238	15,213	98,609	41,935	50,041	76,493

Table SPLF (cont.)
Spouse Labor Force Status
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
Spouse in civilian labor force	70.6% ± 7.4	59.2% ± 20.8	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	80.6% ± 2.6	60.9% ± 2.8	79.1% ± 4.5	81.9% ± 3.1	44.7% ± 4.0	67.6% ± 4.6	100.0% ± 0.0	35.8% ± 2.5
Spouse not in civilian labor force	20.4 ± 7.2	24.5 ± 17.3	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	19.4 ± 2.6	39.1 ± 2.8	20.9 ± 4.5	18.1 ± 3.1	55.3 ± 4.0	32.4 ± 4.6	0.0 ± 0.0	64.2 ± 2.5
Spouse on active duty	9.0 ± 6.1	16.3 ± 16.4	100.0 ± 0.0	100.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0
Sample size	104	32	483	426	1,279	1,892	630	629	896	904	3,283	3,162
Weighted total	4,714	1,346	15,274	14,170	54,175	85,700	40,742	10,153	14,021	15,497	121,887	107,906

Table SPEMP

Spouse's Employment Status
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Spouse employed in civilian labor force	53.3% + 2.5	46.3% + 3.1	58.7% + 3.3	51.0% + 4.0	54.1% + 4.8	53.3% + 3.6	53.1% + 3.2	53.6% + 7.7	52.5% + 2.6	49.0% + 5.0	56.2% + 3.4	54.9% + 5.4
Spouse not employed, wants to work	29.8 + 2.4	38.2 + 3.2	28.0 + 2.8	22.5 + 3.4	17.3 + 2.6	29.8 + 3.3	29.5 + 3.3	30.8 + 7.7	30.7 + 2.6	33.6 + 4.3	29.3 + 3.3	28.8 + 4.6
Spouse not employed, doesn't want to work	17.0 + 1.2	15.5 + 1.7	15.3 + 1.6	26.5 + 2.6	28.6 + 3.0	18.9 + 1.5	17.4 + 2.4	15.8 + 4.3	16.8 + 1.9	17.4 + 3.0	14.5 + 1.9	18.4 + 2.3
Sample size	6,581	2,216	1,882	1,120	1,203	3,943	2,017	621	2,478	836	1,131	2,136
Weighted total	236,053	68,415	126,787	16,513	16,444	152,947	69,409	13,697	92,855	38,807	40,229	68,162

Table SPEMP (cont.)

Spouse's Employment Status
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
Spouse employed in civilian labor force	64.8% ± 8.3	—	—	—	61.6% ± 3.4	41.3% ± 3.7	64.9% ± 5.2	72.1% ± 4.5	34.2% ± 4.2	60.3% ± 4.6	100.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0
Spouse not employed, wants to work	17.1 ± 8.6	—	—	—	27.9 ± 3.4	38.7 ± 3.2	22.3 ± 4.3	16.5 ± 3.4	27.8 ± 4.0	18.1 ± 3.2	0.0 ± 0.0	63.8 ± 2.7
Spouse not employed, doesn't want to work	18.1 ± 7.3	—	—	—	10.4 ± 1.8	20.0 ± 2.3	12.7 ± 3.0	11.4 ± 2.4	38.0 ± 3.9	21.6 ± 3.5	0.0 ± 0.0	36.2 ± 2.7
Sample size	94	26	0	0	1,279	1,892	630	629	896	904	3,283	3,162
Weighted total	4,289	1,127	0	0	54,175	85,700	40,742	10,153	14,021	15,497	121,887	107,906

(—) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SPWTWK

Spouse's Employment Status
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Spouse employed in civilian labor force	47.1% + 2.4	39.0% + 3.0	51.1% + 3.2	43.6% + 3.7	50.3% + 4.4	47.0% + 3.3	47.1% + 3.6	48.2% + 7.1	49.4% + 2.5	43.0% + 4.9	45.2% + 3.5	47.5% + 5.3
Spouse not employed, wants to work	26.3 + 2.1	32.1 + 2.7	25.3 + 2.5	19.2 + 2.9	16.0 + 2.5	26.3 + 2.9	26.2 + 3.0	27.6 + 7.2	28.9 + 2.6	29.5 + 3.8	23.5 + 2.5	23.0 + 4.0
Spouse not employed, doesn't want to work	15.0 + 1.0	13.0 + 1.5	13.8 + 1.4	22.6 + 2.4	26.6 + 2.9	14.9 + 1.3	15.4 + 2.0	14.2 + 3.6	15.8 + 1.8	15.3 + 2.6	11.6 + 1.7	16.0 + 1.8
Spouse on active duty	11.6 + 1.3	15.8 + 2.4	9.8 + 1.3	14.5 + 2.8	7.1 + 1.6	11.9 + 1.5	11.3 + 2.9	10.0 + 2.5	5.8 + 1.2	12.2 + 3.3	19.6 + 3.2	13.5 + 2.7
Sample size	7,525	2,598	2,155	1,312	1,290	4,514	2,313	698	2,641	969	1,430	2,485
Weighted total	267,078	81,277	140,577	19,324	17,696	173,627	78,238	15,213	98,609	41,935	50,041	76,493

Table SPMTWK (cont.)
Spouse's Employment Status
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Civilian Spouse				
	No Children			No Children			No Children			Officer		Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	Child 6+	No Children	Children	Child 6+	No Children	Children	Child 6+	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+		
Spouse employed in civilian labor force	58.9% + 8.0	41.2% + 19.4	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	81.6% + 3.4	41.3% + 3.7	64.9% + 5.2	72.1% + 4.5	34.2% + 4.2	60.3% + 4.6	100.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0
Spouse not employed, wants to work	15.6 + 7.8	31.2 + 20.4	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	27.9 + 3.4	38.7 + 3.2	10.4 + 1.8	20.0 + 2.3	22.3 + 4.3	16.5 + 3.4	27.8 + 4.0	18.1 + 3.2	0.0 + 0.0	63.8 + 2.7
Spouse not employed, doesn't want to work	16.5 + 7.1	11.3 + 13.4	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	10.4 + 1.8	20.0 + 2.3	12.7 + 3.0	11.4 + 2.4	38.0 + 3.9	21.6 + 3.5	0.0 + 0.0	36.2 + 2.7
Spouse on active duty	9.0 + 6.1	16.3 + 16.4	100.0 + 0.0	100.0 + 0.0	100.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0
Sample size	104	32	483	426	1,279	1,892	630	896 ^a	629	896 ^a	904	3,283	3,162	107,906
Weighted total	4,714	1,346	15,274	14,170	54,175	85,700	40,742	10,153	14,021	15,497	121,887	107,906		

Table S 112

Extent to which Spouse's Job Interferes with Soldier's Job
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
Very great extent	1.7% ± 0.5	1.9% ± 0.7	2.0% ± 0.8	0.7% ± 0.6	0.4% ± 0.4	1.6% ± 0.6	1.9% ± 1.1	1.6% ± 0.7	1.7% ± 0.8	1.3% ± 1.3	2.6% ± 1.7	1.3% ± 0.9
Great extent	3.1 ± 0.7	3.9 ± 1.3	3.1 ± 1.1	2.7 ± 1.4	1.5 ± 1.0	3.5 ± 0.8	2.5 ± 1.5	1.8 ± 2.3	3.6 ± 1.6	3.5 ± 1.8	2.6 ± 1.5	2.5 ± 1.1
Moderate extent	11.1 ± 1.2	14.7 ± 2.0	10.3 ± 1.9	10.8 ± 2.4	6.1 ± 1.5	11.6 ± 1.4	9.9 ± 2.2	11.6 ± 4.0	12.6 ± 1.9	13.5 ± 3.7	10.2 ± 2.7	8.8 ± 2.0
Slight extent	19.5 ± 1.6	17.7 ± 2.1	19.4 ± 2.4	20.7 ± 3.5	27.1 ± 2.5	20.2 ± 2.1	17.6 ± 2.5	21.3 ± 5.5	19.4 ± 3.1	20.8 ± 4.4	16.7 ± 3.2	20.9 ± 2.8
Not at all	64.5 ± 1.9	61.9 ± 3.1	65.2 ± 2.4	65.0 ± 4.2	64.9 ± 3.2	63.0 ± 2.3	68.1 ± 3.2	63.6 ± 7.6	62.6 ± 3.7	60.9 ± 5.6	67.8 ± 4.0	68.4 ± 2.7
Sample size	3,888	1,228	1,181	688	688	2,340	1,196	352	1,296	469	784	1,339
Weighted total	141,322	38,952	77,371	10,403	9,480	91,445	41,510	8,367	50,782	20,324	28,018	42,197

Table S-112 (cont.)

Extent to which Spouse's Job Interferes with Soldier's Job
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 0-5	Child 6+
Very great extent	1.2% ± 2.3	—	1.6% ± 1.3	2.8% ± 2.7	2.1% ± 1.2	2.3% ± 1.3	0.8% ± 0.9	0.8% ± 0.8	0.3% ± 0.7	0.4% ± 0.5
Great extent	6.6 ± 7.1	—	3.2 ± 1.7	5.1 ± 3.0	2.3 ± 1.0	2.9 ± 1.8	0.9 ± 0.9	4.0 ± 2.2	2.8 ± 1.8	1.1 ± 0.9
Moderate extent	9.2 ± 8.0	—	5.4 ± 3.1	12.0 ± 4.2	10.1 ± 2.5	16.9 ± 3.3	6.8 ± 2.9	8.7 ± 2.6	13.1 ± 3.8	5.2 ± 1.8
Slight extent	5.5 ± 7.1	—	11.3 ± 4.1	14.5 ± 5.0	17.6 ± 3.5	25.1 ± 3.3	15.5 ± 3.8	17.9 ± 4.0	30.1 ± 5.1	28.2 ± 4.4
Not at all	77.6 ± 11.6	—	78.6 ± 5.0	65.7 ± 5.6	68.0 ± 4.4	52.8 ± 4.1	76.1 ± 4.9	68.6 ± 4.5	53.6 ± 5.0	65.1 ± 4.6
Sample size	68	19	324	263	748	730	424	383	297	516
Weighted total	3,113	707	9,744	8,540	32,103	34,773	7,196	25,570	4,741	9,187

(—) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 113

Extent to which Soldier's Job Interferes with Spouse's Job
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very great extent	3.3% + 0.7	4.8% + 1.4	2.6% + 0.8	4.0% + 1.9	3.7% + 1.2	3.3% + 1.0	3.6% + 0.8	1.8% + 1.1	3.5% + 1.1	3.3% + 1.4	4.2% + 1.9	2.4% + 1.0
Great extent	6.7 + 1.0	8.2 + 1.5	6.1 + 1.4	7.5 + 2.3	6.5 + 2.3	7.4 + 1.2	5.2 + 1.8	6.7 + 1.0	6.4 + 1.4	8.3 + 3.1	6.7 + 2.2	6.3 + 1.6
Moderate extent	14.4 + 1.2	16.4 + 1.9	13.5 + 2.0	14.9 + 2.5	13.0 + 3.5	14.8 + 1.6	13.2 + 2.0	15.9 + 3.2	16.5 + 2.1	15.4 + 4.1	13.4 + 2.2	12.2 + 1.3
Slight extent	20.3 + 1.5	19.3 + 2.5	19.9 + 2.5	22.2 + 2.9	25.1 + 2.8	20.6 + 2.0	19.5 + 2.5	21.6 + 3.7	21.2 + 2.3	20.0 + 4.4	17.9 + 2.8	21.1 + 3.3
Not at all	55.2 + 2.0	51.3 + 3.4	58.0 + 3.3	51.4 + 4.4	51.8 + 3.3	53.9 + 2.2	58.4 + 4.1	54.0 + 6.3	52.4 + 3.0	53.0 + 6.2	57.7 + 4.2	58.0 + 3.1
Sample size	3,880	1,226	1,175	688	688	2,336	1,194	350	1,295	469	781	1,335
Weighted total	140,757	38,850	76,904	10,395	9,491	91,104	41,372	8,281	50,661	20,324	27,756	42,016

Table S-114
Soldier's Preference for Spouse's Present Employment Status
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location							Unit Type		
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other DCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
Not working for pay	9.5% ± 0.9	7.9% ± 1.4	8.1% ± 1.3	15.8% ± 1.9	21.3% ± 2.2	10.3% ± 1.1	7.6% ± 1.3	10.1% ± 3.0	9.0% ± 1.1	9.5% ± 2.1	7.4% ± 1.6	11.5% ± 1.7	
Serving on active duty	6.2 ± 0.7	7.3 ± 1.5	5.5 ± 0.9	8.8 ± 2.3	5.2 ± 1.4	8.2 ± 0.9	6.4 ± 1.5	5.2 ± 1.8	3.5 ± 0.8	6.5 ± 2.1	8.0 ± 1.8	8.3 ± 1.5	
Working FT in civilian job, not a career	7.9 ± 0.8	9.4 ± 1.1	8.3 ± 1.2	4.8 ± 1.1	4.1 ± 1.5	8.2 ± 1.1	7.3 ± 1.2	8.6 ± 1.9	8.8 ± 1.4	7.5 ± 2.3	8.1 ± 1.6	8.9 ± 1.2	
Working PT in civilian job, not a career	7.0 ± 0.9	8.8 ± 1.2	5.7 ± 1.4	7.0 ± 1.3	9.2 ± 1.6	6.8 ± 1.3	7.2 ± 1.4	7.7 ± 0.8	8.1 ± 1.5	7.3 ± 1.9	6.3 ± 1.5	5.8 ± 1.2	
Working full-time in a civilian career	58.4 ± 1.6	55.5 ± 2.2	60.0 ± 2.6	47.0 ± 3.8	39.2 ± 2.4	55.8 ± 2.2	58.2 ± 2.3	54.1 ± 5.9	58.9 ± 2.2	58.5 ± 4.1	58.7 ± 2.7	54.2 ± 3.2	
Working part-time in a civilian career	13.0 ± 1.0	11.0 ± 1.1	12.4 ± 1.7	16.6 ± 2.2	21.0 ± 2.8	12.7 ± 1.1	13.3 ± 2.3	14.4 ± 3.5	13.7 ± 1.3	12.7 ± 2.4	11.6 ± 3.0	13.2 ± 1.8	
Sample size	7,416	2,573	2,098	1,298	1,278	4,441	2,275	700	2,626	949	1,403	2,438	
Weighted total	262,273	80,364	137,093	19,121	17,525	170,038	76,939	15,296	97,320	41,052	49,185	74,716	

Table S_114 (cont.)

Soldier's Preference for Spouse's Present Employment Status
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
Not working for pay	1.8% + 2.6	—	2.6% + 3.1	0.8% + 0.6	6.0% + 1.4	10.5% + 1.5	9.4% + 2.6	7.2% + 2.0	29.9% + 3.7	15.8% + 2.7	5.8% + 0.9	16.0% + 2.0
Serving on active duty	8.9 + 4.5	—	42.7 + 6.2	47.7 + 6.3	2.1 + 0.8	2.1 + 0.8	0.5 + 0.4	0.9 + 0.6	0.5 + 0.5	0.4 + 0.4	1.6 + 0.6	1.4 + 0.6
Working FT in civilian job, not a career	15.4 + 8.3	—	3.9 + 2.3	4.8 + 2.4	10.6 + 2.2	7.7 + 1.6	9.3 + 2.5	7.5 + 2.5	3.3 + 1.4	4.0 + 1.5	8.7 + 1.4	7.6 + 1.1
Working PT in civilian job, not a career	3.7 + 4.4	—	1.7 + 1.4	0.8 + 1.1	8.0 + 2.1	9.3 + 2.1	4.5 + 1.7	6.9 + 2.4	6.7 + 1.6	10.3 + 2.3	3.4 + 0.8	12.7 + 1.8
Working full-time in a civilian career	71.2 + 8.7	—	46.0 + 5.3	41.0 + 7.1	64.6 + 3.1	52.9 + 3.0	64.6 + 3.7	66.7 + 4.9	32.6 + 3.8	50.2 + 4.7	70.5 + 1.9	42.9 + 2.6
Working part-time in a civilian career	1.0 + 2.0	—	3.1 + 2.4	4.9 + 2.7	8.7 + 1.6	17.4 + 2.1	11.6 + 2.8	10.7 + 2.6	27.1 + 4.1	19.3 + 2.9	9.9 + 1.5	19.5 + 1.8
Sample size	104	28	443	395	1,273	1,890	618	628	892	898	3,238	3,125
Weighted total	4,663	1,142	13,846	12,953	53,809	85,504	39,928	10,151	13,982	15,391	120,145	106,329

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SPFTN

Soldier's Preference for Spouse Employment Status
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Want spouse to work full-time	64.3% ± 1.6	64.9% ± 1.9	68.3% ± 2.4	51.8% ± 3.5	43.3% ± 2.4	64.0% ± 2.2	65.5% ± 2.5	62.7% ± 4.5	65.7% ± 1.9	64.0% ± 3.3	66.7% ± 3.0	61.1% ± 3.1
Want spouse to work part-time	20.0 ± 1.2	19.9 ± 1.5	18.2 ± 1.9	23.7 ± 2.5	30.2 ± 2.8	19.6 ± 1.6	20.6 ± 1.9	22.1 ± 3.3	21.8 ± 2.0	20.0 ± 3.0	17.9 ± 3.0	19.0 ± 2.3
Don't want spouse to work at all	9.5 ± 0.9	7.9 ± 1.4	8.1 ± 1.3	15.6 ± 1.9	21.3 ± 2.2	10.3 ± 1.1	7.6 ± 1.3	10.1 ± 3.0	9.0 ± 1.1	9.5 ± 2.1	7.4 ± 1.6	11.5 ± 1.7
Want spouse on active duty	8.2 ± 0.7	7.3 ± 1.5	5.5 ± 0.9	8.8 ± 2.3	5.2 ± 1.4	8.2 ± 0.9	6.4 ± 1.5	5.2 ± 1.6	3.5 ± 0.8	6.5 ± 2.1	8.0 ± 1.8	8.3 ± 1.5
Sample size	7,416	2,573	2,098	1,298	1,278	4,441	2,275	700	2,626	949	1,403	2,438
Weighted total	262,273	80,364	137,093	19,121	17,525	170,038	76,939	15,296	97,320	41,052	49,185	74,716

Table SPFTN (cont.)

Soldier's Preference for Spouse Employment Status
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+			
Want spouse to work full-time	86.6% + 6.5	—	49.9% + 6.0	45.8% + 7.3	75.2% + 3.1	60.6% + 3.0	73.9% + 3.2	74.2% + 4.1	35.9% + 3.6	54.2% + 4.4	79.2% + 2.1	50.5% + 2.6	
Want spouse to work part-time	4.7 + 4.9	—	4.8 + 2.4	5.7 + 3.1	16.7 + 2.5	26.8 + 2.7	16.2 + 3.0	17.6 + 3.5	33.7 + 3.9	29.6 + 3.5	13.3 + 1.7	32.2 + 2.0	
Don't want spouse to work at all	1.8 + 2.6	—	2.6 + 3.1	0.8 + 0.6	6.0 + 1.4	10.5 + 1.5	9.4 + 2.6	7.2 + 2.0	29.9 + 3.7	15.8 + 2.7	5.8 + 0.9	16.0 + 2.0	
Want spouse on active duty	6.9 + 4.5	—	42.7 + 6.2	47.7 + 6.3	2.1 + 0.8	2.1 + 0.8	0.5 + 0.4	0.9 + 0.6	0.5 + 0.5	0.4 + 0.4	1.6 + 0.6	1.4 + 0.6	
Sample size	104	28	443	395	1,273	1,890	618	628	892	898	3,238	3,125	
Weighted total	4,663	1,142	13,846	12,953	53,809	85,504	39,928	10,151	13,982	15,391	120,145	106,329	

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table SPORN

Soldier's Preference for Spouse Employment Status
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type		
	Total	Junior Enlisted	MOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
Want spouse to have a career	69.4% + 1.5	66.6% + 2.0	72.5% + 2.2	63.6% + 3.5	60.2% + 3.5	68.5% + 1.9	71.5% + 2.0	68.4% + 6.2	70.6% + 1.9	70.3% + 2.5
Want spouse to have a job, not a career	14.9 + 1.4	18.2 + 1.8	14.0 + 2.0	11.8 + 2.0	13.3 + 2.4	15.0 + 1.9	14.5 + 1.7	16.3 + 2.0	16.9 + 2.2	14.3 + 2.1
Don't want spouse to work at all	9.5 + 0.9	7.9 + 1.4	8.1 + 1.3	15.8 + 1.9	21.3 + 2.2	10.3 + 1.1	7.6 + 1.3	10.1 + 3.0	9.0 + 1.1	7.4 + 1.6
Want spouse on active duty	8.2 + 0.7	7.3 + 1.5	5.5 + 0.9	8.8 + 2.3	5.2 + 1.4	8.2 + 0.9	6.4 + 1.5	5.2 + 1.8	3.5 + 0.8	8.0 + 1.8
Sample size	7,416	2,573	2,098	1,298	1,278	4,441	2,275	700	2,626	1,403
Weighted total	262,273	80,364	137,093	19,121	17,525	170,038	76,939	15,296	97,320	49,185
									41,052	74,716

Table SPORN (cont.)

Soldier's Preference for Spouse Employment Status
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse												
Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+			
Want spouse to have a career	72.3% ± 8.5	—	49.1% ± 4.6	45.9% ± 6.4	73.3% ± 3.0	70.4% ± 2.5	76.2% ± 4.0	77.4% ± 4.4	59.7% ± 4.5	69.5% ± 4.0	80.4% ± 1.5	62.4% ± 2.6
Want spouse to have a job, not a career	19.0 ± 8.5	—	5.6 ± 2.5	5.8 ± 2.6	18.6 ± 2.5	17.0 ± 2.6	13.8 ± 2.8	14.4 ± 3.4	10.0 ± 2.0	14.3 ± 3.2	12.2 ± 1.5	20.3 ± 2.4
Don't want spouse to work at all	1.8 ± 2.6	—	2.6 ± 3.1	0.8 ± 0.6	6.0 ± 1.4	10.5 ± 1.5	9.4 ± 2.6	7.2 ± 2.0	29.9 ± 3.7	15.8 ± 2.7	5.8 ± 0.9	16.0 ± 2.0
Want spouse on active duty	6.9 ± 4.5	—	42.7 ± 6.2	47.7 ± 6.3	2.1 ± 0.8	2.1 ± 0.8	0.5 ± 0.4	0.9 ± 0.6	0.5 ± 0.5	0.4 ± 0.4	1.6 ± 0.6	1.4 ± 0.6
Sample size	104	28	443	395	1,273	1,890	618	628	892	898	3,238	3,125
Weighted total	4,663	1,142	13,846	12,953	53,809	85,504	39,928	10,151	13,982	15,391	120,145	106,329

(—) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S-115

Soldier's Preference for Spouse's Employment Status Five Years from Now
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type		
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Not working for pay	9.4% + 0.8	7.5% + 1.5	9.5% + 1.3	9.6% + 2.2	16.1% + 2.0	10.4% + 1.1	7.0% + 1.0	11.2% + 1.6	9.4% + 1.3	8.1% + 1.6	10.2% + 1.9
Serving on active duty	5.1 + 0.7	6.3 + 1.3	4.7 + 0.8	6.7 + 2.0	2.9 + 1.1	5.2 + 0.9	4.8 + 1.2	4.7 + 1.5	2.8 + 0.8	5.3 + 1.6	6.8 + 1.3
Working FT in civilian job, not a career	5.3 + 0.6	5.8 + 1.0	5.7 + 1.0	3.7 + 1.1	3.9 + 1.3	5.5 + 0.8	5.0 + 1.2	5.5 + 2.6	5.7 + 1.0	5.1 + 2.1	5.0 + 1.1
Working PT in civilian job, not a career	3.8 + 0.5	2.5 + 0.5	3.7 + 0.9	5.8 + 1.4	8.4 + 1.6	3.7 + 0.5	3.9 + 0.9	4.4 + 0.7	4.0 + 1.0	3.2 + 1.0	4.3 + 0.8
Working full-time in a civilian career	66.8 + 1.6	70.5 + 2.3	67.7 + 2.1	58.4 + 2.8	51.6 + 2.7	68.0 + 2.1	69.0 + 2.9	64.1 + 2.8	67.5 + 2.0	68.5 + 3.2	64.8 + 3.2
Working part-time in a civilian career	9.6 + 0.9	7.6 + 0.9	8.7 + 1.5	15.8 + 2.6	17.1 + 1.9	9.2 + 1.1	10.3 + 2.1	10.0 + 2.3	10.5 + 1.7	9.9 + 2.3	9.1 + 1.5
Sample size	7,490	2,601	2,130	1,308	1,283	4,490	2,296	704	2,646	960	2,461
Weighted total	265,312	81,262	139,087	19,272	17,585	172,284	77,662	15,366	98,188	41,495	75,689

Table S_116A

Importance of Spouse to Work for Expense Money
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Extremely important	28.8% ± 1.2	33.1% ± 2.2	27.8% ± 1.8	13.9% ± 2.3	8.0% ± 1.4	28.3% ± 1.5	24.1% ± 2.3	23.8% ± 4.7	27.2% ± 2.0	25.9% ± 2.7	30.2% ± 2.6	24.4% ± 2.9
Very important	27.9 ± 1.6	32.2 ± 2.4	28.7 ± 1.9	21.1 ± 2.2	14.1 ± 3.0	28.0 ± 2.1	27.7 ± 2.7	28.2 ± 1.5	28.2 ± 2.6	29.0 ± 3.2	29.7 ± 2.5	25.8 ± 2.9
Somewhat important	25.4 ± 1.3	22.6 ± 2.0	26.5 ± 2.1	27.1 ± 2.6	28.4 ± 2.5	25.2 ± 1.7	25.9 ± 2.3	24.2 ± 3.9	25.9 ± 2.4	25.6 ± 3.5	23.6 ± 2.6	25.7 ± 2.5
Not very important	12.3 ± 1.2	7.9 ± 1.1	10.8 ± 1.6	23.1 ± 2.8	27.9 ± 4.6	11.4 ± 1.6	14.0 ± 1.9	13.5 ± 4.1	11.6 ± 1.6	12.6 ± 2.6	10.7 ± 1.9	14.1 ± 2.3
Not at all important	7.6 ± 0.9	4.2 ± 0.9	6.2 ± 1.1	14.8 ± 2.3	23.5 ± 3.3	7.0 ± 1.2	8.4 ± 1.5	10.3 ± 2.6	7.1 ± 1.2	6.9 ± 2.2	5.8 ± 1.4	9.9 ± 1.5
Sample size	7,086	2,507	2,053	1,217	1,151	4,244	2,186	656	2,518	921	1,354	2,293
Weighted total	253,234	78,454	133,547	17,803	15,769	164,245	74,576	14,413	93,955	39,641	47,632	72,006

Importance of Spouse to Work for Expense Money by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

619

Table S-1168

Importance of Spouse to Work to Buy Extras
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Extremely important	18.9% + 1.1 -	22.7% + 1.9 -	19.3% + 1.7 -	12.8% + 2.4 -	7.9% + 1.7 -	19.9% + 1.4 -	17.4% + 1.8 -	16.0% + 3.5 -	19.2% + 1.7 -	22.1% + 2.6 -	17.0% + 2.1 -
Very important	32.4 + 1.5 -	33.9 + 2.5 -	32.8 + 2.4 -	31.4 + 3.3 -	25.9 + 2.9 -	32.4 + 1.8 -	32.8 + 3.0 -	29.9 + 2.5 -	33.8 + 1.8 -	31.6 + 2.5 -	30.3 + 3.2 -
Somewhat important	35.2 + 1.6 -	31.6 + 2.1 -	35.5 + 2.5 -	39.8 + 2.9 -	42.1 + 3.6 -	34.6 + 1.8 -	38.1 + 3.8 -	36.9 + 3.2 -	33.6 + 2.2 -	32.8 + 3.3 -	37.0 + 3.3 -
Not very important	10.2 + 1.0 -	9.0 + 1.1 -	9.7 + 1.5 -	12.1 + 1.7 -	16.2 + 2.3 -	9.6 + 1.5 -	11.1 + 1.0 -	12.8 + 1.2 -	10.2 + 1.2 -	8.1 + 2.1 -	11.6 + 2.2 -
Not at all important	3.3 + 0.5 -	2.9 + 0.7 -	2.7 + 0.6 -	3.9 + 0.9 -	8.0 + 1.6 -	3.6 + 0.6 -	2.5 + 0.5 -	4.4 + 2.1 -	3.1 + 0.8 -	2.0 + 1.1 -	4.2 + 0.8 -
Sample size	7,092	2,501	2,057	1,224	1,152	4,249	2,186	657	2,518	920	1,357
Weighted total	253,324	78,275	133,727	17,881	15,780	164,316	74,577	14,430	93,834	39,608	47,737
											2,297
											72,146

Table S 116C

Importance of Spouse to Work to Save Money
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Extremely important	47.1% ± 1.7	53.0% ± 2.1	47.5% ± 2.2	41.2% ± 3.2	26.9% ± 2.5	47.4% ± 2.3	47.1% ± 2.2	42.5% ± 2.7	49.3% ± 2.1	46.6% ± 3.1	51.5% ± 3.3	41.5% ± 3.0
Very important	35.9 ± 1.5	33.2 ± 2.2	36.7 ± 2.5	38.4 ± 3.4	38.8 ± 2.8	35.2 ± 2.0	37.4 ± 2.3	36.3 ± 6.6	35.7 ± 2.5	38.1 ± 3.1	33.5 ± 2.3	37.7 ± 2.9
Somewhat important	13.3 ± 1.0	10.9 ± 1.8	12.3 ± 1.2	16.1 ± 1.8	25.2 ± 2.6	13.6 ± 1.4	12.1 ± 1.7	15.2 ± 3.3	11.2 ± 1.1	14.0 ± 2.1	12.0 ± 2.3	16.3 ± 1.7
Not very important	2.3 ± 0.5	1.6 ± 0.4	2.3 ± 0.7	2.7 ± 0.8	6.0 ± 1.3	2.3 ± 0.5	2.0 ± 0.8	4.4 ± 2.2	2.5 ± 0.8	1.4 ± 0.9	2.0 ± 0.9	2.8 ± 0.8
Not at all important	1.4 ± 0.4	1.3 ± 0.5	1.3 ± 0.6	1.7 ± 0.6	3.1 ± 1.4	1.4 ± 0.5	1.3 ± 0.6	1.6 ± 1.3	1.2 ± 0.5	1.9 ± 1.5	1.0 ± 0.4	1.6 ± 0.8
Sample size	7,082	2,499	2,049	1,223	1,153	4,243	2,181	658	2,515	921	1,353	2,293
Weighted total	252,882	78,184	133,365	17,876	15,795	163,996	74,446	14,439	93,816	39,672	47,615	71,779

Table S 116C (cont.)

Importance of Spouse to Work to Save Money
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Extremely important	35.9% + 10.2	49.8% + 17.7	54.2% + 5.7	61.1% + 5.8	50.3% + 2.8	50.1% + 2.0	40.5% + 4.6	36.7% + 4.6	38.1% + 4.1	28.5% + 4.7	44.7% + 1.7	47.7% + 2.5
Very important	38.1 + 10.8	33.3 + 18.3	35.2 + 5.1	28.8 + 5.3	33.7 + 2.9	35.5 + 2.2	40.5 + 4.5	40.8 + 4.4	38.8 + 3.2	36.9 + 3.4	37.8 + 1.9	34.6 + 1.9
Somewhat important	15.0 + 7.9	14.6 + 14.6	9.2 + 3.1	8.4 + 3.2	12.6 + 2.4	11.4 + 1.6	14.9 + 3.1	16.7 + 3.9	18.3 + 3.1	26.9 + 2.9	13.5 + 1.5	14.2 + 2.0
Not very important	4.5 + 5.3	0.0 + 0.0	0.6 + 0.6	0.3 + 0.6	2.0 + 1.0	1.8 + 0.6	3.5 + 1.9	3.8 + 1.5	2.9 + 1.2	5.1 + 2.0	2.9 + 0.7	2.1 + 0.6
Not at all important	6.5 + 5.2	2.3 + 4.7	0.8 + 0.7	1.4 + 1.4	1.4 + 0.7	1.2 + 0.7	0.6 + 0.6	2.0 + 1.0	2.0 + 0.9	2.6 + 1.3	1.1 + 0.5	1.3 + 0.5
Sample size	100	31	459	399	1,230	1,820	593	592	784	824	3,199	2,789
Weighted total	4,448	1,260	14,220	13,078	52,282	82,353	38,093	9,637	12,176	14,163	118,607	97,112

Table S 1160

Importance of Spouse to Work to Develop Work Skills
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	MCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Extremely important	30.7% ± 1.7	33.1% ± 1.9	31.9% ± 2.6	27.5% ± 2.4	16.9% ± 2.2	30.3% ± 2.0	32.3% ± 3.7	26.5% ± 2.9	31.8% ± 2.3	31.0% ± 5.4	32.7% ± 3.1	27.9% ± 3.1
Very important	39.8 ± 1.9	38.5 ± 2.6	41.0 ± 2.6	37.1 ± 3.0	37.4 ± 3.8	39.7 ± 2.1	39.9 ± 4.5	40.1 ± 4.2	41.5 ± 2.8	39.2 ± 4.8	39.1 ± 3.1	38.4 ± 2.8
Somewhat important	20.8 ± 1.3	20.8 ± 2.0	19.1 ± 2.2	23.4 ± 2.7	27.7 ± 2.8	20.7 ± 1.7	20.4 ± 2.1	21.4 ± 2.5	19.4 ± 2.1	21.3 ± 2.5	19.2 ± 2.1	23.0 ± 2.7
Not very important	6.0 ± 0.7	5.6 ± 1.1	5.4 ± 1.1	7.5 ± 1.5	11.4 ± 1.6	6.3 ± 0.8	5.0 ± 1.2	8.6 ± 3.0	4.8 ± 1.2	5.5 ± 1.3	6.5 ± 1.4	7.8 ± 1.0
Not at all important	2.8 ± 0.5	2.1 ± 0.6	2.5 ± 0.6	4.5 ± 1.0	6.5 ± 1.3	3.0 ± 0.6	2.4 ± 0.8	3.3 ± 1.4	2.6 ± 0.7	3.0 ± 1.1	2.5 ± 0.9	3.2 ± 1.1
Sample size	7,075	2,498	2,053	1,213	1,148	4,236	2,184	655	2,520	918	1,350	2,287
Weighted total	252,873	78,204	133,512	17,818	15,723	163,886	74,584	14,404	94,071	39,511	47,515	71,776

Table S 1160 (cont.)

Importance of Spouse to Work to Develop Work Skills
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed	Spouse Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
Extremely important	22.5% ± 11.0	51.6% ± 19.4	33.4% ± 4.9	35.4% ± 4.8	31.4% ± 2.7	33.9% ± 2.4	26.3% ± 4.3	26.5% ± 3.9	21.2% ± 4.4	20.1% ± 3.7	29.6% ± 2.0	30.9% ± 2.3		
Very important	37.8 ± 9.3	31.5 ± 17.6	38.4 ± 4.0	42.7 ± 4.5	39.6 ± 3.1	40.4 ± 2.7	40.6 ± 4.8	34.1 ± 3.8	40.5 ± 4.6	39.7 ± 4.3	39.9 ± 2.8	39.9 ± 2.5		
Somewhat important	29.4 ± 11.1	8.2 ± 9.1	20.9 ± 5.3	13.7 ± 3.4	19.3 ± 2.8	19.4 ± 1.9	23.1 ± 3.5	25.0 ± 3.2	26.8 ± 2.8	25.4 ± 4.4	20.8 ± 1.8	21.3 ± 1.6		
Not very important	4.8 ± 3.9	2.0 ± 4.0	3.6 ± 2.0	5.3 ± 2.7	7.1 ± 1.5	4.7 ± 1.1	7.6 ± 2.3	9.5 ± 3.3	6.9 ± 1.6	9.4 ± 2.1	7.1 ± 1.2	5.4 ± 0.8		
Not at all important	5.5 ± 4.7	6.6 ± 9.0	3.7 ± 1.9	2.9 ± 2.0	2.7 ± 1.2	1.6 ± 0.7	2.5 ± 1.3	4.8 ± 1.8	4.5 ± 1.3	5.5 ± 1.6	2.6 ± 0.6	2.5 ± 0.7		
Sample size	99	30	458	394	1,236	1,821	594	594	780	819	3,194	2,796		
Weighted total	4,410	1,194	14,281	12,857	52,478	82,444	38,125	9,670	12,122	14,068	118,528	97,468		

Table S.116E

Importance of Spouse to Work for Positive Self-Image
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Extremely Important	50.7% + 1.7	49.8% + 2.2	50.7% + 2.9	57.9% + 3.4	50.5% + 2.7	50.3% + 2.0	52.1% + 3.8	48.3% + 4.0	52.8% + 2.6	48.4% + 5.3	51.7% + 3.4	48.5% + 3.4
Very Important	37.8 + 1.6	37.7 + 2.4	38.1 + 2.5	33.2 + 3.3	37.4 + 3.2	38.1 + 1.8	36.9 + 3.6	38.4 + 4.7	36.9 + 2.4	38.3 + 4.9	35.8 + 3.5	40.0 + 2.9
Somewhat Important	9.3 + 0.9	10.3 + 1.6	9.0 + 1.4	7.0 + 1.5	9.6 + 1.7	9.4 + 1.2	9.1 + 1.5	9.3 + 3.3	8.1 + 1.3	11.6 + 1.9	10.4 + 1.9	8.9 + 2.2
Not very Important	1.2 + 0.3	0.9 + 0.4	1.4 + 0.5	1.2 + 0.7	1.1 + 0.5	1.1 + 0.4	1.1 + 0.5	2.0 + 1.1	0.9 + 0.5	0.9 + 0.9	1.1 + 0.5	1.7 + 0.5
Not at all Important	1.0 + 0.3	1.3 + 0.5	0.8 + 0.4	0.7 + 0.5	1.3 + 0.6	1.0 + 0.4	0.8 + 0.5	2.0 + 1.6	1.3 + 0.6	0.7 + 0.7	1.0 + 0.5	0.8 + 0.5
Sample size	7,091	2,499	2,059	1,220	1,155	4,245	2,189	657	2,518	919	1,358	2,296
Weighted total	253,485	78,194	133,974	17,838	15,818	164,429	74,631	14,426	93,997	39,597	47,865	72,028

Table S.116F

Importance of Spouse to Work to Meet People
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Extremely important	31.1% + 1.6	32.9% + 2.0	31.7% + 2.2	30.1% + 3.4	22.1% + 2.2	31.3% + 2.0	31.1% + 3.1	27.7% + 3.4	33.3% + 2.0	29.5% + 4.0	32.6% + 2.8	28.0% + 2.5
Very important	37.4 + 1.4	38.4 + 2.4	37.1 + 1.9	40.0 + 3.5	39.8 + 2.8	38.9 + 1.9	38.5 + 1.9	38.9 + 4.4	37.5 + 1.8	39.0 + 3.6	38.0 + 3.5	37.3 + 2.6
Somewhat important	22.7 + 1.3	22.4 + 1.8	22.5 + 2.2	21.1 + 2.2	25.6 + 2.6	22.7 + 1.5	22.2 + 2.6	24.2 + 4.4	21.5 + 1.9	23.1 + 3.6	23.0 + 2.6	23.8 + 2.4
Not very important	8.5 + 0.7	5.4 + 0.9	7.0 + 1.2	6.3 + 2.0	8.4 + 1.0	8.4 + 0.8	6.5 + 1.2	8.4 + 3.5	5.6 + 1.0	6.5 + 1.9	5.5 + 1.6	8.4 + 1.2
Not at all important	2.4 + 0.5	2.9 + 0.7	1.7 + 0.6	2.5 + 1.0	4.2 + 1.0	2.8 + 0.7	1.7 + 0.7	2.8 + 1.6	2.1 + 0.7	2.0 + 1.1	3.0 + 1.2	2.5 + 0.8
Sample size	7,073	2,495	2,049	1,220	1,151	4,237	2,182	654	2,512	918	1,352	2,291
Weighted total	252,721	78,083	133,319	17,874	15,784	163,959	74,408	14,354	93,649	39,558	47,634	71,881

Table S 116f (cont.)

Importance of Spouse to Work to Meet People
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted				Officer					
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed		
Extremely important	26.0% ± 10.2	—	26.9% ± 4.9	25.0% ± 5.4	35.0% ± 3.2	33.4% ± 2.5	29.0% ± 4.3	29.8% ± 4.5	26.6% ± 4.7	22.9% ± 3.5	30.5% ± 2.5	33.0% ± 2.5		
Very important	33.8 ± 10.0	—	38.0 ± 5.1	34.6 ± 6.4	33.5 ± 3.5	38.0 ± 2.2	39.9 ± 4.5	39.1 ± 4.0	41.0 ± 4.9	40.8 ± 3.2	38.6 ± 2.3	36.9 ± 2.6		
Somewhat important	22.5 ± 11.5	—	24.8 ± 4.5	26.0 ± 4.4	22.8 ± 2.6	22.3 ± 2.6	21.1 ± 3.1	21.7 ± 4.2	24.3 ± 3.1	24.6 ± 3.1	22.1 ± 2.4	22.6 ± 2.0		
Not very important	11.5 ± 6.0	—	6.5 ± 2.7	10.5 ± 3.1	6.8 ± 1.3	4.4 ± 1.2	8.6 ± 2.5	6.9 ± 2.5	5.3 ± 1.7	7.6 ± 1.5	6.7 ± 1.1	5.6 ± 1.0		
Not at all important	6.1 ± 5.0	—	3.8 ± 2.1	3.9 ± 2.4	1.9 ± 0.8	1.9 ± 0.8	1.3 ± 0.9	2.4 ± 1.4	2.7 ± 1.6	4.1 ± 1.7	2.2 ± 0.6	1.8 ± 0.6		
Sample size	98	28	453	396	1,235	1,820	593	593	783	823	3,201	2,793		
Weighted total	4,372	1,122	14,135	12,991	52,448	82,351	38,035	9,661	12,162	14,198	118,584	97,442		

(—) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 116G

Importance of Spouse to Work to Have a Career
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Extremely important	44.9% + 1.8 - 1.1	46.0% + 2.3 - 1.1	46.5% + 2.7 - 1.9	42.5% + 3.6 - 3.1	33.4% + 2.7 - 3.2	44.6% + 2.4 - 1.4	47.1% + 3.1 - 2.0	36.9% + 3.4 - 4.9	46.7% + 2.5 - 1.9	43.2% + 4.2 - 2.8	48.8% + 2.7 - 3.0	40.9% + 3.1 - 2.8
Very important	32.5% + 1.1 - 1.1	33.1% + 2.0 - 1.1	31.8% + 1.9 - 1.9	32.2% + 3.1 - 3.1	33.2% + 3.2 - 3.2	32.2% + 1.4 - 1.4	32.3% + 2.0 - 2.0	36.7% + 4.9 - 4.9	32.8% + 1.9 - 1.9	32.2% + 2.8 - 2.8	30.8% + 3.0 - 3.0	33.4% + 2.8 - 2.8
Somewhat important	15.4% + 1.5 - 1.5	14.4% + 1.5 - 1.5	15.3% + 2.3 - 2.3	15.8% + 2.2 - 2.2	19.7% + 2.4 - 2.4	15.8% + 2.0 - 2.0	14.8% + 2.7 - 2.7	14.3% + 2.3 - 2.3	13.9% + 1.9 - 1.9	17.5% + 3.6 - 3.6	14.2% + 2.2 - 2.2	17.1% + 2.6 - 2.6
Not very important	5.1% + 0.6 - 0.6	4.2% + 0.9 - 0.9	4.9% + 1.1 - 1.1	6.3% + 1.7 - 1.7	9.9% + 1.4 - 1.4	5.2% + 0.9 - 0.9	4.3% + 0.7 - 0.7	8.4% + 4.0 - 4.0	4.3% + 1.1 - 1.1	5.7% + 1.9 - 1.9	4.5% + 1.4 - 1.4	6.2% + 1.1 - 1.1
Not at all important	2.1% + 0.4 - 0.4	2.3% + 0.7 - 0.7	1.6% + 0.5 - 0.5	3.2% + 0.8 - 0.8	3.8% + 1.3 - 1.3	2.2% + 0.5 - 0.5	1.5% + 0.6 - 0.6	3.7% + 0.4 - 0.4	2.3% + 0.7 - 0.7	1.3% + 0.6 - 0.6	1.7% + 0.7 - 0.7	2.4% + 0.9 - 0.9
Sample size	7,079	2,495	2,050	1,222	1,154	4,240	2,184	655	2,515	918	1,352	2,294
Weighted total	252,847	78,050	133,459	17,868	15,811	163,933	74,545	14,369	93,915	39,844	47,454	71,835

Table S.116H

Importance of Spouse to Work to Help Society
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type					
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
Extremely important	20.6% + 1.5 -	17.9% + 1.7 -	22.3% + 2.6 -	22.7% + 2.8 -	18.5% + 2.2 -	20.9% + 1.8 -	20.2% + 2.9 -	19.2% + 2.0 -	20.8% + 1.9 -	17.9% + 3.3 -	23.7% + 2.5 -	19.7% + 2.7 -
Very important	28.1 + 1.4 -	26.0 + 2.4 -	29.1 + 2.0 -	27.5 + 3.4 -	29.3 + 3.1 -	27.6 + 1.8 -	29.6 + 2.5 -	25.9 + 3.2 -	29.7 + 2.1 -	27.5 + 3.4 -	25.4 + 2.4 -	28.2 + 2.7 -
Somewhat important	31.9 + 1.4 -	34.3 + 2.1 -	30.8 + 2.5 -	31.3 + 3.1 -	30.0 + 2.5 -	31.6 + 1.5 -	33.0 + 3.1 -	28.5 + 3.6 -	31.2 + 2.3 -	32.2 + 3.4 -	33.8 + 3.5 -	31.3 + 2.1 -
Not very important	13.1 + 1.0 -	15.1 + 1.6 -	11.7 + 1.8 -	11.5 + 1.7 -	14.8 + 2.2 -	13.4 + 1.2 -	11.7 + 2.0 -	16.3 + 3.9 -	12.7 + 1.8 -	14.5 + 2.6 -	11.6 + 2.4 -	13.6 + 1.9 -
Not at all important	6.4 + 0.9 -	6.7 + 0.9 -	6.0 + 1.3 -	7.0 + 1.5 -	7.4 + 1.3 -	6.5 + 1.1 -	5.5 + 1.5 -	10.0 + 3.3 -	5.5 + 1.1 -	7.9 + 2.0 -	5.6 + 1.7 -	7.2 + 1.6 -
Sample size	7,076	2,497	2,050	1,221	1,151	4,240	2,182	654	2,513	917	1,354	2,292
Weighted total	252,828	78,076	133,496	17,842	15,763	163,989	74,493	14,336	93,813	39,607	47,625	71,783

Table S-116H (cont.)

Importance of Spouse to Work to Help Society
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Civilian Spouse				
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
Extremely important	17.0% + 7.7	—	23.8% + 4.0	25.2% + 5.3	19.8% + 3.0	19.7% + 2.3	20.1% + 4.1	24.5% + 3.8	15.9% + 3.3	18.5% + 2.5	21.1% + 2.0	18.3% + 2.2		
Very important	25.7 + 10.3	—	34.9 + 4.1	30.6 + 5.0	25.7 + 3.0	27.5 + 2.3	28.8 + 4.1	29.5 + 4.9	25.4 + 3.1	27.6 + 3.6	28.4 + 2.1	26.7 + 2.0		
Somewhat important	34.2 + 13.2	—	27.1 + 5.4	31.3 + 5.7	33.3 + 2.8	34.1 + 2.9	29.7 + 3.9	26.7 + 4.0	35.7 + 3.9	32.1 + 4.3	31.8 + 1.8	33.1 + 1.9		
Not very important	16.1 + 9.3	—	10.3 + 3.3	9.3 + 2.9	14.0 + 2.0	12.9 + 1.7	14.5 + 3.4	13.3 + 3.5	15.6 + 3.0	14.4 + 2.9	12.2 + 1.5	15.0 + 1.7		
Not at all important	7.0 + 4.7	—	3.9 + 1.8	3.7 + 2.9	7.2 + 1.4	5.9 + 1.3	6.9 + 2.3	6.1 + 1.8	7.4 + 2.2	7.4 + 1.5	6.5 + 1.2	6.8 + 1.1		
Sample size	99	28	457	398	1,231	1,823	593	594	780	823	3,196	2,794		
Weighted total	4,410	1,122	14,229	13,056	52,212	82,503	38,136	9,670	12,117	14,150	118,469	97,408		

(—) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S_117

Soldier and Spouse Living at Same Geographic Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, spouse at same location	88.5% ± 1.1	82.9% ± 2.3	89.6% ± 1.5	95.4% ± 1.1	98.2% ± 0.7	90.1% ± 1.1	86.9% ± 2.0	78.1% ± 17.1	87.9% ± 1.5	87.2% ± 2.4	84.8% ± 3.0	92.3% ± 1.3
No, spouse not at same location	11.5 ± 1.1	17.1 ± 2.3	10.4 ± 1.5	4.6 ± 1.1	3.8 ± 0.7	9.9 ± 1.1	13.1 ± 2.0	21.9 ± 17.1	12.1 ± 1.5	12.8 ± 2.4	15.2 ± 3.0	7.7 ± 1.3
Sample size	7,516	2,602	2,147	1,308	1,290	4,492	2,323	701	2,639	967	1,422	2,488
Weighted total	266,005	81,077	139,822	19,267	17,703	172,033	78,636	15,336	98,144	41,594	49,796	76,472

Soldier and Spouse Living at Same Geographic Location by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Yes, spouse at same location	5.6% ± 5.4	14.3% ± 12.3	82.4% ± 4.4	90.5% ± 4.2	76.9% ± 2.9	96.9% ± 0.9	94.4% ± 1.8	91.2% ± 3.0	99.2% ± 0.8	97.6% ± 1.2	89.8% ± 1.7	92.8% ± 1.3	
No, spouse not at same location	94.4% ± 5.4	85.7% ± 12.3	17.6% ± 4.4	9.5% ± 4.2	23.1% ± 2.9	3.1% ± 0.9	5.6% ± 1.8	8.8% ± 3.0	0.8% ± 0.8	2.4% ± 1.2	10.2% ± 1.7	7.2% ± 1.3	
Sample size	108	35	465	420	1,273	1,905	622	629	892	902	3,233	3,135	
Weighted total	4,803	1,394	14,677	13,939	53,944	88,095	39,873	10,156	13,962	15,482	119,746	106,516	

Table S_118A

Separation Due to an Unaccompanied Tour
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank					Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	WCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
On an unaccompanied tour	100.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	--	--	--	100.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	--
Sample size	181	84	66	16	14	3	72	106	81	32	53	15
Weighted total	5,547	2,155	3,108	132	109	182	3,261	2,103	2,473	1,123	1,515	436

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Note: In question 118 respondents were instructed to mark all that apply. If S 118A was not marked then it is treated as missing and thus excluded from this table. "Sample size" and "Weighted total" show the number of respondents who indicated that item S_118A applies to them.

Table S_118A (cont.)

Separation Due to an Unaccompanied Tour
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Civilian Spouse			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
On an unaccompanied tour	--	--	--	--	--	100.0% + 0.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	100.0% + 0.0
Sample size	9	1	5	0	86	22	19	17	2	6	99	62	
Weighted total	441	23	88	0	2,739	622	912	173	10	40	3,090	1,622	

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Note: In question 118 respondents were instructed to mark all that apply. If S_118A was not marked then it is treated as missing and thus excluded from this table. "Sample size" and "Weighted total" show the number of respondents who indicated that item S_118A applies to them.

Table S_1188

Separation Due to Spouse's Military Assignment Elsewhere
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type		
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
Spouse's military assignment elsewhere	100.0% ± 0.0	100.0% ± 0.0	--	--	--	100.0% ± 0.0	--	--	--	--
Sample size	84	43	24	14	2	56	18	10	8	26
Weighted total	3,335	1,730	1,370	191	25	2,449	731	155	314	1,160
										1,133

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Note: In question 118 respondents were instructed to mark all that apply. If S_1188 was not marked then it is treated as missing and thus excluded from this table. "Sample size" and "Weighted total" show the number of respondents who indicated that item S_1188 applies to them.

Table S 1188 (cont.)

Separation Due to Spouse's Military Assignment Elsewhere
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse											
		Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer				
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Spouse's military assignment elsewhere													
--	--	--	100.0% + 0.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Sample size													
2	1	54	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Weighted total													
58	24	2,177	991	0	0	0	0	28	0	0	0	28	0

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Note: In question 118 respondents were instructed to mark all that apply. If S 1188 was not marked then it is treated as missing and thus excluded from this table. "Sample size" and "Weighted total" show the number of respondents who indicated that item S 1188 applies to them.

Table S 118C

Short Term Separation, Spouse Will Join Soldier Soon
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type		
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
Spouse will join me soon	100.0% ± 0.0	100.0% ± 0.0	--	--	--	100.0% ± 0.0	--	--	100.0% ± 0.0	--
Sample size	65	39	19	5	2	41	23	1	34	13
Weighted total	2,788	1,480	1,189	89	29	1,669	1,066	32	1,320	685

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Note: In question 118 respondents were instructed to mark all that apply. If S 118C was not marked then it is treated as missing and thus excluded from this table. "Sample size" and "Weighted total" show the number of respondents who indicated that Item S 118C applies to them.

Table S_118C (cont.)

Short Term Separation, Spouse Will Join Soldier Soon
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
Spouse will join me soon	--	--	--	--	--	100.0% + 0.0	--	--	--	100.0% + 0.0
Sample size	0	0	3	4	37	14	1	0	1	25
Weighted total	0	0	51	159	1,694	613	49	0	10	1,362

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Note: In question 118 respondents were instructed to mark all that apply. If S_118C was not marked then it is treated as missing and thus excluded from this table. "Sample size" and "Weighted total" show the number of respondents who indicated that item S_118C applies to them.

Table S 1180

Separation Due to Spouse's Civilian Job
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Spouse didn't want to leave civilian job	100.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	--	--	--	100.0% + 0.0	--	--	100.0% + 0.0	--	--
Sample size	80	38	23	5	12	58	22	2	33	9	15
Weighted total	3,082	1,297	1,444	75	142	2,370	673	39	1,443	373	573
											694

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Note: In question 118 respondents were instructed to mark all that apply. If S 1180 was not marked then it is treated as missing and thus excluded from this table. "Sample size" and "Weighted total" show the number of respondents who indicated that item S 1180 applies to them.

Table S_1180 (cont.)

Separation Due to Spouse's Civilian Job
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse													
Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Spouse not		
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Employed	Employed	
Spouse didn't want to leave civilian job													
--	--	--	--	--	100.0% + 0.0	--	--	--	--	--	100.0% + 0.0	--	
Sample size													
5	0	0	0	2	36	9	5	10	1	6	69	2	
Weighted total													
212	0	0	0	99	1,637	325	267	233	16	56	2,670	57	

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Note: In question 118 respondents were instructed to mark all that apply. If S_1180 was not marked then it is treated as missing and thus excluded from this table. "Sample size" and "Weighted total" show the number of respondents who indicated that item S_1180 applies to them.

Table S_118E

Separation Due to Spouse's Continued Education
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Total	Rank			Location			Unit Type			
		Junior Enlisted	WCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Spouse wanted to continue education	100.0% ± 0.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Sample size	49	22	9	11	7	22	20	7	20	8	11
Weighted total	1,519	698	555	172	93	691	721	108	682	325	189

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Note: In question 118 respondents were instructed to mark all that apply. If S_118E was not marked then it is treated as missing and thus excluded from this table. "Sample size" and "Weighted total" show the number of respondents who indicated that item S_118E applies to them.

Table S 118E (cont.)

Separation Due to Spouse's Continued Education
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	
Spouse wanted to continue education	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Sample size	0	1	0	3	23	3	1	12	2	22
Weighted total	0	66	0	53	855	141	24	182	29	718
657										

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Note: In question 118 respondents were instructed to mark all that apply. If S 118E was not marked then it is treated as missing and thus excluded from this table. "Sample size" and "Weighted total" show the number of respondents who indicated that item S 118E applies to them.

Table S 118F
Separation Due to Children's Education
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Total	Junior Enlisted	Rank			Location			Unit Type			
			NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Didn't want to disrupt kids' education	100.0% ± 0.0	--	--	--	--	100.0% ± 0.0	--	--	--	--	--	--
Sample size	46	8	18	3	16	31	10	5	12	6	6	22
Weighted total	1,808	320	1,213	29	184	1,199	492	117	593	415	253	547

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Note: In question 118 respondents were instructed to mark all that apply. If S 118F was not marked then it is treated as missing and thus excluded from this table. "Sample size" and "Weighted total" show the number of respondents who indicated that item S 118F applies to them.

Table S_118F (cont.)

Separation Due to Children's Education
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Civilian Spouse			
								Officer			Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
Didn't want to disrupt kids' education	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Sample size	1	1	0	2	13	3	5	9	1	9	19
Weighted total	55	81	0	55	758	164	388	150	8	107	876

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Note: In question 118 respondents were instructed to mark all that apply. If S_118F was not marked then it is treated as missing and thus excluded from this table. "Sample size" and "Weighted total" show the number of respondents who indicated that item S_118F applies to them.

Table S_118G

Separation Due to Spouse's Dislike of Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type		
	Total	Junior Enlisted	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
Spouse didn't want to live here	100.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	--	--	100.0% + 0.0	--	--	100.0% + 0.0	--
Sample size	83	43	8	10	46	27	10	34	18
Weighted total	3,181	1,493	99	120	1,840	1,145	196	1,500	768
								421	493

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Note: In question 118 respondents were instructed to mark all that apply. If S_118G was not marked then it is treated as missing and thus excluded from this table. "Sample size" and "Weighted total" show the number of respondents who indicated that item S_118G applies to them.

Table S_118G (cont.)

Separation Due to Spouse's Dislike of Location
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse													
Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed			
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed		
--	--	--	--	100.0% ± 0.0	--	--	--	--	--	100.0% ± 0.0	--		
8	1	1	2	36	7	8	9	3	4	41	27		
236	23	14	105	1,705	251	418	101	28	98	1,772	870		
Spouse didn't want to live here													
Sample size													
Weighted total													

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Note: In question 118 respondents were instructed to mark all that apply. If S_118G was not marked then it is treated as missing and thus excluded from this table. "Sample size" and "Weighted total" show the number of respondents who indicated that item S_118G applies to them.

Table S_118H

Separation Due to Marital Problems
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Marital problems	100.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	—	—	100.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	—	100.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0
Sample size	191	104	63	10	10	117	63	11	65	38	41
Weighted total	8,250	3,770	3,963	150	161	5,632	2,345	273	2,841	1,723	2,147
											1,539

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Note: In question 118 respondents were instructed to mark all that apply. If S_118H was not marked then it is treated as missing and thus excluded from this table. "Sample size" and "Weighted total" show the number of respondents who indicated that item S_118H applies to them.

Table S_118H (cont.)

Separation Due to Marital Problems
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted		Officer		Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children 0-5	Child 6+	No Children 0-5	Child 6+		
Marital problems	100.0% ± 0.0	--	--	--	100.0% ± 0.0	--	--	--	100.0% ± 0.0	100.0% ± 0.0
Sample size	79	17	5	0	53	9	3	0	47	30
Weighted total	3,507	760	272	0	2,401	298	138	0	1,993	1,117

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Note: In question 118 respondents were instructed to mark all that apply. If S_118H was not marked then it is treated as missing and thus excluded from this table. "Sample size" and "Weighted total" show the number of respondents who indicated that Item S_118H applies to them.

Table S 1181
Separation Due to Other Reason
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type		
	Total	Junior Enlisted	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
Other reason	100.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	--	100.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	--	100.0% + 0.0	--	100.0% + 0.0
Sample size	153	94	43	7	77	60	16	61	24	43
Weighted total	5,854	3,226	2,326	106	3,176	2,301	377	2,364	1,003	1,632
										856

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Note: In question 118 respondents were instructed to mark all that apply. If S 1181 was not marked then it is treated as missing and thus excluded from this table. "Sample size" and "Weighted total" show the number of respondents who indicated that item S 1181 applies to them.

Table S_1181 (cont.)

Separation Due to Other Reason
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	
Other reason	--	--	--	--	--	100.0% + 0.0	--	--	--	100.0% + 0.0
Sample size	11	12	7	2	74	18	6	5	3	61
Weighted total	464	354	242	118	2,939	826	280	124	35	2,327
										1,997

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Note: In question 118 respondents were instructed to mark all that apply. If S_1181 was not marked then it is treated as missing and thus excluded from this table. "Sample size" and "Weighted total" show the number of respondents who indicated that item S_1181 applies to them.

Table S_119

of Months at Current Location without Spouse
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	4.4 + 0.7	3.5 + 0.8	5.5 + 1.2	4.8 + 2.5	7.1 + 1.2	3.8 + 0.9	5.1 + 1.2	6.0 + 0.9	4.1 + 1.1	5.0 + 2.0	3.8 + 1.0	5.9 + 1.2
50th percentile	9.1 + 0.8	7.9 + 1.5	10.2 + 1.4	8.7 + 1.7	10.5 + 1.7	8.2 + 1.0	12.6 + 1.9	8.2 + 0.8	9.4 + 1.3	9.8 + 2.4	7.8 + 1.3	10.0 + 1.5
75th percentile	16.2 + 1.3	14.5 + 2.0	18.3 + 3.0	15.3 + 5.3	20.0 + 5.1	14.8 + 1.9	19.6 + 1.8	10.4 + 0.9	17.0 + 2.5	15.8 + 2.7	13.6 + 3.4	20.5 + 8.4
Sample size	779	403	246	61	57	386	247	146	305	115	200	159
Weighted total	29,850	13,662	14,071	824	668	17,110	9,763	2,977	11,782	5,021	7,654	5,393

Table S.120

of Months at Current Location with Spouse
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	9.1 ± 0.7	5.9 ± 0.5	10.7 ± 0.7	10.8 ± 1.1	12.6 ± 1.2	7.8 ± 0.6	11.8 ± 0.5	10.6 ± 1.8	8.6 ± 0.8	7.8 ± 1.3	9.2 ± 1.1	10.5 ± 1.1
50th percentile	16.7 ± 0.6	12.2 ± 0.7	18.4 ± 0.8	18.5 ± 1.2	21.1 ± 2.5	15.6 ± 0.8	18.5 ± 0.9	19.4 ± 2.6	16.1 ± 0.9	15.2 ± 1.2	16.2 ± 1.3	18.7 ± 1.4
75th percentile	28.0 ± 1.0	19.1 ± 0.6	31.7 ± 1.4	28.2 ± 1.4	32.9 ± 3.2	27.3 ± 1.3	28.5 ± 1.0	32.5 ± 1.6	26.8 ± 1.3	25.5 ± 2.1	26.4 ± 2.2	31.9 ± 1.3
Sample size	6,722	2,195	1,889	1,243	1,237	4,126	2,052	544	2,341	847	1,222	2,312
Weighted total	235,988	67,352	125,594	18,431	17,061	155,994	68,067	11,927	86,637	38,425	42,725	70,201

Table S_120 (cont..)

of Months at Current Location with Spouse
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse														
Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer					
No Children	Children		No Children	Children		No Children	Children		No Children	Children		Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
											</			

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 121

• of Nights Away Overnight During the Last 6 Months
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	8.1 + 1.5	8.9 + 1.5	7.5 + 1.8	9.7 + 1.9	7.0 + 1.3	7.4 + 1.8	10.6 + 6.0	8.0 + 3.5	21.6 + 4.0	14.9 + 3.5	6.9 + 1.7
50th percentile	24.1 + 4.5	28.0 + 4.4	23.2 + 5.7	27.1 + 5.2	15.9 + 2.4	20.7 + 6.2	31.0 + 6.1	22.1 + 11.4	39.5 + 3.0	33.1 + 5.8	18.6 + 2.8
75th percentile	48.3 + 3.9	50.4 + 6.1	50.3 + 6.3	48.6 + 4.9	31.1 + 5.3	44.9 + 6.0	57.6 + 7.7	45.4 + 8.3	63.5 + 3.5	58.8 + 8.9	35.5 + 3.4
Sample size	6,689	2,175	1,885	1,238	1,236	4,104	2,044	541	2,326	837	1,219
Weighted total	234,463	68,741	124,959	18,295	17,028	154,909	67,738	11,818	86,234	35,859	42,561
											69,809

Table S_121 (cont.)

of Nights Away Overnight During the Last 6 Months
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse									
		Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer	
Single		No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 6+
		No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 6+
25th percentile	--	--	6.2 + 1.5	5.6 + 1.6	9.1 + 1.6	9.1 + 1.9	10.4 + 2.8	8.6 + 1.7	8.7 + 1.9	8.5 + 1.7	8.5 + 1.8
50th percentile	--	--	17.4 + 4.2	13.4 + 4.7	30.2 + 4.4	28.1 + 4.9	25.5 + 5.2	23.4 + 6.6	18.2 + 2.3	24.6 + 4.7	26.0 + 4.9
75th percentile	--	--	40.0 + 7.7	35.2 + 3.1	55.7 + 5.4	54.1 + 7.4	48.3 + 5.2	43.0 + 7.1	34.5 + 4.3	47.7 + 4.1	52.4 + 6.6
Sample size	3	3	392	384	967	1,814	885	572	873	2,926	2,878
Weighted total	171	154	11,983	12,456	41,616	82,551	13,897	9,184	14,976	107,729	97,807

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S.122

Extended Army Duty Separation (More Than 1 Month) During the Past 12 Months
By Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	39.4% + 3.2 -	42.2% + 3.5 -	40.9% + 3.6 -	39.4% + 4.7 -	18.0% + 4.3 -	38.5% + 4.2 -	41.9% + 5.7 -	36.5% + 3.9 -	46.2% + 4.8 -	38.8% + 4.3 -	28.4% + 3.9 -
No	60.6 + 3.2 -	57.8 + 3.5 -	59.1 + 3.6 -	60.6 + 4.7 -	82.0 + 4.3 -	61.5 + 4.2 -	58.1 + 5.7 -	63.5 + 3.9 -	53.8 + 4.8 -	61.2 + 4.3 -	73.6 + 3.9 -
Sample size	6,739	2,198	1,903	1,244	1,236	4,129	2,062	548	2,348	842	2,329
Weighted total	236,812	67,439	26,365	18,428	17,030	156,404	68,428	11,980	87,105	36,212	42,639
											70,858

Table S_122 (cont.)

Extended Army Duty Separation (More Than 1 Month) During the Past 12 Months
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse													
Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse not Employed
Yes	--	--	42.3% ± 8.1	52.0% ± 6.6	39.6% ± 5.1	43.1% ± 3.8	37.3% ± 5.5	31.3% ± 6.0	34.4% ± 5.7	23.7% ± 6.4	37.4% ± 3.8	39.4% ± 3.5	
No	--	--	57.7% ± 8.1	48.0% ± 6.6	60.4% ± 5.1	56.9% ± 3.8	62.7% ± 5.5	68.7% ± 6.0	65.6% ± 5.7	76.3% ± 6.4	62.6% ± 3.8	60.6% ± 3.5	
Sample size	4	3	394	390	973	1,833	588	577	883	878	2,940	2,903	
Weighted total	182	154	12,089	12,656	41,913	83,465	38,519	9,298	13,869	15,136	108,599	98,963	

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 123

Length of Last Extended Separation
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
1-2 months	63.3% + 3.3	67.9% + 4.1	61.9% + 4.6	62.7% + 6.2	56.0% + 8.3	61.4% + 4.5	67.1% + 5.1	66.1% + 11.1	68.9% + 4.1	64.6% + 8.6	63.4% + 7.0	50.5% + 3.9
3-4 months	18.4 + 2.2	13.9 + 2.5	20.1 + 2.9	22.2 + 4.5	16.8 + 4.8	19.4 + 3.0	16.8 + 3.3	15.3 + 7.0	15.8 + 3.0	17.0 + 5.5	18.3 + 5.9	25.4 + 4.1
5-6 months	5.8 + 1.3	6.5 + 2.5	5.0 + 1.8	6.9 + 2.8	5.7 + 3.7	5.8 + 1.7	5.1 + 2.2	9.6 + 5.3	5.9 + 2.0	6.7 + 3.2	4.7 + 2.2	5.8 + 3.3
7-12 months	9.2 + 2.0	9.3 + 2.1	9.2 + 3.0	5.7 + 2.6	15.5 + 5.8	9.6 + 2.7	8.8 + 2.9	5.5 + 5.2	7.7 + 2.4	8.9 + 3.5	9.1 + 4.5	12.5 + 5.0
13 or more months	3.3 + 1.1	2.3 + 0.8	3.8 + 1.6	2.4 + 1.5	6.1 + 3.3	3.8 + 1.5	2.2 + 1.2	3.6 + 4.4	1.8 + 0.9	2.8 + 1.8	4.5 + 2.8	5.8 + 2.0
Sample size	2,370	882	739	491	209	1,411	773	186	1,036	383	431	520
Weighted total	87,828	26,927	48,496	6,927	2,905	57,211	26,501	4,116	37,276	16,988	15,735	17,829

Table S_123 (cont.)

Length of Last Extended Separation
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse										
Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
--	--	63.0% + 8.1	62.5% + 8.0	64.8% + 8.3	62.8% + 4.5	65.6% + 8.0	59.6% + 11.3	66.6% + 6.4	55.0% + 10.8	64.4% + 4.6	62.6% + 4.1	
--	--	15.6 + 7.2	24.0 + 6.9	17.5 + 4.6	18.0 + 3.5	16.9 + 5.1	22.8 + 9.4	21.5 + 5.5	20.1 + 7.0	18.2 + 3.1	17.9 + 3.3	
--	--	11.4 + 4.9	3.5 + 2.8	5.7 + 2.7	6.2 + 2.2	4.0 + 2.7	8.6 + 4.6	4.3 + 3.2	9.2 + 6.7	5.2 + 1.6	6.2 + 2.2	
--	--	4.8 + 3.1	6.6 + 4.2	9.7 + 4.0	9.0 + 2.9	10.8 + 5.5	6.6 + 4.4	6.1 + 4.1	12.1 + 6.8	8.9 + 2.6	10.4 + 2.9	
--	--	5.2 + 3.7	3.3 + 3.0	2.3 + 1.7	4.0 + 1.9	2.7 + 2.4	2.5 + 2.0	1.5 + 1.6	3.6 + 2.2	3.3 + 1.7	2.9 + 1.4	
3	2	166	187	355	767	198	181	278	175	964	1,013	
145	93	4,855	6,433	15,436	34,559	13,154	2,803	4,456	3,250	37,950	36,713	

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 124

Time Since Last Returned from an Extended Separation
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Within the last 2 weeks	14.2% + 3.1 -	15.2% + 3.7 -	13.7% + 3.4 -	13.6% + 4.8 -	15.6% + 6.9 -	13.5% + 4.0 -	16.8% + 5.4 -	6.3% + 3.8 -	16.5% + 5.1 -	14.1% + 4.6 -	15.1% + 6.3 -	8.6% + 3.6 -
2-3 weeks ago	11.5 + 2.2 -	10.7 + 2.7 -	12.6 + 3.0 -	9.6 + 3.1 -	7.5 + 4.3 -	11.6 + 2.9 -	11.5 + 3.2 -	9.4 + 9.2 -	13.4 + 3.6 -	15.1 + 5.6 -	8.3 + 4.2 -	6.9 + 3.0 -
1-3 months ago	24.7 + 3.4 -	28.5 + 5.1 -	23.5 + 4.0 -	21.4 + 4.5 -	21.5 + 5.4 -	21.1 + 3.7 -	31.9 + 6.4 -	30.6 + 12.4 -	29.8 + 5.2 -	27.8 + 7.2 -	21.2 + 5.8 -	14.3 + 3.3 -
4-6 months ago	20.4 + 3.4 -	18.8 + 3.0 -	21.4 + 4.5 -	20.6 + 5.8 -	17.0 + 6.5 -	21.5 + 4.3 -	17.3 + 5.7 -	23.8 + 12.3 -	19.2 + 4.8 -	17.7 + 6.2 -	28.0 + 7.6 -	20.5 + 5.4 -
7-12 months ago	23.9 + 3.1 -	22.5 + 3.3 -	24.1 + 3.8 -	26.0 + 5.7 -	27.0 + 7.1 -	26.5 + 4.4 -	18.6 + 3.8 -	22.7 + 4.1 -	17.4 + 3.7 -	21.9 + 5.8 -	23.9 + 4.8 -	39.7 + 4.7 -
13-24 months ago	5.3 + 1.1 -	4.3 + 1.6 -	4.8 + 1.5 -	8.9 + 2.8 -	11.4 + 4.8 -	5.8 + 1.5 -	3.9 + 1.5 -	7.2 + 4.5 -	3.7 + 1.3 -	3.4 + 2.1 -	5.6 + 2.0 -	10.1 + 3.0 -
Sample size	2,354	873	736	489	208	1,406	764	184	1,034	378	427	515
Weighted total	87,293	26,591	48,370	6,883	2,887	57,052	26,191	4,050	37,318	16,732	15,598	17,645

Table S 124 (cont.)

Time Since Last Returned from an Extended Separation
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse										
Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
—	—	14.9% ± 6.9	14.1% ± 7.3	13.0% ± 4.4	14.4% ± 4.2	10.3% ± 4.9	16.1% ± 6.7	15.0% ± 5.7	12.9% ± 7.5	13.8% ± 2.9	13.7% ± 4.4	
—	—	17.5% ± 7.4	8.2% ± 6.2	11.1% ± 4.5	11.1% ± 3.1	13.6% ± 5.0	11.3% ± 5.5	5.4% ± 2.3	11.6% ± 5.3	11.6% ± 2.8	10.3% ± 2.7	
—	—	27.6% ± 9.7	19.3% ± 6.6	30.3% ± 6.5	25.1% ± 4.2	21.6% ± 6.1	21.5% ± 8.7	21.8% ± 6.2	25.4% ± 8.7	23.5% ± 3.9	27.1% ± 4.1	
—	—	18.8% ± 6.6	26.5% ± 9.1	18.8% ± 4.6	20.1% ± 3.9	23.1% ± 6.4	16.3% ± 8.5	20.5% ± 7.8	17.1% ± 7.4	18.6% ± 3.5	21.4% ± 4.2	
—	—	17.5% ± 6.3	24.7% ± 6.4	22.1% ± 4.4	24.2% ± 3.7	27.5% ± 7.6	26.2% ± 7.9	28.5% ± 7.1	24.3% ± 8.4	26.7% ± 3.8	22.8% ± 4.0	
—	—	3.7% ± 3.1	7.2% ± 4.1	4.5% ± 2.7	5.1% ± 1.8	3.9% ± 2.5	8.6% ± 5.3	8.8% ± 4.2	8.7% ± 4.0	5.9% ± 1.6	4.7% ± 1.7	
2	2	164	183	355	761	196	181	278	174	964	1,004	
133	93	4,797	6,259	15,368	34,425	13,085	2,803	4,455	3,232	37,942	36,312	

(—) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 125A

During the Last Separation Spouse Became More Independent
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other DOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very Great extent	10.5% + 2.0 -	8.1% + 2.0 -	12.7% + 3.1 -	6.3% + 2.3 -	8.2% + 4.3 -	11.1% + 2.6 -	9.9% + 2.6 -	5.3% + 3.1 -	11.3% + 2.4 -	11.4% + 4.3 -	7.4% + 3.2 -	10.6% + 4.9 -
Great extent	25.8 + 2.8 -	27.9 + 3.6 -	24.9 + 3.7 -	21.1 + 3.3 -	20.9 + 4.5 -	24.7 + 3.8 -	27.9 + 4.3 -	26.2 + 3.2 -	27.0 + 4.0 -	26.9 + 4.4 -	28.8 + 6.3 -	19.3 + 4.9 -
Moderate extent	34.6 + 2.9 -	36.2 + 3.2 -	33.1 + 4.0 -	38.7 + 5.0 -	35.5 + 6.9 -	33.8 + 3.9 -	35.6 + 4.6 -	38.6 + 2.1 -	35.8 + 4.2 -	36.1 + 4.3 -	33.5 + 6.6 -	31.4 + 4.3 -
Slight extent	14.4 + 2.1 -	14.0 + 2.2 -	14.2 + 3.2 -	17.9 + 3.4 -	17.4 + 5.7 -	15.0 + 2.9 -	12.6 + 2.0 -	17.5 + 10.0 -	13.6 + 2.7 -	11.5 + 2.7 -	13.2 + 3.7 -	20.0 + 3.8 -
Not at all	14.8 + 2.1 -	13.8 + 2.3 -	15.1 + 3.3 -	16.1 + 4.4 -	18.0 + 4.6 -	15.3 + 2.4 -	14.0 + 4.2 -	12.4 + 7.5 -	12.3 + 2.2 -	14.2 + 4.8 -	17.1 + 4.9 -	18.7 + 4.1 -
Sample size	2,329	868	725	482	207	1,386	760	183	1,024	381	418	506
Weighted total	86,621	26,474	47,952	6,805	2,889	58,502	26,045	4,074	36,972	16,901	15,230	17,517

Table S 125A (cont.)

During the Last Separation Spouse Became More Independent
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse													
Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer					
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
--	--	4.8% + 2.8	11.3% + 6.6	10.0% + 3.1	10.8% + 3.0	12.8% + 5.0	10.3% + 3.9	5.0% + 3.4	8.9% + 5.6	12.1% + 3.2	8.8% + 2.5		
--	--	16.8 + 5.7	20.1 + 5.9	27.7 + 7.8	27.1 + 4.6	25.8 + 6.2	20.2 + 7.4	23.5 + 5.9	32.1 + 9.8	27.9 + 3.6	25.9 + 4.5		
--	--	38.2 + 7.9	31.3 + 7.8	34.2 + 6.5	34.1 + 4.9	34.3 + 8.3	40.4 + 10.1	41.5 + 7.0	28.9 + 7.3	33.2 + 3.6	36.3 + 3.9		
--	--	15.9 + 6.4	10.1 + 4.9	13.3 + 4.2	15.3 + 3.7	14.2 + 3.9	14.0 + 5.3	21.5 + 7.3	12.9 + 7.7	13.9 + 2.9	15.8 + 3.2		
--	--	24.3 + 9.1	27.2 + 8.0	14.9 + 4.4	12.7 + 3.4	13.0 + 4.1	15.2 + 7.0	8.5 + 3.3	17.2 + 5.4	12.9 + 2.8	13.3 + 2.6		
2	2	160	173	351	757	194	177	275	176	952	1,000		
133	93	4,675	6,021	15,248	34,172	13,021	2,755	4,420	3,261	37,541	36,310		

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 125B

During the Last Separation Spouse Handled Things Well
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very great extent	41.0% + 2.7	34.7% + 3.1	44.5% + 4.3	39.8% + 5.5	45.9% + 8.2	42.1% + 3.3	39.7% + 5.2	32.9% + 11.7	43.8% + 2.9	41.0% + 6.9	37.4% + 8.2
Great extent	37.9 + 2.8	39.2 + 3.8	35.8 + 4.0	41.7 + 4.4	40.2 + 8.2	38.0 + 3.7	41.5 + 3.9	41.8 + 13.9	38.7 + 3.3	40.4 + 6.2	40.9 + 7.3
Moderate extent	13.7 + 1.8	17.4 + 3.0	12.2 + 2.6	13.0 + 3.4	8.3 + 3.3	13.9 + 2.6	12.3 + 1.4	19.2 + 5.1	13.5 + 2.1	12.9 + 4.4	11.2 + 3.1
Slight extent	4.3 + 0.9	5.0 + 1.5	4.2 + 1.5	4.2 + 2.1	2.3 + 1.8	4.4 + 1.2	3.7 + 1.5	5.0 + 4.0	4.0 + 1.5	3.0 + 1.7	5.4 + 2.6
Not at all	3.2 + 0.8	3.6 + 1.4	3.4 + 1.2	1.3 + 1.1	3.3 + 3.5	3.5 + 1.0	2.8 + 1.8	1.3 + 0.7	2.3 + 1.2	2.7 + 1.6	5.1 + 2.7
Sample size	2,340	869	729	488	210	1,393	763	184	1,027	379	424
Weighted total	86,903	26,566	48,073	6,850	2,922	58,634	26,187	4,081	36,957	16,847	15,513
											17,586

Table S 125B (cont.)

During the Last Separation Spouse Handled Things Well
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single		Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer			
			No Children	Children	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	
Very great extent	--	--	29.0% ± 9.3	30.6% ± 7.3	38.1% ± 6.3	42.5% ± 4.0	48.8% ± 8.1	43.5% ± 7.2	40.2% ± 7.1	47.3% ± 8.7	45.4% ± 3.9	40.2% ± 4.4		
Great extent	--	--	36.7 ± 9.3	36.9 ± 6.5	37.7 ± 6.3	38.3 ± 3.7	32.4 ± 8.0	41.0 ± 8.2	45.0 ± 8.0	43.7 ± 9.1	36.5 ± 3.9	39.8 ± 4.1		
Moderate extent	--	--	18.8 ± 9.2	21.0 ± 6.5	14.1 ± 4.8	12.8 ± 2.4	13.6 ± 5.3	10.7 ± 5.5	12.3 ± 5.9	6.5 ± 3.3	12.0 ± 2.1	12.9 ± 2.7		
Slight extent	--	--	10.6 ± 5.9	5.9 ± 3.7	6.3 ± 3.3	3.5 ± 1.4	1.7 ± 1.7	4.2 ± 3.4	1.7 ± 1.3	0.7 ± 1.1	3.7 ± 1.5	3.8 ± 1.5		
Not at all	--	--	4.9 ± 3.3	5.5 ± 2.8	3.8 ± 1.9	2.8 ± 1.3	3.4 ± 2.3	0.6 ± 1.1	0.9 ± 1.0	1.7 ± 2.7	2.4 ± 1.1	3.3 ± 1.6		
Sample size	2	2	159	174	354	760	195	179	278	176	960	1,004		
Weighted total	133	93	4,650	6,039	15,373	34,335	13,004	2,759	4,455	3,263	37,776	36,388		

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 125C

Needed Readjustment Time Upon Return from the Last Separation
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	WCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very great extent	10.2% + 1.9	9.2% + 2.1	10.8% + 2.7	7.9% + 2.6	6.7% + 3.8	10.1% + 2.4	10.6% + 3.3	8.2% + 5.4	10.8% + 2.7	9.7% + 4.4	9.6% + 3.1	9.7% + 3.0
Great extent	15.0 + 1.9	16.8 + 2.6	15.6 + 3.0	8.4 + 2.2	7.5 + 3.7	15.1 + 2.1	15.0 + 4.4	14.0 + 3.3	16.0 + 3.0	14.6 + 6.0	13.2 + 4.0	14.7 + 4.0
Moderate extent	25.3 + 1.9	25.4 + 2.5	24.8 + 3.3	27.7 + 3.4	23.7 + 6.9	27.2 + 2.4	21.5 + 3.1	22.6 + 11.2	25.0 + 3.6	24.5 + 5.3	26.8 + 4.9	25.4 + 3.8
Slight extent	18.9 + 2.0	16.1 + 2.6	19.3 + 2.7	25.9 + 4.6	24.7 + 5.6	17.2 + 2.2	22.4 + 4.1	21.3 + 8.8	18.9 + 3.0	19.6 + 5.0	18.9 + 4.3	18.5 + 4.1
Not at all	30.6 + 2.4	32.5 + 3.7	29.5 + 3.6	30.0 + 5.5	37.5 + 7.2	30.5 + 3.3	30.5 + 3.6	33.9 + 5.1	29.3 + 3.2	31.6 + 5.2	31.5 + 5.7	31.7 + 6.2
Sample size	2,340	872	730	482	210	1,395	760	185	1,029	379	424	508
Weighted total	87,010	28,656	48,135	6,806	2,922	56,813	26,091	4,106	37,115	16,847	15,522	17,526

Table S 125C (cont.)

Needed Readjustment Time Upon Return from the Last Separation
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer				
					No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Very great extent	--	--	10.3% + 4.9	8.7% + 4.4	8.0% + 3.1	13.5% + 3.0	5.7% + 3.1	7.7% + 4.6	7.7% + 4.7	11.8% + 6.6	10.1% + 2.6	10.4% + 2.6
Great extent	--	--	18.2 + 6.7	12.3 + 4.5	15.9 + 3.9	15.9 + 3.1	12.8 + 4.6	12.6 + 6.8	11.1 + 4.8	2.0 + 2.0	15.9 + 2.8	13.3 + 2.7
Moderate extent	--	--	26.8 + 9.1	29.0 + 8.9	23.4 + 5.1	22.4 + 2.7	33.7 + 7.6	22.0 + 6.4	28.6 + 6.4	26.5 + 8.2	24.4 + 3.3	25.1 + 3.6
Slight extent	--	--	17.0 + 6.8	12.2 + 6.2	19.4 + 4.7	19.1 + 3.7	17.9 + 5.7	19.8 + 6.4	28.5 + 6.2	25.7 + 7.9	20.3 + 3.6	19.5 + 3.4
Not at all	--	--	27.7 + 8.6	37.9 + 8.0	33.3 + 4.5	29.1 + 4.0	29.8 + 8.4	37.9 + 9.1	24.2 + 5.3	33.9 + 10.6	29.3 + 4.4	31.8 + 4.0
Sample size	2	2	165	171	354	760	195	179	274	176	957	1,004
Weighted total	133	93	4,792	5,887	15,381	34,370	13,090	2,759	4,422	3,261	37,734	36,549

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 126A

Worry About Family's Safety When Away on Assignment
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	4.6% + 0.7	3.5% + 0.7	4.3% + 0.8	5.1% + 1.4	11.6% + 1.8	4.7% + 1.0	4.2% + 1.0	4.9% + 1.0	3.4% + 1.0	3.7% + 1.2	5.4% + 1.1	6.1% + 1.3
Seldom	9.1 + 1.0	6.2 + 1.2	8.1 + 1.2	16.4 + 2.6	20.4 + 2.2	8.6 + 1.3	10.2 + 1.8	8.4 + 2.0	7.0 + 0.9	8.2 + 2.6	9.3 + 1.9	12.0 + 1.9
Sometimes	17.0 + 1.4	12.6 + 1.2	15.8 + 2.1	28.3 + 2.5	31.1 + 3.2	16.8 + 1.9	17.4 + 2.0	17.4 + 3.8	16.2 + 1.8	14.2 + 3.5	18.5 + 2.3	19.9 + 2.1
Often	25.8 + 1.4	25.1 + 1.8	26.3 + 2.3	27.4 + 2.4	22.0 + 2.9	26.3 + 1.9	24.9 + 1.7	24.7 + 5.1	26.5 + 1.7	27.6 + 3.5	23.5 + 2.0	25.5 + 2.4
Very often or always	43.5 + 2.0	52.7 + 2.1	45.6 + 2.6	22.8 + 3.0	14.9 + 2.7	43.6 + 2.7	43.2 + 2.3	44.6 + 10.5	46.9 + 2.5	46.3 + 4.4	45.3 + 2.6	38.5 + 3.5
Sample size	7,547	2,609	2,162	1,314	1,294	4,518	2,325	704	2,658	971	1,434	2,484
Weighted total	267,504	81,191	141,163	19,338	17,708	173,377	78,761	15,367	98,805	41,867	50,314	76,519

Table S 126A (cont.)

Worry About Family's Safety when Away on Assignment
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse										
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer	
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+
Very seldom or never	8.7% ± 6.6	8.1% ± 9.2	7.5% ± 2.9	8.6% ± 3.2	3.9% ± 1.2	2.7% ± 0.7	8.1% ± 2.9	5.1% ± 1.7	8.9% ± 2.1	3.9% ± 0.9	3.8% ± 0.9
Seldom	11.3 ± 7.4	1.7 ± 3.3	15.8 ± 3.0	9.4 ± 3.7	7.7 ± 2.1	6.0 ± 1.4	21.0 ± 4.1	14.8 ± 2.6	17.3 ± 3.1	8.7 ± 1.4	8.4 ± 1.2
Sometimes	17.0 ± 7.2	9.4 ± 11.1	14.0 ± 2.8	18.6 ± 5.7	13.5 ± 2.4	13.8 ± 2.4	26.4 ± 4.1	28.0 ± 3.3	29.6 ± 4.0	17.3 ± 1.6	16.6 ± 2.0
Often	25.8 ± 10.3	27.7 ± 17.3	25.1 ± 4.4	18.4 ± 4.0	28.3 ± 3.0	25.5 ± 2.1	25.9 ± 4.2	29.0 ± 3.7	23.8 ± 4.3	27.6 ± 2.4	25.0 ± 1.7
Very often or always	37.2 ± 12.4	53.2 ± 16.3	37.6 ± 5.4	45.0 ± 5.9	48.5 ± 3.6	52.1 ± 2.7	18.7 ± 3.2	23.1 ± 3.6	20.3 ± 3.3	42.5 ± 2.6	46.1 ± 2.8
Sample size	98	35	458	421	1,283	1,909	630	896	906	3,262	3,143
Weighted total	4,340	1,418	14,394	14,078	54,153	86,484	10,172	14,014	15,485	120,923	107,178

Table S 1268

Worry About Car or House Repairs when Away on Assignment
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCNUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	11.7% + 1.0	9.1% + 1.5	11.6% + 1.4	17.1% + 2.5	19.8% + 2.9	11.7% + 1.4	11.6% + 1.5	11.6% + 1.8	10.0% + 1.5	10.4% + 3.0	13.2% + 1.8	13.6% + 2.2
Seldom	21.8 + 1.6	16.8 + 1.7	21.2 + 1.8	32.0 + 2.5	34.7 + 4.0	22.1 + 2.3	21.1 + 2.0	21.9 + 4.4	20.4 + 1.9	20.0 + 2.4	22.1 + 3.4	24.3 + 2.9
Sometimes	26.9 + 1.4	24.0 + 1.9	27.3 + 2.2	32.2 + 3.0	28.8 + 2.4	27.0 + 1.9	26.5 + 1.7	27.8 + 3.3	27.0 + 2.2	26.6 + 3.3	23.1 + 2.2	29.4 + 2.0
Often	22.5 + 1.2	25.1 + 1.8	23.4 + 2.0	13.1 + 2.2	13.6 + 2.7	21.8 + 1.6	24.3 + 2.0	20.8 + 1.7	23.2 + 1.8	28.1 + 2.9	22.2 + 2.6	19.7 + 2.9
Very often or always	17.2 + 1.5	25.1 + 2.0	16.5 + 2.3	5.6 + 1.2	3.2 + 1.2	17.4 + 2.1	16.5 + 1.9	17.9 + 4.2	19.4 + 2.3	16.9 + 2.6	19.3 + 2.5	12.9 + 2.5
Sample size	7,535	2,600	2,160	1,316	1,291	4,512	2,321	702	2,656	969	1,431	2,479
Weighted total	267,054	80,527	141,002	19,373	17,649	173,055	78,679	15,320	98,800	41,814	50,231	76,209

Worry About Car or House Repairs when Away on Assignment by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

675

Table S 126C

Worry About Family Expenses when Away on Assignment
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	22.5% + 1.9	12.4% + 1.7	20.3% + 1.8	43.4% + 3.1	55.9% + 2.0	22.2% + 2.4	23.5% + 3.6	20.9% + 6.0	20.6% + 2.3	20.2% + 2.8	19.9% + 2.5	27.8% + 4.0
Seldom	24.2 + 1.6	18.2 + 1.5	24.9 + 2.5	34.3 + 2.6	33.2 + 2.2	23.8 + 2.0	25.2 + 3.0	23.6 + 4.8	23.4 + 2.7	23.1 + 3.7	24.5 + 2.3	25.8 + 2.9
Sometimes	18.0 + 1.5	18.7 + 1.6	19.7 + 2.3	14.0 + 2.6	7.7 + 1.2	17.5 + 1.6	19.2 + 3.6	18.4 + 3.2	17.5 + 1.9	18.1 + 4.4	18.8 + 2.7	18.2 + 2.4
Often	14.3 + 1.2	18.4 + 1.5	15.3 + 2.1	3.8 + 1.2	2.0 + 0.6	14.9 + 1.5	12.9 + 2.0	15.9 + 3.1	15.0 + 1.3	15.6 + 2.9	13.7 + 1.8	13.2 + 2.4
Very often or always	20.9 + 1.8	32.4 + 2.2	19.8 + 2.2	4.5 + 1.4	1.1 + 0.5	21.6 + 2.5	19.2 + 2.3	21.2 + 5.6	23.5 + 2.7	23.1 + 2.9	23.0 + 2.7	14.9 + 2.8
Sample size	7,530	2,602	2,156	1,313	1,291	4,505	2,322	703	2,650	969	1,431	2,480
Weighted total	266,834	80,971	140,779	19,328	17,652	172,756	78,721	15,357	98,488	41,730	50,262	76,354

Table S 128D

Worry About Children's Well-being When Away on Assignment
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	6.8% + 1.0 -	4.4% + 0.9 -	6.9% + 1.5 -	7.3% + 1.5 -	13.0% + 2.1 -	6.9% + 1.3 -	6.4% + 1.3 -	7.9% + 1.7 -	6.4% + 1.5 -	6.2% + 2.7 -	6.2% + 1.8 -	6.8% + 1.5 -
Seldom	11.1 + 1.1 -	6.2 + 1.1 -	10.6 + 1.4 -	16.8 + 3.0 -	24.4 + 3.3 -	11.1 + 1.3 -	11.5 + 2.5 -	9.2 + 2.8 -	10.2 + 1.5 -	10.1 + 2.9 -	9.5 + 2.0 -	13.7 + 1.9 -
Sometimes	17.2 + 1.5 -	13.5 + 2.0 -	16.4 + 1.9 -	24.7 + 3.1 -	27.5 + 3.6 -	17.9 + 2.1 -	15.6 + 1.5 -	17.7 + 1.5 -	14.6 + 1.9 -	15.7 + 3.4 -	15.1 + 2.3 -	22.4 + 2.5 -
Often	23.4 + 1.4 -	21.0 + 2.1 -	23.7 + 2.1 -	27.4 + 4.1 -	21.7 + 3.7 -	23.4 + 1.6 -	23.7 + 2.8 -	22.1 + 7.0 -	24.2 + 2.1 -	22.5 + 4.4 -	22.3 + 3.0 -	23.4 + 3.3 -
Very often or always	41.5 + 2.2 -	54.9 + 2.5 -	42.4 + 2.9 -	23.8 + 4.0 -	13.4 + 2.1 -	40.7 + 2.8 -	42.9 + 4.1 -	43.1 + 7.3 -	44.5 + 2.9 -	45.6 + 4.6 -	45.0 + 3.0 -	33.7 + 4.2 -
Sample size	5,396	1,637	1,726	819	1,081	3,253	1,639	504	1,851	677	984	1,884
Weighted total	195,839	47,216	115,483	11,863	14,769	128,545	55,924	11,371	70,825	30,646	35,431	58,938

Table S 126D (cont.)

Worry About Children's Well-being when Away on Assignment
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse										
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer	
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5
	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed	Spouse Employed
Very seldom or never	2.0% + 3.9	8.1% + 9.2	5.9% + 7.4	8.7% + 3.4	6.6% + 3.4	4.2% + 1.2	7.9% + 2.1	16.5% + 11.7	7.6% + 1.8	11.8% + 2.1	7.3% + 1.5
Seldom	2.8 + 5.4	5.7 + 10.9	6.4 + 8.2	11.7 + 4.4	7.3 + 3.6	8.6 + 1.7	11.2 + 2.8	18.0 + 11.8	16.3 + 2.7	23.8 + 5.0	11.8 + 1.9
Sometimes	23.6 + 14.0	5.1 + 5.5	9.5 + 12.2	17.6 + 4.0	10.3 + 4.0	14.4 + 2.0	20.8 + 3.3	28.8 + 10.3	24.8 + 4.2	24.2 + 2.6	18.5 + 2.2
Often	28.2 + 14.8	24.3 + 15.0	22.6 + 15.4	17.3 + 4.0	23.1 + 8.8	22.6 + 2.4	25.2 + 3.5	22.4 + 13.4	30.0 + 4.9	22.8 + 4.8	23.5 + 2.5
Very often or always	43.4 + 18.6	56.8 + 14.9	55.7 + 17.5	44.7 + 5.1	52.7 + 8.6	50.2 + 2.3	34.9 + 3.9	14.3 + 8.3	21.3 + 3.5	17.5 + 4.1	38.9 + 3.2
Sample size	44	35	30	420	235	1,904	629	69	894	900	2,210
Weighted total	1,839	1,418	1,360	14,026	9,812	88,081	40,550	1,069	14,000	15,381	86,319
											2,567
											87,044

Table S.126E

Worry About Family's Safety In Event of War when Away on Assignment
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	11.1% + 1.5	7.4% + 1.3	9.9% + 1.4	18.3% + 3.4	29.5% + 4.3	12.3% + 2.2	8.5% + 1.8	9.8% + 3.6	8.1% + 1.0	8.4% + 2.1	9.9% + 2.2	17.2% + 2.4
Seldom	13.3% + 1.3	9.3% + 1.1	12.2% + 1.5	22.2% + 2.1	28.3% + 3.3	14.1% + 1.6	11.6% + 2.4	13.6% + 1.8	12.1% + 1.6	13.6% + 3.0	9.8% + 2.0	17.1% + 1.9
Sometimes	17.7% + 1.4	15.1% + 1.5	17.2% + 2.0	24.4% + 2.7	23.0% + 3.1	17.5% + 1.9	18.2% + 2.3	17.5% + 2.9	16.4% + 1.7	17.3% + 3.4	18.5% + 2.5	19.1% + 2.9
Often	17.5% + 1.3	17.0% + 1.8	18.5% + 1.8	16.8% + 2.6	11.5% + 1.3	17.3% + 1.8	17.9% + 2.1	17.0% + 4.3	18.3% + 2.1	19.1% + 2.5	15.8% + 2.2	18.5% + 2.2
Very often or always	40.4% + 2.0	51.2% + 2.0	42.2% + 2.5	18.2% + 2.8	9.6% + 2.1	38.7% + 2.7	43.8% + 2.8	42.1% + 8.0	45.1% + 2.3	41.5% + 3.3	46.0% + 3.0	30.1% + 2.9
Sample size	7,513	2,596	2,152	1,309	1,289	4,491	2,319	703	2,644	967	1,427	2,475
Weighted total	266,071	80,640	140,502	19,270	17,614	172,107	78,620	15,343	98,253	41,602	50,006	76,209

Worry About Family's Safety In Event of War when Away on Assignment by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

681

Table S.127A

Could Spouse Cope Less Than 2 Weeks Without Soldier
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	WCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very serious problem coping	1.7% ± 0.3	2.9% ± 0.7	1.3% ± 0.5	0.6% ± 0.4	0.4% ± 0.3	1.8% ± 0.4	1.4% ± 0.6	1.4% ± 0.5	1.7% ± 0.5	1.4% ± 0.8	1.7% ± 0.8	1.8% ± 0.8
Serious problem coping	1.4 ± 0.4	2.4 ± 0.8	1.2 ± 0.5	0.7 ± 0.5	0.5 ± 0.4	1.7 ± 0.5	1.1 ± 0.6	0.6 ± 0.4	1.7 ± 0.7	1.8 ± 1.3	1.1 ± 0.8	1.1 ± 0.5
Moderate problem coping	8.8 ± 0.8	12.6 ± 1.4	8.3 ± 1.4	4.1 ± 1.3	3.1 ± 1.0	9.1 ± 1.0	8.5 ± 1.3	6.9 ± 1.4	9.5 ± 1.4	8.2 ± 1.7	10.4 ± 1.6	7.1 ± 1.6
Slight problem coping	21.5 ± 1.3	26.3 ± 1.8	19.8 ± 2.1	18.2 ± 2.6	19.5 ± 2.8	22.1 ± 1.7	20.5 ± 2.1	20.8 ± 4.1	21.7 ± 2.1	19.3 ± 2.6	22.9 ± 2.9	21.7 ± 2.3
No problem coping	68.8 ± 1.5	55.8 ± 2.0	69.4 ± 2.6	76.3 ± 3.0	78.5 ± 2.7	65.4 ± 1.8	68.8 ± 3.0	70.3 ± 4.3	65.4 ± 2.9	69.4 ± 3.5	63.9 ± 3.4	68.3 ± 2.9
Sample size	7,547	2,617	2,157	1,313	1,292	4,529	2,315	703	2,653	968	1,434	2,492
Weighted total	267,640	81,572	140,911	19,337	17,734	173,829	78,428	15,383	98,593	41,727	50,520	76,799

Could Spouse Cope Less Than 2 Weeks Without Soldier by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed	
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children 0-5	Child 6+	No Children 0-5	Child 6+	No Children 0-5	Child 6+		
Very serious problem coping	2.7% + 3.9	5.0% + 7.2	1.5% + 1.3	2.4% + 1.9	2.1% + 0.7	1.8% + 0.7	1.2% + 0.8	0.2% + 0.3	0.4% + 0.4	0.8% + 1.0	0.9% + 0.3	2.3% + 0.7
Serious problem coping	2.0 + 2.8	1.5 + 3.1	0.7 + 0.7	1.2 + 1.4	2.1 + 0.8	1.5 + 0.8	1.0 + 0.8	0.9 + 0.9	0.4 + 0.4	0.3 + 0.4	1.0 + 0.4	1.9 + 0.6
Moderate problem coping	2.5 + 2.4	3.5 + 6.6	10.4 + 3.2	9.1 + 3.1	12.8 + 2.0	9.3 + 1.6	8.5 + 2.5	3.0 + 1.5	4.2 + 1.7	2.7 + 1.8	8.2 + 1.4	9.1 + 1.1
Slight problem coping	17.6 + 12.1	21.4 + 12.8	21.4 + 4.0	20.3 + 3.7	21.5 + 2.8	23.3 + 2.4	22.2 + 4.0	19.2 + 4.0	21.0 + 3.5	14.7 + 2.9	21.8 + 1.8	22.1 + 2.0
No problem coping	75.2 + 12.6	68.7 + 14.6	66.0 + 5.0	67.0 + 4.5	61.6 + 3.3	64.0 + 2.5	67.0 + 4.0	76.7 + 4.3	73.9 + 4.1	81.4 + 3.7	68.2 + 2.5	64.6 + 2.4
Sample size	100	31	471	420	1,280	1,909	630	627	897	904	3,257	3,133
Weighted total	4,453	1,302	14,863	14,003	54,153	86,313	40,721	10,074	14,041	15,503	120,778	106,824

Table S 127B

Could Spouse Cope 2 Weeks to a Month Without Soldier
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very serious problem coping	2.4% + 0.4 -	4.6% + 1.0 -	1.7% + 0.6 -	1.0% + 0.5 -	0.8% + 0.5 -	2.8% + 0.6 -	1.8% + 0.6 -	1.3% + 1.2 -	2.6% + 0.8 -	3.0% + 1.5 -	2.2% + 0.7 -	2.2% + 0.9 -
Serious problem coping	4.4 + 0.6 -	7.2 + 1.1 -	3.4 + 0.8 -	2.9 + 1.0 -	2.4 + 0.9 -	4.6 + 0.8 -	4.1 + 0.9 -	3.4 + 0.9 -	4.9 + 1.2 -	3.9 + 1.1 -	5.0 + 1.6 -	3.5 + 1.0 -
Moderate problem coping	18.4 + 1.1 -	25.4 + 1.9 -	16.2 + 1.5 -	14.6 + 2.5 -	12.1 + 2.2 -	18.9 + 1.3 -	17.4 + 2.2 -	17.7 + 2.2 -	18.8 + 2.2 -	18.0 + 3.4 -	20.2 + 2.0 -	17.1 + 1.8 -
Slight problem coping	31.9 + 1.4 -	32.2 + 2.3 -	30.3 + 2.0 -	38.4 + 2.6 -	35.4 + 2.8 -	31.2 + 1.7 -	33.7 + 2.4 -	31.7 + 3.0 -	31.4 + 2.3 -	32.9 + 4.1 -	30.5 + 3.1 -	33.0 + 2.5 -
No problem coping	42.8 + 1.4 -	30.6 + 1.6 -	48.4 + 2.7 -	43.1 + 3.1 -	49.2 + 3.3 -	42.4 + 1.7 -	43.0 + 3.0 -	46.0 + 4.5 -	42.3 + 1.9 -	42.2 + 3.9 -	42.1 + 3.4 -	44.2 + 2.6 -
Sample size	7,524	2,603	2,151	1,312	1,290	4,516	2,309	699	2,644	964	1,427	2,489
Weighted total	266,810	81,093	140,600	19,319	17,712	173,290	78,199	15,320	98,281	41,594	50,258	76,677

Table S 127B (cont.)

Could Spouse Cope 2 Weeks to a Month Without Soldier
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse										
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer	
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Very serious problem coping	5.5% ± 5.5	5.0% ± 7.2	1.9% ± 1.3	4.0% ± 2.4	3.1% ± 0.9	2.6% ± 0.7	1.6% ± 0.9	0.6% ± 0.7	0.8% ± 0.5	1.1% ± 1.1	1.4% ± 0.5
Serious problem coping	3.9 ± 4.1	1.5 ± 3.1	3.6 ± 1.9	5.5 ± 2.4	6.4 ± 1.6	4.7 ± 0.9	3.5 ± 1.8	2.5 ± 1.1	2.4 ± 1.1	1.8 ± 0.9	3.9 ± 0.9
Moderate problem coping	15.5 ± 9.3	13.2 ± 10.1	17.3 ± 3.9	15.3 ± 4.4	23.0 ± 2.6	20.4 ± 2.3	16.4 ± 2.5	11.6 ± 2.8	17.6 ± 3.5	10.1 ± 3.2	18.3 ± 1.8
Slight problem coping	14.9 ± 7.7	24.6 ± 14.8	35.1 ± 4.5	30.7 ± 5.3	27.3 ± 3.0	33.8 ± 2.6	30.8 ± 4.1	37.8 ± 4.0	40.4 ± 3.3	32.9 ± 3.7	32.0 ± 1.7
No problem coping	60.2 ± 13.9	55.7 ± 15.3	42.1 ± 5.3	44.5 ± 5.6	39.8 ± 3.2	38.6 ± 2.4	47.7 ± 1.4	47.5 ± 5.2	38.8 ± 4.2	54.1 ± 4.1	44.3 ± 2.4
Sample size	99	31	469	418	1,272	1,906	626	627	895	904	3,126
Weighted total	4,405	1,302	14,833	13,954	53,876	88,175	40,484	10,074	14,009	15,503	120,347
											106,578

Table S 127C

Could Spouse Cope Several Months Without Soldier
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very serious problem coping	10.6% + 1.2 - 1.2	18.8% + 2.1 - 2.1	7.7% + 1.3 - 1.3	6.1% + 1.7 - 1.7	4.0% + 1.1 - 1.1	10.9% + 1.6 - 1.6	10.4% + 2.2 - 2.2	8.4% + 3.2 - 3.2	11.7% + 2.1 - 2.1	12.7% + 3.2 - 3.2	11.4% + 2.3 - 2.3	7.5% + 1.8 - 1.8
Serious problem coping	18.6% + 1.1 - 1.1	25.8% + 1.9 - 1.9	16.2% + 1.7 - 1.7	15.4% + 2.9 - 2.9	11.6% + 1.7 - 1.7	18.2% + 1.5 - 1.5	19.6% + 1.6 - 1.6	17.7% + 3.8 - 3.8	19.2% + 1.8 - 1.8	19.2% + 2.5 - 2.5	20.3% + 2.2 - 2.2	16.3% + 2.3 - 2.3
Moderate problem coping	30.9% + 1.4 - 1.4	30.5% + 2.2 - 2.2	30.4% + 2.0 - 2.0	33.6% + 3.0 - 3.0	33.5% + 2.7 - 2.7	30.1% + 2.0 - 2.0	32.9% + 2.2 - 2.2	30.7% + 2.7 - 2.7	31.8% + 2.3 - 2.3	30.0% + 4.0 - 4.0	27.9% + 3.1 - 3.1	32.3% + 2.5 - 2.5
Slight problem coping	23.0% + 1.2 - 1.2	14.5% + 1.4 - 1.4	25.5% + 2.0 - 2.0	31.6% + 2.6 - 2.6	30.2% + 3.4 - 3.4	23.6% + 1.5 - 1.5	21.5% + 2.2 - 2.2	24.2% + 4.0 - 4.0	23.1% + 2.0 - 2.0	21.0% + 2.8 - 2.8	21.8% + 2.2 - 2.2	24.9% + 1.8 - 1.8
No problem coping	16.9% + 1.2 - 1.2	10.4% + 1.4 - 1.4	20.3% + 1.8 - 1.8	13.3% + 1.8 - 1.8	20.7% + 2.5 - 2.5	17.3% + 1.5 - 1.5	15.6% + 1.9 - 1.9	19.0% + 4.3 - 4.3	14.3% + 2.0 - 2.0	17.0% + 3.0 - 3.0	18.5% + 3.0 - 3.0	19.1% + 1.7 - 1.7
Sample size	7,521	2,602	2,150	1,312	1,289	4,512	2,309	700	347	962	1,426	2,488
Weighted total	266,677	81,113	140,461	19,325	17,692	173,076	78,268	15,333	98,259	41,527	50,310	76,580

Table S 127D

Could Spouse Cope Six Months Without Soldier
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very serious problem coping	24.4% + 1.6	39.0% + 2.0	19.8% + 2.1	16.3% + 2.5	10.5% + 1.9	24.1% + 2.1	26.1% + 2.8	19.4% + 2.3	26.5% + 2.5	27.8% + 4.4	25.8% + 3.1	19.1% + 2.0
Serious problem coping	19.2% + 1.2	22.3% + 1.8	17.5% + 1.9	20.1% + 2.4	18.3% + 2.0	18.1% + 1.5	21.2% + 2.0	20.9% + 3.2	19.6% + 2.0	20.1% + 4.1	19.0% + 1.9	18.3% + 2.1
Moderate problem coping	28.0% + 1.3	21.2% + 1.8	29.9% + 2.2	34.8% + 3.0	33.4% + 2.0	28.3% + 1.7	28.6% + 2.2	32.1% + 3.0	28.3% + 1.9	25.5% + 3.2	25.9% + 3.3	30.5% + 2.3
Slight problem coping	14.4% + 0.9	8.3% + 1.3	15.9% + 1.6	18.9% + 2.1	21.6% + 2.8	15.2% + 1.1	13.3% + 1.5	11.3% + 2.1	13.9% + 1.5	13.3% + 3.0	14.2% + 2.3	15.8% + 1.4
No problem coping	13.9% + 1.0	9.1% + 1.3	18.9% + 1.6	9.9% + 1.9	16.2% + 1.9	14.3% + 1.2	12.8% + 1.9	16.3% + 4.4	11.8% + 1.6	13.3% + 2.2	15.1% + 2.6	18.2% + 1.8
Sample size	7,530	2,604	2,151	1,314	1,293	4,516	2,312	702	2,648	965	1,425	2,492
Weighted total	266,911	81,161	140,590	19,347	17,729	173,269	78,292	15,349	98,389	41,620	50,113	76,789

Table S_1270 (cont.)

Could Spouse Cope Six Months Without Soldier
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse															
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer			
	No Children		Children		No Children		Children		No Children		Children		No Children		Children	
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children
Very serious problem coping	21.5% + 9.8	27.6% + 14.8	21.0% + 5.5	23.8% + 4.3	33.2% + 3.4	29.0% + 2.5	16.3% + 3.5	13.5% + 3.1	15.0% + 2.9	9.7% + 2.8	22.3% + 2.2	27.6% + 2.0				
Serious problem coping	13.2 + 8.6	5.0 + 7.4	21.8 + 3.6	19.7 + 5.2	20.1 + 2.3	21.0 + 2.5	15.9 + 3.4	19.3 + 3.4	22.4 + 3.9	13.8 + 2.8	18.2 + 1.8	20.6 + 2.0				
Moderate problem coping	12.8 + 7.0	28.8 + 17.4	29.3 + 5.6	28.8 + 5.1	22.2 + 3.2	27.6 + 2.0	31.8 + 4.1	34.9 + 4.8	33.9 + 3.3	37.3 + 3.4	30.1 + 2.1	26.4 + 1.7				
Slight problem coping	5.1 + 4.2	2.3 + 4.3	14.5 + 3.7	11.8 + 3.7	9.6 + 1.9	13.2 + 2.1	18.8 + 3.1	19.2 + 3.6	21.6 + 4.1	21.5 + 4.3	15.5 + 1.2	14.1 + 1.4				
No problem coping	47.4 + 12.6	36.4 + 15.7	13.5 + 2.8	15.9 + 4.8	14.9 + 2.4	9.2 + 1.5	17.2 + 3.2	13.1 + 3.5	7.0 + 2.1	17.6 + 2.4	13.8 + 1.6	11.4 + 1.6				
Sample size	98	31	468	419	1,277	1,901	627	630	895	906	3,251	3,129				
Weighted total	4,389	1,302	14,759	13,980	54,113	85,955	40,570	10,111	14,009	15,511	120,469	106,623				

Table S 128A

Have Disagreements with Spouse About Spending Money
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type					
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Every day	4.3% + 0.6 -	6.2% + 0.9 -	4.4% + 1.0 -	1.1% + 0.6 -	0.2% + 0.3 -	4.6% + 0.8 -	3.9% + 1.0 -	3.6% + 1.6 -	4.1% + 1.0 -	5.5% + 1.6 -	4.8% + 1.5 -	3.6% + 1.0 -
More than once a week	8.2 + 0.7 -	10.2 + 1.4 -	8.5 + 1.2 -	5.4 + 1.5 -	2.6 + 1.0 -	6.5 + 0.9 -	7.6 + 1.2 -	7.6 + 2.8 -	8.9 + 1.2 -	7.3 + 1.5 -	8.5 + 2.4 -	7.7 + 1.4 -
Weekly	11.0 + 1.1 -	13.3 + 1.4 -	10.8 + 1.6 -	9.8 + 1.5 -	6.5 + 1.3 -	11.4 + 1.4 -	9.8 + 1.9 -	13.7 + 2.2 -	11.2 + 1.6 -	12.6 + 2.6 -	10.6 + 2.1 -	10.3 + 1.6 -
Monthly	19.7 + 1.2 -	21.4 + 1.7 -	19.1 + 2.1 -	21.2 + 2.5 -	15.5 + 1.9 -	19.5 + 1.8 -	20.0 + 2.0 -	19.4 + 1.4 -	20.2 + 2.2 -	19.3 + 2.5 -	20.7 + 2.4 -	18.4 + 2.0 -
Less than once a month	41.7 + 1.6 -	34.0 + 2.1 -	42.5 + 2.6 -	48.8 + 3.5 -	55.5 + 2.5 -	41.7 + 2.1 -	41.5 + 2.7 -	42.3 + 2.3 -	41.1 + 2.4 -	39.0 + 4.4 -	38.7 + 3.1 -	45.7 + 2.6 -
Never	15.1 + 1.1 -	15.0 + 1.4 -	14.7 + 2.0 -	13.8 + 2.3 -	19.7 + 2.0 -	14.3 + 1.4 -	17.1 + 2.1 -	13.4 + 3.5 -	14.4 + 1.4 -	16.2 + 3.7 -	16.8 + 2.7 -	14.3 + 1.8 -
Sample size	7,399	2,562	2,122	1,289	1,260	4,432	2,273	694	2,616	947	1,403	2,433
Weighted total	262,333	79,714	138,351	18,959	17,325	170,154	77,023	15,156	97,337	40,661	49,369	74,966

Table S_128A (cont.)

Have Disagreements with Spouse About Spending Money
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
Every day	10.5% + 6.4	19.9% + 15.8	2.4% + 2.0	5.1% + 3.3	4.9% + 1.1	5.2% + 1.3	3.2% + 1.6	1.5% + 1.7	1.0% + 0.7	0.1% + 0.1	3.5% + 0.6	4.4% + 1.0
More than once a week	14.8 + 8.3	16.3 + 15.0	6.1 + 3.1	8.2 + 2.7	8.0 + 1.7	10.0 + 1.5	8.6 + 2.7	4.9 + 2.2	4.0 + 1.6	2.8 + 1.5	7.2 + 1.0	9.3 + 1.5
Weekly	5.9 + 6.2	11.3 + 12.9	9.3 + 3.1	11.1 + 3.8	14.0 + 2.5	12.1 + 1.9	8.5 + 2.0	8.7 + 2.4	10.5 + 2.5	5.6 + 1.7	10.8 + 1.4	11.8 + 1.7
Monthly	22.8 + 9.8	11.1 + 10.4	17.7 + 5.0	15.9 + 4.8	19.5 + 2.7	20.8 + 1.9	22.2 + 3.3	17.6 + 4.0	18.4 + 2.4	19.3 + 3.0	20.7 + 1.9	19.3 + 1.6
Less than once a month	22.1 + 9.0	10.1 + 11.5	41.7 + 5.3	41.9 + 6.6	37.2 + 2.8	40.4 + 2.3	44.0 + 4.2	48.8 + 4.7	54.0 + 5.2	55.3 + 3.5	43.2 + 2.1	41.8 + 2.8
Never	23.8 + 10.6	31.3 + 20.4	22.9 + 5.1	17.8 + 4.9	16.5 + 2.4	11.5 + 1.7	13.5 + 3.1	18.4 + 4.1	12.1 + 3.4	17.1 + 2.3	14.5 + 1.6	13.4 + 1.6
Sample size	97	30	469	420	1,249	1,873	624	617	877	886	3,193	3,066
Weighted total	4,272	1,325	14,849	14,066	52,688	84,635	40,371	9,969	13,742	15,198	118,443	104,294

Table S 1288

Have Disagreements with Spouse About amount of Affection
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Every day	5.9% + 0.8 - 1.1	7.3% + 1.1 - 1.3	6.4% + 1.3 - 1.3	1.3% + 0.6 - 0.5	0.7% + 0.5 - 0.5	5.9% + 0.9 - 1.5	6.0% + 1.5 - 1.5	5.0% + 2.0 - 2.0	6.2% + 1.1 - 1.1	6.6% + 1.9 - 1.9	7.5% + 1.8 - 1.8	3.9% + 1.3 - 1.3
More than once a week	8.5 + 1.0 - 1.2	8.6 + 1.2 - 1.7	9.6 + 1.7 - 1.3	5.9 + 1.3 - 0.9	3.7 + 0.9 - 0.9	9.1 + 1.5 - 1.5	7.1 + 1.1 - 1.1	8.3 + 1.5 - 1.5	9.3 + 1.5 - 1.5	8.5 + 1.6 - 1.6	8.6 + 2.0 - 2.0	7.2 + 2.1 - 2.1
Weekly	12.3 + 1.0 - 1.7	13.4 + 1.7 - 1.6	12.1 + 1.6 - 2.2	12.3 + 2.2 - 1.9	11.2 + 1.9 - 1.9	12.4 + 1.0 - 1.0	12.3 + 2.4 - 2.4	12.3 + 2.5 - 2.5	11.7 + 0.9 - 0.9	13.8 + 3.3 - 3.3	13.3 + 2.2 - 2.2	11.8 + 1.5 - 1.5
Monthly	15.0 + 1.0 - 1.3	12.5 + 1.3 - 1.5	14.9 + 1.5 - 3.5	21.5 + 3.5 - 1.9	18.4 + 1.9 - 1.9	14.5 + 1.4 - 1.4	16.7 + 1.5 - 1.5	11.5 + 1.8 - 1.8	14.2 + 1.5 - 1.5	15.5 + 2.7 - 2.7	14.0 + 1.9 - 1.9	16.5 + 2.1 - 2.1
Less than once a month	31.8 + 1.5 - 1.7	25.8 + 1.7 - 2.1	33.5 + 2.1 - 4.2	35.0 + 4.2 - 3.3	40.9 + 3.3 - 3.3	31.8 + 1.9 - 1.9	31.3 + 2.9 - 2.9	33.8 + 3.9 - 3.9	30.8 + 2.4 - 2.4	29.4 + 3.5 - 3.5	29.5 + 3.5 - 3.5	35.8 + 2.8 - 2.8
Never	26.6 + 1.6 - 2.3	32.4 + 2.3 - 2.2	23.6 + 2.2 - 2.1	24.0 + 2.1 - 2.5	25.1 + 2.5 - 2.5	26.3 + 2.1 - 2.1	28.7 + 2.6 - 2.6	29.1 + 5.4 - 5.4	27.8 + 2.6 - 2.6	28.2 + 4.3 - 4.3	27.1 + 3.4 - 3.4	24.8 + 2.2 - 2.2
Sample size	7,336	2,541	2,098	1,279	1,251	4,388	2,258	690	2,596	940	1,392	2,408
Weighted total	259,647	79,026	136,630	18,817	17,161	168,158	76,396	15,093	96,632	40,372	48,722	73,921

Table S_1288 (cont.)

Have Disagreements with Spouse About amount of Affection
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Civilian Spouse				
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
Every day	20.7% ± 7.8	18.5% ± 17.3	4.8% ± 2.4	9.2% ± 3.3	6.4% ± 1.3	6.0% ± 1.4	5.5% ± 2.2	2.7% ± 2.2	1.0% ± 0.6	0.8% ± 0.9	4.9% ± 1.2	5.4% ± 1.1		
More than once a week	7.9 ± 5.3	23.6 ± 15.8	6.0 ± 2.5	9.6 ± 3.0	7.2 ± 2.0	10.1 ± 1.7	9.6 ± 2.5	3.5 ± 1.5	5.7 ± 1.6	4.4 ± 1.8	7.7 ± 1.4	9.1 ± 1.7		
Weekly	15.0 ± 12.0	8.9 ± 11.4	12.7 ± 3.5	14.2 ± 3.3	11.9 ± 2.3	12.8 ± 1.9	12.0 ± 2.2	9.7 ± 2.1	13.0 ± 2.6	10.0 ± 2.6	12.8 ± 1.4	11.3 ± 1.4		
Monthly	15.4 ± 8.2	3.5 ± 5.5	14.3 ± 4.1	14.4 ± 3.5	14.2 ± 2.6	15.7 ± 2.0	11.3 ± 2.5	17.5 ± 3.9	19.9 ± 3.2	21.6 ± 3.1	15.8 ± 1.5	14.6 ± 1.3		
Less than once a month	8.9 ± 6.9	6.1 ± 11.1	26.7 ± 4.3	29.7 ± 5.8	28.5 ± 3.0	30.2 ± 2.5	41.3 ± 3.9	32.2 ± 4.6	40.0 ± 4.5	40.1 ± 4.3	33.3 ± 1.9	33.0 ± 2.5		
Never	32.1 ± 12.5	39.3 ± 20.9	35.5 ± 4.3	22.8 ± 4.8	31.8 ± 2.7	25.2 ± 2.7	20.4 ± 3.8	34.3 ± 4.4	20.5 ± 2.9	23.1 ± 3.4	25.5 ± 2.3	26.6 ± 2.2		
Sample size	96	30	468	416	1,238	1,857	615	616	866	882	3,161	3,041		
Weighted total	4,234	1,318	14,770	13,949	52,178	83,831	39,633	9,964	13,574	15,124	116,960	103,336		

Table S 128C

Have Disagreements with Spouse About Time Spent Together
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Every day	8.1% + 1.0 -	10.9% + 1.5 -	8.6% + 1.6 -	2.5% + 0.9 -	0.9% + 0.5 -	8.0% + 1.4 -	8.9% + 1.2 -	6.2% + 1.5 -	9.2% + 1.4 -	9.9% + 2.6 -	9.8% + 2.4 -	4.7% + 1.7 -
More than once a week	12.1 + 1.0 -	12.9 + 1.3 -	13.0 + 1.5 -	10.0 + 1.9 -	5.5 + 1.3 -	11.4 + 1.3 -	13.7 + 1.8 -	11.1 + 1.9 -	14.8 + 1.5 -	11.4 + 2.0 -	12.7 + 2.7 -	8.4 + 1.5 -
Weekly	17.0 + 1.0 -	18.6 + 1.6 -	18.2 + 1.5 -	20.0 + 1.9 -	13.1 + 1.4 -	17.4 + 1.0 -	18.0 + 2.3 -	18.2 + 4.1 -	17.5 + 1.8 -	20.0 + 3.3 -	17.3 + 2.4 -	14.5 + 1.8 -
Monthly	18.9 + 0.9 -	17.4 + 1.5 -	18.5 + 1.6 -	23.3 + 2.3 -	23.3 + 2.5 -	19.4 + 1.1 -	18.8 + 1.7 -	15.0 + 2.3 -	18.8 + 1.6 -	17.8 + 2.4 -	17.2 + 1.8 -	20.8 + 2.2 -
Less than once a month	28.3 + 1.6 -	21.7 + 1.9 -	26.4 + 2.4 -	28.8 + 3.0 -	39.8 + 2.7 -	28.6 + 2.0 -	24.7 + 2.6 -	30.6 + 4.3 -	23.7 + 2.2 -	23.1 + 3.6 -	25.4 + 3.6 -	31.9 + 2.2 -
Never	17.8 + 1.3 -	18.5 + 1.7 -	17.3 + 1.9 -	15.3 + 1.9 -	17.8 + 2.5 -	17.3 + 1.6 -	17.9 + 2.5 -	19.0 + 2.5 -	15.9 + 1.8 -	17.8 + 3.5 -	17.6 + 2.4 -	19.8 + 2.2 -
Sample size	7,313	2,534	2,082	1,280	1,252	4,375	2,250	688	2,582	935	1,389	2,407
Weighted total	258,438	78,978	135,530	18,847	17,198	167,583	75,847	15,008	96,035	39,972	48,627	73,804

Table S_128C (cont..)

Have Disagreements with Spouse About Time Spent Together
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
Every day	21.5% ± 8.3	13.5% ± 15.7	7.3% ± 3.3	9.4% ± 3.4	9.5% ± 2.0	9.4% ± 1.7	7.4% ± 2.0	2.1% ± 1.5	2.3% ± 1.3	1.3% ± 1.0	6.3% ± 1.3	9.0% ± 1.8		
More than once a week	12.8 ± 6.7	25.1 ± 16.0	8.4 ± 2.2	12.0 ± 3.5	12.3 ± 2.1	14.2 ± 2.1	11.8 ± 3.3	5.8 ± 2.2	9.7 ± 3.0	6.4 ± 1.9	11.6 ± 1.4	13.0 ± 1.7		
Weekly	23.9 ± 9.7	9.2 ± 11.2	13.9 ± 3.9	18.7 ± 4.3	16.1 ± 2.8	18.7 ± 2.5	15.6 ± 3.1	14.0 ± 3.1	20.2 ± 3.1	14.6 ± 3.1	17.2 ± 1.6	16.9 ± 1.8		
Monthly	12.6 ± 7.8	3.5 ± 5.5	18.0 ± 3.7	19.9 ± 4.2	17.9 ± 2.3	19.7 ± 2.2	16.7 ± 3.0	22.6 ± 4.3	24.0 ± 3.0	24.4 ± 3.4	19.5 ± 1.4	19.0 ± 1.5		
Less than once a month	8.5 ± 5.9	0.0 ± 0.0	23.5 ± 4.0	22.3 ± 4.5	26.4 ± 2.8	22.0 ± 2.1	33.3 ± 4.2	33.0 ± 4.0	33.3 ± 4.1	37.2 ± 3.3	29.2 ± 2.1	25.4 ± 2.5		
Never	20.7 ± 9.5	48.6 ± 20.6	28.8 ± 4.2	17.6 ± 4.4	17.7 ± 2.2	16.1 ± 2.2	15.2 ± 3.4	22.6 ± 4.2	10.5 ± 2.3	16.1 ± 2.7	16.3 ± 1.6	16.7 ± 1.8		
Sample size	95	30	468	420	1,232	1,848	612	610	867	883	3,146	3,031		
Weighted total	4,180	1,318	14,706	14,072	52,006	83,232	39,483	9,770	13,616	15,156	116,269	102,847		

Table S 128D

Have Disagreements with Spouse About Children
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Every day	7.2% + 1.1	9.4% + 1.8	7.9% + 1.5	2.2% + 1.1	1.3% + 0.7	7.3% + 1.4	7.1% + 1.8	6.6% + 2.9	8.1% + 1.7	8.2% + 2.8	9.7% + 2.3	4.2% + 1.4
More than once a week	9.4 + 0.9	9.1 + 1.5	10.2 + 1.5	8.3 + 1.9	6.8 + 1.4	9.2 + 1.1	10.1 + 1.8	9.6 + 2.5	9.1 + 1.2	10.2 + 3.0	9.0 + 2.5	9.7 + 2.1
Weekly	15.3 + 1.3	14.7 + 1.9	15.6 + 2.0	15.3 + 4.0	16.5 + 2.1	16.1 + 1.6	13.2 + 2.5	15.6 + 4.1	15.2 + 2.3	13.3 + 3.2	14.7 + 2.6	16.7 + 2.5
Monthly	16.1 + 1.3	13.4 + 1.8	15.1 + 1.9	21.9 + 3.8	23.9 + 2.5	15.2 + 1.6	18.4 + 2.7	14.5 + 1.9	15.9 + 2.0	14.5 + 3.3	15.3 + 2.6	17.5 + 2.7
Less than once a month	30.8 + 1.7	25.3 + 2.3	30.6 + 2.2	35.3 + 2.9	41.3 + 2.4	31.0 + 2.4	30.5 + 2.1	29.1 + 3.2	29.2 + 2.5	30.3 + 4.4	27.2 + 3.2	35.0 + 3.0
Never	21.3 + 1.8	28.2 + 2.4	20.6 + 2.8	17.1 + 2.6	10.1 + 2.3	21.2 + 2.3	20.8 + 3.7	24.6 + 3.7	22.6 + 2.6	23.5 + 4.6	24.0 + 4.2	16.8 + 2.8
Sample size	5,171	1,566	1,644	792	1,040	3,109	1,578	484	1,781	647	941	1,802
Weighted total	187,104	45,264	109,749	11,474	14,297	122,668	53,466	10,971	67,873	29,103	34,049	56,079

Table S 1280 (cont.)

Have Disagreements with Spouse About Children
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted				Civilian Spouse			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Every day	11.9% + 10.7	14.4% + 15.5	--	10.6% + 3.1	4.9% + 2.5	8.3% + 1.5	6.9% + 2.0	0.0% + 0.0	1.8% + 1.0	1.9% + 1.2	5.6% + 1.5	7.7% + 1.5
More than once a week	15.8 + 11.8	21.0 + 15.1	--	7.0 + 2.8	6.7 + 4.2	10.6 + 1.6	8.7 + 2.0	3.7 + 4.4	7.7 + 2.6	7.3 + 1.7	7.9 + 1.3	10.8 + 1.3
Weekly	5.5 + 8.3	14.8 + 14.3	--	15.0 + 4.1	10.6 + 4.2	14.5 + 1.9	19.2 + 3.6	3.5 + 3.5	16.7 + 3.8	14.4 + 3.4	17.1 + 2.3	13.9 + 1.9
Monthly	14.2 + 12.7	9.8 + 8.5	--	15.6 + 4.1	11.9 + 6.2	14.8 + 2.0	17.0 + 3.7	20.1 + 10.7	20.4 + 3.5	24.3 + 3.5	16.6 + 1.6	16.1 + 2.1
Less than once a month	25.8 + 14.8	2.8 + 5.5	--	28.9 + 5.7	33.1 + 7.4	28.6 + 1.7	31.3 + 5.1	53.1 + 18.3	38.8 + 4.4	39.7 + 3.7	33.1 + 2.9	29.4 + 2.0
Never	26.8 + 14.3	37.2 + 18.4	--	22.9 + 4.7	32.7 + 7.5	23.1 + 2.6	17.0 + 3.7	19.5 + 12.7	14.7 + 2.6	12.4 + 2.8	19.7 + 2.7	22.0 + 2.3
Sample size	44	31	24	410	216	1,827	601	68	863	874	2,112	2,469
Weighted total	1,839	1,348	1,031	13,624	9,268	82,301	38,629	1,028	13,581	14,979	81,819	83,729

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S_128E

Have Disagreements with Spouse About Division of Chores
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Every day	6.3% + 0.8	7.5% + 1.0	7.0% + 1.2	2.2% + 0.9	0.8% + 0.5	6.4% + 1.1	6.5% + 0.9	4.0% + 1.2	6.7% + 2.4	8.0% + 1.8	4.6% + 1.0
More than once a week	7.1 + 0.6	8.4 + 1.2	7.0 + 1.0	5.8 + 1.4	4.7 + 1.1	7.0 + 0.9	7.3 + 0.8	7.3 + 2.2	7.2 + 2.2	7.3 + 1.5	6.7 + 1.1
Weekly	13.1 + 1.1	13.6 + 1.4	13.5 + 1.7	14.1 + 2.0	9.2 + 1.8	13.0 + 1.5	13.2 + 1.4	12.6 + 2.8	15.5 + 2.9	12.5 + 2.1	11.4 + 2.3
Monthly	13.4 + 1.3	12.8 + 1.8	11.9 + 1.8	21.5 + 2.9	17.7 + 2.9	13.1 + 1.6	14.2 + 2.5	12.7 + 3.6	11.4 + 2.2	16.0 + 2.8	14.3 + 1.9
Less than once a month	27.9 + 1.8	22.9 + 1.7	27.9 + 2.7	34.3 + 2.8	39.3 + 3.2	27.7 + 2.3	28.3 + 3.2	29.1 + 3.5	25.1 + 3.5	24.9 + 3.4	33.2 + 3.5
Never	32.2 + 1.6	34.8 + 2.0	32.7 + 2.7	22.1 + 2.3	28.3 + 2.4	32.7 + 2.0	30.5 + 3.1	34.3 + 5.2	34.2 + 3.6	31.3 + 4.4	29.7 + 2.5
Sample size	7,338	2,540	2,101	1,276	1,254	4,393	2,260	685	941	1,399	2,406
Weighted total	260,081	79,158	136,876	18,813	17,222	168,618	76,474	14,988	40,350	49,241	73,833

Table S_128E (cont.)

Have Disagreements with Spouse About Division of Chores
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Every day	8.9% ± 4.5	20.0% ± 17.5	3.6% ± 1.9	12.1% ± 4.6	5.8% ± 1.2	7.6% ± 1.5	5.9% ± 1.9	3.1% ± 2.3	2.1% ± 1.5	0.6% ± 0.6	5.6% ± 1.1	5.8% ± 1.0
More than once a week	6.2 ± 5.0	10.2 ± 10.3	8.7 ± 2.5	8.6 ± 3.0	5.3 ± 1.3	8.7 ± 1.6	6.4 ± 2.1	3.6 ± 1.3	6.6 ± 2.3	5.0 ± 1.9	6.2 ± 1.0	7.7 ± 1.3
Weekly	11.4 ± 9.4	13.0 ± 12.1	9.9 ± 3.4	18.4 ± 4.4	13.0 ± 1.9	14.5 ± 1.9	11.7 ± 3.2	12.3 ± 3.0	11.3 ± 2.5	8.4 ± 2.2	14.3 ± 1.7	11.4 ± 1.7
Monthly	11.0 ± 10.0	4.4 ± 6.2	19.5 ± 5.6	11.7 ± 3.3	9.9 ± 1.9	11.7 ± 1.5	15.5 ± 3.6	16.6 ± 3.1	21.6 ± 3.3	18.5 ± 3.7	14.1 ± 1.6	12.4 ± 1.7
Less than once a month	16.8 ± 7.7	15.1 ± 15.4	26.6 ± 4.2	23.0 ± 5.3	25.9 ± 3.0	27.1 ± 2.3	28.7 ± 4.8	33.1 ± 4.3	36.9 ± 3.7	40.8 ± 4.9	29.4 ± 2.3	28.5 ± 2.5
Never	45.7 ± 12.6	37.3 ± 22.4	31.7 ± 4.4	28.2 ± 4.1	40.0 ± 2.6	30.4 ± 3.1	31.8 ± 4.3	31.3 ± 4.3	21.6 ± 3.2	26.8 ± 4.5	30.5 ± 2.3	34.2 ± 2.2
Sample size	95	30	465	417	1,237	1,862	616	614	867	883	3,161	3,046
Weighted total	4,180	1,318	14,706	13,989	52,353	83,892	39,828	9,938	13,629	15,146	117,111	103,644

Table S 129A

Does Spouse Have Power of Attorney
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
Yes, spouse has power of attorney	60.5% + 2.6	50.6% + 3.7	64.6% + 3.2	67.1% + 3.8	59.7% + 4.4	60.8% + 3.9	59.5% + 2.0	61.3% + 6.9	67.3% + 3.2	63.4% + 4.9	60.1% + 3.1	50.3% + 3.3
Spouse doesn't have power of attorney	37.2 + 2.5	44.2 + 3.3	34.6 + 3.1	30.9 + 3.7	38.2 + 4.3	37.0 + 3.7	38.0 + 1.9	35.4 + 7.1	30.8 + 3.1	34.1 + 4.5	37.4 + 2.9	47.1 + 3.2
Don't know	2.3 + 0.4	5.1 + 1.0	0.9 + 0.4	2.0 + 0.9	2.1 + 0.8	2.1 + 0.5	2.5 + 0.7	3.3 + 1.4	2.0 + 0.7	2.5 + 1.3	2.4 + 0.8	2.6 + 0.7
Sample size	7,536	2,608	2,162	1,309	1,288	4,514	2,319	703	2,654	967	1,433	2,482
Weighted total	267,175	81,246	140,881	19,256	17,677	173,230	78,554	15,391	98,682	41,668	50,494	76,331

Table S_129A (cont.)

Does Spouse Have Power of Attorney
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	
Yes, spouse has power of attorney	27.8% + 8.8	30.9% + 18.7	36.7% + 5.2	55.6% + 6.8	55.1% + 3.3	65.1% + 4.0	71.6% + 4.4	68.1% + 5.4	61.8% + 5.9	Spouse Employed 61.7% + 3.3
Spouse doesn't have power of attorney	70.1% + 8.9	66.6% + 18.1	56.5% + 5.8	43.1% + 6.6	40.9% + 2.8	33.0% + 3.8	27.4% + 4.4	30.7% + 5.6	35.6% + 5.7	Spouse not Employed 36.0% + 3.2
Don't know	2.1% + 2.3	2.5% + 4.9	6.7% + 2.7	1.3% + 0.9	4.0% + 1.4	1.9% + 0.7	1.0% + 0.6	1.2% + 0.9	2.6% + 1.4	2.3% + 0.7
Sample size	103	33	471	420	1,276	1,908	892	904	628	3,125
Weighted total	4,610	1,396	14,867	14,004	53,829	86,295	13,956	15,483	10,150	106,375

Table S-129B

Joint Checking Account with Spouse
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, have a joint checking account	79.6% + 1.3 -	69.0% + 2.5 -	81.3% + 1.8 -	92.2% + 1.8 -	94.5% + 1.0 -	81.4% + 1.7 -	75.6% + 2.2 -	80.7% + 5.3 -	79.9% + 2.4 -	76.4% + 2.7 -	75.4% + 3.4 -	83.9% + 1.7 -
No, don't have joint checking account	20.1 + 1.4 -	30.4 + 2.5 -	18.5 + 1.8 -	7.8 + 1.8 -	5.5 + 1.0 -	18.3 + 1.7 -	24.2 + 2.3 -	18.8 + 5.2 -	19.9 + 2.4 -	23.3 + 2.8 -	24.4 + 3.4 -	15.6 + 1.7 -
Don't know	0.3 + 0.1 -	0.6 + 0.4 -	0.2 + 0.2 -	0.0 + 0.0 -	0.0 + 0.0 -	0.3 + 0.2 -	0.2 + 0.2 -	0.5 + 0.5 -	0.2 + 0.1 -	0.3 + 0.3 -	0.2 + 0.2 -	0.5 + 0.4 -
Sample size	7,535	2,610	2,159	1,308	1,289	4,515	2,318	702	2,649	968	1,433	2,485
Weighted total	267,018	81,303	140,656	19,244	17,700	173,158	78,502	15,359	98,426	41,701	50,468	76,425

Table S 129C

Does Spouse Have Emergency Savings
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, spouse has emergency savings	59.5% + 2.2	46.3% + 2.5	59.8% + 2.6	78.0% + 2.9	89.3% + 1.7	57.1% + 3.0	64.4% + 3.3	62.3% + 7.3	56.5% + 2.2	55.5% + 3.8	59.0% + 3.7	68.0% + 3.1
Spouse doesn't have emergency savings	38.4 + 2.2	50.6 + 2.6	38.4 + 2.6	21.0 + 2.9	10.4 + 1.6	41.3 + 3.0	32.9 + 3.1	34.6 + 6.5	41.3 + 2.3	42.5 + 4.1	38.4 + 4.0	32.4 + 3.0
Don't know	2.0 + 0.4	3.1 + 0.9	1.8 + 0.5	1.0 + 0.6	0.3 + 0.4	1.6 + 0.4	2.8 + 0.9	3.0 + 2.1	2.2 + 0.6	2.0 + 0.9	2.6 + 1.2	1.6 + 0.7
Sample size	7,519	2,603	2,155	1,306	1,287	4,506	2,312	701	2,645	965	1,429	2,480
Weighted total	266,492	81,056	140,453	19,209	17,671	172,885	78,257	15,349	98,418	41,548	50,332	76,194

Table S-129C (cont.)

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
Yes, spouse has emergency savings	25.5% + 9.5	26.5% + 17.5	62.1% + 6.6	68.5% + 5.9	57.9% + 3.1	49.2% + 3.0	64.5% + 4.1	86.2% + 3.0	77.6% + 3.7	85.0% + 2.5	63.9% + 2.6	55.2% + 3.1
Spouse doesn't have emergency savings	64.6% + 9.7	64.6% + 18.1	34.1% + 5.8	31.5% + 5.9	38.2% + 3.3	49.3% + 2.9	35.1% + 4.1	13.0% + 3.0	21.5% + 3.7	14.7% + 2.4	34.4% + 2.6	43.4% + 3.1
Don't know	9.9% + 5.3	8.9% + 9.8	3.8% + 2.3	2.0% + 1.7	3.9% + 1.2	1.5% + 0.6	0.4% + 0.4	0.9% + 0.8	0.8% + 1.0	0.3% + 0.6	1.8% + 0.6	1.4% + 0.6
Sample size	102	33	471	421	1,273	1,900	630	626	891	903	3,241	3,118
Weighted total	4,557	1,396	14,873	14,073	53,652	86,040	40,623	10,122	13,936	15,477	120,147	106,141

Table S-130A

Spouse and I are a Team Working for Army Goals
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCINUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	11.8% + 1.4	8.5% + 1.3	12.6% + 1.9	14.4% + 2.5	18.9% + 2.8	12.7% + 1.7	9.9% + 2.6	11.7% + 1.8	11.9% + 2.4	9.1% + 2.6	12.2% + 2.3	12.8% + 2.0
Agree	23.3 + 1.4	16.6 + 1.8	25.4 + 2.1	28.0 + 2.6	31.3 + 2.5	22.6 + 1.9	24.9 + 2.2	23.1 + 4.8	22.9 + 2.1	19.8 + 3.6	25.2 + 2.3	24.6 + 2.1
Neither agree nor disagree	32.5 + 1.5	31.2 + 1.9	35.0 + 2.4	27.9 + 2.4	24.9 + 2.7	31.6 + 1.9	33.7 + 2.7	36.2 + 3.8	31.6 + 2.0	34.1 + 5.0	33.0 + 3.1	32.4 + 3.1
Disagree	21.3 + 1.3	27.5 + 2.1	18.1 + 1.8	20.9 + 2.4	17.3 + 2.8	22.1 + 1.7	19.8 + 2.0	19.0 + 5.3	21.8 + 2.2	24.1 + 3.5	19.5 + 2.8	20.2 + 3.1
Strongly disagree	11.1 + 0.9	16.3 + 2.1	9.0 + 1.3	8.8 + 2.1	7.5 + 1.5	11.0 + 1.2	11.7 + 1.7	10.1 + 2.1	11.8 + 1.6	12.9 + 2.3	10.1 + 1.7	10.0 + 1.7
Sample size	7,537	2,611	2,159	1,309	1,289	4,519	2,315	703	2,656	966	1,427	2,488
Weighted total	267,309	81,383	140,874	19,250	17,671	173,399	78,534	15,376	98,744	41,748	50,256	76,562

Table S 130A (cont.)

Spouse and I are a Team Working for Army Goals
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Strongly agree	2.0% + 3.8	0.0% + 0.0	18.7% + 4.6	19.2% + 5.6	9.2% + 2.0	9.0% + 1.7	11.9% + 2.9	15.4% + 2.8	14.4% + 3.3	15.0% + 2.8	10.8% + 1.8	11.1% + 1.7		
Agree	3.7 + 5.1	14.0 + 14.7	30.8 + 5.1	34.3 + 7.0	18.6 + 2.3	22.2 + 2.6	25.0 + 2.8	26.6 + 4.0	26.9 + 3.2	32.1 + 4.9	21.8 + 2.1	23.3 + 1.9		
Neither agree nor disagree	19.9 + 11.8	16.0 + 11.2	30.3 + 6.3	31.9 + 5.0	31.3 + 3.2	35.0 + 2.5	38.7 + 4.4	25.5 + 4.1	28.6 + 3.7	26.7 + 3.5	33.8 + 1.9	32.5 + 2.0		
Disagree	27.8 + 10.6	22.7 + 15.7	14.1 + 3.2	10.4 + 3.1	26.3 + 2.3	23.7 + 2.5	17.0 + 2.7	22.9 + 5.0	20.3 + 3.6	18.9 + 3.7	23.1 + 1.9	21.6 + 1.6		
Strongly disagree	46.5 + 12.5	47.3 + 18.8	6.1 + 2.5	4.2 + 2.1	16.6 + 2.7	10.1 + 1.4	7.4 + 2.3	9.6 + 2.7	9.8 + 2.4	7.4 + 2.0	10.5 + 1.5	11.4 + 1.2		
Sample size	100	30	474	422	1,277	1,906	633	629	889	907	3,250	3,128		
Weighted total	4,498	1,303	14,940	14,098	53,913	86,277	40,907	10,158	13,878	15,528	120,436	106,616		

Table S.1308

Spouse Understands the Demands of My Army Job
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	19.4% + 1.6	12.3% + 1.4	20.5% + 2.3	28.5% + 3.4	32.7% + 3.6	20.3% + 2.1	17.5% + 2.8	20.0% + 4.0	17.1% + 2.3	17.6% + 3.0	19.1% + 2.9	23.7% + 2.3
Agree	52.9% + 1.5	47.5% + 2.0	54.8% + 2.4	58.0% + 2.9	55.8% + 3.7	52.8% + 2.1	53.7% + 2.0	52.7% + 4.5	52.7% + 2.3	52.7% + 5.2	52.3% + 3.1	53.7% + 2.8
Neither, agree nor disagree	14.5% + 1.0	21.1% + 1.6	13.1% + 1.4	6.8% + 1.5	6.4% + 1.6	14.3% + 1.4	15.0% + 1.4	14.7% + 4.2	15.9% + 1.6	15.5% + 3.0	15.0% + 2.1	12.1% + 1.4
Disagree	9.7% + 0.8	13.6% + 1.3	8.6% + 1.1	7.7% + 1.7	4.8% + 1.2	9.4% + 0.9	10.2% + 1.5	10.3% + 4.7	11.2% + 1.6	10.0% + 2.6	8.9% + 2.0	8.0% + 1.6
Strongly disagree	3.4% + 0.5	5.5% + 0.8	3.0% + 0.9	1.0% + 0.5	0.7% + 0.4	3.4% + 0.7	3.6% + 0.9	2.3% + 1.5	3.2% + 1.0	4.2% + 1.5	4.7% + 1.6	2.5% + 0.8
Sample size	7,535	2,613	2,155	1,309	1,289	4,519	2,313	703	2,656	964	1,428	2,489
Weighted total	266,972	81,333	140,601	19,245	17,662	173,189	78,404	15,379	98,711	41,540	50,151	76,570

Table S 1308 (cont.)

Spouse Understands the Demands of My Army Job
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Civilian Spouse				
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Strongly agree	4.7% + 4.7	10.6% + 13.5	32.9% + 4.7	35.5% + 6.8	15.1% + 2.5	13.0% + 1.8	20.3% + 4.0	28.9% + 3.9	23.7% + 3.2	31.0% + 3.4	19.0% + 1.9	16.4% + 2.4		
Agree	22.0 + 8.6	26.3 + 15.8	49.3 + 5.4	49.1 + 5.5	48.5 + 2.8	56.5 + 2.7	54.8 + 3.8	55.6 + 4.5	60.7 + 3.5	57.2 + 4.3	54.8 + 2.2	53.9 + 2.2		
Neither agree nor disagree	19.9 + 9.8	17.4 + 13.9	12.7 + 3.8	8.5 + 3.3	18.2 + 2.5	17.2 + 1.7	13.7 + 2.6	7.7 + 2.1	7.5 + 2.1	6.4 + 2.2	13.8 + 1.5	16.1 + 1.8		
Disagree	24.5 + 9.4	23.5 + 14.9	3.6 + 1.9	5.5 + 2.4	13.9 + 2.2	10.2 + 1.3	8.4 + 2.0	6.8 + 2.3	7.2 + 2.4	4.7 + 1.7	9.9 + 1.3	10.1 + 1.3		
Strongly disagree	28.9 + 10.2	22.1 + 15.4	1.5 + 0.9	1.4 + 1.6	4.3 + 1.4	3.1 + 0.9	2.8 + 1.6	1.1 + 0.8	0.9 + 0.8	0.8 + 0.6	2.4 + 0.9	3.6 + 0.9		
Sample size	100	30	474	421	1,275	1,906	633	628	890	907	3,251	3,126		
Weighted total	4,498	1,303	14,940	13,942	53,741	86,267	40,857	10,142	13,879	15,528	120,412	106,458		

Table S 130C

Spouse Helps to Further My Career
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank					Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	15.3% + 1.4 -	9.0% + 1.1 -	17.3% + 1.9 -	19.3% + 2.7 -	23.9% + 3.2 -	15.4% + 1.8 -	15.5% + 2.1 -	13.3% + 3.5 -	13.4% + 2.0 -	14.9% + 2.1 -	16.9% + 2.6 -	17.0% + 2.0 -
Agree	36.5% + 1.5 -	31.7% + 2.2 -	38.7% + 2.5 -	38.4% + 3.3 -	40.3% + 3.2 -	35.8% + 2.0 -	38.0% + 2.3 -	36.9% + 4.2 -	37.6% + 1.8 -	32.6% + 3.6 -	36.1% + 3.0 -	37.5% + 3.0 -
Neither agree nor disagree	33.4% + 1.7 -	40.2% + 2.3 -	30.8% + 2.6 -	31.1% + 3.0 -	24.3% + 2.2 -	33.5% + 2.2 -	33.0% + 3.2 -	35.2% + 4.3 -	34.5% + 2.0 -	36.6% + 3.8 -	32.7% + 3.7 -	30.8% + 2.3 -
Disagree	10.0% + 0.8 -	12.0% + 1.3 -	9.2% + 1.3 -	9.0% + 1.6 -	9.1% + 1.5 -	10.6% + 1.0 -	8.7% + 1.6 -	10.4% + 2.8 -	9.6% + 1.1 -	10.4% + 2.8 -	9.1% + 1.8 -	11.0% + 1.7 -
Strongly disagree	4.7% + 0.6 -	7.0% + 1.1 -	4.0% + 0.8 -	2.3% + 0.7 -	2.4% + 1.0 -	4.7% + 0.8 -	4.8% + 0.9 -	4.3% + 0.9 -	4.9% + 1.1 -	5.5% + 1.6 -	5.2% + 1.3 -	3.8% + 1.0 -
Sample size	7,527	2,608	2,155	1,308	1,287	4,514	2,310	703	2,653	965	1,423	2,486
Weighted total	266,759	81,239	140,524	19,233	17,632	172,958	78,418	15,385	98,668	41,537	50,026	76,528

Table S 130C (cont.)

Spouse Helps to Further My Career
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse													
Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
2.0% + 3.8	—	19.9% + 4.2	20.4% + 5.0	11.9% + 2.2	12.3% + 1.5	17.6% + 4.1	19.5% + 3.7	20.3% + 3.4	21.9% + 4.0	14.1% + 1.5	15.9% + 2.1		
13.9 + 6.6	—	38.1 + 5.8	39.7 + 6.3	33.0 + 3.1	38.7 + 2.4	37.4 + 4.6	36.0 + 4.5	40.8 + 3.0	38.4 + 3.6	36.7 + 2.4	37.1 + 1.8		
19.3 + 10.7	—	35.5 + 5.9	30.0 + 5.4	37.2 + 2.8	34.9 + 2.4	33.0 + 3.9	35.2 + 4.5	28.1 + 3.6	26.8 + 4.4	34.4 + 2.5	33.4 + 2.1		
18.7 + 8.2	—	4.3 + 1.8	7.4 + 2.5	11.8 + 2.2	10.5 + 1.4	9.0 + 2.2	7.1 + 3.0	8.7 + 1.9	10.1 + 2.6	11.0 + 1.3	9.6 + 1.0		
46.1 + 11.0	—	2.2 + 1.7	2.5 + 1.7	6.1 + 1.8	3.6 + 0.9	3.0 + 1.2	2.2 + 1.1	2.2 + 1.4	2.8 + 1.4	3.8 + 1.1	4.0 + 0.8		
100	29	473	422	1,274	1,902	633	629	890	905	3,246	3,124		
4,498	1,283	14,930	14,024	53,799	86,060	40,858	10,158	13,879	15,501	120,256	106,350		

(—) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S_1300

Spouse Is Willing to Make Changes to Help Me Advance in the Army
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	18.0% + 1.5 -	11.7% + 1.4 -	20.3% + 2.1 -	20.2% + 2.9 -	26.5% + 2.8 -	18.0% + 2.1 -	18.1% + 2.5 -	17.2% + 2.9 -	16.4% + 2.6 -	16.4% + 3.1 -	19.3% + 2.9 -	20.0% + 2.0 -
Agree	47.1 + 1.6 -	44.3 + 2.4 -	48.4 + 2.2 -	48.6 + 2.6 -	48.3 + 3.6 -	46.4 + 2.3 -	48.2 + 1.8 -	49.4 + 3.2 -	48.3 + 2.4 -	46.3 + 3.9 -	45.9 + 3.5 -	46.7 + 2.7 -
Neither agree nor disagree	23.8 + 1.2 -	27.7 + 1.8 -	22.1 + 2.1 -	22.5 + 2.9 -	15.8 + 1.9 -	23.8 + 1.6 -	23.3 + 2.0 -	22.8 + 3.7 -	24.2 + 2.3 -	24.7 + 3.0 -	23.1 + 3.2 -	22.5 + 1.6 -
Disagree	7.7 + 0.8 -	9.8 + 1.6 -	6.6 + 1.1 -	6.6 + 1.5 -	7.0 + 2.1 -	8.2 + 0.9 -	6.7 + 1.5 -	7.3 + 3.2 -	8.2 + 1.4 -	7.0 + 2.0 -	6.7 + 1.9 -	8.1 + 1.4 -
Strongly disagree	3.7 + 0.6 -	6.5 + 1.0 -	2.6 + 0.7 -	2.1 + 1.0 -	2.4 + 0.8 -	3.6 + 0.8 -	3.8 + 0.8 -	3.3 + 1.1 -	2.9 + 0.6 -	5.7 + 1.7 -	4.9 + 1.5 -	2.7 + 0.7 -
Sample size	7,527	2,606	2,158	1,310	1,284	4,511	2,312	704	2,653	966	1,423	2,485
Weighted total	266,839	81,083	140,781	19,261	17,582	173,028	78,415	15,395	98,696	41,603	50,071	76,469

Table S_1300 (cont.)

Spouse Is Willing to Make Changes to Help Me Advance in the Army
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse										
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer	
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Strongly agree	3.9% + 5.3	—	19.8% + 3.8	20.7% + 5.8	16.2% + 2.7	14.9% + 1.8	20.6% + 3.7	22.6% + 3.8	21.7% + 2.7	24.0% + 3.5	17.4% + 2.0
Agree	11.8 + 6.5	—	39.8 + 5.8	46.0 + 7.6	42.9 + 4.0	52.7 + 2.5	48.5 + 4.3	47.9 + 5.5	48.9 + 3.4	49.5 + 3.0	48.5 + 2.3
Neither agree nor disagree	22.7 + 11.1	—	33.2 + 6.1	23.7 + 6.8	25.1 + 2.3	23.6 + 2.0	23.6 + 3.9	22.6 + 3.0	20.9 + 3.4	16.2 + 3.4	23.8 + 1.9
Disagree	19.0 + 8.2	—	5.3 + 2.7	8.3 + 2.9	11.2 + 2.5	6.5 + 1.1	4.9 + 1.7	5.4 + 2.1	7.6 + 2.3	8.1 + 1.9	8.0 + 1.3
Strongly disagree	42.6 + 8.1	—	1.8 + 1.1	1.3 + 1.0	4.6 + 1.6	2.5 + 0.7	2.4 + 1.2	1.5 + 0.9	0.9 + 0.5	2.2 + 0.7	2.4 + 0.7
Sample size	100	29	472	422	1,273	1,905	633	629	890	904	3,245
Weighted total	4,498	1,283	14,895	14,024	53,650	86,237	40,881	10,158	13,878	15,485	120,192
											3,127
											106,551

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S_130E

Spouse Would Hurt Soldier's Career by Going to Chain of Command for Help
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	9.9% + 0.9	12.4% + 1.4	9.9% + 1.5	5.3% + 1.5	4.6% + 1.3	10.4% + 1.0	9.7% + 1.9	5.0% + 1.5	9.6% + 1.6	9.7% + 2.5	10.8% + 2.0	9.7% + 1.5
Agree	22.5 + 1.3	23.8 + 2.2	22.2 + 2.0	19.3 + 2.6	24.3 + 2.4	21.8 + 1.6	23.3 + 2.2	28.5 + 5.3	21.4 + 2.1	24.3 + 3.1	23.1 + 2.9	22.5 + 2.2
Neither agree nor disagree	35.9 + 1.5	37.8 + 2.3	35.5 + 2.4	34.2 + 3.2	32.2 + 2.4	36.5 + 1.8	34.4 + 3.1	35.8 + 2.0	35.9 + 2.4	35.2 + 3.8	33.9 + 2.6	37.5 + 2.2
Disagree	24.6 + 1.6	20.9 + 1.9	24.4 + 2.3	32.3 + 2.8	30.5 + 2.4	24.3 + 2.2	25.1 + 2.2	25.4 + 4.3	25.3 + 2.4	23.8 + 3.8	25.2 + 2.7	23.7 + 2.8
Strongly disagree	7.2 + 0.7	5.2 + 0.8	8.0 + 1.2	8.9 + 2.0	8.5 + 1.8	7.1 + 0.9	7.6 + 1.1	7.3 + 1.5	7.9 + 1.1	7.2 + 1.9	7.1 + 1.6	6.6 + 1.7
Sample size	7,527	2,604	2,158	1,308	1,288	4,516	2,309	702	2,656	963	1,422	2,486
Weighted total	266,834	81,055	140,773	19,231	17,645	173,242	78,303	15,289	98,713	41,561	50,035	76,525

Table S_130E (cont.)

Spouse Would Hurt Soldier's Career by Going to Chain of Command for Help
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse													
Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
18.2% + 9.8	--	--	6.1% + 2.4	13.6% + 4.1	11.1% + 1.7	11.5% + 1.7	8.4% + 1.8	4.2% + 1.6	6.1% + 2.2	4.5% + 1.8	10.3% + 1.6	9.0% + 1.2	
30.5 + 11.6	--	--	23.2 + 4.4	18.9 + 3.5	24.9 + 3.2	22.9 + 2.1	21.7 + 3.6	19.2 + 3.5	21.1 + 3.8	22.2 + 3.4	22.3 + 1.8	22.7 + 1.9	
28.6 + 10.5	--	--	37.4 + 5.6	39.7 + 5.4	37.2 + 2.8	36.4 + 2.4	34.9 + 4.3	35.3 + 4.1	33.7 + 4.3	31.3 + 3.5	34.7 + 2.2	36.9 + 2.3	
9.1 + 5.4	--	--	28.2 + 4.2	21.4 + 4.4	20.5 + 2.9	23.8 + 2.8	25.9 + 4.1	29.8 + 4.5	31.2 + 4.1	34.1 + 4.6	25.1 + 2.1	24.8 + 2.1	
13.6 + 7.1	--	--	5.1 + 2.1	6.3 + 2.6	6.3 + 1.5	5.4 + 1.1	9.0 + 2.2	11.5 + 3.2	7.8 + 2.4	8.0 + 2.2	7.6 + 1.0	6.6 + 0.9	
99	29	470	422	422	1,273	1,907	633	629	891	905	3,247	3,127	
4,474	1,283	14,794	14,098	14,098	53,698	86,303	40,846	10,158	13,896	15,495	120,265	106,502	

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S_130F

Spouse Would Hurt Soldier's Career by Going to Service Provider for Help
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	4.8% + 0.8	5.3% + 1.0	5.3% + 1.4	2.9% + 1.0	1.8% + 0.8	5.4% + 1.0	4.1% + 1.4	2.0% + 1.0	4.7% + 1.4	4.0% + 1.7	5.9% + 1.9	4.7% + 1.1
Agree	10.5 + 0.9	11.7 + 1.3	10.3 + 1.3	7.4 + 1.7	7.5 + 1.2	9.5 + 1.1	12.6 + 1.5	10.4 + 5.6	10.6 + 1.5	12.2 + 2.5	10.8 + 2.3	9.1 + 1.5
Neither agree nor disagree	31.3 + 1.4	35.2 + 2.5	31.3 + 2.4	23.4 + 2.5	22.9 + 2.2	31.9 + 1.9	29.3 + 2.2	33.9 + 3.8	31.0 + 2.4	33.2 + 3.9	31.7 + 3.0	30.4 + 2.5
Disagree	38.2 + 1.6	35.4 + 2.6	37.7 + 2.8	46.2 + 3.1	47.0 + 2.6	38.4 + 2.2	37.8 + 2.3	38.7 + 3.9	38.0 + 3.0	34.8 + 4.0	37.6 + 3.0	40.8 + 2.9
Strongly disagree	15.2 + 1.0	12.4 + 1.3	15.3 + 1.7	20.1 + 2.8	20.8 + 2.2	14.8 + 1.3	16.2 + 1.8	15.0 + 3.2	15.6 + 1.6	15.8 + 3.7	14.1 + 2.1	15.1 + 2.3
Sample size	7,524	2,604	2,157	1,307	1,288	4,512	2,309	703	2,652	962	1,425	2,485
Weighted total	266,803	81,068	140,755	19,215	17,645	173,133	78,294	15,376	98,558	41,522	50,220	76,504

Spouse Would Hurt Soldier's Career by Going to Service Provider for Help by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

(---) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 130G

Spouse Is Someone I Can Talk With About Important Things
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	46.6% + 1.7 -	49.5% + 2.2 -	43.0% + 2.5 -	55.6% + 3.1 -	51.9% + 2.8 -	48.4% + 2.3 -	47.4% + 2.5 -	44.3% + 3.5 -	48.4% + 3.3 -	45.3% + 3.1 -	46.3% + 3.5 -	45.2% + 2.4 -
Agree	36.6 + 1.6 -	33.4 + 2.0 -	38.3 + 2.7 -	37.5 + 2.9 -	36.6 + 3.1 -	36.4 + 2.4 -	37.0 + 1.5 -	36.7 + 1.6 -	36.1 + 3.0 -	36.1 + 3.2 -	37.3 + 3.6 -	37.0 + 2.7 -
Neither agree nor disagree	11.0 + 1.1 -	10.5 + 1.6 -	12.8 + 1.7 -	3.7 + 0.9 -	6.4 + 1.1 -	11.2 + 1.3 -	10.1 + 1.9 -	13.3 + 4.1 -	10.3 + 1.4 -	12.7 + 1.9 -	10.3 + 2.2 -	11.3 + 1.6 -
Disagree	3.8 + 0.4 -	4.0 + 0.8 -	3.9 + 0.7 -	2.2 + 0.9 -	4.4 + 1.2 -	4.0 + 0.5 -	3.4 + 0.8 -	3.6 + 1.7 -	3.5 + 1.0 -	3.6 + 0.9 -	3.3 + 1.0 -	4.6 + 1.1 -
Strongly disagree	2.0 + 0.4 -	2.6 + 0.6 -	2.0 + 0.6 -	1.1 + 0.7 -	0.7 + 0.4 -	1.9 + 0.5 -	2.2 + 0.6 -	2.1 + 1.4 -	1.7 + 0.5 -	2.2 + 1.0 -	2.8 + 0.9 -	1.9 + 0.7 -
Sample size	7,587	2,634	2,184	1,313	1,288	4,554	2,326	707	2,668	980	1,439	2,500
Weighted total	269,372	82,152	142,184	19,291	17,641	174,909	78,871	15,591	99,231	42,376	50,672	77,093

Table S_130G (cont.)

Spouse Is Someone I Can Talk with About Important Things
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse												
Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
4.2% + 4.3	—	57.7% + 5.1	48.3% + 5.9	52.0% + 2.7	43.9% + 2.9	40.3% + 4.4	60.7% + 4.3	51.2% + 3.9	49.6% + 3.7	46.4% + 2.3	47.4% + 2.2	
21.4 + 12.7	—	30.8 + 3.6	33.0 + 4.6	31.9 + 2.5	39.5 + 2.9	43.1 + 4.2	30.4 + 4.2	40.3 + 3.6	39.1 + 4.9	38.4 + 2.4	37.2 + 2.2	
23.6 + 7.6	—	8.0 + 3.7	11.4 + 3.4	11.0 + 1.7	12.2 + 1.5	11.5 + 2.9	6.6 + 2.6	5.5 + 2.3	7.1 + 2.4	10.8 + 1.6	10.7 + 1.2	
22.2 + 8.3	—	3.1 + 1.5	5.4 + 2.2	3.6 + 1.0	2.9 + 1.0	4.4 + 1.8	2.0 + 1.3	2.7 + 1.5	3.4 + 1.2	3.5 + 0.9	3.2 + 0.7	
28.6 + 8.3	—	0.5 + 0.6	1.9 + 1.8	1.5 + 1.0	1.5 + 0.7	0.7 + 0.6	0.3 + 0.4	0.4 + 0.4	0.8 + 0.7	0.9 + 0.4	1.4 + 0.6	
100	29	473	421	1,273	1,905	634	629	891	904	3,240	3,124	
4,507	1,283	14,814	14,062	53,704	86,215	40,869	10,154	13,890	15,489	119,954	106,340	

(—) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S_130H

I Keep Spouse Informed About Unit's Work Activities
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	25.6% + 1.3	30.1% + 1.8	22.9% + 2.1	29.7% + 3.0	24.0% + 2.6	26.6% + 1.6	24.1% + 2.7	21.1% + 2.7	26.9% + 2.4	23.7% + 3.5	25.5% + 2.5	24.9% + 1.9
Agree	43.3 + 1.9	43.0 + 1.8	43.5 + 3.1	46.7 + 3.5	41.2 + 4.2	42.6 + 2.5	45.6 + 3.3	39.1 + 1.6	46.1 + 2.7	42.8 + 3.4	44.0 + 3.2	39.5 + 3.4
Neither agree nor disagree	20.0 + 1.3	17.5 + 1.5	21.7 + 2.4	16.4 + 2.4	19.3 + 2.1	20.2 + 1.7	18.0 + 1.9	27.8 + 5.3	18.2 + 1.8	21.0 + 3.0	18.8 + 3.2	22.7 + 2.2
Disagree	8.4 + 1.0	6.5 + 1.1	9.1 + 1.4	5.8 + 1.8	12.9 + 4.0	8.2 + 1.1	8.9 + 2.4	8.9 + 2.3	6.5 + 1.1	9.0 + 2.8	8.8 + 1.9	10.3 + 1.8
Strongly disagree	2.7 + 0.5	2.9 + 0.6	2.8 + 0.7	1.4 + 0.7	2.6 + 1.1	2.4 + 0.6	3.4 + 1.0	3.0 + 1.8	2.3 + 0.6	3.6 + 1.2	2.9 + 1.0	2.6 + 0.9
Sample size	7,593	2,636	2,184	1,315	1,290	4,556	2,329	708	2,668	982	1,440	2,503
Weighted total	269,737	82,269	142,343	19,347	17,675	175,081	79,046	15,610	99,311	42,429	50,718	77,278

Table S_130H (cont.)

I Keep Spouse Informed About Unit's Work Activities
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	
Strongly agree	5.4% ± 5.4	—	43.2% ± 8.5	33.1% ± 4.8	26.2% ± 2.8	24.3% ± 2.7	26.1% ± 3.8	18.9% ± 3.0	31.4% ± 4.0	24.7% ± 1.8
Agree	26.9 ± 10.6	—	40.6 ± 4.8	48.9 ± 5.4	42.4 ± 3.3	47.0 ± 2.9	46.3 ± 4.0	42.5 ± 4.6	39.5 ± 5.1	42.5 ± 2.2
Neither agree nor disagree	26.7 ± 8.4	—	11.7 ± 3.9	16.3 ± 5.5	20.3 ± 2.2	19.3 ± 2.1	17.5 ± 3.4	23.2 ± 4.1	18.1 ± 2.9	21.2 ± 1.8
Disagree	10.9 ± 7.2	—	3.6 ± 2.3	3.2 ± 1.6	7.7 ± 1.9	7.7 ± 1.7	9.1 ± 2.8	11.8 ± 3.8	9.0 ± 3.2	9.3 ± 1.5
Strongly disagree	30.0 ± 10.1	—	0.8 ± 0.8	0.6 ± 0.6	3.4 ± 1.4	1.7 ± 0.7	1.0 ± 0.5	3.6 ± 1.5	2.0 ± 1.5	2.3 ± 0.7
Sample size	100	29	473	421	1,276	1,906	891	905	631	3,243
Weighted total	4,507	1,283	14,814	14,044	53,818	86,331	13,909	15,499	10,193	120,083

(—) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 1301

Family Needs Should Come Before Army Needs
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	33.4% + 1.9	49.8% + 2.1	28.3% + 2.0	25.4% + 3.0	14.5% + 1.7	33.5% + 2.5	33.1% + 3.1	34.0% + 1.9	38.1% + 2.7	35.2% + 3.7	37.5% + 2.9	23.7% + 2.2
Agree	28.2 + 1.4	27.7 + 1.7	27.8 + 2.2	30.3 + 2.6	31.3 + 2.5	28.3 + 1.9	28.2 + 2.2	27.1 + 2.1	27.4 + 2.8	30.9 + 3.6	27.7 + 2.6	28.0 + 1.7
Neither agree nor disagree	29.7 + 1.5	18.3 + 1.6	34.3 + 2.0	32.2 + 3.4	36.1 + 2.1	29.4 + 1.9	30.1 + 2.5	29.9 + 3.3	27.0 + 2.5	26.4 + 3.5	27.8 + 2.2	36.1 + 2.5
Disagree	7.4 + 0.9	3.0 + 0.7	8.2 + 1.1	10.8 + 2.1	16.9 + 2.3	7.6 + 1.1	7.1 + 1.7	7.3 + 3.8	6.5 + 1.3	5.6 + 1.6	6.1 + 1.6	10.5 + 1.7
Strongly disagree	1.3 + 0.3	1.2 + 0.5	1.4 + 0.5	1.4 + 0.8	1.2 + 0.6	1.2 + 0.4	1.4 + 0.6	1.7 + 0.4	1.0 + 0.4	1.8 + 1.1	0.8 + 0.5	1.7 + 0.6
Sample size	7,573	2,625	2,180	1,314	1,286	4,545	2,324	704	2,664	977	1,438	2,494
Weighted total	269,105	82,001	142,043	19,333	17,625	174,662	78,897	15,546	99,200	42,262	50,666	76,977

Table S 1301 (cont.)

Family Needs Should Come Before Army Needs
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse														
Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer					
No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed		
24.0% + 9.9	—	39.4% + 4.9	35.5% + 5.5	39.4% + 3.5	40.2% + 3.1	22.4% + 3.4	20.6% + 3.8	22.5% + 2.6	13.1% + 2.7	30.4% + 2.3	35.5% + 3.3			
37.1 + 11.6	—	29.5 + 4.6	30.7 + 4.8	28.4 + 3.2	28.0 + 2.2	26.6 + 4.6	24.4 + 4.0	32.3 + 4.0	31.7 + 3.9	28.2 + 2.1	27.8 + 2.8			
25.1 + 9.3	—	25.6 + 5.3	27.6 + 6.1	23.6 + 3.3	25.8 + 2.8	40.6 + 4.4	37.3 + 4.4	33.7 + 3.8	38.9 + 3.8	31.5 + 2.1	28.6 + 2.3			
6.6 + 5.6	—	5.2 + 1.9	5.6 + 3.0	6.4 + 1.5	4.8 + 1.3	9.7 + 2.8	16.3 + 3.5	10.2 + 2.2	15.5 + 2.5	8.2 + 1.3	7.2 + 1.3			
7.1 + 4.6	—	0.3 + 0.4	0.5 + 1.0	2.3 + 0.9	1.2 + 0.5	0.6 + 0.7	1.4 + 1.0	1.2 + 0.6	0.9 + 0.6	1.6 + 0.6	0.9 + 0.4			
100	29	471	421	1,268	1,903	633	629	891	903	3,234	3,120			
4,507	1,283	14,739	14,068	53,668	86,077	40,881	10,156	13,909	15,486	119,760	106,364			

(—) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S-130J

Family Life Must Go Well Before Work Can Go Well
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOJ	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	27.5% + 1.6	36.7% + 2.2	25.2% + 2.1	19.7% + 2.9	16.7% + 1.7	26.6% + 2.2	29.6% + 2.2	27.2% + 3.4	29.3% + 2.2	30.3% + 2.9	33.2% + 2.8	20.0% + 1.9
Agree	38.3 + 1.4	35.4 + 1.8	38.1 + 2.2	42.9 + 2.7	45.8 + 3.0	38.7 + 1.7	37.6 + 2.4	38.1 + 4.5	39.0 + 2.3	38.6 + 3.1	35.5 + 2.9	40.2 + 2.4
Neither agree nor disagree	20.9 + 1.3	18.2 + 1.8	22.2 + 2.2	20.7 + 2.8	19.9 + 1.7	20.7 + 1.7	21.2 + 1.9	21.7 + 2.8	18.9 + 2.2	21.9 + 3.2	20.1 + 2.2	23.4 + 2.0
Disagree	11.2 + 1.0	7.6 + 1.2	12.2 + 1.5	15.4 + 2.0	18.0 + 2.0	12.1 + 1.4	9.3 + 1.7	10.6 + 1.4	10.4 + 1.5	8.8 + 2.1	9.7 + 2.1	14.5 + 1.7
Strongly disagree	2.1 + 0.4	2.1 + 0.7	2.3 + 0.6	1.2 + 0.6	1.6 + 0.7	2.0 + 0.4	2.4 + 0.8	2.4 + 1.1	2.4 + 0.8	2.5 + 1.2	1.6 + 0.7	1.9 + 0.7
Sample size	7,586	2,632	2,184	1,314	1,289	4,555	2,325	706	2,666	980	1,437	2,503
Weighted total	269,509	82,098	142,351	19,319	17,649	175,058	78,896	15,555	99,220	42,348	50,656	77,285

Table S_130J (cont.)

Family Life Must Go Well Before Work Can Go Well
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted				Civilian Spouse			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Strongly agree	22.0% ± 8.8	—	34.2% ± 5.3	30.1% ± 5.4	30.4% ± 3.2	31.5% ± 2.3	21.0% ± 4.0	19.0% ± 3.4	19.8% ± 3.5	14.5% ± 2.7	25.2% ± 2.1	28.5% ± 2.7
Agree	40.6% ± 11.6	—	35.3% ± 4.9	36.7% ± 6.2	35.4% ± 2.9	37.9% ± 2.1	39.2% ± 4.0	39.0% ± 4.6	46.7% ± 3.9	46.4% ± 4.0	38.7% ± 2.2	39.1% ± 2.1
Neither agree nor disagree	17.8% ± 7.3	—	19.2% ± 5.4	21.6% ± 5.4	22.3% ± 2.5	18.5% ± 2.2	24.4% ± 3.4	24.3% ± 4.5	18.9% ± 3.3	22.8% ± 3.3	22.7% ± 1.6	19.0% ± 1.5
Disagree	8.1% ± 5.2	—	10.5% ± 2.7	10.3% ± 4.1	9.6% ± 2.3	10.0% ± 1.6	13.2% ± 2.8	17.0% ± 3.1	13.3% ± 2.4	14.3% ± 2.8	11.4% ± 1.5	11.4% ± 1.4
Strongly disagree	11.5% ± 7.2	—	0.7% ± 0.8	1.4% ± 1.4	2.4% ± 1.0	2.1% ± 0.6	2.3% ± 1.1	0.7% ± 0.6	1.4% ± 0.9	2.1% ± 1.1	2.0% ± 0.5	2.0% ± 0.6
Sample size	100	29	469	421	1,272	1,909	635	630	890	905	3,242	3,126
Weighted total	4,533	1,283	14,625	14,055	53,710	86,461	40,992	10,169	13,881	15,497	120,036	106,573

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S.130K

No Time or Energy for Family Due to Work Pre-occupation
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Strongly agree	9.8% + 1.0	12.9% + 1.3	9.3% + 1.4	6.8% + 1.6	4.3% + 1.3	10.1% + 1.3	9.4% + 1.6	8.6% + 2.3	11.1% + 1.6	11.5% + 2.1	11.0% + 2.5	6.3% + 1.5
Agree	24.6 + 1.9	27.0 + 2.1	23.4 + 2.2	26.2 + 3.5	22.0 + 3.2	24.0 + 2.2	26.2 + 4.0	23.0 + 3.3	27.8 + 2.3	29.0 + 3.2	24.4 + 3.0	18.1 + 2.4
Neither agree nor disagree	28.7 + 1.2	29.8 + 2.1	28.6 + 1.9	26.2 + 2.2	25.8 + 2.5	27.8 + 1.1	29.4 + 2.3	34.6 + 7.4	28.8 + 1.7	28.5 + 2.9	31.0 + 3.2	27.0 + 1.5
Disagree	28.9 + 1.9	22.2 + 1.5	30.5 + 2.5	34.0 + 2.5	38.9 + 2.7	30.0 + 2.3	27.1 + 3.4	26.2 + 5.8	25.4 + 2.1	23.3 + 3.4	27.1 + 3.0	37.7 + 2.6
Strongly disagree	8.1 + 1.1	8.1 + 1.3	8.2 + 1.4	6.9 + 1.2	9.0 + 1.8	8.1 + 1.4	8.0 + 1.7	7.6 + 3.9	6.8 + 1.3	7.7 + 2.1	6.5 + 1.4	10.9 + 1.7
Sample size	7,583	2,628	2,185	1,313	1,289	4,553	2,326	704	2,664	982	1,437	2,500
Weighted total	269,605	82,058	142,483	19,298	17,662	175,144	78,951	15,511	99,195	42,429	50,694	77,288

Table S_130K (cont.)

No Time or Energy for Family Due to Work Pre-occupation
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Enlisted	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Officer
Strongly agree	8.9% + 6.4	--	10.2% + 3.2	11.5% + 4.3	9.4% + 1.8	12.6% + 1.9	7.1% + 2.6	5.3% + 1.6	4.8% + 2.0	10.5% + 1.6
Agree	27.0 + 10.8	--	23.0 + 4.3	21.5 + 6.1	26.0 + 2.7	25.8 + 2.6	23.4 + 3.8	25.8 + 4.1	21.0 + 3.8	24.7 + 2.2
Neither agree nor disagree	36.2 + 10.9	--	26.4 + 4.9	28.9 + 4.4	30.5 + 2.9	27.7 + 2.8	31.4 + 4.2	28.8 + 4.1	28.2 + 2.9	29.5 + 2.2
Disagree	16.1 + 9.0	--	29.7 + 4.7	30.0 + 5.4	25.7 + 2.6	28.9 + 2.9	30.2 + 3.5	33.7 + 3.7	37.9 + 4.4	27.0 + 2.3
Strongly disagree	11.8 + 7.0	--	10.6 + 3.8	8.1 + 4.3	8.5 + 2.3	6.9 + 1.5	8.0 + 2.2	6.4 + 1.4	8.1 + 2.5	8.4 + 1.5
Sample size	99	29	470	420	1,269	1,908	636	892	905	3,125
Weighted total	4,471	1,283	14,734	14,051	53,634	86,440	41,059	13,911	15,500	106,586

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S_131A

Think Marriage Might be In Trouble During Last 12 Months
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, thought marriage was in trouble	35.0% + 1.2	40.8% + 2.1	35.6% + 2.1	24.3% + 2.6	21.8% + 3.6	33.9% + 1.3	37.0% + 2.7	37.5% + 2.0	35.0% + 2.5	37.8% + 3.2	38.9% + 4.1	32.3% + 2.4
No, didn't think marriage was in trouble	65.0 + 1.2	59.2 + 2.1	64.4 + 2.1	75.7 + 2.6	78.2 + 3.6	66.1 + 1.3	63.0 + 2.7	62.5 + 2.0	65.0 + 2.5	62.2 + 3.2	63.1 + 4.1	67.7 + 2.4
Sample size	7,493	2,598	2,165	1,293	1,272	4,491	2,302	700	2,644	973	1,417	2,459
Weighted total	266,494	81,143	140,904	19,039	17,457	172,589	78,369	15,536	98,490	41,954	49,995	76,055

Table S_131A (cont.)

Think Marriage Might be in Trouble During Last 12 Months
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Single	Civilian Spouse									
		Dual Military					Enlisted				
		No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+
Yes, thought marriage was in trouble	95.0% + 3.9	--	34.6% + 3.9	42.8% + 5.8	36.0% + 2.4	38.3% + 2.3	28.2% + 4.2	18.2% + 3.6	25.7% + 3.3	19.5% + 3.7	32.3% + 2.0
No, didn't think marriage was in trouble	5.0 + 3.9	--	65.4% + 3.9	57.2% + 5.8	64.0% + 2.4	61.7% + 2.3	71.8% + 4.2	81.8% + 3.6	74.3% + 3.3	80.5% + 3.7	67.7% + 2.0
Sample size	95	29	464	419	1,262	1,880	630	619	879	895	3,071
Weighted total	4,339	1,247	14,481	14,028	53,199	85,043	40,665	10,006	13,713	15,324	104,597

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 131B

Think of Getting a Divorce During Last 12 Months
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, thought about getting a divorce	24.8% + 1.0	28.8% + 1.6	26.5% + 1.7	13.3% + 2.2	10.3% + 2.4	24.5% + 1.3	25.2% + 1.8	26.1% + 2.6	24.1% + 2.1	27.2% + 2.2	27.4% + 3.7	22.8% + 2.2
No, didn't think about getting a divorce	75.2 + 1.0	71.2 + 1.6	73.5 + 1.7	86.7 + 2.2	89.7 + 2.4	75.5 + 1.3	74.8 + 1.8	73.9 + 2.6	75.9 + 2.1	72.8 + 2.2	72.8 + 3.7	77.2 + 2.2
Sample size	7,476	2,592	2,160	1,292	1,267	4,480	2,297	699	2,640	971	1,415	2,450
Weighted total	266,004	80,861	140,791	19,009	17,392	172,400	78,084	15,520	98,454	41,791	49,854	75,904

Table S 131B (cont.)

Think of Getting a Divorce During Last 12 Months
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Yes, thought about getting a divorce	98.6% + 1.9	—	21.0% + 3.4	34.4% + 5.6	26.4% + 2.2	25.8% + 1.7	18.9% + 3.6	10.7% + 2.9	13.4% + 2.3	10.3% + 2.7	23.1% + 1.4	21.2% + 1.9	
No, didn't think about getting a divorce	1.4 + 1.9	—	79.0 + 3.4	65.6 + 5.6	73.6 + 2.2	74.2 + 1.7	81.1 + 3.6	89.3 + 2.9	86.6 + 2.3	89.7 + 2.7	76.9 + 1.4	78.8 + 1.9	
Sample size	95	29	465	417	1,258	1,875	627	619	878	891	3,204	3,066	
Weighted total	4,339	1,247	14,543	13,986	53,168	84,783	40,429	10,006	13,694	15,276	118,704	104,629	

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S.131C

Discuss Divorce or Separation During Last 12 Months
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
Yes, discussed divorce or separation	21.6% ± 1.3	25.2% ± 1.7	23.3% ± 1.8	11.0% ± 1.7	7.4% ± 1.7	21.5% ± 1.6	22.1% ± 2.6	20.7% ± 1.5	21.3% ± 2.0	23.8% ± 2.6	25.0% ± 3.4
No, didn't discuss divorce or separation	78.4 ± 1.3	74.8 ± 1.7	76.7 ± 1.8	89.0 ± 1.7	92.6 ± 1.7	78.5 ± 1.6	77.9 ± 2.6	79.3 ± 1.5	78.7 ± 2.0	76.2 ± 2.6	75.0 ± 3.4
Sample size	7,479	2,591	2,162	1,293	1,268	4,483	2,296	700	2,642	971	1,413
Weighted total	266,104	80,827	140,908	19,018	17,400	172,536	78,040	15,528	98,492	41,792	49,868
											75,954

Table S_131C (cont.)

Discuss Divorce or Separation During Last 12 Months
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+			
Yes, discussed divorce or separation	91.7% + 5.9	--	17.9% + 3.6	31.2% + 4.9	22.9% + 2.7	21.7% + 2.1	16.9% + 2.9	7.4% + 2.1	9.9% + 2.7	8.1% + 2.4	20.1% + 1.5	17.9% + 2.1	
No, didn't discuss divorce or separation	8.3 + 5.9	--	82.1 + 3.6	68.8 + 4.9	77.1 + 2.7	78.3 + 2.1	83.1 + 2.9	92.6 + 2.1	90.1 + 2.7	91.9 + 2.4	79.9 + 1.5	82.1 + 2.1	
Sample size	95	29	464	416	1,259	1,877	628	620	878	892	3,209	3,065	
Weighted total	4,339	1,247	14,514	13,962	53,168	84,840	40,533	10,015	13,694	15,284	118,909	104,543	

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S_131D

File for Divorce or Separation During Last 12 Months
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, filed for divorce or separation	4.5% + 0.6	6.5% + 1.1	4.2% + 0.9	1.2% + 0.6	1.0% + 0.6	4.6% + 0.8	4.3% + 1.0	3.8% + 1.8	4.0% + 1.3	6.1% + 1.5	5.6% + 1.6	3.5% + 1.0
No, didn't file for divorce/separation	95.5 + 0.6	93.5 + 1.1	95.8 + 0.9	98.8 + 0.6	99.0 + 0.6	95.4 + 0.8	95.7 + 1.0	96.2 + 1.8	96.0 + 1.3	93.9 + 1.5	94.4 + 1.6	96.5 + 1.0
Sample size	7,483	2,599	2,157	1,290	1,272	4,483	2,301	699	2,638	975	1,415	2,455
Weighted total	265,888	81,111	140,383	18,982	17,460	172,147	78,239	15,502	98,221	42,039	49,765	75,863

Table S. 131D (cont.)

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed	Spouse Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+			
Yes, filed for divorce or separation	81.9% + 7.4	73.0% + 16.8	2.2% + 1.5	3.9% + 1.9	3.3% + 0.8	1.9% + 0.8	1.2% + 0.8	1.1% + 1.4	0.4% + 0.4	0.4% + 0.4	2.0% + 0.7	2.1% + 0.7	
No, didn't file for divorce/separation	18.1 + 7.4	27.0 + 16.8	97.8 + 1.5	96.1 + 1.9	96.7 + 0.8	98.1 + 0.8	98.8 + 0.8	98.9 + 1.4	99.6 + 0.4	99.6 + 0.4	98.0 + 0.7	97.9 + 0.7	
Sample size	106	35	460	416	1,257	1,875	628	618	876	893	3,205	3,060	
Weighted total	4,785	1,459	14,240	13,962	52,974	84,682	40,501	9,990	13,660	15,292	118,778	104,111	

Table S.132

Happiness of Marriage
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
1 - Very unhappy	3.5% + 0.6	4.6% + 0.9	3.4% + 1.0	2.3% + 1.0	1.3% + 0.5	3.4% + 0.7	3.6% + 1.4	4.2% + 2.3	2.9% + 0.6	4.4% + 1.8	5.3% + 1.5	2.7% + 1.1
2	3.1 + 0.4	3.6 + 0.6	2.9 + 0.6	1.9 + 0.8	2.1 + 0.8	3.1 + 0.5	3.0 + 0.8	3.3 + 1.6	3.3 + 0.7	3.0 + 1.1	3.5 + 1.2	2.4 + 0.6
3	4.5 + 0.5	4.5 + 0.9	4.9 + 1.2	2.6 + 0.9	3.5 + 1.2	4.0 + 0.6	5.4 + 1.2	5.0 + 1.1	3.9 + 1.0	4.3 + 1.7	4.8 + 1.2	5.1 + 1.1
4	10.8 + 0.8	10.9 + 1.4	12.2 + 1.2	6.2 + 1.5	6.4 + 1.6	11.2 + 0.9	10.2 + 1.7	9.5 + 3.4	11.0 + 1.6	10.7 + 1.5	11.1 + 2.2	10.4 + 1.5
5	16.3 + 0.9	17.4 + 1.7	15.8 + 1.5	14.4 + 2.3	16.8 + 2.1	15.8 + 1.2	16.8 + 1.4	18.8 + 2.7	15.3 + 1.4	18.1 + 2.8	16.4 + 2.0	16.5 + 1.6
6	27.7 + 1.3	25.2 + 2.3	27.1 + 1.9	36.2 + 3.1	32.0 + 2.8	27.4 + 1.6	28.3 + 2.3	28.5 + 4.4	28.6 + 2.2	27.7 + 2.8	28.0 + 3.4	27.7 + 2.6
7 - Very happy	34.1 + 1.2	33.8 + 1.9	33.7 + 2.0	36.3 + 2.4	37.9 + 2.2	35.0 + 1.4	32.8 + 2.3	30.6 + 3.4	35.0 + 2.6	31.6 + 3.1	32.8 + 3.9	35.3 + 1.8
Sample size	7,467	2,601	2,159	1,291	1,252	4,477	2,298	692	2,643	969	1,420	2,435
Weighted total	265,677	81,294	140,349	18,946	17,162	172,149	78,175	15,354	98,552	41,640	50,109	75,376

Table S 132 (cont.)

Happiness of Marriage
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse										
		Single					Dual Military					Spouse not Employed
		No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+
		Enlisted					Officer					Spouse Employed
		No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+
1 - Very unhappy	56.3% + 11.0 - 19.8 + 8.3	69.1% + 16.4 - 11.1 + 13.7	3.0% + 1.8 - 2.1 + 1.4	2.2% + 1.6 - 3.6 + 2.5	2.9% + 1.0 - 4.0 + 1.0	1.5% + 0.7 - 2.1 + 0.9	1.2% + 1.0 - 1.8 + 1.0	2.1% + 1.6 - 1.4 + 0.8	2.1% + 1.2 - 2.7 + 1.6	0.7% + 0.6 - 2.7 + 1.4	1.7% + 0.5 - 3.0 + 0.6	2.0% + 0.7 - 2.2 + 0.7
2	8.8 + 6.3 - 6.3	4.5 + 6.3 - 6.3	5.4 + 3.0 - 3.0	6.0 + 3.2 - 6.0	5.3 + 1.4 - 5.3	4.4 + 1.4 - 4.4	2.9 + 1.6 - 2.9	2.5 + 2.0 - 2.5	3.1 + 1.4 - 3.1	3.3 + 1.8 - 3.3	4.5 + 0.9 - 4.5	3.8 + 0.9 - 3.8
3	5.5 + 3.5 - 3.0	3.2 + 6.2 - 7.8	7.8 + 3.0 - 3.0	15.8 + 4.2 - 15.8	10.9 + 1.8 - 10.9	12.9 + 2.0 - 12.9	11.8 + 2.5 - 11.8	5.1 + 1.8 - 5.1	6.5 + 2.1 - 6.5	6.1 + 2.2 - 6.1	11.5 + 1.3 - 11.5	9.9 + 1.3 - 9.9
4	3.0 + 5.8 - 4.0	0.0 + 0.0 - 4.7	14.7 + 4.0 - 27.9	22.5 + 5.7 - 20.4	15.6 + 1.5 - 26.7	18.3 + 1.9 - 27.7	14.7 + 2.8 - 30.8	11.0 + 2.7 - 35.1	17.9 + 2.6 - 36.4	17.8 + 3.4 - 33.8	16.1 + 1.3 - 28.7	16.9 + 1.6 - 29.8
5	2.7 + 4.0 - 4.0	7.3 + 7.8 - 7.8	38.9 + 6.0 - 38.9	29.5 + 5.5 - 29.5	34.7 + 2.7 - 34.7	33.2 + 2.4 - 33.2	36.8 + 4.2 - 36.8	42.7 + 4.2 - 42.7	31.3 + 3.4 - 31.3	35.5 + 3.8 - 35.5	34.4 + 2.0 - 34.4	35.4 + 1.9 - 35.4
6	103	33	460	411	1,260	1,876	624	617	875	883	3,178	3,076
7 - Very happy	4,659	1,395	14,481	13,645	53,225	84,882	40,194	9,988	13,616	15,044	117,928	104,833
Sample size												
Weighted total												

Table S 133

Spouse Supportiveness of Being in the Army Now
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very supportive	45.5%	33.2%	49.4%	53.9%	60.0%	45.7%	44.7%	47.1%	43.2%	40.0%	48.1%	49.8%
	+ 1.8	+ 2.4	+ 2.4	+ 4.2	+ 3.1	+ 2.3	+ 2.9	+ 3.8	+ 2.5	+ 3.5	+ 4.0	+ 3.0
fairly supportive	23.9	23.2	24.1	26.5	22.5	23.7	23.3	29.3	25.2	25.1	20.8	23.8
	+ 1.2	+ 1.8	+ 1.9	+ 2.6	+ 2.1	+ 1.5	+ 1.9	+ 4.3	+ 2.1	+ 4.1	+ 2.9	+ 2.5
Mixed or neutral	20.7	28.3	18.6	13.4	11.7	20.2	22.9	15.0	21.6	24.0	19.4	18.6
	+ 1.4	+ 2.1	+ 2.2	+ 2.3	+ 1.7	+ 1.7	+ 2.9	+ 1.3	+ 2.3	+ 3.0	+ 2.6	+ 1.8
fairly unsupportive	3.7	5.1	2.8	3.2	3.6	3.8	3.3	4.4	4.0	3.6	3.5	3.5
	+ 0.5	+ 1.0	+ 0.6	+ 1.1	+ 1.5	+ 0.6	+ 0.8	+ 2.5	+ 0.8	+ 1.5	+ 1.2	+ 0.7
Very unsupportive	4.1	6.3	3.4	2.7	2.0	4.4	3.5	2.5	4.2	5.0	4.5	3.1
	+ 0.6	+ 0.9	+ 0.8	+ 1.0	+ 1.0	+ 0.7	+ 1.0	+ 1.0	+ 0.9	+ 1.6	+ 1.4	+ 1.2
Don't know	2.2	3.9	1.7	0.3	0.3	2.2	2.3	1.8	1.9	2.3	3.9	1.3
	+ 0.4	+ 0.7	+ 0.5	+ 0.5	+ 0.3	+ 0.5	+ 0.5	+ 1.1	+ 0.5	+ 0.9	+ 1.2	+ 0.5
Sample size	7,621	2,649	2,196	1,318	1,291	4,573	2,340	708	2,684	985	1,449	2,503
Weighted total	270,822	82,702	142,994	19,391	17,692	175,771	79,422	15,628	99,962	42,556	50,992	77,312

Table S_133 (cont.)

Spouse Supportiveness of Being in the Army Now
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
Very supportive	9.1% + 6.3	7.3% + 9.4	53.2% + 6.2	56.1% + 6.1	38.6% + 3.8	41.2% + 2.7	52.3% + 4.0	57.4% + 5.3	49.6% + 3.9	60.2% + 4.8	44.9% + 2.7	45.5% + 2.9
Fairly supportive	1.7 + 2.4	16.2 + 13.9	18.9 + 4.4	22.6 + 5.7	22.0 + 2.5	27.6 + 2.1	24.6 + 3.6	22.7 + 3.9	29.1 + 3.4	21.1 + 3.5	25.9 + 1.7	24.3 + 1.9
Mixed or neutral	25.5 + 9.5	21.2 + 15.3	19.6 + 3.7	13.4 + 3.2	25.0 + 2.7	24.4 + 3.3	16.3 + 2.8	14.2 + 3.1	13.8 + 2.9	12.6 + 2.3	20.6 + 1.8	21.7 + 2.4
Fairly unsupportive	7.2 + 4.8	5.0 + 6.9	3.0 + 2.0	4.1 + 2.6	4.9 + 1.2	3.3 + 0.7	2.1 + 1.1	3.7 + 1.7	5.0 + 2.0	3.9 + 2.3	4.0 + 0.8	3.1 + 0.7
Very unsupportive	20.6 + 7.6	22.6 + 20.4	2.8 + 1.8	1.7 + 1.7	6.3 + 1.4	3.0 + 0.9	3.6 + 1.8	1.0 + 0.6	2.5 + 1.0	1.8 + 1.1	3.5 + 1.0	4.0 + 0.8
Don't know	35.9 + 10.1	27.8 + 17.6	2.6 + 1.9	2.1 + 1.7	3.1 + 1.0	0.5 + 0.3	1.2 + 0.9	1.0 + 1.6	0.0 + 0.0	0.3 + 0.6	1.1 + 0.4	1.3 + 0.6
Sample size	105	31	476	423	1,277	1,909	633	630	892	906	3,252	3,123
Weighted total	4,744	1,352	14,946	14,109	53,879	86,277	40,884	10,185	13,860	15,514	120,609	106,221

Table S 134

Spouse Supportiveness of Making the Army a Career
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very supportive	40.8% + 1.5 -	20.0% + 1.9 -	49.8% + 2.2 -	41.2% + 3.6 -	60.8% + 3.5 -	41.4% + 1.9 -	38.9% + 2.8 -	43.4% + 3.5 -	38.0% + 2.5 -	34.7% + 3.3 -	40.8% + 3.2 -	47.7% + 2.9 -
Fairly supportive	19.8 + 1.4 -	15.9 + 1.6 -	20.7 + 2.2 -	23.5 + 3.0 -	23.0 + 3.3 -	19.7 + 1.9 -	19.4 + 2.4 -	23.2 + 4.1 -	20.0 + 2.1 -	18.9 + 2.7 -	18.7 + 2.8 -	22.1 + 2.9 -
Mixed or neutral	20.9 + 1.3 -	30.1 + 2.0 -	17.4 + 1.8 -	19.4 + 2.4 -	10.4 + 2.3 -	19.5 + 1.7 -	24.5 + 2.1 -	19.0 + 1.8 -	22.0 + 2.3 -	24.1 + 2.7 -	20.6 + 2.4 -	18.1 + 1.8 -
Fairly unsupportive	5.3 + 0.6 -	7.5 + 1.0 -	4.1 + 0.7 -	7.3 + 1.5 -	3.1 + 1.0 -	5.4 + 0.7 -	4.8 + 1.3 -	5.6 + 0.8 -	6.2 + 0.9 -	5.3 + 1.5 -	5.1 + 1.2 -	4.1 + 0.8 -
Very unsupportive	10.1 + 1.1 -	20.0 + 1.8 -	6.0 + 1.3 -	7.9 + 1.5 -	2.5 + 0.9 -	11.0 + 1.5 -	8.8 + 1.4 -	6.3 + 2.1 -	10.8 + 1.4 -	12.9 + 2.2 -	11.6 + 2.1 -	6.5 + 1.5 -
Don't know	3.2 + 0.5 -	6.5 + 1.1 -	2.0 + 0.6 -	0.7 + 0.6 -	0.3 + 0.3 -	3.1 + 0.6 -	3.6 + 0.7 -	2.5 + 1.9 -	3.0 + 0.6 -	4.1 + 1.3 -	5.2 + 1.4 -	1.6 + 0.6 -
Sample size	7,606	2,641	2,198	1,313	1,287	4,563	2,335	708	2,677	985	1,446	2,498
Weighted total	270,627	82,469	143,166	19,290	17,659	175,693	79,306	15,628	99,796	42,558	50,967	77,308

CHILDREN

Table CH35

Have Child Age 3-5
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
Have child of age 3-5	15.3% + 0.9	7.5% + 0.9	25.5% + 1.6	15.6% + 1.9	14.6% + 1.9	15.8% + 1.2	14.4% + 1.4	14.7% + 5.4	13.6% + 1.2	14.4% + 1.8	14.4% + 1.9
Have child(ren), but none this age	27.6 + 1.9	13.6 + 0.9	41.3 + 2.6	24.5 + 3.2	58.4 + 4.0	29.0 + 2.6	25.5 + 2.6	23.8 + 6.9	23.5 + 1.9	23.0 + 2.3	26.8 + 3.1
Have no children	57.1 + 2.4	78.9 + 1.3	33.2 + 2.3	59.9 + 4.0	27.0 + 3.2	55.2 + 3.3	60.1 + 3.4	61.5 + 9.6	62.9 + 1.9	62.5 + 2.6	58.8 + 3.6
Sample size	10,772	4,606	2,786	1,720	1,458	8,411	3,306	1,055	4,036	1,512	2,066
Weighted total	459,080	224,890	174,570	30,397	19,858	295,001	136,830	27,249	190,133	79,032	85,620
											104,296

Table CH35 (cont..)

Have Child Age 3-5
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+				
Have child of age 3-5	0.0% + 0.0	29.0% + 6.6	0.0% + 0.0	31.6% + 4.7	0.0% + 0.0	58.7% + 2.6	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	56.7% + 4.2	0.0% + 0.0	20.6% + 1.8	31.9% + 2.4	
Have child(ren), but none this age	0.0% + 0.0	71.0% + 6.6	0.0% + 0.0	68.4% + 4.7	0.0% + 0.0	41.3% + 2.6	100.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	43.3% + 4.2	100.0% + 0.0	45.3% + 2.5	45.7% + 2.2		
Have no children	100.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	34.1% + 2.5	22.4% + 2.1		
Sample size	2,899	245	483	426	1,301	1,923	639	633	898	907	3,228	3,096		
Weighted total	177,385	11,007	15,274	14,170	55,050	86,998	41,253	10,222	14,055	15,528	119,327	105,493		

Table CH69

Have Child Age 6-9
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Have child of age 6-9	14.9% + 1.3	4.2% + 0.6	26.7% + 2.0	13.2% + 2.7	26.3% + 2.8	15.7% + 1.8	13.1% + 2.2	14.2% + 3.6	12.6% + 1.3	11.9% + 1.9	13.8% + 2.3	22.1% + 2.0
Have child(ren), but none this age	28.1 + 1.4	16.9 + 1.1	40.0 + 1.7	26.9 + 2.5	46.7 + 4.2	29.1 + 1.9	26.7 + 2.0	24.3 + 6.0	24.5 + 1.5	25.6 + 2.3	27.4 + 2.7	37.1 + 2.1
Have no children	57.1 + 2.4	78.9 + 1.3	33.2 + 2.3	59.9 + 4.0	27.0 + 3.2	55.2 + 3.3	60.1 + 3.4	61.5 + 9.6	62.9 + 1.9	62.5 + 2.6	58.8 + 3.6	40.8 + 2.5
Sample size	10,772	4,606	2,786	1,720	1,458	6,411	3,306	1,055	4,036	1,512	2,066	3,158
Weighted total	459,080	224,890	174,570	30,397	19,858	235,001	136,830	27,249	190,133	79,032	85,620	104,296

Table S 135

Number of Dependent Children
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
None	48.8% + 2.4 - 2.7	71.9% + 1.6 - 1.6	23.0% + 1.7 - 1.7	57.2% + 4.2 - 4.2	21.0% + 2.9 - 2.9	47.5% + 3.4 - 3.4	51.2% + 3.4 - 3.4	50.6% + 6.2 - 6.2	54.9% + 1.8 - 1.8	53.7% + 2.9 - 2.9	49.5% + 3.6 - 3.6	33.3% + 2.7 - 2.7
One	19.8 + 0.9 - 1.8	15.9 + 1.1 - 1.1	25.3 + 1.5 - 1.5	18.0 + 1.7 - 1.7	16.9 + 1.9 - 1.9	19.7 + 0.9 - 0.9	20.7 + 2.2 - 2.2	17.5 + 2.8 - 2.8	18.6 + 1.1 - 1.1	19.6 + 2.4 - 2.4	21.8 + 2.4 - 2.4	20.6 + 1.8 - 1.8
Two	19.6 + 1.3 - 1.3	8.8 + 0.8 - 0.8	30.5 + 2.0 - 2.0	18.8 + 2.7 - 2.7	39.4 + 2.7 - 2.7	20.6 + 1.9 - 1.9	17.4 + 1.8 - 1.8	19.5 + 3.8 - 3.8	16.3 + 1.2 - 1.2	17.4 + 2.3 - 2.3	18.0 + 1.8 - 1.8	28.5 + 2.5 - 2.5
Three	7.8 + 0.6 - 0.6	2.5 + 0.4 - 0.4	13.2 + 1.3 - 1.3	6.1 + 1.2 - 1.2	15.2 + 2.0 - 2.0	8.0 + 0.8 - 0.8	7.0 + 1.0 - 1.0	9.0 + 2.6 - 2.6	6.9 + 0.8 - 0.8	6.7 + 1.4 - 1.4	7.5 + 1.4 - 1.4	10.3 + 1.2 - 1.2
Four	2.9 + 0.4 - 0.4	0.6 + 0.2 - 0.2	5.8 + 0.8 - 0.8	1.3 + 0.5 - 0.5	5.5 + 1.4 - 1.4	2.8 + 0.5 - 0.5	3.1 + 0.7 - 0.7	3.2 + 1.0 - 1.0	2.3 + 0.6 - 0.6	2.1 + 0.9 - 0.9	2.3 + 0.5 - 0.5	5.1 + 0.8 - 0.8
Five	0.9 + 0.3 - 0.3	0.1 + 0.1 - 0.1	1.8 + 0.6 - 0.6	0.6 + 0.4 - 0.4	1.3 + 0.8 - 0.8	1.0 + 0.4 - 0.4	0.6 + 0.4 - 0.4	0.1 + 0.1 - 0.1	0.6 + 0.3 - 0.3	0.2 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.6 + 0.4 - 0.4	1.9 + 0.8 - 0.8
Six or more	0.3 + 0.1 - 0.1	0.1 + 0.1 - 0.1	0.5 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.1 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.7 + 0.7 - 0.7	0.4 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.0 + 0.0 - 0.0	0.2 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.3 + 0.2 - 0.2	0.3 + 0.3 - 0.3	0.2 + 0.3 - 0.3	0.3 + 0.3 - 0.3
Sample size	11,034	4,709	2,903	1,741	1,470	6,574	3,379	1,081	4,146	1,544	2,124	3,220
Weighted total	471,492	228,255	182,636	30,748	20,060	303,589	139,946	27,957	195,084	80,818	88,495	107,094

Table S_135 (cont.)
Number of Dependent Children
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed
	Enlisted					Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed
None	91.5% ± 1.0	0.0% ± 0.0	88.8% ± 3.5	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	76.8% ± 2.4	0.0% ± 0.0	85.9% ± 3.8	0.0% ± 0.0	26.2% ± 2.2
One	5.2 ± 0.7	51.8 ± 6.9	7.8 ± 2.8	50.4 ± 5.1	27.8 ± 3.9	12.0 ± 2.1	36.0 ± 2.8	7.8 ± 4.1	32.8 ± 3.7	26.7 ± 1.7
Two	2.5 ± 0.6	33.0 ± 6.4	2.2 ± 1.7	31.9 ± 5.4	44.8 ± 5.2	7.5 ± 1.3	38.3 ± 3.0	4.6 ± 1.5	39.6 ± 3.7	28.0 ± 1.7
Three	0.6 ± 0.3	11.0 ± 4.5	0.5 ± 0.7	12.9 ± 3.8	18.9 ± 4.0	2.7 ± 0.9	15.2 ± 2.2	1.5 ± 1.4	19.0 ± 2.5	12.3 ± 1.5
Four	0.2 ± 0.2	2.0 ± 1.9	0.7 ± 1.3	3.9 ± 2.3	6.4 ± 1.9	0.7 ± 0.4	7.7 ± 1.7	0.1 ± 0.2	5.4 ± 1.5	5.2 ± 0.8
Five	0.0 ± 0.0	1.0 ± 1.4	0.0 ± 0.0	0.9 ± 1.1	1.6 ± 1.0	0.3 ± 0.3	2.3 ± 1.0	0.0 ± 0.0	2.6 ± 1.5	1.3 ± 0.7
Six or more	0.0 ± 0.0	1.3 ± 2.1	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.5 ± 0.6	0.0 ± 0.0	0.4 ± 0.4	0.0 ± 0.0	0.7 ± 0.5	0.3 ± 0.2
Sample size	2,899	245	483	426	639	1,301	1,923	633	898	3,283
Weighted total	177,385	11,007	15,274	14,170	41,253	55,050	86,998	10,272	14,055	121,887
										107,906

Table NMDEP

of Dependent Children (recoded S 135)
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCINUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
No children	48.8% + 2.4 -	71.9% + 1.6 -	23.0% + 1.7 -	57.2% + 4.2 -	21.0% + 2.9 -	47.5% + 3.4 -	51.2% + 3.4 -	50.6% + 6.2 -	54.9% + 1.8 -	53.7% + 2.9 -	49.5% + 3.6 -	33.3% + 2.7 -
One child	19.8 + 0.9 -	15.9 + 1.1 -	25.3 + 1.5 -	18.0 + 1.7 -	16.9 + 1.9 -	19.7 + 0.9 -	20.7 + 2.2 -	17.5 + 2.8 -	18.6 + 1.1 -	19.6 + 2.4 -	21.8 + 2.4 -	20.6 + 1.8 -
Two children	19.8 + 1.3 -	8.8 + 0.8 -	30.5 + 2.0 -	18.8 + 2.7 -	39.4 + 2.7 -	20.6 + 1.9 -	17.4 + 1.8 -	19.5 + 3.8 -	16.3 + 1.2 -	17.4 + 2.3 -	18.0 + 1.8 -	28.5 + 2.5 -
Three children	7.8 + 0.6 -	2.5 + 0.4 -	13.2 + 1.3 -	6.1 + 1.2 -	15.2 + 2.0 -	8.0 + 0.8 -	7.0 + 1.0 -	9.0 + 2.6 -	6.9 + 0.8 -	6.7 + 1.4 -	7.5 + 1.4 -	10.3 + 1.2 -
four or more children	4.1 + 0.3 -	0.8 + 0.3 -	8.0 + 1.0 -	2.0 + 0.7 -	7.4 + 1.3 -	4.3 + 0.8 -	3.8 + 0.9 -	3.5 + 0.9 -	3.3 + 0.8 -	2.6 + 0.9 -	3.1 + 0.8 -	7.4 + 0.9 -
Sample size	11,034	4,709	2,903	1,741	1,470	6,574	3,379	1,081	4,146	1,544	2,124	3,220
Weighted total	471,492	228,255	182,636	30,748	20,060	303,589	139,946	27,957	195,084	80,818	88,495	107,094

**# of Dependent Children (recorded S 135)
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status**

751

Table S 136

of Dependent Children Living with You
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type					
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
None	14.0% + 1.1 -	22.1% + 2.3 -	12.2% + 1.6 -	5.0% + 2.2 -	7.3% + 1.3 -	12.5% + 1.3 -	16.4% + 2.2 -	20.1% + 10.6 -	15.1% + 2.1 -	17.0% + 3.2 -	15.8% + 2.7 -	10.1% + 1.6 -
One	34.1 + 1.5 -	44.5 + 2.8 -	30.2 + 2.2 -	41.6 + 4.0 -	23.6 + 2.9 -	33.6 + 1.7 -	38.3 + 3.1 -	28.8 + 5.8 -	34.7 + 2.6 -	35.2 + 3.5 -	38.0 + 3.4 -	30.6 + 2.8 -
Two	34.5 + 1.7 -	25.8 + 2.8 -	38.5 + 2.5 -	37.2 + 3.6 -	45.9 + 2.7 -	38.5 + 1.9 -	30.7 + 3.9 -	31.1 + 6.6 -	33.0 + 2.4 -	32.8 + 4.0 -	31.2 + 2.9 -	39.4 + 3.2 -
Three	12.1 + 0.8 -	6.0 + 0.9 -	14.1 + 1.4 -	12.8 + 2.2 -	18.8 + 2.2 -	11.9 + 1.0 -	11.9 + 1.4 -	14.6 + 2.9 -	12.4 + 1.5 -	10.9 + 2.0 -	10.9 + 2.1 -	13.0 + 1.6 -
Four	3.8 + 0.7 -	1.1 + 0.4 -	5.1 + 1.2 -	2.1 + 1.1 -	5.2 + 1.0 -	3.8 + 0.8 -	3.8 + 1.7 -	4.7 + 3.3 -	3.7 + 1.3 -	3.0 + 1.7 -	3.0 + 1.0 -	4.9 + 1.3 -
Five	1.0 + 0.3 -	0.1 + 0.1 -	1.5 + 0.5 -	0.9 + 0.6 -	0.5 + 0.5 -	1.3 + 0.4 -	0.7 + 0.6 -	0.2 + 0.3 -	0.7 + 0.5 -	0.5 + 0.5 -	1.1 + 0.9 -	1.7 + 0.7 -
Six or more	0.4 + 0.2 -	0.3 + 0.4 -	0.4 + 0.4 -	0.3 + 0.4 -	0.6 + 0.6 -	0.4 + 0.3 -	0.2 + 0.2 -	0.5 + 0.5 -	0.4 + 0.4 -	0.7 + 0.7 -	0.0 + 0.0 -	0.3 + 0.3 -
Sample size	6,085	1,914	2,012	863	1,146	3,670	1,846	569	2,073	763	1,123	2,126
Weighted total	228,251	60,665	132,005	12,790	15,641	150,083	65,079	13,088	82,794	35,243	41,753	68,461

Table S 136 (cont.)

of Dependent Children Living with You
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
None	100.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	100.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	100.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	10.1% ± 1.7	5.9% ± 1.2
One	0.0 ± 0.0	59.6 ± 6.4	0.0 ± 0.0	58.3 ± 5.7	0.0 ± 0.0	39.2 ± 2.8	0.0 ± 0.0	33.1 ± 4.7	29.7 ± 3.1	0.0 ± 0.0	34.8 ± 3.8	34.4 ± 2.2	32.5 ± 2.7	32.5 ± 2.7
Two	0.0 ± 0.0	32.4 ± 6.3	0.0 ± 0.0	33.3 ± 5.9	0.0 ± 0.0	39.4 ± 2.8	0.0 ± 0.0	44.8 ± 4.8	50.3 ± 3.7	0.0 ± 0.0	40.3 ± 3.6	36.9 ± 2.5	40.3 ± 3.1	40.3 ± 3.1
Three	0.0 ± 0.0	6.2 ± 3.7	0.0 ± 0.0	5.9 ± 2.4	0.0 ± 0.0	13.8 ± 1.8	0.0 ± 0.0	16.9 ± 3.2	16.2 ± 2.8	0.0 ± 0.0	17.6 ± 3.0	13.3 ± 1.8	14.8 ± 1.4	14.8 ± 1.4
Four	0.0 ± 0.0	0.5 ± 0.6	0.0 ± 0.0	1.3 ± 1.1	0.0 ± 0.0	5.7 ± 1.6	0.0 ± 0.0	4.0 ± 1.9	3.4 ± 1.4	0.0 ± 0.0	5.2 ± 1.6	4.2 ± 1.0	4.7 ± 1.1	4.7 ± 1.1
Five	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	1.2 ± 1.2	0.0 ± 0.0	1.7 ± 0.8	0.0 ± 0.0	0.7 ± 0.7	0.1 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	1.4 ± 0.9	0.9 ± 0.5	1.3 ± 0.6	1.3 ± 0.6
Six or more	0.0 ± 0.0	1.3 ± 2.1	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.2 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.0	0.4 ± 0.6	0.3 ± 0.5	0.0 ± 0.0	0.7 ± 0.5	0.2 ± 0.3	0.5 ± 0.4	0.5 ± 0.4
Sample size	319	243	42	425	288	1,915	85	637	895	907	2,228	2,544	86,520	86,520
Weighted total	15,156	10,944	1,711	14,140	12,776	86,507	1,441	41,054	13,970	15,528	87,169	86,520	86,520	86,520

Table CHILDAE

Age of Youngest Dependent Child Living with Soldier
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOJ	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Youngest child less than 1 year old	12.2% ± 1.5	24.8% ± 2.0	8.0% ± 1.7	18.0% ± 2.9	3.9% ± 1.5	11.9% ± 1.9	13.7% ± 2.4	7.9% ± 2.2	14.1% ± 2.2	12.5% ± 2.6	14.6% ± 3.5	8.4% ± 1.9
Youngest child 1-2 years old	27.6 ± 1.7	42.0 ± 2.7	23.3 ± 2.0	39.3 ± 3.9	11.8 ± 2.5	28.1 ± 2.1	31.7 ± 3.4	24.5 ± 3.1	29.5 ± 2.0	35.6 ± 4.5	28.3 ± 3.6	21.2 ± 2.0
Youngest child 3-5 years old	22.9 ± 1.4	18.9 ± 2.4	26.3 ± 2.1	19.9 ± 3.1	14.8 ± 2.6	23.0 ± 1.4	22.1 ± 2.8	26.2 ± 10.3	22.7 ± 2.8	24.3 ± 3.8	22.7 ± 3.7	22.5 ± 3.1
Youngest child 6-9 years old	16.8 ± 1.6	7.3 ± 1.4	19.7 ± 2.2	13.7 ± 3.1	20.9 ± 3.0	17.3 ± 2.2	14.8 ± 2.3	19.4 ± 6.7	16.3 ± 2.2	12.6 ± 3.3	16.9 ± 3.6	19.2 ± 2.2
Youngest child 10-14 years old	12.1 ± 1.5	2.4 ± 1.0	13.8 ± 1.7	6.0 ± 1.9	28.0 ± 2.9	12.7 ± 1.9	10.4 ± 2.6	13.2 ± 3.0	9.9 ± 2.0	9.7 ± 3.1	8.4 ± 2.0	17.9 ± 2.5
Youngest child 15-17 years old	3.9 ± 0.7	0.6 ± 0.3	4.2 ± 0.9	1.4 ± 0.9	13.2 ± 2.3	4.2 ± 1.0	3.1 ± 0.8	3.2 ± 1.0	2.7 ± 0.9	2.9 ± 2.3	4.2 ± 1.8	5.5 ± 1.5
Youngest child 18 years old or older	1.5 ± 0.6	0.3 ± 0.3	1.3 ± 0.6	1.3 ± 0.9	6.0 ± 2.6	1.8 ± 0.8	0.5 ± 0.4	2.1 ± 1.6	0.5 ± 0.4	0.7 ± 0.8	1.1 ± 0.8	3.3 ± 1.3
Youngest child's age unknown	3.1 ± 0.7	3.7 ± 1.4	3.5 ± 1.0	0.5 ± 0.5	1.4 ± 0.6	2.9 ± 0.9	3.6 ± 1.5	3.6 ± 1.3	4.3 ± 1.5	1.7 ± 0.9	3.8 ± 1.9	2.1 ± 0.9
Sample size	5,346	1,574	1,751	826	1,058	3,289	1,595	462	1,792	655	969	1,930
Weighted total	197,115	47,391	116,592	12,176	14,496	132,068	54,584	10,484	70,465	29,624	35,246	61,780

Table CHILDAGE (cont.)

Age of Youngest Dependent Child Living with Soldier
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
Youngest child less than 1 year old	-- + 6.3	15.3% + 6.3	--	--	19.7% + 4.1	--	--	18.5% + 2.1	0.0% + 0.0	--	18.2% + 3.2	0.0% + 0.0	6.4% + 1.3	16.3% + 2.2
Youngest child 1-2 years old	-- + 4.7	21.9 + 4.7	--	--	31.1 + 5.3	--	--	44.2 + 2.6	0.0 + 0.0	--	46.0 + 3.5	0.0 + 0.0	21.6 + 2.3	33.4 + 2.9
Youngest child 3-5 years old	-- + 4.6	19.9 + 4.6	--	--	21.8 + 5.0	--	--	37.2 + 3.1	0.0 + 0.0	--	35.8 + 3.5	0.0 + 0.0	23.2 + 2.3	22.9 + 2.6
Youngest child 6-9 years old	-- + 5.7	19.3 + 5.7	--	--	13.2 + 4.1	--	--	0.0 + 0.0	52.8 + 4.5	--	0.0 + 0.0	38.8 + 5.4	20.8 + 2.3	13.3 + 2.2
Youngest child 10-14 years old	-- + 5.8	14.8 + 5.8	--	--	8.5 + 3.3	--	--	0.0 + 0.0	33.2 + 3.6	--	0.0 + 0.0	39.0 + 4.4	16.9 + 2.1	7.9 + 1.7
Youngest child 15-17 years old	-- + 2.8	2.3 + 2.8	--	--	1.6 + 1.5	--	--	0.0 + 0.0	10.3 + 2.5	--	0.0 + 0.0	15.0 + 2.9	5.7 + 1.3	2.6 + 0.7
Youngest child 18 years old or older	-- + 1.4	1.5 + 1.4	--	--	0.8 + 0.8	--	--	0.0 + 0.0	3.7 + 1.6	--	0.0 + 0.0	7.2 + 2.8	2.0 + 1.0	1.2 + 0.7
Youngest child's age unknown	-- + 4.4	4.9 + 4.4	--	--	3.2 + 1.8	--	--	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	--	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	3.3 + 1.0	2.4 + 0.8
Sample size	0	245	0	0	426	0	0	1,923	639	0	898	907	2,019	2,397
Weighted total	0	11,007	0	0	14,170	0	0	86,998	41,253	0	14,055	15,528	78,627	81,865

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table CH0
Have Child Less Than 1 Year Old
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Have child less than 1 year old	5.2% ± 0.5	5.2% ± 0.5	5.3% ± 1.1	7.2% ± 1.4	2.8% ± 1.1	5.3% ± 0.7	5.5% ± 0.8	3.0% ± 1.1	5.2% ± 0.8	4.7% ± 1.0	6.0% ± 1.4	5.0% ± 1.1
Have child(ren), but none this age	37.7 ± 2.5	15.8 ± 1.2	61.5 ± 2.6	32.9 ± 3.5	70.2 ± 3.8	39.5 ± 3.5	34.4 ± 3.5	35.4 ± 9.0	31.8 ± 2.0	32.8 ± 2.5	35.2 ± 3.8	54.3 ± 2.6
Have no children	57.1 ± 2.4	78.9 ± 1.3	33.2 ± 2.3	59.9 ± 4.0	27.0 ± 3.2	55.2 ± 3.3	60.1 ± 3.4	61.5 ± 9.6	62.9 ± 1.9	62.5 ± 2.6	58.8 ± 3.6	40.8 ± 2.5
Sample size	10,772	4,606	2,786	1,720	1,456	6,411	3,306	1,055	4,036	1,512	2,066	3,158
Weighted total	459,080	224,890	174,570	30,397	19,858	295,001	136,830	27,249	190,133	79,032	85,620	104,296

Table CH0 (cont.)

Have Child Less Than 1 Year Old
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted				Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Have child less than 1 year old	0.0% ± 0.0	15.3% ± 6.3	0.0% ± 0.0	19.7% ± 4.1	0.0% ± 0.0	18.5% ± 2.1	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	18.2% ± 3.2	0.0% ± 0.0	4.2% ± 0.8	12.7% ± 1.7
Have child(ren), but none this age	0.0% ± 0.0	84.7% ± 6.3	0.0% ± 0.0	80.3% ± 4.1	0.0% ± 0.0	81.5% ± 2.1	100.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	81.8% ± 3.2	100.0% ± 0.0	61.7% ± 2.8	64.9% ± 2.5
Have no children	100.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	100.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	100.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	100.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	34.1% ± 2.5	22.4% ± 2.1
Sample size	2,899	245	483	426	1,301	1,923	639	633	898	907	3,228	3,096
Weighted total	177,385	11,007	15,274	14,170	55,050	86,998	41,253	10,222	14,055	15,528	119,327	105,493

Table CH12
Have Child Age 1-2
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type					
	Total	Junior Enlisted	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA		
Have child of age 1-2	12.8% + 0.7	9.9% + 0.9	16.4% + 1.3	17.5% + 1.9	9.1% + 1.8	12.6% + 0.9	13.8% + 1.0	10.5% + 3.1	12.0% + 1.0	14.4% + 1.6	12.6% + 1.7	13.4% + 1.2
Have child(ren), but none this age	30.1 + 2.3	11.1 + 0.9	50.4 + 2.5	22.5 + 3.3	63.9 + 3.7	32.1 + 3.1	28.1 + 3.4	28.0 + 6.6	25.1 + 1.5	23.1 + 3.0	28.5 + 3.3	45.8 + 2.4
Have no children	57.1 + 2.4	78.9 + 1.3	33.2 + 2.3	59.9 + 4.0	27.0 + 3.2	55.2 + 3.3	60.1 + 3.4	61.5 + 9.6	62.9 + 1.9	62.5 + 2.6	58.8 + 3.6	40.8 + 2.5
Sample size	10,772	4,606	2,786	1,720	1,456	6,411	3,306	1,055	4,036	1,512	2,066	3,158
Weighted total	459,080	224,890	174,570	30,397	19,858	295,001	136,830	27,249	190,133	79,032	85,620	104,296

Table CH1014

Have Child Age 10-14
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOJ	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Have child of age 10-14	12.4% ± 1.4	1.4% ± 0.3	23.6% ± 2.1	6.9% ± 1.7	38.1% ± 2.8	13.4% ± 1.9	10.2% ± 2.2	13.5% ± 4.4	9.0% ± 1.2	9.7% ± 1.8	10.5% ± 2.2	22.4% ± 2.2
Have child(ren), but none this age	30.5% ± 1.4	19.7% ± 1.3	43.2% ± 1.9	33.2% ± 3.0	36.9% ± 1.8	31.4% ± 2.0	29.7% ± 1.9	25.0% ± 6.2	28.1% ± 1.5	27.8% ± 2.2	30.7% ± 2.5	36.9% ± 2.2
Have no children	57.1% ± 2.4	78.9% ± 1.3	33.2% ± 2.3	59.9% ± 4.0	27.0% ± 3.2	55.2% ± 3.3	60.1% ± 3.4	61.5% ± 9.6	62.9% ± 1.9	62.5% ± 2.6	58.8% ± 3.6	40.8% ± 2.5
Sample size	10,772	4,606	2,786	1,720	1,456	6,411	3,306	1,055	4,036	1,512	2,066	3,158
Weighted total	459,080	224,890	174,570	30,397	19,858	295,001	136,830	27,249	190,133	79,032	85,620	104,296

Table CH1014 (cont.)

Have Child Age 10-14
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Have child of age 10-14	0.0% + 0.0	25.1% + 6.2	0.0% + 0.0	18.6% + 5.4	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	13.6% + 2.4	60.5% + 3.6	0.0% + 0.0	15.2% + 2.8	61.4% + 4.2	23.4% + 2.1	18.9% + 2.6
Have child(ren), but none this age	0.0 + 0.0	74.9 + 6.2	0.0 + 0.0	81.4 + 5.4	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	86.4 + 2.4	39.5 + 3.6	0.0 + 0.0	84.8 + 2.8	38.6 + 4.2	42.5 + 1.8	58.7 + 2.5
Have no children	100.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	100.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	100.0 + 0.0	100.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	100.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	34.1 + 2.5	22.4 + 2.1
Sample size	2,899	245	483	426	1,301	1,923	639	633	898	907	3,228	3,096	
Weighted total	177,385	11,007	15,274	14,170	55,050	86,998	41,253	10,222	14,355	15,528	119,327	105,493	

Table CH1517
Have Child Age 15-17
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Have child of age 15-17	5.7% + 0.8	0.4% + 0.1	10.6% + 1.2	2.2% + 1.0	23.6% + 2.8	6.4% + 1.2	4.4% + 1.1	5.2% + 1.1	3.6% + 0.7	3.5% + 1.2	5.4% + 1.4	11.6% + 1.6
Have child(ren), but none this age	37.2 + 1.8	20.7 + 1.4	56.2 + 2.1	37.8 + 3.5	49.4 + 2.3	38.4 + 2.4	35.5 + 2.5	33.3 + 10.0	33.4 + 1.7	34.0 + 2.3	35.8 + 3.1	47.6 + 2.2
Have no children	57.1 + 2.4	78.9 + 1.3	33.2 + 2.3	59.9 + 4.0	27.0 + 3.2	55.2 + 3.3	60.1 + 3.4	61.5 + 9.6	62.9 + 1.9	62.5 + 2.6	58.8 + 3.6	40.8 + 2.5
Sample size	10,772	4,606	2,786	1,720	1,456	6,411	3,306	1,055	4,036	1,512	2,068	3,158
Weighted total	459,080	224,890	174,570	30,397	19,858	295,001	136,830	27,249	190,133	79,032	85,620	104,296

Table CH1517 (cont.)

Have Child Age 15-17
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted				Civilian Spouse				
	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
Have child of age 15-17	0.0% ± 0.0	8.4% ± 3.9	0.0% ± 0.0	4.3% ± 3.3	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	4.9% ± 1.3	30.5% ± 3.8	0.0% ± 0.0	3.9% ± 1.2	39.2% ± 4.4	12.6% ± 1.6	7.8% ± 1.5	
Have child(ren), but none this age	0.0% ± 0.0	91.6% ± 3.9	0.0% ± 0.0	95.7% ± 3.3	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	95.1% ± 1.3	69.5% ± 3.8	0.0% ± 0.0	96.1% ± 1.2	60.8% ± 4.4	53.3% ± 2.3	69.8% ± 2.2	
Have no children	100.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	100.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	100.0% ± 0.0	100.0% ± 0.0	100.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	100.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	34.1% ± 2.5	22.4% ± 2.1	
Sample size	2,899	245	483	426	1,301	1,923	639	898	907	3,228	119,327	105,493			
Weighted total	177,385	11,007	15,274	14,170	55,050	86,998	41,253	14,055	15,528	119,327	105,493				

Table CH18P
Have Child Age 18 or Older
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	MCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Have child of age 18 or older	2.6% + 0.6 -	0.2% + 0.1 -	3.9% + 0.8 -	1.1% + 0.5 -	16.5% + 3.5 -	3.1% + 0.9 -	1.5% + 0.5 -	2.0% + 0.8 -	1.2% + 0.5 -	1.6% + 0.9 -	2.4% + 1.0 -	8.0% + 1.6 -
Have child(ren), but none this age	40.3 + 2.0 -	20.9 + 1.3 -	62.9 + 2.2 -	38.9 + 3.8 -	56.5 + 3.4 -	41.6 + 2.8 -	38.3 + 3.1 -	38.4 + 8.9 -	35.8 + 1.8 -	35.8 + 2.4 -	38.8 + 3.4 -	53.2 + 2.3 -
Have no children	57.1 + 2.4 -	78.9 + 1.3 -	33.2 + 2.3 -	59.9 + 4.0 -	27.0 + 3.2 -	55.2 + 3.3 -	60.1 + 3.4 -	61.5 + 9.6 -	62.9 + 1.9 -	62.5 + 2.6 -	58.8 + 3.6 -	40.8 + 2.5 -
Sample size	10,772	4,606	2,786	1,720	1,456	6,411	3,306	1,055	4,036	1,512	2,068	3,158
Weighted total	459,080	224,890	174,570	30,397	19,858	295,001	136,830	27,249	190,133	79,032	85,620	104,296

Table CH18P (cont.)

Have Child Age 18 or Older
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
Have child of age 18 or older	0.0% + 0.0	4.2% + 2.8	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.9	1.6% + 0.9	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.7	1.3% + 0.7	13.2% + 2.9	0.0% + 0.0
Have child(ren), but none this age	0.0% + 0.0	95.8% + 2.8	0.0% + 0.0	98.4% + 0.9	98.4% + 0.9	0.0% + 0.0	98.7% + 0.7	98.7% + 0.7	86.8% + 2.9	0.0% + 0.0
Have no children	100.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0
Spouse not Employed	2,899	245	483	426	1,301	1,923	639	898	907	3,096
Spouse Employed	177,385	11,007	15,274	14,170	55,050	86,998	41,253	14,055	15,528	105,493

Table FLC
Family Life Course
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Single, no children in household	38.6% ± 2.5	61.6% ± 1.9	14.5% ± 1.2	34.1% ± 3.5	9.2% ± 2.4	37.6% ± 3.4	40.3% ± 3.8	41.0% ± 7.3	45.6% ± 2.1	45.2% ± 2.6	38.0% ± 2.9	21.5% ± 2.7
Married, no kids, age 34 or younger	15.4 ± 0.9	17.1 ± 1.4	13.7 ± 1.4	24.1 ± 2.3	1.0 ± 0.4	14.4 ± 1.0	17.1 ± 2.0	16.7 ± 2.6	15.4 ± 1.0	15.4 ± 2.3	18.1 ± 1.9	13.0 ± 1.6
Married, no kids, age 35 or older	3.1 ± 0.6	0.3 ± 0.1	5.0 ± 0.9	1.7 ± 0.6	16.8 ± 1.6	3.2 ± 0.8	2.6 ± 0.9	3.8 ± 2.3	2.0 ± 0.6	1.9 ± 0.9	2.7 ± 0.9	6.3 ± 1.6
Youngest child in HH less than 1 yr old	5.2 ± 0.5	5.2 ± 0.5	5.3 ± 1.1	7.2 ± 1.4	2.8 ± 1.1	5.3 ± 0.7	5.5 ± 0.8	3.0 ± 1.1	5.2 ± 0.8	4.7 ± 1.0	6.0 ± 1.4	5.0 ± 1.1
Youngest child in HH 1-2 years old	11.8 ± 0.6	8.9 ± 0.9	15.6 ± 1.3	15.7 ± 1.7	8.6 ± 1.8	11.7 ± 0.9	12.7 ± 1.0	9.4 ± 2.9	10.9 ± 0.9	13.4 ± 1.5	11.6 ± 1.6	12.6 ± 1.2
Youngest child in HH 3-5 years old	9.8 ± 0.8	4.0 ± 0.6	17.5 ± 1.4	8.0 ± 1.4	10.8 ± 1.8	10.3 ± 0.9	8.8 ± 1.6	10.1 ± 4.5	8.4 ± 1.1	9.1 ± 1.6	9.4 ± 1.8	13.3 ± 1.7
Youngest child in HH 6-9 years old	7.2 ± 1.0	1.5 ± 0.3	13.1 ± 1.8	5.5 ± 1.5	15.3 ± 2.2	7.8 ± 1.4	5.9 ± 1.2	7.5 ± 3.6	6.0 ± 0.9	4.7 ± 1.3	7.0 ± 1.6	11.4 ± 1.4
Youngest child in HH 10-14 years old	5.2 ± 0.8	0.5 ± 0.2	9.2 ± 1.2	2.4 ± 0.9	20.4 ± 2.7	5.7 ± 1.1	4.1 ± 1.3	5.1 ± 1.2	3.7 ± 0.8	3.6 ± 1.2	3.5 ± 1.0	10.6 ± 1.6
Youngest child in HH 15-17 years old	1.7 ± 0.3	0.1 ± 0.1	2.8 ± 0.6	0.5 ± 0.4	9.6 ± 1.8	1.9 ± 0.5	1.2 ± 0.4	1.2 ± 0.3	1.0 ± 0.3	1.1 ± 0.9	1.7 ± 0.8	3.2 ± 1.0
Youngest child in HH 18 yrs old or older	0.6 ± 0.3	0.1 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.4	0.5 ± 0.4	4.4 ± 2.0	0.8 ± 0.4	0.2 ± 0.2	0.8 ± 0.8	0.2 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.4	2.0 ± 0.8
Age of youngest child in HH unknown	1.3 ± 0.3	0.8 ± 0.3	2.3 ± 0.6	0.2 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.5	1.3 ± 0.4	1.5 ± 0.6	1.4 ± 0.4	1.6 ± 0.5	0.6 ± 0.3	1.6 ± 0.8	1.2 ± 0.5
Sample size	10,772	4,606	2,786	1,720	1,456	6,411	3,306	1,055	4,036	1,512	2,066	3,158
Weighted total	459,080	224,890	174,570	30,397	19,858	295,001	136,830	27,249	190,133	79,032	85,620	104,296

Table FLC (cont.)

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
Single, no children in household	100.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0
Married, no kids, age 34 or younger	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	90.9 ± 3.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	85.4 ± 2.8	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	58.7 ± 8.8	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	27.3 ± 2.6	18.5 ± 2.0
Married, no kids, age 35 or older	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	9.1 ± 3.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	14.6 ± 2.8	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	41.3 ± 8.8	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	6.8 ± 1.5	3.9 ± 1.0
Youngest child in HH less than 1 yr old	0.0 ± 0.0	15.3 ± 6.3	0.0 ± 0.0	19.7 ± 4.1	0.0 ± 0.0	18.5 ± 2.1	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	18.2 ± 3.2	0.0 ± 0.0	4.2 ± 0.8	12.7 ± 1.7
Youngest child in HH 1-2 years old	0.0 ± 0.0	21.9 ± 4.7	0.0 ± 0.0	31.1 ± 5.3	0.0 ± 0.0	44.2 ± 2.6	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	46.0 ± 3.5	0.0 ± 0.0	14.2 ± 1.6	25.9 ± 2.2
Youngest child in HH 3-5 years old	0.0 ± 0.0	19.9 ± 4.6	0.0 ± 0.0	21.8 ± 5.0	0.0 ± 0.0	37.2 ± 3.1	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	35.8 ± 3.5	0.0 ± 0.0	15.3 ± 1.6	17.8 ± 2.1
Youngest child in HH 6-9 years old	0.0 ± 0.0	19.3 ± 5.7	0.0 ± 0.0	13.2 ± 4.1	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	52.8 ± 4.5	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	38.8 ± 5.4	13.7 ± 1.7	10.3 ± 1.8
Youngest child in HH 10-14 years old	0.0 ± 0.0	14.8 ± 5.8	0.0 ± 0.0	8.5 ± 3.3	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	33.2 ± 3.6	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	39.0 ± 4.4	11.2 ± 1.5	6.1 ± 1.4
Youngest child in HH 15-17 years old	0.0 ± 0.0	2.3 ± 2.8	0.0 ± 0.0	1.6 ± 1.5	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	10.3 ± 2.5	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	15.0 ± 2.9	3.8 ± 0.9	2.0 ± 0.6
Youngest child in HH 18 yrs old or older	0.0 ± 0.0	1.5 ± 1.4	0.0 ± 0.0	0.8 ± 0.8	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	3.7 ± 1.6	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	7.2 ± 2.8	1.3 ± 0.7	0.9 ± 0.6
Age of youngest child in HH unknown	0.0 ± 0.0	4.9 ± 4.4	0.0 ± 0.0	3.2 ± 1.8	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	2.2 ± 0.6	1.8 ± 0.6
Sample size	2,899	245	483	426	1,301	1,923	639	633	898	907	3,228	3,096		
Weighted total	177,385	11,007	15,274	14,170	55,050	86,998	41,253	10,222	14,055	15,528	119,327	105,493		

Table FLC1
Family Life Course
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Single, no children in household	39.2% ± 2.5	62.1% ± 2.0	14.9% ± 1.2	34.2% ± 3.5	9.3% ± 2.4	38.1% ± 3.4	40.9% ± 3.8	41.6% ± 7.4	46.3% ± 2.1	45.5% ± 2.6	38.6% ± 2.8	21.7% ± 2.7
Married, no children in household	18.7 ± 1.0	17.5 ± 1.4	19.1 ± 1.8	25.9 ± 2.2	18.0 ± 1.7	17.8 ± 1.1	20.1 ± 2.4	20.8 ± 3.7	17.6 ± 1.1	17.4 ± 2.3	21.2 ± 2.3	19.5 ± 2.1
Youngest child in HH less than 3 yrs old	17.3 ± 0.8	14.2 ± 1.1	21.4 ± 1.6	23.0 ± 2.4	11.5 ± 1.9	17.2 ± 1.1	18.4 ± 1.4	12.6 ± 3.5	16.4 ± 1.2	18.2 ± 1.8	17.9 ± 2.1	17.7 ± 1.6
Youngest child in HH 3-5 years old	10.0 ± 0.8	4.0 ± 0.6	18.0 ± 1.4	8.0 ± 1.4	10.9 ± 1.8	10.4 ± 0.9	8.9 ± 1.6	10.2 ± 4.5	8.6 ± 1.1	9.2 ± 1.6	9.5 ± 1.8	13.5 ± 1.7
Youngest child in HH 6 yrs old or older	14.9 ± 2.0	2.2 ± 0.4	26.6 ± 2.8	9.0 ± 2.3	50.3 ± 4.8	16.4 ± 2.8	11.7 ± 2.6	14.8 ± 5.6	11.1 ± 1.4	9.7 ± 2.3	12.8 ± 2.4	27.5 ± 3.3
Sample size	10,639	4,555	2,724	1,716	1,441	6,331	3,264	1,044	3,980	1,500	2,034	3,125
Weighted total	452,937	223,125	170,491	30,338	19,656	291,217	134,844	26,876	187,122	78,525	84,286	103,005

Table FLC1 (cont.)
Family Life Course
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Officer	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	6+	Spouse Employed
Single, no children in household	100.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0	0.0% ± 0.0
Married, no children in household	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	100.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	100.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	34.9 ± 2.6
Youngest child in HH less than 3 yrs old	0.0 ± 0.0	39.2 ± 7.4	0.0 ± 0.0	52.6 ± 6.6	0.0 ± 0.0	62.8 ± 3.1	0.0 ± 0.0	64.2 ± 3.5	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	18.8 ± 1.8
Youngest child in HH 3-5 years old	0.0 ± 0.0	20.9 ± 4.7	0.0 ± 0.0	22.5 ± 5.2	0.0 ± 0.0	37.2 ± 3.1	0.0 ± 0.0	35.8 ± 3.5	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	15.6 ± 1.7
Youngest child in HH 6 yrs old or older	0.0 ± 0.0	39.9 ± 8.1	0.0 ± 0.0	24.9 ± 6.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	100.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	100.0 ± 0.0	30.7 ± 2.7	19.7 ± 3.0
Sample size	2,899	236	483	412	1,301	1,923	639	633	898	907	3,177	3,053
Weighted total	177,385	10,468	15,274	13,712	55,050	86,998	41,253	10,222	14,055	15,528	116,721	103,566

Table FLC2
Family Life Course
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOJ	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Single, no children in household	39.2% + 2.5	62.1% + 2.0	14.9% + 1.2	34.2% + 3.5	9.3% + 2.4	38.1% + 3.4	40.9% + 3.8	41.6% + 7.4	46.3% + 2.1	45.5% + 2.6	38.6% + 2.3	21.7% + 2.7
Married, no children in household	18.7 + 1.0	17.5 + 1.4	19.1 + 1.8	25.9 + 2.2	18.0 + 1.7	17.8 + 1.1	20.1 + 2.4	20.8 + 3.7	17.6 + 1.1	17.4 + 2.3	21.2 + 2.2	19.5 + 2.1
Have teenager (age 10+) in household	25.9 + 1.1	18.7 + 1.3	35.4 + 2.0	31.4 + 2.8	18.8 + 2.3	26.2 + 1.4	26.2 + 1.8	21.1 + 5.6	24.7 + 1.5	25.0 + 2.0	26.4 + 2.0	28.4 + 2.3
Have child(ren) under 10 in household	16.3 + 2.0	1.8 + 0.4	30.6 + 2.7	8.5 + 2.2	53.9 + 4.8	11.9 + 4.3	12.8 + 2.8	16.5 + 5.0	11.4 + 1.4	12.0 + 2.3	13.8 + 2.5	30.3 + 3.4
Sample size	10,639	4,555	2,724	1,716	1,441	6,331	3,264	1,044	3,980	1,500	2,034	3,125
Weighted total	452,937	223,125	170,491	30,338	19,656	291,217	134,844	26,876	187,122	78,525	84,286	103,005

Table FLC2 (cont.)

Family Life Course
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse															
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children
Single, no children in household	100.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0
Married, no children in household	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	100.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	34.9 + 2.6	22.8 + 2.2
Have teenager (age 10+) in household	0.0 + 0.0	64.5 + 7.5	0.0 + 0.0	76.5 + 6.3	0.0 + 0.0	83.7 + 2.7	21.5 + 3.2	0.0 + 0.0	81.8 + 3.0	12.4 + 2.9	33.7 + 2.3	52.7 + 3.0				
Have child(ren) under 10 in household	0.0 + 0.0	35.5 + 7.5	0.0 + 0.0	23.5 + 6.3	0.0 + 0.0	16.3 + 2.7	78.5 + 3.2	0.0 + 0.0	18.2 + 3.0	87.6 + 2.9	31.4 + 3.1	24.5 + 3.2				
Sample size	2,899	236	483	412	1,301	1,923	639	633	898	907	3,177	3,053				
Weighted total	177,385	10,468	15,274	13,712	55,050	86,998	41,253	10,222	14,055	15,528	116,721	103,566				

Table SINGLEPRINT
Single Parent Indicator
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Single with 1 or more children in HH	2.4% ± 0.4	2.2% ± 0.5	3.0% ± 0.6	1.2% ± 0.8	1.2% ± 0.5	2.6% ± 0.5	2.0% ± 0.6	1.7% ± 0.9	1.5% ± 0.5	1.5% ± 0.8	3.5% ± 0.9	3.8% ± 0.6
Not a single parent	97.6 ± 0.4	97.8 ± 0.5	97.0 ± 0.6	98.8 ± 0.8	98.8 ± 0.5	97.4 ± 0.5	98.0 ± 0.6	98.3 ± 0.9	98.5 ± 0.5	98.5 ± 0.8	98.5 ± 0.9	98.2 ± 0.6
Sample size	10,772	4,606	2,786	1,720	1,456	6,411	3,306	1,055	4,036	1,512	2,066	3,158
Weighted total	459,080	224,890	174,570	30,397	19,858	295,001	136,830	27,249	190,133	79,032	85,620	104,296

Table SINGLPRINT (cont.)

Single Parent Indicator
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
Single with 1 or more children in HH	0.0% + 0.0	100.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0
Not a single parent	100.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	100.0 + 0.0	100.0 + 0.0	100.0 + 0.0	100.0 + 0.0	100.0 + 0.0	100.0 + 0.0	100.0 + 0.0	100.0 + 0.0
Sample size	2,899	245	483	426	1,301	1,923	639	898	907	3,228
Weighted total	177,385	11,007	15,274	14,170	55,050	86,998	41,253	14,055	15,528	119,327
										3,096
										105,493

Table S_138

Are You/Is Your Spouse Currently Pregnant
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, pregnant	7.8% + 0.9	13.1% + 1.5	6.8% + 1.4	8.2% + 2.0	1.6% + 1.0	7.7% + 1.2	7.8% + 1.8	9.0% + 2.6	8.5% + 1.4	8.3% + 1.5	6.1% + 1.8
No, not pregnant	92.2 + 0.9	86.9 + 1.5	93.2 + 1.4	91.8 + 2.0	98.4 + 1.0	92.3 + 1.2	92.2 + 1.8	91.0 + 2.6	91.5 + 1.4	91.7 + 1.5	93.9 + 1.8
Sample size	5,233	1,540	1,696	819	1,043	3,223	1,562	448	1,756	943	1,893
Weighted total	192,030	46,049	113,209	12,084	14,307	128,734	53,210	10,085	68,380	29,124	60,265

Table S_138 (cont.)

Are You/Is Your Spouse Currently Pregnant
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse									
		Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer	
	Single	No Children		Children		No Children		Child 0-5		Child 6+	
		Children	Children	Children	Children	Children	Children	Children	Children	Child 6+	Child 6+
Yes, pregnant	--	4.1%	--	9.9%	--	10.8%	3.3%	7.3%	0.9%	6.2%	8.8%
		+ 3.0		+ 2.8		+ 1.7	+ 1.3	+ 1.8	+ 0.6	+ 1.1	+ 1.5
No, not pregnant	--	95.9	--	90.1	--	89.2	96.7	92.7	99.1	93.8	91.2
		+ 3.0		+ 2.8		+ 1.7	+ 1.3	+ 1.8	+ 0.6	+ 1.1	+ 1.5
Sample size	0	219	0	419	0	1,914	636	892	904	1,976	2,364
Weighted total	0	9,621	0	13,966	0	86,520	41,047	13,987	15,499	76,525	80,349

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S_139A

Child with a Serious Behavior Problem
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, child with behavior problem	6.5% + 0.9	4.9% + 1.2	7.6% + 1.4	4.1% + 1.6	4.9% + 1.1	7.1% + 1.1	4.9% + 1.6	7.7% + 2.5	6.2% + 1.3	7.2% + 2.4	5.1% + 1.5	7.4% + 1.6
No, no child with behavior problem	93.5 + 0.9	95.1 + 1.2	92.4 + 1.4	95.9 + 1.6	95.1 + 1.1	92.9 + 1.1	95.1 + 1.6	92.3 + 2.5	93.8 + 1.3	92.8 + 2.4	94.9 + 1.5	92.6 + 1.6
Sample size	5,231	1,540	1,694	822	1,039	3,225	1,557	449	1,751	643	939	1,898
Weighted total	191,974	46,294	112,896	12,122	14,242	128,806	53,040	10,128	68,283	29,234	34,039	60,419

Table S_139A (cont.)

Child with a Serious Behavior Problem
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Yes, child with behavior problem	--	7.1% ± 4.1	--	4.7% ± 2.6	--	4.9% ± 1.0	12.0% ± 3.1	--	2.3% ± 0.9	7.3% ± 1.7	7.2% ± 1.8	6.2% ± 1.3
No, no child with behavior problem	--	92.9 ± 4.1	--	95.3 ± 2.6	--	95.1 ± 1.0	88.0 ± 3.1	--	97.7 ± 0.9	92.7 ± 1.7	92.8 ± 1.8	93.8 ± 1.3
Sample size	0	234	0	417	0	1,911	633	0	896	901	1,970	2,362
Weighted total	0	10,442	0	13,892	0	86,442	40,844	0	14,037	15,454	76,373	80,170

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S_139B

Child with a Serious Problem with School
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, child with school problem	9.5% ± 1.2	4.2% ± 1.2	12.2% ± 1.8	5.2% ± 2.2	8.3% ± 1.5	10.5% ± 1.6	7.4% ± 1.2	8.6% ± 1.5	8.1% ± 2.0	9.3% ± 2.4	8.4% ± 2.4	11.9% ± 2.3
No, no child with school problem	90.5 ± 1.2	95.8 ± 1.2	87.8 ± 1.8	94.8 ± 2.2	91.7 ± 1.5	89.5 ± 1.6	92.6 ± 1.2	91.4 ± 1.5	91.9 ± 2.0	90.7 ± 2.4	91.6 ± 2.4	88.1 ± 2.3
Sample size	5,231	1,540	1,693	821	1,041	3,227	1,555	449	1,751	643	939	1,898
Weighted total	191,908	46,294	112,816	12,111	14,264	128,824	52,954	10,128	68,222	29,234	34,039	60,411

Child with a Serious Problem with School by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed	Spouse Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+			
Yes, child with school problem	--	8.2% ± 4.4	--	9.0% ± 3.5	--	7.3% ± 1.5	17.8% ± 3.2	--	2.9% ± 1.2	11.7% ± 2.4	11.4% ± 1.9	8.7% ± 2.1	
No, no child with school problem	--	91.8 ± 4.4	--	91.0 ± 3.5	--	92.7 ± 1.5	82.2 ± 3.2	--	97.1 ± 1.2	88.3 ± 2.4	88.6 ± 1.9	91.3 ± 2.1	
Sample size	0	235	0	418	0	1,910	632	0	895	902	1,969	2,361	
Weighted total	0	10,457	0	13,941	0	86,389	40,767	0	14,026	15,462	76,317	80,093	

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S_139C

Child with a Serious Medical Problem
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, child with medical problem	7.7% + 1.1	8.1% + 1.5	8.1% + 1.5	7.1% + 2.0	7.4% + 1.5	8.5% + 1.0	6.6% + 2.6	4.2% + 2.5	6.8% + 1.4	7.5% + 2.8	8.6% + 1.6
No, no child with medical problem	92.3 + 1.1	93.9 + 1.5	91.9 + 1.5	92.9 + 2.0	92.6 + 1.5	91.5 + 1.0	93.4 + 2.6	95.8 + 2.5	93.2 + 1.4	92.5 + 2.8	91.4 + 1.6
Sample size	5,225	1,536	1,692	822	1,040	3,224	1,553	448	1,749	642	939
Weighted total	191,637	46,188	112,701	12,122	14,255	128,833	52,690	10,114	68,090	29,194	34,081
											60,272

Table S_139C (cont.)

Child with a Serious Medical Problem
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted		Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Spouse Employed
Yes, child with medical problem	--	7.1% + 3.4	--	6.5% + 2.9	--	6.2% + 1.4	7.7% + 2.5	11.0% + 2.0	8.5% + 1.6	7.3% + 1.6
No, no child with medical problem	--	92.9 + 3.4	--	93.5 + 2.9	--	93.8 + 1.4	92.3 + 2.5	89.0 + 2.0	91.5 + 1.6	92.7 + 1.6
Sample size	0	235	0	417	0	1,905	896	901	1,967	2,356
Weighted total	0	10,489	0	13,892	0	86,232	14,037	15,418	76,061	79,940

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 140A

Satisfaction with Amount of Time Spent with Children
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	WCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very satisfied	6.9% + 1.1	5.6% + 1.3	8.3% + 1.8	3.6% + 1.4	4.8% + 1.2	7.2% + 1.5	6.1% + 1.9	6.6% + 3.6	5.7% + 2.1	5.9% + 2.0	4.9% + 1.6	9.7% + 1.9
Satisfied	32.7 + 2.4	30.6 + 2.6	33.4 + 3.2	29.5 + 3.8	34.1 + 3.2	33.3 + 2.7	33.0 + 5.2	22.9 + 4.2	28.7 + 2.9	27.9 + 4.0	30.7 + 4.2	40.5 + 3.6
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	16.3 + 1.6	14.4 + 1.9	16.8 + 2.3	15.2 + 2.3	14.9 + 2.5	15.7 + 2.0	16.3 + 2.0	22.7 + 4.7	15.9 + 2.1	16.4 + 3.1	19.5 + 3.8	14.8 + 2.0
Dissatisfied	34.1 + 2.2	35.4 + 2.2	32.4 + 3.3	41.8 + 5.0	39.3 + 4.1	34.3 + 2.5	34.1 + 5.3	32.6 + 5.5	37.7 + 2.8	38.3 + 4.8	33.0 + 4.3	28.8 + 3.4
Very dissatisfied	10.1 + 1.4	14.1 + 2.3	9.1 + 1.9	9.9 + 2.4	8.9 + 2.2	9.5 + 1.7	10.5 + 2.6	15.3 + 11.5	12.0 + 2.2	11.5 + 3.1	11.9 + 3.3	6.2 + 1.9
Sample size	5,251	1,544	1,704	822	1,045	3,240	1,562	449	1,758	642	945	1,906
Weighted total	193,000	46,500	113,668	12,086	14,328	129,668	53,205	10,128	68,643	29,133	34,247	60,978

Table S_140A (cont.)

Satisfaction with Amount of Time Spent with Children
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse																		
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer						
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed
Very satisfied	--	7.5% + 4.2	--	9.1% + 4.2	--	--	6.3% + 1.4	7.9% + 2.3	--	4.0% + 1.4	3.3% + 1.3	6.0% + 1.5	6.2% + 1.5						
Satisfied	--	31.0 + 8.2	--	30.4 + 6.1	--	--	32.4 + 3.0	35.3 + 4.7	--	29.6 + 4.2	36.5 + 4.1	34.0 + 3.2	33.0 + 3.4						
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	--	11.2 + 4.5	--	11.9 + 3.9	--	--	16.4 + 1.8	17.8 + 2.7	--	16.9 + 2.9	18.4 + 3.3	16.8 + 2.1	17.2 + 2.2						
Dissatisfied	--	36.9 + 8.6	--	35.3 + 5.0	--	--	34.2 + 3.1	30.7 + 4.1	--	11.9 + 1.2	34.6 + 4.2	33.7 + 3.2	34.0 + 2.7						
Very dissatisfied	--	13.4 + 5.7	--	13.3 + 3.8	--	--	10.7 + 1.6	8.3 + 3.0	--	7.6 + 1.8	7.3 + 2.6	9.5 + 2.0	9.5 + 1.5						
Sample size	0	237	0	420	0	0	1,913	636	0	895	906	1,979	2,363						
Weighted total	0	10,625	0	13,986	0	0	86,539	41,136	0	13,985	15,514	76,712	80,424						

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S. 1408

Satisfaction with Ability to Meet Children's Emotional Needs
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCJUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very satisfied	10.3% ± 1.3	11.0% ± 1.9	10.9% ± 2.1	9.9% ± 2.7	7.5% ± 1.7	11.1% ± 1.7	9.1% ± 1.9	7.2% ± 2.5	8.7% ± 2.2	8.6% ± 2.6	10.3% ± 2.6	13.1% ± 2.4
Satisfied	42.1 ± 2.2	39.7 ± 3.0	41.6 ± 2.8	43.8 ± 4.1	47.6 ± 3.2	41.5 ± 2.5	44.3 ± 5.3	39.8 ± 5.2	38.4 ± 2.6	38.1 ± 4.6	43.4 ± 3.5	47.5 ± 4.0
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	21.2 ± 1.5	20.9 ± 2.4	21.6 ± 2.1	20.1 ± 4.2	20.4 ± 2.4	21.2 ± 1.8	21.9 ± 2.9	18.3 ± 2.1	24.3 ± 1.5	24.2 ± 4.0	18.8 ± 2.9	17.7 ± 2.1
Dissatisfied	21.4 ± 1.8	22.4 ± 2.2	21.0 ± 2.8	22.8 ± 3.0	21.5 ± 2.8	21.9 ± 2.4	19.5 ± 2.7	23.9 ± 3.8	23.1 ± 2.0	24.0 ± 3.8	21.5 ± 3.8	18.0 ± 3.1
Very dissatisfied	4.9 ± 0.9	6.0 ± 1.3	4.9 ± 1.3	3.4 ± 1.4	3.1 ± 1.2	4.3 ± 0.9	5.2 ± 1.6	10.9 ± 9.8	5.5 ± 1.5	5.1 ± 2.1	6.0 ± 2.3	3.6 ± 1.1
Sample size	5,249	1,543	1,704	822	1,044	3,239	1,561	449	1,756	642	944	1,907
Weighted total	192,909	46,404	113,687	12,086	14,310	129,625	53,155	10,128	68,597	29,086	34,198	61,028

Table S_1408 (cont.)

Satisfaction with Ability to Meet Children's Emotional Needs
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Officer
Very satisfied	--	12.8% + 5.7	--	12.0% + 3.6	10.5% + 1.7	--	8.8% + 2.3	9.4% + 2.3	6.1% + 1.7	9.4% + 1.8
Satisfied	--	39.3 + 7.5	--	43.8 + 5.4	40.8 + 2.9	--	42.6 + 4.3	48.2 + 4.1	47.8 + 4.4	44.5 + 3.4
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	--	11.2 + 4.1	--	18.8 + 3.9	22.4 + 2.1	--	22.4 + 3.2	20.8 + 4.0	20.8 + 2.8	20.9 + 3.0
Dissatisfied	--	25.1 + 5.9	--	19.6 + 5.1	21.4 + 2.3	--	22.2 + 3.4	18.8 + 2.9	21.6 + 3.9	20.1 + 2.9
Very dissatisfied	--	11.6 + 5.0	--	5.7 + 2.3	4.9 + 1.1	--	4.0 + 2.0	2.8 + 1.2	3.7 + 1.5	5.1 + 1.5
Sample size	0	238	0	420	1,911	0	636	895	905	1,976
Weighted total	0	10,675	0	13,986	86,413	0	41,136	13,985	15,499	76,567

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S.140C

Satisfaction with Ability to Meet Children's Learning Needs
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very satisfied	12.3% + 1.2	10.9% + 1.9	13.0% + 2.0	11.1% + 2.8	13.4% + 2.4	13.3% + 1.6	9.7% + 1.7	13.7% + 2.6	10.7% + 2.4	9.9% + 2.5	11.5% + 2.5	15.7% + 2.7
Satisfied	43.3 + 1.9	39.3 + 2.4	42.9 + 2.5	48.5 + 3.4	50.1 + 3.3	43.3 + 2.5	43.9 + 3.4	39.4 + 6.3	40.1 + 3.0	43.4 + 4.4	43.0 + 3.2	46.9 + 3.2
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	23.4 + 1.4	29.0 + 2.8	22.3 + 1.9	20.7 + 3.7	18.7 + 1.8	22.6 + 1.8	25.1 + 2.3	24.1 + 3.4	25.9 + 2.4	24.8 + 3.6	22.1 + 2.8	20.8 + 2.2
Dissatisfied	16.7 + 1.4	16.5 + 2.2	17.0 + 2.0	17.0 + 3.1	15.4 + 2.3	17.0 + 1.7	16.8 + 2.5	12.8 + 3.7	18.3 + 1.9	17.5 + 2.7	18.3 + 3.6	13.7 + 2.6
Very dissatisfied	4.3 + 0.7	4.3 + 1.2	4.8 + 1.2	2.7 + 1.3	2.5 + 0.8	3.8 + 0.8	4.5 + 1.2	10.0 + 9.3	5.0 + 1.2	4.3 + 1.6	5.1 + 1.9	3.1 + 1.1
Sample size	5,235	1,535	1,702	818	1,044	3,230	1,557	448	1,751	639	939	1,906
Weighted total	192,487	46,123	113,597	12,029	14,317	129,297	53,076	10,114	68,386	29,057	34,037	61,008

Table S_140C (cont.)

Satisfaction with Ability to Meet Children's Learning Needs
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Very satisfied	--	13.7% + 6.1	--	13.0% + 3.9	--	11.8% + 1.6	11.4% + 2.5	12.0% + 2.1	11.2% + 2.9	12.0% + 1.7	11.3% + 1.6		
Satisfied	--	37.8 + 7.3	--	40.5 + 5.2	--	42.3 + 2.6	44.9 + 3.8	47.9 + 3.7	53.6 + 4.0	45.9 + 2.7	43.6 + 2.8		
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	--	16.5 + 4.7	--	25.1 + 4.7	--	27.1 + 1.8	19.9 + 3.1	23.8 + 3.0	14.7 + 2.3	20.8 + 2.4	26.1 + 2.3		
Dissatisfied	--	23.2 + 5.5	--	16.2 + 3.7	--	14.9 + 1.9	19.5 + 3.2	14.2 + 2.7	16.9 + 3.1	17.0 + 2.0	15.5 + 2.2		
Very dissatisfied	--	8.7 + 4.0	--	5.3 + 2.4	--	4.0 + 0.9	4.4 + 2.1	2.1 + 1.2	3.5 + 1.5	4.3 + 1.4	3.5 + 1.0		
Sample size	0	237	0	416	0	1,906	637	893	904	1,975	2,357		
Weighted total	0	10,585	0	13,859	0	86,285	41,218	13,947	15,495	76,535	80,371		

(—) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S-1400

Satisfaction with Overall Quality of Time Spent with Children
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	MCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very satisfied	11.0% + 1.2 -	10.5% + 2.0 -	11.9% + 1.9 -	10.7% + 1.9 -	8.5% + 2.3 -	11.6% + 1.7 -	9.4% + 1.6 -	10.4% + 3.5 -	9.6% + 2.1 -	11.4% + 2.8 -	9.2% + 2.1 -	13.4% + 2.4 -
Satisfied	36.0 + 2.0 -	34.6 + 2.1 -	34.8 + 2.9 -	40.1 + 3.6 -	42.4 + 3.3 -	36.0 + 2.2 -	37.0 + 4.8 -	30.5 + 5.2 -	32.9 + 2.8 -	29.7 + 4.2 -	34.3 + 3.7 -	43.5 + 4.2 -
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	16.3 + 1.7 -	14.6 + 2.3 -	16.6 + 2.2 -	15.3 + 2.3 -	17.7 + 2.0 -	16.2 + 2.4 -	15.8 + 1.9 -	20.6 + 3.6 -	15.1 + 2.6 -	17.6 + 3.5 -	17.2 + 3.6 -	16.7 + 2.9 -
Dissatisfied	27.3 + 1.8 -	26.9 + 2.3 -	27.9 + 2.7 -	27.1 + 3.2 -	26.8 + 3.0 -	27.1 + 2.3 -	28.1 + 3.4 -	24.7 + 2.4 -	31.2 + 2.6 -	30.6 + 4.6 -	28.1 + 4.2 -	20.9 + 2.7 -
Very dissatisfied	9.4 + 1.5 -	13.4 + 1.8 -	8.9 + 2.1 -	6.8 + 1.6 -	4.6 + 1.2 -	9.0 + 1.8 -	9.6 + 2.6 -	13.9 + 10.7 -	11.3 + 2.7 -	10.7 + 2.7 -	11.2 + 2.7 -	5.6 + 1.5 -
Sample size	5,247	1,544	1,703	819	1,045	3,238	1,560	449	1,757	643	945	1,902
Weighted total	192,861	46,448	113,625	12,040	14,326	129,589	53,144	10,128	68,629	29,168	34,247	60,818

Table S 1400 (cont.)

Satisfaction with Overall Quality of Time Spent with Children
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children
	Enlisted					Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children
	14.4% ± 6.5	12.9% ± 4.0	--	--	--	11.5% ± 1.9	9.1% ± 2.7	--	10.4% ± 1.6	6.2% ± 1.8
Very satisfied	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Satisfied	34.2 ± 7.0	33.6 ± 5.3	--	--	--	34.7 ± 3.0	37.2 ± 3.9	--	41.8 ± 4.2	43.5 ± 4.1
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	10.4 ± 4.3	16.1 ± 5.0	--	--	--	15.0 ± 2.0	19.5 ± 3.3	--	17.6 ± 3.5	19.4 ± 2.8
Dissatisfied	24.6 ± 7.3	25.4 ± 5.6	--	--	--	28.8 ± 2.9	27.2 ± 3.4	--	25.1 ± 3.8	25.2 ± 3.6
Very dissatisfied	16.4 ± 5.6	12.0 ± 3.8	--	--	--	10.0 ± 1.6	7.0 ± 2.7	--	5.1 ± 1.7	5.8 ± 2.1
Spouse not Employed	9.9% ± 1.5	10.6% ± 2.0	--	--	--	10.6% ± 2.0	9.9% ± 1.5	--	10.6% ± 2.0	9.9% ± 1.5
Spouse Employed	36.6 ± 2.9	37.2 ± 3.2	--	--	--	37.2 ± 3.2	36.6 ± 2.9	--	37.2 ± 3.2	36.6 ± 2.9
Sample size	0	238	0	419	0	1,912	636	0	894	905
Weighted total	0	10,675	0	13,977	0	86,437	41,122	0	13,965	15,498

(—) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S-141

Children 5 Years Old or Less Living with You
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, have child 5 or less	60.2% + 2.5	79.9% + 2.2	56.3% + 2.8	70.3% + 5.2	31.1% + 4.6	59.0% + 3.4	63.7% + 3.1	56.4% + 8.6	64.4% + 2.7	66.5% + 5.4	62.9% + 4.5	50.8% + 4.4
No, no child 5 or less	39.8 + 2.5	20.1 + 2.2	43.7 + 2.8	29.7 + 5.2	68.9 + 4.6	41.0 + 3.4	36.3 + 3.1	43.6 + 8.6	35.6 + 2.7	33.5 + 5.4	37.1 + 4.5	49.2 + 4.4
Sample size	5,228	1,539	1,693	820	1,042	3,221	1,558	449	1,752	639	940	1,897
Weighted total	191,786	46,270	112,851	12,064	14,298	128,607	53,052	10,127	68,315	28,995	33,913	60,563

Table S_141 (cont.)

Children 5 Years Old or Less Living with You
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
Yes, have child 5 or less	--	57.5% ± 6.5	--	68.6% ± 5.3	--	89.2% ± 1.5	7.2% ± 2.3	90.8% ± 2.2	2.8% ± 1.5	Spouse Employed 68.5% ± 3.2
No, no child 5 or less	--	42.5 ± 6.5	--	31.4 ± 5.3	--	10.8 ± 1.5	92.8 ± 2.3	9.2 ± 2.2	97.2 ± 1.5	Spouse Employed 49.6 ± 3.0
Sample size	0	236	0	419	0	1,903	629	894	899	2,356
Weighted total	0	10,570	0	13,956	0	86,047	40,494	13,984	15,329	75,904
										80,047

(—) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 142

Ever Need Child Care for Youngest Child (Under 6 Years Old)
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	60.6% + 2.6 -	57.3% + 3.8 -	59.5% + 3.2 -	74.0% + 4.0 -	76.5% + 4.0 -	60.3% + 3.3 -	60.7% + 4.8 -	63.3% + 5.4 -	57.4% + 3.9 -	63.1% + 4.5 -	65.1% + 3.8 -
No	39.4 + 2.6 -	42.7 + 3.8 -	40.5 + 3.2 -	28.0 + 4.0 -	23.5 + 4.0 -	39.7 + 3.3 -	39.3 + 4.8 -	38.7 + 5.4 -	42.8 + 3.9 -	38.9 + 4.5 -	34.9 + 3.8 -
Sample size	3,043	1,190	954	569	298	1,853	955	235	1,133	433	881
Weighted total	110,826	35,788	61,003	8,124	4,134	73,065	32,510	5,252	42,231	18,618	29,119

Table S 142 (cont.)

Ever Need Child Care for Youngest Child (Under 6 Years Old)
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse													
Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
No Children	Children		No Children	Children		No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Yes	--	81.0% + 6.6	--	93.3% + 3.5	--	54.8% + 3.3	72.3% + 4.0	38.3% + 14.5	--	80.7% + 3.2	--	39.4% + 2.9	
No	--	19.0 + 6.6	--	6.7 + 3.5	--	45.2 + 3.3	27.7 + 4.0	61.7 + 14.5	--	19.3 + 3.2	--	60.6 + 2.9	
Sample size	0	139	0	287	0	1,633	774	51	0	942	17	1,533	
Weighted total	0	5,996	0	9,189	0	73,807	12,035	2,767	0	36,909	319	52,356	

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S_143

Where Is Youngest Child (Under 6 Years Old) Cared for
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	MCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Army child care center	14.0% + 2.3	12.1% + 2.9	13.4% + 3.1	22.0% + 5.7	16.1% + 4.9	13.4% + 2.8	15.2% + 4.4	15.5% + 3.7	11.2% + 2.7	15.4% + 5.3	14.5% + 4.1	16.5% + 4.9
Civilian child care center off-post	8.2 + 2.1	7.8 + 2.4	7.9 + 3.2	10.5 + 3.8	9.9 + 4.6	10.9 + 3.1	2.4 + 1.3	5.4 + 5.3	7.2 + 2.4	5.8 + 3.5	7.4 + 3.4	11.2 + 4.9
Army preschool program/nursery school	1.1 + 0.7	0.7 + 0.6	1.2 + 1.2	1.8 + 1.6	1.4 + 1.3	0.9 + 0.8	1.5 + 1.5	0.8 + 0.5	1.4 + 1.8	1.1 + 1.1	0.7 + 0.9	1.0 + 0.6
Non-army preschool pgm/nursery school	3.2 + 1.6	1.1 + 0.8	3.4 + 2.4	4.4 + 2.4	10.8 + 4.9	4.1 + 2.3	1.2 + 2.0	2.5 + 2.6	1.6 + 1.5	1.2 + 2.3	2.6 + 2.1	6.6 + 2.9
Kindergarten	1.3 + 0.6	2.2 + 1.3	1.0 + 0.8	0.3 + 0.5	1.1 + 1.4	1.3 + 0.7	1.3 + 1.1	0.6 + 1.4	1.5 + 1.2	1.1 + 1.2	1.2 + 1.1	1.3 + 1.0
Caregiver in your home	5.4 + 0.9	2.8 + 1.1	4.4 + 1.5	11.4 + 3.3	18.8 + 4.9	5.5 + 1.0	4.8 + 1.8	8.4 + 5.3	3.7 + 1.5	7.4 + 4.2	4.9 + 1.9	6.9 + 1.7
family child care home (licensed)	14.0 + 2.5	10.8 + 3.6	17.9 + 3.6	7.8 + 2.5	5.6 + 3.1	11.1 + 2.5	21.0 + 6.4	10.8 + 5.0	11.7 + 3.8	18.1 + 6.6	16.6 + 6.0	12.8 + 4.0
Caregiver in another home (<=6 children)	11.5 + 2.0	11.8 + 3.4	10.9 + 2.9	12.3 + 3.3	12.6 + 4.6	10.1 + 2.4	14.9 + 4.6	8.6 + 4.3	10.9 + 3.6	8.3 + 4.2	12.0 + 4.7	13.6 + 3.7
Small group child care home (7+ kids)	0.0 + 0.1	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.5 + 1.1	0.0 + 0.0	0.1 + 0.1	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.1 + 0.3	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0
Special needs child day care	0.1 + 0.2	0.2 + 0.5	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.0 + 0.0	0.1 + 0.2	0.0 + 0.0	1.2 + 2.8	0.2 + 0.4	0.0 + 0.0	0.3 + 0.6	0.0 + 0.0
Older brother or sister	1.5 + 0.7	0.6 + 0.5	1.8 + 1.2	1.5 + 1.2	5.3 + 3.3	1.5 + 0.8	1.7 + 1.5	1.6 + 2.2	1.6 + 1.6	2.0 + 1.7	1.8 + 1.3	1.0 + 0.8
Grandparent or other relative	4.9 + 1.1	6.8 + 2.0	4.4 + 1.7	3.5 + 1.9	3.0 + 2.5	5.2 + 1.2	4.4 + 2.4	4.5 + 5.3	5.2 + 2.5	3.9 + 2.3	4.4 + 1.8	5.4 + 2.1
Babysitting cooperative and/or friends	34.6 + 3.1	42.7 + 4.7	33.6 + 4.0	23.9 + 4.9	17.4 + 5.1	35.7 + 3.8	31.2 + 5.7	39.9 + 11.1	43.7 + 4.4	35.1 + 6.7	33.3 + 6.4	23.7 + 4.7

Table S.143

Where Is Youngest Child (Under 6 Years Old) Cared for
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Child takes care of self at home	0.2% + 0.2	0.3% + 0.4	0.2% + 0.3	0.0% + 0.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.1% + 0.2	0.3% + 0.6	0.0% + 0.0	0.1% + 0.2	0.6% + 1.1	0.2% + 0.5	0.0% + 0.0
Sample size	1,893	658	575	409	228	1,144	592	157	645	261	376	611
Weighted total	65,375	19,804	35,332	5,826	3,188	42,967	19,141	3,266	23,690	10,454	12,628	18,603

Table S_143 (cont.)

Where Is Youngest Child (Under 6 Years Old) Cared for
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Officer
Army child care center	--	12.3% ± 6.7	--	15.2% ± 5.0	--	12.7% ± 2.6	--	21.5% ± 4.6	14.8% ± 3.3	13.6% ± 3.0
Civilian child care center off-post	--	4.3 ± 3.7	--	10.1 ± 4.6	--	8.1 ± 2.7	--	9.3 ± 3.1	10.0 ± 3.5	5.3 ± 2.5
Army preschool program/nursery school	--	0.3 ± 0.6	--	0.2 ± 0.5	--	1.1 ± 0.9	--	1.6 ± 1.1	1.3 ± 0.9	1.0 ± 0.8
Non-army preschool pgm/nursery school	--	4.4 ± 3.2	--	2.7 ± 2.3	--	2.7 ± 2.1	--	6.5 ± 2.7	4.2 ± 2.9	2.0 ± 1.0
Kindergarten	--	2.7 ± 3.3	--	0.7 ± 1.0	--	1.1 ± 0.7	--	0.5 ± 0.5	1.1 ± 0.8	0.7 ± 0.6
Caregiver in your home	--	5.6 ± 5.5	--	8.1 ± 3.3	--	3.7 ± 1.4	--	11.3 ± 3.2	4.0 ± 1.5	6.3 ± 2.2
Family child care home (licensed)	--	19.3 ± 9.9	--	21.2 ± 5.8	--	12.9 ± 3.1	--	6.5 ± 2.2	17.2 ± 3.8	4.5 ± 1.8
Caregiver in another home (<=6 children)	--	13.2 ± 5.2	--	17.3 ± 4.4	--	10.4 ± 2.9	--	12.6 ± 3.1	12.4 ± 3.2	7.9 ± 2.6
Small group child care home (7+ kids)	--	0.0 ± 0.0	--	0.0 ± 0.0	--	0.0 ± 0.0	--	0.4 ± 0.7	0.1 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.0
Special needs child day care	--	1.0 ± 2.1	--	0.0 ± 0.0	--	0.0 ± 0.0	--	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0
Older brother or sister	--	0.8 ± 1.5	--	0.0 ± 0.0	--	1.8 ± 1.1	--	2.6 ± 1.5	1.2 ± 1.1	3.0 ± 1.9
Grandparent or other relative	--	8.6 ± 5.6	--	3.1 ± 2.2	--	5.0 ± 1.5	--	2.8 ± 1.3	3.9 ± 1.5	5.5 ± 2.3
Babysitting cooperative and/or friends	--	27.5 ± 8.7	--	21.4 ± 5.2	--	40.1 ± 3.8	--	24.5 ± 4.0	29.3 ± 4.7	50.2 ± 3.9

Table S_143 (cont.)

Where Is Youngest Child (Under 6 Years Old) Cared for
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse													
Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer					
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed		
--	0.0 + 0.0	--	0.0 + 0.0	--	0.3 + 0.4	--	--	0.0 + 0.0	--	0.4 + 0.5	0.0 + 0.0		
0	112	0	266	0	868	17	0	560	4	767	681		
0	4,615	0	8,327	0	39,705	1,020	0	8,635	111	29,067	20,495		
Child takes care of self at home													
Sample size													
Weighted total													

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S 144

of Hours Per Week Youngest Child (Under 6 Years Old) Uses Usual Child Care Arrangement
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	6.8 + 0.7 -	6.7 + 1.1 -	7.5 + 1.1 -	5.5 + 1.0 -	5.1 + 1.7 -	7.0 + 0.9 -	6.5 + 1.1 -	5.6 + 2.5 -	6.3 + 1.1 -	6.0 + 1.8 -	6.8 + 1.8 -	8.2 + 1.7 -
50th percentile	24.1 + 3.4 -	25.4 + 5.2 -	26.3 + 4.4 -	15.3 + 4.6 -	10.8 + 6.5 -	25.2 + 4.4 -	22.5 + 4.6 -	17.2 + 10.7 -	18.4 + 4.0 -	23.1 + 7.2 -	27.6 + 8.8 -	32.1 + 8.3 -
75th percentile	45.8 + 1.4 -	46.8 + 2.3 -	45.8 + 1.6 -	44.3 + 3.2 -	42.5 + 3.4 -	45.7 + 1.7 -	46.4 + 3.0 -	43.9 + 3.9 -	42.1 + 2.1 -	48.8 + 5.5 -	51.3 + 3.0 -	46.2 + 1.7 -
Sample size	1,924	663	587	420	230	1,167	597	160	649	264	387	624
Weighted total	66,404	19,917	36,010	6,002	3,218	43,758	19,295	3,351	23,704	10,668	13,086	18,946

Table S 144 (cont.)

of Hours Per Week Youngest Child (Under 6 Years Old) Uses Usual Child Care Arrangement
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
25th percentile	--	41.8 + 3.3	--	42.8 + 2.1	--	--	5.7 + 0.9	--	4.7 + 1.1	--	--	12.7 + 3.3	3.2 + 0.9
50th percentile	--	50.3 + 3.3	--	49.8 + 2.4	--	--	16.4 + 4.2	--	9.3 + 1.0	--	--	31.1 + 3.6	6.4 + 0.6
75th percentile	--	59.4 + 3.4	--	56.8 + 1.8	--	--	41.0 + 1.7	--	35.0 + 4.2	--	--	44.8 + 1.5	9.6 + 0.5
Sample size	0	112	0	271	0	0	875	19	572	4	780	689	
Weighted total	0	4,652	0	8,467	0	0	39,948	1,075	8,838	111	29,560	20,462	

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S_145

Rate Youngest Child's (Under 6 Years Old) Child Care
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank					Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Excellent	25.5% + 2.1 -	27.4% + 3.5 -	25.0% + 2.9 -	25.6% + 3.6 -	24.3% + 5.2 -	25.4% + 2.6 -	26.4% + 4.2 -	22.6% + 8.9 -	24.6% + 4.3 -	21.6% + 4.2 -	31.4% + 5.5 -	24.8% + 3.6 -
Very good	31.3 + 3.0 -	30.2 + 3.8 -	31.7 + 4.3 -	32.1 + 4.1 -	35.0 + 7.2 -	31.9 + 4.2 -	29.8 + 3.5 -	32.7 + 9.3 -	31.0 + 4.8 -	31.4 + 6.9 -	30.5 + 4.2 -	32.1 + 5.9 -
Good	27.8 + 2.9 -	25.1 + 4.1 -	27.4 + 4.3 -	29.7 + 4.6 -	28.4 + 5.6 -	28.0 + 4.0 -	27.0 + 3.8 -	25.9 + 5.9 -	28.5 + 4.9 -	28.6 + 5.8 -	20.4 + 4.7 -	30.8 + 6.5 -
Fair	10.9 + 1.6 -	12.1 + 2.5 -	11.0 + 3.1 -	8.8 + 2.9 -	9.5 + 3.8 -	10.3 + 2.0 -	11.5 + 2.8 -	15.0 + 3.3 -	11.6 + 2.9 -	13.9 + 4.9 -	11.6 + 4.0 -	7.9 + 2.6 -
Poor	4.7 + 1.1 -	5.3 + 1.9 -	4.8 + 1.8 -	3.3 + 1.5 -	2.9 + 2.1 -	4.3 + 1.4 -	5.5 + 2.0 -	3.7 + 3.1 -	4.3 + 2.1 -	4.5 + 3.5 -	6.0 + 2.7 -	4.4 + 1.8 -
Sample size	1,936	672	592	418	230	1,172	603	161	655	266	391	624
Weighted total	67,084	20,183	36,489	5,935	3,220	44,122	19,574	3,388	24,028	10,720	13,178	19,160

Table S 145 (cont.)

Rate Youngest Child's (Under 6 Years Old) Child Care
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Enlisted	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Officer
Excellent	--	36.1% ± 8.8	--	35.4% ± 6.0	--	21.6% ± 2.3	--	22.7% ± 3.4	--	23.5% ± 3.0
Very good	--	30.6 ± 8.7	--	30.0 ± 5.5	--	31.9 ± 3.4	--	31.5 ± 3.9	--	33.1 ± 3.9
Good	--	22.8 ± 8.5	--	21.1 ± 5.9	--	29.1 ± 3.6	--	33.8 ± 4.3	--	30.2 ± 4.4
Fair	--	5.6 ± 4.8	--	11.0 ± 5.1	--	12.1 ± 2.4	--	8.6 ± 2.5	--	9.9 ± 2.2
Poor	--	4.9 ± 4.5	--	2.6 ± 1.7	--	5.3 ± 1.6	--	3.4 ± 1.6	--	3.3 ± 1.4
Spouse not Employed	0	114	0	273	0	884	19	570	4	783
Spouse Employed	0	4,705	0	8,525	0	40,461	1,075	8,773	111	29,739
Sample size	0	114	0	273	0	884	19	570	4	783
Weighted total	0	4,705	0	8,525	0	40,461	1,075	8,773	111	29,739

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

FAMILY

Table S_146A

Family Cooperation Getting Things Done
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
1 - No chance things will get done	1.4% + 0.3	2.0% + 0.7	1.2% + 0.4	0.4% + 0.3	0.3% + 0.4	1.2% + 0.4	1.8% + 0.7	1.6% + 0.5	1.0% + 0.4	1.3% + 0.6	2.4% + 1.3	1.1% + 0.5
2	1.7 + 0.4	2.3 + 0.6	1.5 + 0.5	0.6 + 0.4	1.0 + 0.5	1.8 + 0.5	1.4 + 0.5	1.0 + 0.7	1.1 + 0.5	2.7 + 1.1	1.8 + 0.9	1.8 + 0.6
3	2.9 + 0.4	3.4 + 0.8	2.9 + 0.7	1.5 + 0.5	2.5 + 1.0	3.1 + 0.6	2.4 + 0.5	3.0 + 0.6	2.9 + 0.7	2.3 + 1.0	2.7 + 0.7	3.3 + 0.7
4	9.9 + 1.0	11.8 + 1.5	10.1 + 1.3	4.9 + 1.2	4.8 + 1.1	9.7 + 1.2	10.2 + 1.7	9.9 + 2.6	11.2 + 1.8	9.6 + 2.0	10.0 + 1.9	8.3 + 1.5
5	19.0 + 1.4	19.1 + 2.1	19.5 + 2.0	16.7 + 2.3	18.7 + 3.0	19.3 + 1.9	18.0 + 1.9	20.6 + 3.1	19.2 + 2.5	21.1 + 3.1	19.7 + 1.9	17.3 + 2.5
6	33.7 + 1.2	30.6 + 1.6	33.9 + 2.0	37.4 + 2.1	39.4 + 3.2	33.8 + 1.6	34.4 + 1.9	30.2 + 2.9	32.4 + 2.5	32.5 + 2.9	31.6 + 2.9	37.6 + 2.5
7 - Things will always get done	31.4 + 1.4	30.9 + 2.2	30.8 + 2.1	38.5 + 2.0	33.3 + 2.9	31.0 + 1.9	31.8 + 2.2	33.8 + 3.9	32.2 + 2.3	30.6 + 3.8	31.8 + 3.3	30.6 + 2.9
Sample size	8,198	2,890	2,439	1,353	1,333	4,935	2,497	766	2,860	1,062	1,576	2,700
Weighted total	298,202	94,195	156,990	20,145	18,260	194,480	86,497	17,225	109,675	46,779	56,574	85,174

Table S_146A (cont.)

Family Cooperation Getting Things Done
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Civilian Spouse					
	No Children			Children			No Children			Officer			Spouse Employed		
	No Children	Children	Spouse not Employed	No Children	Children	Spouse not Employed	No Children	Children	Spouse not Employed	No Children	Children	Spouse not Employed	No Children	Children	Spouse not Employed
1 - No chance things will get done	11.3% + 3.5	3.5% + 2.8	0.5% + 0.6	0.1% + 0.3	1.0% + 0.6	0.6% + 0.3	0.2% + 0.3	0.3% + 0.5	0.0% + 0.0	0.3% + 0.4	0.5% + 0.3	0.6% + 0.4	0.3% + 0.4	0.7% + 0.5	1.0% + 0.5
2	7.5 + 3.2	2.1 + 1.9	0.2 + 0.4	1.1 + 0.8	1.7 + 0.9	1.5 + 0.7	0.5 + 0.6	0.5 + 0.6	0.7 + 0.5	0.7 + 0.4	1.3 + 0.6	1.0 + 0.5	0.7 + 0.4	0.7 + 0.5	1.0 + 0.5
3	7.0 + 3.2	7.2 + 3.6	2.1 + 1.6	3.2 + 2.1	2.6 + 1.0	2.2 + 0.7	2.2 + 1.1	0.2 + 0.3	1.8 + 0.9	2.4 + 1.1	2.2 + 0.6	2.2 + 0.6	2.4 + 1.1	2.4 + 1.1	2.2 + 0.6
4	18.7 + 3.7	16.3 + 5.0	7.8 + 2.0	10.2 + 3.1	9.3 + 2.1	9.4 + 1.5	10.6 + 3.3	2.9 + 1.2	4.4 + 2.0	5.6 + 1.7	9.2 + 1.5	8.0 + 1.3	5.6 + 1.7	5.6 + 1.7	8.0 + 1.3
5	15.4 + 3.8	21.9 + 5.4	14.3 + 3.7	18.3 + 4.1	18.7 + 2.5	20.5 + 1.9	21.8 + 4.0	10.1 + 2.9	19.7 + 2.8	19.7 + 2.9	19.7 + 2.3	19.2 + 1.8	19.7 + 2.3	19.7 + 2.3	19.2 + 1.8
6	22.4 + 5.2	24.8 + 5.9	35.7 + 5.8	36.5 + 5.5	32.6 + 3.1	34.2 + 1.8	34.6 + 4.6	42.0 + 5.3	40.6 + 3.5	38.3 + 3.1	35.0 + 2.3	35.8 + 1.7	38.3 + 3.1	38.3 + 3.1	35.8 + 1.7
7 - Things will always get done	17.6 + 4.8	24.1 + 6.3	39.4 + 4.8	30.5 + 5.6	34.2 + 3.4	31.7 + 2.5	30.1 + 4.4	44.0 + 5.3	32.7 + 3.6	33.0 + 3.6	32.2 + 2.3	33.2 + 2.0	33.0 + 3.6	33.0 + 3.6	33.2 + 2.0
Sample size	383	238	447	424	1,220	1,905	633	608	891	905	3,194	3,090	905	15,507	104,971
Weighted total	17,636	10,573	13,947	14,124	51,586	86,323	40,792	9,814	13,928	15,507	118,622	104,971	15,507	118,622	104,971

Table S 1468

Resolution of Tough Family Problems
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
1 - No hope of solving the problem	1.0% + 0.3	1.5% + 0.6	0.9% + 0.4	0.4% + 0.4	0.0% + 0.0	0.8% + 0.4	1.3% + 0.5	1.3% + 0.7	0.9% + 0.4	1.0% + 0.6	1.6% + 1.0	0.6% + 0.5
2	0.9 + 0.2	1.3 + 0.4	0.8 + 0.4	0.4 + 0.3	0.3 + 0.2	1.0 + 0.3	0.7 + 0.4	1.3 + 0.7	0.9 + 0.5	0.7 + 0.6	1.0 + 0.5	0.9 + 0.4
3	2.4 + 0.4	3.4 + 0.7	2.3 + 0.5	0.5 + 0.4	1.2 + 0.7	2.6 + 0.5	2.2 + 0.6	1.6 + 0.6	2.4 + 0.5	2.5 + 0.9	2.2 + 0.7	2.5 + 0.7
4	7.1 + 0.8	8.5 + 1.2	7.4 + 1.0	2.5 + 0.7	3.4 + 1.2	7.3 + 1.1	6.7 + 1.1	6.9 + 2.9	6.9 + 1.3	7.5 + 1.8	7.8 + 2.1	6.7 + 1.2
5	16.9 + 0.9	18.1 + 1.5	17.3 + 1.5	12.1 + 1.7	12.6 + 1.7	16.6 + 1.1	17.4 + 2.0	16.7 + 2.1	15.9 + 2.0	20.2 + 2.5	17.5 + 2.5	15.8 + 1.4
6	37.4 + 1.2	33.5 + 1.9	37.9 + 2.0	43.9 + 2.4	45.2 + 2.7	37.6 + 1.5	37.0 + 2.1	37.6 + 3.9	38.3 + 2.3	35.8 + 3.2	34.7 + 3.6	39.0 + 2.1
7 - Will solve the whole problem	34.3 + 1.2	33.8 + 1.8	33.5 + 2.1	40.1 + 2.4	37.3 + 2.9	34.2 + 1.5	34.7 + 1.9	34.5 + 4.4	34.6 + 2.6	32.2 + 3.4	35.1 + 3.4	34.6 + 1.9
Sample size	8,205	2,895	2,443	1,353	1,332	4,938	2,502	765	2,863	1,064	1,579	2,699
Weighted total	298,511	94,336	157,236	20,145	18,253	194,669	86,658	17,184	109,763	46,883	56,714	85,150

Resolution of Tough Family Problems by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

807

Table S.146C
Family Behavior Tendencies In Bad Times
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Services Support	TDA	
1 - Feel sure that things will improve	32.1% + 1.2	32.8% + 1.8	31.6% + 2.0	33.0% + 2.2	32.1% + 3.0	31.9% + 1.7	32.4% + 1.4	33.2% + 6.0	32.1% + 2.0	31.3% + 3.2	34.3% + 2.8	31.2% + 1.9
2	30.1 + 1.2	25.4 + 2.1	29.7 + 1.9	42.5 + 2.4	41.5 + 2.6	30.0 + 1.7	30.8 + 1.7	28.1 + 2.6	30.0 + 1.7	28.5 + 3.8	27.3 + 2.6	33.2 + 2.2
3	14.1 + 1.0	14.2 + 1.3	14.2 + 1.7	12.5 + 2.2	15.1 + 2.5	14.2 + 1.4	14.3 + 1.5	12.7 + 3.0	14.0 + 1.6	15.4 + 2.8	13.3 + 2.2	14.1 + 2.3
4	9.9 + 0.8	11.7 + 1.1	10.0 + 1.6	5.6 + 1.2	6.5 + 1.6	9.8 + 1.0	9.7 + 1.1	12.8 + 3.0	9.6 + 1.3	10.0 + 1.7	11.1 + 2.1	9.5 + 1.8
5	6.8 + 0.7	7.5 + 1.2	7.3 + 1.3	4.0 + 1.0	2.9 + 0.9	7.0 + 0.9	6.1 + 1.1	8.1 + 2.2	7.2 + 0.9	7.2 + 1.5	6.6 + 1.5	6.3 + 1.5
6	4.3 + 0.6	4.7 + 0.7	4.8 + 1.0	2.0 + 0.8	1.8 + 0.5	4.8 + 0.7	3.6 + 0.8	2.7 + 1.2	4.4 + 0.7	4.6 + 1.7	4.1 + 1.0	4.2 + 1.1
7 - Doubt if things will ever improve	2.5 + 0.5	3.5 + 0.9	2.5 + 0.8	0.5 + 0.4	0.2 + 0.2	2.3 + 0.5	3.0 + 1.5	2.5 + 1.2	2.6 + 0.8	3.0 + 1.0	3.4 + 1.3	1.5 + 0.5
Sample size	8,198	2,891	2,439	1,353	1,333	4,934	2,499	765	2,860	1,060	1,579	2,699
Weighted total	297,921	94,114	156,861	20,145	18,260	194,208	86,530	17,184	109,538	46,615	56,704	85,064

Table S 146C (cont.)

Family Behavior Tendencies in Bad Times
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse															
Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer			
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
1 - Feel sure that things will improve															
25.3% + 4.9	23.0% + 6.4	37.4% + 5.0	29.6% + 6.0	33.4% + 3.0	31.8% + 2.6	34.6% + 3.5	37.1% + 3.9	31.5% + 2.7	32.5% + 3.4	32.8% + 2.1	33.6% + 2.0				
16.7 + 4.2	27.3 + 6.2	27.8 + 4.2	34.2 + 6.1	29.0 + 3.1	29.9 + 2.7	30.9 + 3.4	42.5 + 2.9	41.4 + 2.9	40.2 + 3.1	32.2 + 2.0	31.2 + 1.9				
13.8 + 4.0	15.7 + 6.1	13.0 + 3.2	11.7 + 3.4	14.0 + 2.1	15.3 + 2.3	16.3 + 3.0	12.3 + 2.7	14.5 + 2.7	15.3 + 2.9	15.0 + 1.5	14.6 + 1.6				
21.5 + 4.1	18.2 + 6.4	10.0 + 3.2	9.8 + 2.7	10.0 + 2.0	9.1 + 1.4	7.6 + 2.0	3.6 + 1.5	7.0 + 1.8	5.9 + 1.9	8.2 + 1.2	8.9 + 1.1				
9.3 + 3.1	5.6 + 2.4	7.0 + 4.7	8.7 + 3.2	7.0 + 1.5	7.8 + 1.5	4.4 + 1.8	2.7 + 1.8	3.0 + 1.2	3.4 + 1.3	6.0 + 1.2	6.3 + 0.9				
6.8 + 3.2	6.9 + 3.1	3.5 + 1.5	3.9 + 2.2	3.9 + 1.4	3.9 + 1.0	4.5 + 1.9	1.4 + 0.9	1.9 + 1.0	2.4 + 1.2	4.4 + 1.2	3.3 + 0.8				
6.6 + 2.7	3.3 + 2.7	1.4 + 1.5	2.1 + 1.9	2.6 + 0.9	2.3 + 0.8	1.7 + 1.5	0.4 + 0.5	0.7 + 0.9	0.4 + 0.5	1.3 + 0.5	2.1 + 0.8				
7 - Doubt if things will ever improve															
Sample size															
381	236	447	424	1,221	1,906	634	608	891	905	3,192	3,094				
Weighted total															
17,472	10,436	13,947	14,124	51,609	86,322	40,803	9,814	13,928	15,507	118,323	105,220				

Table S_147

Family Adjustment to Army Family Life
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
1 - Extremely well	24.0% + 1.4	14.9% + 1.4	27.4% + 2.1	27.8% + 2.6	35.2% + 2.4	24.6% + 1.7	22.8% + 2.4	24.0% + 5.7	21.8% + 1.7	19.9% + 2.8	24.4% + 2.5	28.9% + 2.9
2	28.1 + 1.4	21.0 + 1.3	29.1 + 2.0	38.9 + 2.5	39.7 + 3.5	27.9 + 2.0	28.8 + 1.5	27.6 + 4.7	28.3 + 1.6	24.9 + 3.3	28.1 + 2.5	31.0 + 2.7
3	17.3 + 1.0	18.7 + 1.8	17.0 + 1.5	17.3 + 2.8	14.3 + 1.8	17.0 + 1.3	18.0 + 1.7	17.2 + 5.6	17.5 + 1.6	19.3 + 2.8	16.5 + 2.3	16.6 + 1.9
4 - Neither	16.6 + 1.2	25.0 + 1.6	14.2 + 1.5	9.8 + 1.8	5.3 + 1.3	16.3 + 1.4	17.4 + 2.6	16.3 + 1.2	16.9 + 2.2	19.1 + 2.4	19.9 + 2.3	12.7 + 2.0
5	6.5 + 0.7	9.1 + 1.3	5.8 + 1.3	3.7 + 1.2	3.0 + 1.0	6.7 + 1.0	5.9 + 1.0	6.8 + 1.5	7.2 + 1.1	8.9 + 1.7	5.9 + 1.3	5.6 + 1.1
6	4.0 + 0.6	5.8 + 1.0	3.6 + 1.0	1.3 + 0.7	2.2 + 0.9	3.9 + 0.7	4.2 + 1.2	4.1 + 1.6	4.6 + 1.0	4.7 + 1.3	3.7 + 1.1	3.0 + 1.1
7 - Extremely badly	3.4 + 0.5	5.4 + 1.0	3.0 + 0.8	1.3 + 0.6	0.3 + 0.3	3.6 + 0.8	2.9 + 0.7	3.9 + 0.7	3.6 + 0.9	5.2 + 1.4	3.5 + 1.1	2.2 + 0.8
Sample size	8,213	2,898	2,445	1,353	1,335	4,946	2,503	764	2,871	1,059	1,579	2,704
Weighted total	298,584	94,243	157,407	20,122	18,271	194,729	86,755	17,101	110,145	46,410	56,679	85,350

Table S 148A

Helpfulness of Army Policy - Family Support During Deployment
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very helpful	8.7%	6.2%	10.3%	8.7%	8.2%	8.3%	9.6%	8.0%	11.0%	7.0%	8.2%	6.8%
	+ 1.0	+ 1.0	+ 1.5	+ 2.3	+ 2.4	+ 1.2	+ 2.2	+ 2.3	+ 2.0	+ 1.8	+ 1.7	+ 1.3
Somewhat helpful	21.4	20.1	22.3	24.2	14.9	21.1	22.2	20.2	27.6	23.5	19.0	13.7
	+ 1.9	+ 1.5	+ 2.6	+ 4.4	+ 2.7	+ 2.6	+ 2.5	+ 1.7	+ 2.6	+ 3.5	+ 2.2	+ 2.2
No effect	45.4	43.5	44.9	47.4	52.4	44.8	46.3	47.2	43.1	48.6	48.1	45.9
	+ 1.7	+ 2.3	+ 2.1	+ 3.6	+ 4.5	+ 2.0	+ 3.2	+ 7.2	+ 2.4	+ 4.0	+ 3.2	+ 2.9
Somewhat harmful	5.1	6.9	4.9	2.5	1.6	4.8	5.8	5.5	5.4	7.1	5.8	3.2
	+ 0.6	+ 1.2	+ 0.7	+ 1.1	+ 0.7	+ 0.8	+ 0.7	+ 4.6	+ 0.9	+ 1.7	+ 1.6	+ 0.8
Very harmful	2.1	2.7	2.1	0.5	0.5	1.8	2.4	3.1	2.5	2.2	2.2	1.4
	+ 0.4	+ 0.6	+ 0.6	+ 0.3	+ 0.4	+ 0.5	+ 0.7	+ 1.1	+ 0.6	+ 1.3	+ 0.9	+ 0.7
Don't know	17.4	20.5	15.5	16.7	22.5	19.2	13.7	16.0	10.4	13.6	16.7	29.0
	+ 2.1	+ 3.0	+ 2.3	+ 3.9	+ 2.3	+ 2.9	+ 1.9	+ 3.0	+ 2.4	+ 3.0	+ 2.0	+ 2.3
Sample size	8,124	2,858	2,420	1,345	1,320	4,894	2,473	757	2,847	1,049	1,553	2,675
Weighted total	295,392	93,237	155,520	20,008	18,093	192,744	85,627	17,021	109,210	46,014	55,671	84,496

Table S_1488

Helpfulness of Army Policy - On-post Housing Assignment
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very helpful	14.7% + 1.6	11.6% + 2.2	17.0% + 2.2	12.6% + 3.1	14.8% + 3.6	15.0% + 2.3	13.7% + 1.5	16.9% + 3.2	13.9% + 1.9	16.0% + 2.7	15.2% + 2.6	14.8% + 3.5
Somewhat helpful	17.6 + 1.7	13.8 + 2.1	19.8 + 2.1	18.9 + 2.5	15.8 + 2.7	16.5 + 2.4	19.8 + 2.5	19.4 + 3.2	17.9 + 2.4	19.3 + 3.7	18.0 + 2.9	16.0 + 2.6
No effect	37.4 + 2.1	37.7 + 2.9	36.2 + 2.6	38.8 + 2.9	42.2 + 5.9	39.7 + 2.7	35.0 + 2.7	28.7 + 6.3	38.4 + 2.5	33.5 + 4.1	35.1 + 3.3	39.6 + 3.8
Somewhat harmful	8.1 + 0.9	6.3 + 0.9	8.7 + 1.4	10.4 + 2.0	10.5 + 2.1	6.9 + 0.9	10.1 + 1.4	12.9 + 5.0	7.1 + 1.2	9.1 + 2.1	9.1 + 2.1	8.4 + 1.6
Very harmful	6.5 + 0.9	7.1 + 1.4	6.0 + 1.1	6.0 + 2.1	7.6 + 1.8	5.4 + 1.0	8.2 + 1.6	10.8 + 3.1	6.3 + 1.1	6.8 + 2.0	6.7 + 1.6	6.6 + 1.6
Don't know	15.7 + 1.9	23.5 + 3.0	12.4 + 2.1	13.3 + 2.6	9.2 + 2.0	17.2 + 2.7	13.2 + 1.7	11.3 + 4.5	16.4 + 2.6	15.3 + 3.4	16.0 + 2.1	14.6 + 3.4
Sample size	8,114	2,847	2,423	1,341	1,323	4,897	2,465	752	2,835	1,047	1,550	2,682
Weighted total	295,271	92,857	155,818	19,964	18,131	192,781	85,633	16,857	108,718	46,077	55,593	84,884

Table S 1488 (cont.)

Helpfulness of Army Policy - On-post Housing Assignment
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	Children	Children
	Enlisted					Officer				
	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Very helpful	5.3% + 2.4	15.7% + 5.3	7.7% + 3.8	14.1% + 4.8	10.3% + 2.2	18.2% + 2.2	17.7% + 3.6	11.7% + 3.5	15.3% + 3.3	14.3% + 2.1
Somewhat helpful	8.2 + 3.2	15.8 + 4.9	10.7 + 3.5	13.5 + 4.0	13.4 + 2.7	21.8 + 2.7	18.9 + 3.5	17.2 + 3.3	20.8 + 3.0	18.0 + 2.2
No effect	44.4 + 5.6	33.9 + 7.0	46.0 + 6.4	44.0 + 5.5	40.3 + 2.6	32.4 + 3.3	37.0 + 4.3	41.3 + 5.8	41.0 + 7.5	39.4 + 3.2
Somewhat harmful	5.2 + 2.4	12.3 + 4.9	5.4 + 2.3	6.5 + 3.6	5.9 + 1.5	8.0 + 1.7	9.7 + 2.4	10.9 + 2.8	12.5 + 2.5	8.7 + 1.5
Very harmful	5.3 + 2.3	4.0 + 2.5	6.1 + 3.9	2.7 + 1.9	7.6 + 2.3	7.1 + 1.2	5.9 + 2.2	6.1 + 2.5	7.9 + 2.7	6.1 + 1.2
Don't know	31.6 + 6.1	18.3 + 5.9	24.1 + 5.5	19.2 + 4.5	22.4 + 3.6	12.4 + 2.0	10.9 + 2.8	12.7 + 3.8	7.8 + 2.2	13.5 + 2.1
Sample size	368	236	440	423	1,210	1,884	628	604	883	3,159
Weighted total	16,820	10,441	13,822	14,108	51,321	85,396	40,516	9,764	15,441	117,125
										3,067
										104,620

Table S_148C

Helpfulness of Army Policy - Permanent Change of Station
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very helpful	8.4% + 0.9	5.9% + 0.9	10.1% + 1.5	6.0% + 1.4	10.1% + 2.2	8.0% + 1.2	9.3% + 1.3	8.4% + 2.5	9.3% + 1.4	8.8% + 1.8	7.9% + 1.7	8.4% + 1.8
Somewhat helpful	17.4 + 1.0	12.1 + 1.3	19.6 + 1.6	21.9 + 2.5	20.9 + 3.0	16.5 + 1.3	19.0 + 1.7	19.3 + 3.0	17.2 + 1.9	15.4 + 1.7	16.6 + 2.0	19.3 + 2.2
No effect	35.7 + 1.4	36.3 + 2.1	34.4 + 2.0	40.0 + 3.0	36.7 + 4.1	37.2 + 1.7	32.6 + 2.4	34.1 + 2.2	35.5 + 2.0	38.9 + 3.3	38.0 + 2.9	35.0 + 2.2
Somewhat harmful	15.3 + 0.9	10.4 + 1.2	17.1 + 1.5	15.5 + 2.1	20.5 + 3.5	14.4 + 1.2	16.7 + 1.5	17.8 + 3.3	13.3 + 1.6	16.1 + 2.4	15.0 + 1.9	17.6 + 1.8
Very harmful	7.8 + 0.7	7.2 + 1.1	8.5 + 1.2	6.2 + 1.6	5.5 + 1.5	7.9 + 1.0	7.3 + 1.4	8.3 + 2.2	8.2 + 1.5	8.4 + 1.8	8.5 + 2.0	6.3 + 0.8
Don't know	15.5 + 0.9	28.1 + 1.8	10.2 + 1.2	10.5 + 2.0	6.3 + 1.1	16.0 + 1.1	15.1 + 1.6	12.1 + 2.1	16.4 + 1.5	18.4 + 3.2	16.0 + 2.3	13.4 + 2.0
Sample size	8,099	2,842	2,417	1,340	1,319	4,887	2,462	750	2,831	1,047	1,545	2,676
Weighted total	294,908	92,827	155,506	19,968	18,072	192,558	85,479	16,871	108,791	46,040	55,415	84,662

Table S 148C (cont.)

Helpfulness of Army Policy - Permanent Change of Station
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed
	Enlisted					Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse Employed
Very helpful	4.4% + 2.6	10.6% + 4.2	7.8% + 3.4	8.5% + 3.8	6.9% + 1.5	7.6% + 1.7	10.4% + 3.0	8.6% + 2.3	7.1% + 2.0	8.6% + 1.3
Somewhat helpful	9.7% + 3.3	15.9% + 5.2	14.1% + 4.0	15.6% + 4.0	13.6% + 2.7	19.5% + 2.1	18.3% + 3.5	19.7% + 3.3	20.9% + 2.6	18.6% + 1.6
No effect	37.0% + 5.1	35.4% + 7.4	41.6% + 5.4	35.5% + 4.8	34.4% + 3.0	33.4% + 2.6	37.7% + 4.8	42.1% + 5.1	36.7% + 3.6	37.3% + 2.4
Somewhat harmful	10.1% + 3.6	10.7% + 4.0	6.9% + 2.4	16.8% + 4.1	13.3% + 2.1	16.1% + 1.7	18.1% + 3.5	16.3% + 3.9	21.2% + 2.7	16.1% + 1.6
Very harmful	10.0% + 4.6	8.8% + 3.9	6.9% + 2.3	6.6% + 3.4	9.3% + 1.6	7.4% + 1.3	8.2% + 2.5	5.5% + 2.3	5.6% + 2.0	7.9% + 0.9
Don't know	28.8% + 5.1	18.6% + 6.8	22.6% + 3.9	16.9% + 4.4	22.3% + 3.0	16.0% + 1.5	7.4% + 2.0	7.8% + 2.1	8.6% + 2.8	11.5% + 1.2
Sample size	368	238	437	418	1,205	1,885	629	602	883	3,160
Weighted total	16,852	10,544	13,691	13,963	51,108	85,504	40,540	9,732	13,826	117,292
										3,059
										104,398

Table S_148D

Helpfulness of Army Policy - Military Child Care Priority
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very helpful	5.5% + 0.7	4.2% + 0.6	7.1% + 1.3	2.8% + 0.8	2.2% + 0.8	5.4% + 1.0	5.8% + 1.2	4.5% + 0.5	6.0% + 1.3	5.8% + 2.1	5.2% + 1.3	4.8% + 1.6
Somewhat helpful	12.3 + 1.0	11.8 + 1.4	13.7 + 1.5	10.0 + 1.9	7.3 + 1.9	12.0 + 1.4	12.8 + 1.4	13.2 + 4.5	12.5 + 1.6	12.8 + 2.3	12.7 + 1.7	11.7 + 2.2
No effect	46.3 + 1.5	40.1 + 2.2	46.5 + 2.1	52.8 + 2.5	64.1 + 3.2	47.1 + 1.9	44.5 + 2.6	47.4 + 5.1	46.4 + 2.3	45.2 + 4.0	44.6 + 2.7	48.0 + 3.0
Somewhat harmful	5.8 + 0.6	4.5 + 0.9	6.3 + 0.9	6.9 + 1.8	5.8 + 1.3	5.4 + 0.8	6.4 + 1.1	7.0 + 1.3	5.6 + 0.9	6.7 + 2.2	6.0 + 1.4	5.5 + 1.1
Very harmful	4.0 + 0.6	3.8 + 0.8	4.6 + 1.1	2.6 + 0.5	1.5 + 0.6	3.5 + 0.7	4.7 + 1.2	4.9 + 1.0	4.1 + 1.0	3.5 + 1.5	4.4 + 1.1	3.7 + 1.0
Don't know	26.1 + 1.5	35.5 + 2.5	21.8 + 2.0	24.9 + 2.7	19.0 + 2.4	26.5 + 1.7	25.8 + 3.0	22.9 + 3.5	25.4 + 2.2	26.3 + 4.4	27.0 + 2.7	26.3 + 2.0
Sample size	8,051	2,827	2,400	1,326	1,319	4,855	2,447	749	2,805	1,041	1,538	2,667
Weighted total	293,139	92,406	154,453	19,748	18,072	191,258	85,024	16,857	107,711	45,869	55,216	84,343

Table S.148E

Helpfulness of Army Policy - Family Violence and Abuse
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very helpful	4.8% + 0.7	4.6% + 0.7	6.1% + 1.2	1.1% + 0.6	0.9% + 0.4	4.8% + 0.9	5.1% + 1.2	4.2% + 1.3	5.3% + 1.3	4.7% + 1.5	5.9% + 1.4	3.6% + 1.2
Somewhat helpful	7.6 + 0.8	7.5 + 1.1	8.8 + 1.2	3.8 + 1.2	3.1 + 1.1	7.3 + 1.0	8.1 + 1.6	8.2 + 2.3	7.6 + 1.3	8.7 + 2.2	7.3 + 1.4	7.0 + 1.6
No effect	56.4 + 1.5	48.9 + 2.3	56.5 + 2.2	67.6 + 2.8	75.6 + 2.1	56.6 + 1.8	55.6 + 3.3	57.8 + 3.5	56.1 + 2.2	54.9 + 4.2	54.6 + 2.7	58.8 + 3.4
Somewhat harmful	2.3 + 0.6	2.6 + 0.6	2.5 + 0.8	1.2 + 0.6	0.5 + 0.5	1.9 + 0.6	3.0 + 1.5	3.7 + 1.2	2.6 + 0.7	2.7 + 1.8	2.9 + 1.1	1.4 + 0.6
Very harmful	1.5 + 0.3	1.5 + 0.5	1.8 + 0.5	0.5 + 0.3	0.2 + 0.2	1.3 + 0.4	2.1 + 0.5	1.6 + 1.7	2.0 + 0.7	1.1 + 0.7	1.5 + 0.6	1.1 + 0.6
Don't know	27.4 + 1.3	35.0 + 2.4	24.3 + 1.9	25.9 + 2.8	19.6 + 1.9	28.2 + 1.6	26.2 + 2.5	24.5 + 2.2	26.4 + 1.7	27.8 + 4.0	27.8 + 2.7	28.1 + 2.4
Sample size	8,054	2,826	2,405	1,325	1,318	4,855	2,449	750	2,811	1,044	1,535	2,661
Weighted total	293,457	92,205	154,939	19,754	18,058	191,475	85,129	16,853	108,000	45,968	55,090	84,400

Table S_148E (cont.)

Helpfulness of Army Policy - Family Violence and Abuse
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse									
		Single				Dual Military				Enlisted	
		No Children		Children		No Children		Children		No Children	
		Officer		Child 0-5		Child 6+		Child 0-5		Child 6+	
		No Children	Spouse Employed	No Children	Spouse Employed	No Children	Spouse Employed	No Children	Spouse Employed	No Children	Spouse Employed
Very helpful	2.8%	5.1%	3.9%	7.9%	4.4%	4.8%	6.7%	1.1%	1.1%	1.7%	4.3%
	± 2.0	± 3.0	± 2.2	± 3.4	± 1.4	± 1.1	± 2.4	± 0.9	± 0.7	± 1.6	± 0.8
Somewhat helpful	6.9	10.5	5.9	9.5	6.3	7.9	9.4	3.2	3.1	3.1	6.9
	± 3.2	± 4.0	± 2.9	± 4.0	± 1.7	± 1.5	± 1.9	± 1.4	± 1.4	± 1.6	± 1.3
No effect	48.2	46.6	50.4	54.0	50.7	56.3	61.8	66.7	72.2	74.6	57.5
	± 6.9	± 6.7	± 5.2	± 5.7	± 3.5	± 2.2	± 4.7	± 5.2	± 3.8	± 4.0	± 2.2
Somewhat harmful	2.4	4.8	1.0	1.1	2.7	2.7	2.4	0.9	0.6	1.8	2.5
	± 1.5	± 3.5	± 1.1	± 1.5	± 1.3	± 0.9	± 1.5	± 0.8	± 0.5	± 1.4	± 0.6
Very harmful	2.7	1.6	1.3	2.0	1.6	1.4	0.7	0.7	0.1	0.6	1.2
	± 1.6	± 1.9	± 1.4	± 1.8	± 0.9	± 0.7	± 0.7	± 0.7	± 0.2	± 0.6	± 0.5
Don't know	37.1	31.4	37.4	25.4	34.2	27.0	19.0	27.4	22.8	18.3	27.7
	± 5.5	± 8.2	± 4.1	± 5.6	± 3.3	± 1.8	± 3.8	± 5.0	± 3.3	± 2.9	± 1.7
Sample size	368	234	435	414	1,194	1,881	627	596	878	898	3,054
Weighted total	16,806	10,275	13,678	13,849	50,784	85,250	40,479	9,647	13,717	15,399	104,237

Table S.148f

Helpfulness of Army Policy - Emergency Financial Assistance
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very helpful	9.4% + 0.9	11.7% + 1.4	10.4% + 1.5	1.3% + 0.6	1.1% + 0.5	9.8% + 1.2	9.1% + 1.5	7.4% + 1.6	10.5% + 1.4	9.3% + 2.5	10.9% + 2.5	7.1% + 1.5
Somewhat helpful	15.3 + 1.2	19.1 + 1.5	16.4 + 1.6	4.7 + 1.1	3.0 + 1.2	14.8 + 1.6	16.5 + 1.9	15.4 + 3.7	16.8 + 1.8	19.0 + 2.2	16.6 + 2.2	10.7 + 1.7
No effect	48.5 + 2.0	38.5 + 2.3	47.9 + 2.6	66.5 + 2.3	75.2 + 2.0	48.3 + 2.5	48.3 + 3.6	51.9 + 6.6	47.7 + 2.7	45.6 + 4.2	45.6 + 3.4	53.1 + 3.0
Somewhat harmful	2.8 + 0.5	3.6 + 0.8	2.9 + 0.8	0.6 + 0.4	0.4 + 0.2	2.7 + 0.7	2.9 + 0.7	2.8 + 1.2	2.7 + 0.6	3.3 + 1.0	2.8 + 0.8	2.6 + 1.2
Very harmful	2.0 + 0.4	2.7 + 0.6	2.0 + 0.6	0.6 + 0.5	0.0 + 0.0	1.9 + 0.5	1.9 + 0.7	2.6 + 1.3	2.1 + 0.6	2.3 + 1.0	2.1 + 0.9	1.5 + 0.5
Don't know	22.0 + 1.3	24.4 + 1.9	20.4 + 2.1	26.2 + 2.3	20.2 + 1.8	22.5 + 1.8	21.3 + 1.8	19.8 + 2.2	20.1 + 1.6	20.5 + 3.5	22.0 + 2.7	25.1 + 2.2
Sample size	8,079	2,844	2,406	1,330	1,319	4,879	2,449	751	2,820	1,042	1,543	2,674
Weighted total	293,917	92,745	154,818	19,790	18,062	192,062	85,019	16,836	108,174	45,752	55,373	84,618

Helpfulness of Army Policy - Emergency Financial Assistance by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

823

Table S 1483

Helpfulness of Army Policy - Off-post Medical Service Referral
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very helpful	9.9% + 1.2	8.4% + 1.3	11.3% + 1.7	7.0% + 1.9	8.5% + 1.9	10.0% + 1.7	10.3% + 1.4	6.6% + 0.9	11.5% + 1.9	8.8% + 2.4	10.5% + 2.2	7.9% + 1.3
Somewhat helpful	19.0 + 2.0	17.4 + 2.8	19.7 + 2.2	19.4 + 3.2	19.8 + 2.9	19.4 + 2.9	18.6 + 2.1	16.1 + 2.9	20.7 + 3.1	19.3 + 2.9	16.5 + 2.9	18.4 + 2.9
No effect	42.9 + 2.0	41.1 + 2.3	42.3 + 2.4	47.8 + 4.0	50.0 + 4.1	42.2 + 2.8	43.6 + 2.5	47.3 + 4.8	41.1 + 2.8	42.8 + 4.3	44.7 + 3.9	44.2 + 2.6
Somewhat harmful	4.5 + 0.7	3.1 + 0.7	5.0 + 1.2	5.1 + 1.4	6.5 + 1.8	4.5 + 1.0	4.4 + 1.1	4.9 + 1.1	4.6 + 0.9	4.4 + 2.7	4.0 + 1.2	4.8 + 1.5
Very harmful	2.6 + 0.5	2.3 + 0.6	2.7 + 0.7	2.1 + 0.9	2.8 + 0.9	2.7 + 0.6	2.2 + 0.7	4.2 + 0.4	2.7 + 0.8	2.6 + 1.1	2.5 + 0.8	2.6 + 0.9
Don't know	21.1 + 1.8	27.7 + 2.8	18.9 + 2.4	18.7 + 2.3	12.5 + 2.0	21.2 + 2.5	20.9 + 2.2	20.9 + 3.8	19.4 + 2.4	22.1 + 4.0	21.7 + 2.7	22.2 + 3.7
Sample size	8,086	2,845	2,411	1,329	1,321	4,882	2,454	750	2,828	1,044	1,543	2,671
Weighted total	294,461	92,888	155,170	19,779	18,122	192,406	85,182	16,873	108,532	45,961	55,322	84,646

Table S_1486 (cont.)

Helpfulness of Army Policy - Off-post Medical Service Referral
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Very helpful	5.8% + 3.1	5.7% + 2.8	6.7% + 3.3	11.1% + 4.0	8.3% + 2.2	11.8% + 1.6	9.6% + 2.5	6.1% + 2.0	8.7% + 2.4	8.7% + 3.4	9.7% + 1.8	10.7% + 1.7
Somewhat helpful	12.4 + 4.2	16.8 + 5.1	7.9 + 2.7	17.2 + 4.8	14.9 + 2.8	22.8 + 3.5	20.6 + 3.4	20.2 + 3.7	23.8 + 4.1	20.5 + 4.2	20.7 + 2.4	20.0 + 2.8
No effect	42.9 + 6.8	45.6 + 8.0	46.2 + 5.6	45.8 + 6.7	42.9 + 4.2	39.5 + 3.0	46.5 + 4.0	48.3 + 5.5	43.5 + 5.3	51.1 + 4.9	44.4 + 2.8	40.9 + 3.2
Somewhat harmful	3.2 + 1.9	2.8 + 2.4	2.8 + 2.6	2.5 + 1.9	3.1 + 1.2	4.6 + 1.1	5.5 + 1.8	5.4 + 2.6	6.7 + 2.3	6.5 + 1.8	4.9 + 1.0	4.7 + 1.1
Very harmful	2.8 + 1.7	1.9 + 1.8	2.0 + 1.6	1.9 + 1.9	2.4 + 0.9	2.8 + 0.8	2.8 + 1.6	1.6 + 0.8	3.1 + 1.9	3.1 + 1.7	2.7 + 0.6	2.8 + 1.0
Don't know	32.8 + 6.0	27.2 + 7.7	34.4 + 5.4	21.5 + 4.7	28.3 + 3.2	18.6 + 2.4	15.0 + 4.3	18.4 + 3.6	14.2 + 3.2	10.2 + 1.8	17.5 + 2.0	21.0 + 2.2
Sample size	370	235	437	419	1,201	1,886	629	598	879	900	3,148	3,058
Weighted total	16,913	10,375	13,732	13,996	51,061	85,272	40,613	9,679	13,706	15,438	116,863	104,375

Table S 148H

Helpfulness of Army Policy - Military Spouse Priority for Federal Jobs
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very helpful	6.3% + 0.7 -	5.0% + 0.8 -	7.8% + 1.1 -	3.7% + 1.0 -	2.6% + 0.9 -	5.0% + 0.8 -	9.3% + 1.3 -	6.1% + 2.1 -	7.1% + 1.4 -	5.6% + 1.6 -	8.3% + 1.9 -	4.3% + 1.0 -
Somewhat helpful	10.8 + 0.7 -	9.0 + 1.2 -	13.0 + 1.1 -	7.0 + 1.6 -	7.4 + 1.6 -	9.1 + 0.8 -	14.7 + 1.4 -	10.9 + 1.9 -	10.7 + 1.1 -	13.1 + 2.3 -	12.0 + 1.9 -	9.1 + 1.6 -
No effect	50.9 + 1.5 -	47.1 + 2.6 -	49.6 + 2.2 -	60.5 + 2.8 -	68.9 + 2.8 -	53.0 + 2.0 -	45.8 + 2.6 -	51.6 + 2.2 -	51.5 + 2.6 -	49.5 + 4.3 -	46.9 + 3.0 -	53.4 + 3.2 -
Somewhat harmful	3.8 + 0.6 -	3.0 + 0.7 -	4.2 + 1.0 -	4.1 + 1.3 -	3.2 + 1.1 -	3.7 + 0.8 -	4.2 + 1.0 -	2.6 + 0.9 -	3.6 + 1.0 -	3.8 + 1.3 -	3.8 + 1.1 -	4.0 + 1.3 -
Very harmful	4.6 + 0.7 -	3.7 + 0.8 -	5.2 + 1.1 -	4.6 + 1.1 -	2.7 + 0.8 -	4.6 + 0.9 -	4.4 + 1.1 -	5.9 + 1.9 -	4.5 + 1.1 -	3.5 + 1.2 -	5.0 + 1.1 -	5.3 + 1.5 -
Don't know	23.6 + 1.5 -	32.3 + 2.6 -	20.3 + 1.9 -	20.2 + 2.1 -	15.3 + 1.8 -	24.6 + 1.9 -	21.6 + 2.8 -	22.8 + 3.2 -	22.7 + 2.0 -	24.6 + 4.9 -	24.0 + 2.5 -	23.9 + 2.4 -
Sample size	8,094	2,851	2,409	1,334	1,320	4,884	2,458	752	2,835	1,046	1,544	2,669
Weighted total	294,661	93,103	155,120	19,855	18,082	192,414	85,394	16,853	108,819	45,964	55,458	84,420

Table S-149A

How Often Did You Have a Problem Meeting Child Care Needs Last Month
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	MCQ	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	39.7% + 2.2 -	34.5% + 2.5 -	40.6% + 3.0 -	40.8% + 3.7 -	53.7% + 3.5 -	40.8% + 2.6 -	38.1% + 4.3 -	33.6% + 3.1 -	35.6% + 3.4 -	34.3% + 4.6 -	38.8% + 4.6 -	48.1% + 4.1 -
Seldom	25.1% + 1.5 -	24.0% + 2.3 -	25.4% + 2.2 -	24.0% + 2.7 -	24.6% + 3.5 -	25.3% + 1.8 -	24.6% + 2.9 -	28.1% + 4.1 -	27.1% + 2.2 -	24.4% + 4.1 -	23.3% + 3.3 -	24.2% + 2.4 -
Sometimes	23.9% + 1.2 -	25.9% + 1.9 -	23.5% + 1.8 -	26.8% + 4.2 -	16.9% + 1.9 -	23.5% + 1.4 -	24.6% + 2.6 -	25.0% + 4.5 -	24.7% + 2.3 -	27.3% + 4.7 -	24.8% + 3.3 -	20.6% + 2.1 -
Often	7.2% + 0.8 -	9.2% + 1.3 -	7.0% + 1.2 -	5.5% + 1.6 -	3.5% + 1.5 -	7.0% + 1.0 -	7.9% + 1.7 -	6.3% + 3.4 -	8.0% + 1.2 -	9.3% + 2.7 -	7.9% + 2.2 -	4.7% + 1.3 -
Very often or always	4.1% + 0.6 -	6.3% + 1.1 -	3.5% + 1.0 -	2.9% + 1.2 -	1.4% + 0.8 -	3.4% + 0.7 -	4.7% + 1.2 -	9.0% + 3.6 -	4.7% + 1.0 -	4.6% + 1.5 -	5.3% + 1.9 -	2.4% + 0.8 -
Sample size	5,191	1,693	1,699	796	885	3,179	1,562	450	1,834	670	942	1,745
Weighted total	193,561	51,765	112,407	11,670	12,164	129,209	54,045	10,307	71,449	29,999	34,451	57,662

Table S_149A (cont.)

How Often Did You Have a Problem Meeting Child Care Needs Last Month
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse																								
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer												
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children									
Very seldom or never	42.1%	33.0%	--	32.2%	52.2%	36.0%	48.6%	79.7%	38.3%	56.1%	40.3%	43.0%	Seldom	19.6%	21.4%	--	26.3%	14.9%	27.1%	24.6%	12.7%	27.2%	24.8%	24.9%	26.3%
	+ 8.3	+ 6.4		+ 5.5	+ 8.5	+ 2.9	+ 3.9	+ 13.8	+ 3.9	+ 3.9	+ 3.0	+ 2.9		+ 7.3	+ 6.2		+ 4.1	+ 6.6	+ 2.6	+ 3.8	+ 10.2	+ 3.8	+ 3.7	+ 2.1	+ 2.6
Sometimes	17.2%	29.0%	--	28.8%	20.2%	25.6%	18.3%	3.7%	25.5%	15.8%	23.7%	22.1%	Often	7.3%	10.2%	--	7.7%	5.0%	7.7%	5.7%	0.0%	6.1%	7.4%	5.8%	
	+ 7.4	+ 6.0		+ 5.1	+ 6.6	+ 2.4	+ 3.1	+ 7.4	+ 3.4	+ 3.7	+ 2.3	+ 2.2		+ 5.3	+ 5.2		+ 3.5	+ 3.5	+ 1.0	+ 2.7	+ 0.0	+ 2.1	+ 1.4	+ 1.3	
Very often or always	13.9%	6.5%	--	5.1%	7.7%	3.6%	2.8%	3.8%	2.9%	0.9%	3.7%	2.8%	Sample size	+ 6.2	+ 3.6		+ 2.2	+ 4.6	+ 0.9	+ 1.3	+ 7.6	+ 1.9	+ 0.8	+ 0.9	+ 0.7
	+ 6.2	+ 3.6		+ 2.2	+ 4.6	+ 0.9	+ 1.3	+ 7.6	+ 1.9	+ 0.8	+ 0.9	+ 0.7													
Weighted total	5,787	9,761	1,039	13,229	6,555	80,577	34,224	420	13,138	12,124	75,458	76,380													

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table S.149B

How Often Did You Have a Problem Getting Housework Done Last Month
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCNUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	34.1% + 1.9 - 1.9	28.8% + 2.0 - 2.0	37.4% + 2.6 - 2.6	25.1% + 2.9 - 2.9	41.6% + 2.6 - 2.6	34.8% + 2.6 - 2.6	32.4% + 2.6 - 2.6	35.0% + 5.8 - 5.8	32.0% + 2.4 - 2.4	31.8% + 3.7 - 3.7	30.4% + 2.9 - 2.9	40.5% + 3.2 - 3.2
Seldom	23.6 + 1.2 - 1.2	22.5 + 2.2 - 2.2	25.0 + 1.7 - 1.7	20.3 + 2.5 - 2.5	22.0 + 1.9 - 1.9	23.6 + 1.3 - 1.3	23.8 + 2.6 - 2.6	22.5 + 4.4 - 4.4	23.4 + 2.0 - 2.0	22.4 + 3.8 - 3.8	23.8 + 2.4 - 2.4	24.4 + 2.2 - 2.2
Sometimes	23.9 + 1.1 - 1.1	26.9 + 1.9 - 1.9	21.7 + 1.3 - 1.3	27.8 + 2.6 - 2.6	21.0 + 2.0 - 2.0	23.4 + 1.4 - 1.4	25.0 + 1.9 - 1.9	23.3 + 5.1 - 5.1	24.1 + 1.7 - 1.7	24.8 + 3.1 - 3.1	28.4 + 2.5 - 2.5	21.5 + 1.8 - 1.8
Often	13.2 + 1.1 - 1.1	14.8 + 1.3 - 1.3	11.6 + 1.7 - 1.7	20.3 + 2.7 - 2.7	12.1 + 1.7 - 1.7	13.2 + 1.6 - 1.6	13.4 + 1.4 - 1.4	12.8 + 3.0 - 3.0	14.8 + 1.8 - 1.8	15.2 + 2.8 - 2.8	13.4 + 2.2 - 2.2	10.0 + 1.8 - 1.8
Very often or always	5.2 + 0.7 - 0.7	7.0 + 1.0 - 1.0	4.3 + 0.9 - 0.9	6.5 + 1.6 - 1.6	3.4 + 0.8 - 0.8	5.0 + 0.9 - 0.9	5.4 + 1.5 - 1.5	6.4 + 1.8 - 1.8	5.8 + 1.0 - 1.0	5.8 + 1.6 - 1.6	6.0 + 1.7 - 1.7	3.7 + 1.1 - 1.1
Sample size	8,029	2,801	2,380	1,339	1,325	4,874	2,419	736	2,795	1,038	1,525	2,671
Weighted total	290,873	90,893	153,220	19,928	18,177	191,237	83,262	16,374	106,626	45,579	54,352	84,317

Table S 149C

How Often Did You Have a Problem with Family Health Needs Last Month
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	36.2% + 1.8 - 1.8	31.7% + 2.0 - 2.0	38.4% + 2.3 - 2.3	31.9% + 3.4 - 3.4	43.1% + 3.3 - 3.3	37.2% + 2.1 - 2.1	35.3% + 3.1 - 3.1	30.4% + 5.3 - 5.3	32.7% + 2.2 - 2.2	33.7% + 3.6 - 3.6	34.5% + 3.8 - 3.8	43.3% + 3.1 - 3.1
Seldom	27.6 + 1.3 - 1.3	27.3 + 2.2 - 2.2	26.8 + 1.9 - 1.9	31.0 + 3.2 - 3.2	31.9 + 3.2 - 3.2	27.6 + 1.8 - 1.8	27.4 + 1.9 - 1.9	29.2 + 4.1 - 4.1	27.0 + 1.8 - 1.8	27.5 + 4.4 - 4.4	28.8 + 2.9 - 2.9	27.7 + 2.4 - 2.4
Sometimes	22.6 + 1.1 - 1.1	23.9 + 1.9 - 1.9	22.1 + 1.8 - 1.8	24.9 + 2.5 - 2.5	19.5 + 2.0 - 2.0	22.2 + 1.3 - 1.3	23.2 + 2.1 - 2.1	23.1 + 5.0 - 5.0	23.6 + 1.8 - 1.8	24.3 + 3.0 - 3.0	23.4 + 2.9 - 2.9	19.8 + 1.4 - 1.4
Often	9.3 + 0.8 - 0.8	11.0 + 1.2 - 1.2	9.0 + 1.1 - 1.1	9.0 + 1.7 - 1.7	3.8 + 0.8 - 0.8	9.1 + 1.0 - 1.0	9.6 + 1.5 - 1.5	10.2 + 3.6 - 3.6	11.1 + 1.1 - 1.1	9.8 + 2.2 - 2.2	9.4 + 2.2 - 2.2	6.6 + 1.4 - 1.4
Very often or always	4.3 + 0.7 - 0.7	6.1 + 1.1 - 1.1	3.7 + 0.9 - 0.9	3.2 + 1.0 - 1.0	1.7 + 0.8 - 0.8	4.0 + 0.8 - 0.8	4.4 + 1.2 - 1.2	7.2 + 1.9 - 1.9	5.6 + 1.1 - 1.1	4.8 + 1.5 - 1.5	4.0 + 1.2 - 1.2	2.6 + 0.8 - 0.8
Sample size	8,018	2,802	2,375	1,338	1,322	4,866	2,415	737	2,791	1,035	1,523	2,669
Weighted total	290,551	90,924	153,056	19,889	18,154	190,915	83,182	16,454	106,477	45,352	54,406	84,315

Table S 149C (cont.)

How Often Did You Have a Problem with Family Health Needs Last Month
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Very seldom or never	49.3%	33.6%	36.1%	31.6%	37.3%	31.2%	40.2%	39.5%	34.9%	45.3%	36.1%	36.1%	36.1%
	+ 6.1	+ 6.5	+ 7.1	+ 5.8	+ 3.9	+ 3.2	+ 4.4	+ 5.7	+ 3.6	+ 3.5	+ 2.6	+ 2.6	+ 2.3
Seldom	22.2	27.1	28.0	30.3	25.8	27.8	28.0	30.3	31.4	29.3	28.3	27.1	27.1
	+ 5.5	+ 6.3	+ 6.6	+ 6.7	+ 2.7	+ 2.3	+ 3.8	+ 4.9	+ 3.5	+ 3.2	+ 1.8	+ 1.8	+ 2.1
Sometimes	16.3	23.3	22.5	27.7	19.5	25.7	20.7	19.9	24.4	19.0	22.5	22.7	22.7
	+ 3.6	+ 6.2	+ 4.5	+ 5.2	+ 3.2	+ 2.0	+ 3.8	+ 4.0	+ 3.5	+ 2.5	+ 1.8	+ 1.8	+ 2.0
Often	4.7	9.9	8.0	8.2	10.1	11.8	8.7	7.4	6.9	4.4	9.1	10.3	10.3
	+ 2.2	+ 4.1	+ 2.9	+ 2.9	+ 1.9	+ 1.5	+ 2.2	+ 2.3	+ 2.7	+ 1.8	+ 1.4	+ 1.4	+ 1.5
Very often or always	7.4	6.1	5.4	2.1	7.2	3.4	2.4	2.9	2.5	2.0	4.0	3.8	3.8
	+ 3.2	+ 3.6	+ 4.4	+ 1.6	+ 1.9	+ 1.0	+ 1.1	+ 1.6	+ 1.1	+ 1.1	+ 0.8	+ 0.8	+ 0.9
Sample size	325	235	440	421	1,177	1,883	626	600	884	903	3,144	3,046	
Weighted total	14,655	10,425	13,798	13,982	49,792	85,290	40,395	9,707	13,823	15,487	116,375	103,735	

Table S 149D

How Often Did You Lack Free Time to Spend with Family Members Last Month
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	19.7% + 1.9	15.8% + 1.6	23.2% + 2.6	10.8% + 2.1	20.2% + 2.5	20.4% + 2.5	18.4% + 3.2	18.3% + 3.6	13.9% + 1.8	20.2% + 2.8	29.2% + 3.2
	19.1 + 1.7	17.7 + 2.2	19.7 + 2.1	18.0 + 3.2	21.3 + 2.8	20.0 + 2.2	17.2 + 3.2	18.1 + 4.2	15.9 + 1.8	20.5 + 2.8	24.6 + 2.0
Seldom	25.0 + 1.3	24.2 + 1.7	24.6 + 2.2	29.9 + 3.0	27.6 + 2.8	24.3 + 1.6	26.7 + 2.3	24.6 + 6.8	25.3 + 2.1	25.6 + 2.1	22.9 + 2.5
Sometimes	21.4 + 1.6	23.0 + 2.0	19.7 + 2.0	27.4 + 3.5	21.9 + 3.0	21.1 + 2.2	22.6 + 2.5	19.5 + 3.3	25.7 + 2.1	20.2 + 2.5	14.5 + 1.9
Often	14.8 + 1.6	19.4 + 2.0	12.9 + 1.9	13.9 + 2.2	9.1 + 1.7	14.3 + 2.2	15.2 + 2.6	19.5 + 5.0	19.1 + 2.1	13.4 + 1.9	8.8 + 2.5
Very often or always	8,029	2,808	2,375	1,341	1,323	4,872	2,415	742	2,797	1,039	2,668
Sample size	290,695	91,198	152,821	19,941	18,168	191,091	83,065	16,538	106,598	45,560	84,182
Weighted total											

How Often Did You Lack Free Time to Spend with Family Members Last Month by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

835

Table S 149E

How Often Did You Have a Problem Attending Events with Family Members Last Month
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	WCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other DOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very seldom or never	22.9% + 1.9	19.8% + 2.0	25.7% + 2.5	17.2% + 2.7	22.7% + 3.0	23.4% + 2.5	22.3% + 3.1	19.8% + 2.9	17.8% + 1.8	18.3% + 3.7	23.0% + 2.8	31.8% + 3.2
Seldom	20.8 + 1.3	18.3 + 1.6	21.2 + 1.7	24.2 + 3.1	24.7 + 2.6	21.5 + 1.7	19.5 + 2.0	19.7 + 4.7	17.7 + 1.9	20.5 + 2.5	22.0 + 2.3	24.2 + 1.7
Sometimes	26.3 + 1.3	27.1 + 1.9	24.4 + 2.1	31.3 + 3.2	32.0 + 1.9	25.5 + 1.3	28.1 + 3.1	27.1 + 6.3	28.6 + 2.0	27.8 + 2.6	27.4 + 2.9	24.5 + 2.1
Often	17.6 + 1.3	18.1 + 1.6	17.8 + 1.9	16.5 + 2.4	15.7 + 2.4	17.7 + 1.7	17.8 + 2.3	16.1 + 1.2	22.3 + 1.9	18.5 + 3.3	16.2 + 2.2	12.1 + 1.9
Very often or always	12.3 + 1.4	16.7 + 1.9	10.9 + 1.9	10.8 + 2.0	5.0 + 1.2	11.9 + 2.0	12.3 + 1.4	17.4 + 5.7	15.6 + 2.5	14.9 + 3.4	11.4 + 2.0	7.4 + 2.0
Sample size	8,026	2,806	2,376	1,341	1,321	4,867	2,417	742	2,797	1,038	1,526	2,665
Weighted total	290,767	91,210	152,967	19,925	18,142	190,920	83,309	16,538	106,680	45,522	54,356	84,208

COMMUNITY

Table S 150

Number of Months at Current Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	11.6 + 0.6	10.7 + 0.8	12.8 + 0.8	11.8 + 1.2	12.8 + 1.3	10.3 + 0.7	14.8 + 0.4	9.6 + 2.2	12.0 + 1.2	11.7 + 1.3	11.5 + 1.1	11.2 + 0.9
50th percentile	18.4 + 0.9	16.6 + 0.7	21.5 + 1.0	20.8 + 1.9	22.4 + 1.5	17.3 + 0.9	21.1 + 0.9	15.9 + 5.1	18.7 + 1.5	17.5 + 0.9	17.7 + 1.1	19.8 + 2.0
75th percentile	28.9 + 0.7	24.6 + 0.9	34.3 + 1.1	31.3 + 1.4	34.8 + 2.2	28.1 + 1.0	30.4 + 1.0	28.6 + 5.9	28.1 + 1.0	26.6 + 1.5	28.9 + 1.5	33.1 + 1.7
Sample size	10,742	4,518	2,822	1,734	1,459	6,389	3,295	1,058	3,995	1,508	2,066	3,173
Weighted total	455,045	217,638	177,291	30,569	19,872	292,087	135,789	27,169	185,913	78,483	85,810	104,839

Table S_150 (cont.)

Number of Months at Current Location
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
25th percentile	11.8 + 1.0	10.9 + 2.0	9.9 + 1.3	10.9 + 2.3	10.1 + 0.8	11.9 + 0.8	12.2 + 1.5	13.0 + 1.4	12.5 + 1.7	13.6 + 1.4	12.6 + 0.7	10.9 + 0.6	
50th percentile	17.4 + 0.8	20.5 + 4.9	17.4 + 2.1	19.5 + 4.4	17.0 + 1.2	19.2 + 1.1	21.1 + 2.1	22.3 + 2.1	21.5 + 1.9	23.1 + 1.6	21.6 + 1.1	17.9 + 0.7	
75th percentile	25.4 + 1.0	35.0 + 3.8	27.2 + 1.7	30.9 + 2.8	29.1 + 1.5	30.2 + 1.5	32.5 + 2.0	38.6 + 2.4	31.7 + 2.3	35.3 + 2.1	33.9 + 1.2	29.7 + 1.1	
Sample size	2,767	242	470	422	1,264	1,892	630	634	897	904	3,237	3,109	
Weighted total	168,249	10,828	14,926	14,037	53,419	85,632	10,181	40,836	14,046	15,440	119,819	105,776	

Table S_151

of Times Ever Moved to New Locale Due to PCS
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	1.0 + 0.1	0.6 + 0.1	3.1 + 0.1	1.3 + 0.2	6.3 + 0.4	1.0 + 0.2	0.9 + 0.1	1.1 + 0.5	0.8 + 0.1	0.9 + 0.1	1.0 + 0.1	2.7 + 0.6
50th percentile	2.9 + 0.2	1.1 + 0.1	4.2 + 0.1	3.2 + 0.3	8.8 + 0.5	3.0 + 0.4	2.5 + 0.5	3.0 + 0.7	1.7 + 0.4	2.4 + 0.4	2.9 + 0.3	4.5 + 0.6
75th percentile	4.8 + 0.6	2.3 + 0.2	6.4 + 0.2	4.6 + 0.7	11.6 + 0.9	5.1 + 0.7	4.2 + 0.3	4.8 + 0.7	3.9 + 0.1	4.1 + 0.2	4.3 + 0.2	7.1 + 0.4
Sample size	10,702	4,471	2,832	1,731	1,460	6,360	3,287	1,055	3,961	1,503	2,059	3,179
Weighted total	453,755	215,450	178,238	30,515	19,902	291,393	135,207	27,155	184,673	78,212	85,542	105,329

Table S_151 (cont.)

of Times Ever Moved to New Locale Due to PCS
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
25th percentile	0.6 + 0.1	1.3 + 0.4	1.0 + 0.2	1.6 + 1.1	0.9 + 0.1	1.3 + 0.1	3.7 + 0.3	2.4 + 0.9	3.0 + 0.3	6.4 + 0.4	1.9 + 0.4	1.4 + 0.2		
50th percentile	1.2 + 0.1	3.4 + 0.4	2.6 + 0.5	3.6 + 0.3	2.6 + 0.5	3.2 + 0.2	5.8 + 0.3	4.7 + 1.9	4.6 + 0.8	8.9 + 0.5	3.9 + 0.2	3.6 + 0.2		
75th percentile	3.1 + 0.2	5.2 + 0.9	4.0 + 0.3	5.4 + 0.5	4.3 + 0.2	4.5 + 0.5	7.3 + 0.9	8.2 + 2.2	6.9 + 0.4	11.4 + 0.8	6.5 + 0.4	6.0 + 0.4		
Sample size	2,754	243	466	421	1,259	1,880	634	632	893	905	3,228	3,093		
Weighted total	167,121	10,917	14,703	14,021	53,470	85,588	40,943	10,204	13,964	15,495	119,725	105,566		

Table S_152

Last Assignment Before Current Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
CONUS (but not Hawaii or Alaska)	50.9% + 3.7	52.1% + 3.4	44.6% + 5.4	72.2% + 4.5	65.3% + 4.8	33.3% + 3.1	82.9% + 1.9	78.3% + 6.8	51.9% + 4.9	54.3% + 7.6	54.4% + 6.0	44.1% + 8.4
Europe	22.7 + 3.0	13.9 + 2.5	34.1 + 4.4	14.7 + 3.2	20.1 + 3.3	32.7 + 3.4	5.4 + 1.1	2.8 + 1.0	18.2 + 3.3	20.6 + 4.3	23.0 + 4.6	31.6 + 6.0
Korea	9.3 + 1.4	6.1 + 1.2	13.2 + 2.4	6.8 + 1.9	6.1 + 1.6	14.0 + 1.9	0.8 + 0.4	2.4 + 0.9	8.1 + 1.6	8.8 + 3.3	8.1 + 2.5	12.7 + 3.7
Hawaii	1.3 + 0.3	0.7 + 0.3	1.8 + 0.5	1.3 + 0.6	2.1 + 1.0	1.8 + 0.4	0.3 + 0.2	0.9 + 1.4	1.1 + 0.4	1.0 + 0.7	1.0 + 0.5	2.0 + 0.6
Alaska	0.7 + 0.1	0.3 + 0.2	1.2 + 0.3	0.5 + 0.4	1.4 + 0.7	1.0 + 0.2	0.1 + 0.1	0.8 + 0.6	0.6 + 0.3	0.5 + 0.4	0.3 + 0.3	1.5 + 0.5
Other	15.0 + 1.7	26.9 + 2.7	5.1 + 1.0	4.4 + 1.4	5.0 + 1.7	17.2 + 2.3	10.6 + 2.1	14.7 + 4.7	20.1 + 2.3	14.9 + 2.9	13.2 + 2.5	8.2 + 1.5
Sample size	10,464	4,242	2,831	1,721	1,462	6,177	3,247	1,040	3,797	1,477	2,025	3,165
Weighted total	439,269	201,303	178,162	30,247	19,901	280,533	132,310	26,426	174,573	76,516	83,394	104,786

Last Assignment Before Current Location
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status845

Table S_153

Preference About Moving Before Last PCS
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Wanted to move to my current location	45.8% + 3.0	43.4% + 2.8	43.7% + 3.8	62.0% + 5.1	62.5% + 4.5	46.2% + 4.2	43.9% + 3.6	50.7% + 10.7	44.8% + 3.6	40.5% + 3.9	44.2% + 3.4	52.3% + 5.1
Wanted this location, not at that time	6.4 + 0.8	6.0 + 0.9	7.3 + 1.2	3.7 + 1.1	6.1 + 1.1	5.4 + 1.1	8.2 + 0.9	7.6 + 1.5	6.0 + 1.2	7.6 + 2.1	5.6 + 1.2	6.8 + 1.4
Wanted to move but not to this location	27.0 + 2.4	29.5 + 2.2	25.3 + 3.0	28.4 + 4.4	18.6 + 3.1	30.3 + 3.2	22.0 + 2.3	18.1 + 5.8	27.5 + 2.9	30.2 + 3.5	27.2 + 3.1	23.9 + 4.1
Didn't want to move & not this location	20.8 + 1.5	21.1 + 1.8	23.8 + 2.0	7.9 + 1.6	12.8 + 2.4	18.1 + 1.9	25.8 + 2.5	23.7 + 7.7	21.7 + 2.2	21.7 + 3.5	23.0 + 2.3	16.9 + 2.4
Sample size	10,071	3,903	2,792	1,712	1,456	5,907	3,144	1,020	3,582	1,415	1,950	3,124
Weighted total	418,348	182,968	175,728	30,154	19,827	265,429	127,129	25,791	162,337	72,922	80,075	103,014

Table S 154

Receives Information on New Location Before Move
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, furnished without requesting it	32.3% + 2.4 -	28.4% + 3.4 -	34.6% + 2.7 -	48.2% + 3.2 -	50.8% + 4.0 -	31.8% + 3.2 -	31.0% + 3.3 -	42.9% + 5.3 -	32.4% + 3.4 -	30.1% + 4.8 -	27.6% + 3.8 -	37.2% + 3.9 -
Yes, but it had to be requested	12.9 + 1.0 -	9.5 + 1.1 -	14.3 + 1.7 -	22.2 + 2.5 -	18.8 + 2.4 -	13.6 + 1.1 -	11.1 + 1.8 -	14.6 + 4.4 -	11.3 + 1.0 -	12.6 + 2.6 -	14.2 + 2.1 -	14.8 + 1.7 -
No	54.8 + 2.6 -	64.1 + 3.2 -	51.2 + 3.1 -	31.6 + 3.2 -	30.3 + 5.5 -	54.6 + 3.1 -	57.9 + 4.3 -	42.4 + 7.2 -	56.3 + 3.5 -	57.3 + 4.5 -	58.2 + 4.0 -	48.0 + 4.2 -
Sample size	10,470	4,254	2,818	1,731	1,458	6,187	3,230	1,053	3,816	1,461	2,031	3,162
Weighted total	438,726	201,286	177,407	30,529	19,840	280,152	131,553	27,031	174,632	75,577	83,738	104,791

Table S_154 (cont.)

Receive Information on New Location Before Move
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse														
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+				
Yes, furnished without requesting it	31.8% + 3.5	27.1% + 7.6	32.6% + 6.3	34.7% + 5.3	29.2% + 3.8	29.5% + 2.8	31.9% + 3.4	47.8% + 6.2	40.3% + 3.7	42.4% + 4.4	32.3% + 2.5	32.7% + 2.7			
Yes, but it had to be requested	9.1 + 1.3	10.4 + 3.4	8.5 + 2.9	13.8 + 4.1	10.9 + 1.6	15.5 + 2.1	17.3 + 3.5	20.5 + 3.9	25.8 + 3.5	18.9 + 4.1	15.8 + 1.7	15.6 + 1.9			
No	59.1 + 3.5	62.5 + 8.6	59.0 + 6.7	51.4 + 6.1	59.9 + 4.2	55.0 + 3.2	50.8 + 4.9	31.8 + 4.9	33.9 + 3.7	38.8 + 6.4	51.8 + 3.3	51.7 + 3.3			
Sample size	2,632	237	462	417	1,220	1,837	625	632	894	901	3,188	3,046			
Weighted total	156,356	10,605	14,622	13,877	51,959	83,989	40,399	10,198	14,009	15,403	118,082	103,795			

Table S.155

Written Information on New Location After Moved
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOJ	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCCNUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, furnished without requesting it	64.9% + 1.6	65.3% + 1.9	64.0% + 2.6	68.2% + 3.2	84.2% + 8.3	84.0% + 2.1	65.8% + 2.9	68.5% + 4.6	68.0% + 2.1	65.0% + 2.5	63.6% + 3.1	63.8% + 4.2
Yes, but it had to be requested	9.1 + 0.6	8.2 + 1.0	10.7 + 1.2	7.5 + 1.3	5.6 + 1.0	9.1 + 0.8	9.4 + 1.1	7.4 + 1.3	9.4 + 1.2	10.0 + 1.6	9.4 + 1.5	7.8 + 1.2
No	26.0 + 1.4	26.5 + 1.6	25.3 + 2.3	24.3 + 3.0	30.2 + 8.0	28.8 + 1.8	24.7 + 2.6	24.1 + 4.6	24.5 + 2.0	25.0 + 2.5	27.0 + 2.6	28.5 + 3.5
Sample size	10,518	4,307	2,820	1,725	1,457	6,228	3,239	1,051	3,853	1,473	2,031	3,161
Weighted total	441,621	204,172	177,497	30,450	19,828	282,194	132,398	27,029	176,708	76,441	83,737	104,735

Table S_155 (cont.)

Written Information on New Location After Moved
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Yes, furnished without requesting it	68.1% + 2.1	59.3% + 8.8	68.9% + 4.3	63.0% + 5.6	64.4% + 3.2	62.9% + 2.8	60.7% + 4.5	64.2% + 6.9	64.5% + 4.8	63.5% + 7.4	62.9% + 2.5	63.9% + 2.6
Yes, but it had to be requested	7.7 + 1.1	10.7 + 5.1	9.1 + 2.7	9.2 + 3.4	9.2 + 1.7	10.2 + 1.4	13.0 + 3.1	6.8 + 2.7	9.6 + 2.4	5.1 + 1.6	10.5 + 1.2	9.1 + 1.1
No	24.2 + 1.9	30.0 + 7.3	22.0 + 4.1	27.9 + 5.1	26.4 + 3.0	26.8 + 2.5	26.3 + 4.6	29.0 + 5.6	25.9 + 3.9	31.4 + 7.6	26.6 + 2.4	27.0 + 2.3
Sample size	2,659	235	467	415	1,233	1,854	626	630	891	900	3,197	3,058
Weighted total	158,683	10,486	14,752	13,784	52,383	84,425	40,528	10,186	13,966	15,382	118,330	104,424

Table S_156A

Problem Moving and Settling Up a New Household
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Serious problem	11.9%	8.3%	15.2%	11.2%	16.2%	9.5%	17.4%	10.9%	10.0%	12.2%	14.0%	13.1%
	+ 1.2	+ 1.1	+ 1.9	+ 2.2	+ 2.8	+ 1.5	+ 1.4	+ 1.7	+ 1.6	+ 2.1	+ 1.9	+ 2.2
Somewhat of a problem	23.3	14.3	29.8	31.0	37.3	24.4	21.1	22.5	22.7	19.8	19.7	29.5
	+ 1.3	+ 1.0	+ 2.1	+ 3.4	+ 1.9	+ 1.8	+ 1.8	+ 5.6	+ 1.7	+ 2.9	+ 2.2	+ 1.9
Slight problem	23.2	20.6	24.6	28.1	23.8	23.4	22.6	24.1	22.5	24.2	24.5	22.7
	+ 1.2	+ 1.4	+ 2.1	+ 3.0	+ 2.1	+ 1.6	+ 2.1	+ 4.1	+ 1.7	+ 2.5	+ 2.8	+ 2.1
Not a problem	41.6	56.8	30.4	29.7	22.8	42.7	38.9	42.5	44.7	43.8	41.8	34.7
	+ 1.5	+ 1.8	+ 1.9	+ 3.3	+ 2.6	+ 2.0	+ 2.1	+ 7.3	+ 1.9	+ 3.8	+ 3.4	+ 2.2
Sample size	10,290	4,111	2,781	1,727	1,463	6,128	3,146	1,016	3,741	1,433	1,968	3,148
Weighted total	426,636	191,451	175,123	30,478	19,921	274,510	126,559	25,567	168,668	73,668	80,448	103,852

Table S_156A (cont.)

Problem Moving and Settling Up a New Household
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Serious problem	4.7%	18.7%	11.6%	15.1%	13.2%	17.0%	17.4%	11.7%	14.9%	15.9%	15.8%	15.7%	15.7%
	+ 0.8	+ 4.9	+ 3.3	+ 5.3	+ 2.5	+ 2.5	+ 3.3	+ 2.7	+ 3.9	+ 3.4	+ 2.1	+ 2.3	+ 2.3
Somewhat of a problem	10.0	28.2	17.1	27.8	24.3	33.2	33.0	31.2	39.9	33.7	31.2	31.7	31.7
	+ 1.4	+ 6.5	+ 4.4	+ 6.1	+ 2.9	+ 2.8	+ 3.8	+ 4.1	+ 4.2	+ 3.5	+ 2.3	+ 1.8	+ 1.8
Slight problem	17.7	21.8	26.7	21.3	25.0	26.0	28.5	31.5	26.2	29.6	27.0	26.5	26.5
	+ 1.5	+ 4.7	+ 4.4	+ 4.4	+ 3.0	+ 3.2	+ 4.2	+ 3.7	+ 2.7	+ 4.6	+ 2.9	+ 2.3	+ 2.3
Not a problem	67.6	31.2	44.6	35.8	37.5	23.7	21.1	25.6	18.9	20.9	26.0	26.2	26.2
	+ 2.3	+ 7.4	+ 4.9	+ 5.5	+ 3.7	+ 2.3	+ 3.2	+ 3.9	+ 4.0	+ 4.0	+ 2.0	+ 2.1	+ 2.1
Sample size	2,488	235	456	412	1,206	1,844	626	632	894	904	3,177	3,051	
Weighted total	146,740	10,418	14,329	13,738	51,070	83,968	40,562	10,210	14,019	15,443	117,503	103,698	

Table S 1568

Problem with Costs Incurred During Move
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Serious problem	16.9% + 1.3	9.1% + 0.9	25.3% + 2.0	12.9% + 2.0	19.8% + 2.4	17.3% + 1.8	16.4% + 1.6	15.2% + 2.2	15.0% + 1.4	13.9% + 1.9	16.2% + 2.2	22.7% + 2.8
Somewhat of a problem	22.9 + 0.9	16.4 + 0.9	28.0 + 1.8	25.4 + 2.8	34.0 + 2.7	24.0 + 1.0	21.2 + 2.1	20.7 + 3.8	21.8 + 1.6	22.2 + 2.3	21.6 + 2.1	26.3 + 1.8
Slight problem	20.9 + 1.1	19.6 + 1.4	20.6 + 1.8	27.6 + 2.5	25.3 + 2.6	20.9 + 1.4	21.0 + 1.8	21.8 + 2.7	20.1 + 1.5	20.9 + 2.4	22.4 + 2.0	21.3 + 2.8
Not a problem	39.2 + 1.8	54.9 + 1.9	26.0 + 1.9	34.1 + 2.9	20.8 + 2.2	37.9 + 2.5	41.5 + 2.8	42.3 + 6.4	43.1 + 1.9	43.0 + 3.2	39.9 + 3.1	29.7 + 2.3
Sample size	10,302	4,123	2,783	1,726	1,462	6,126	3,154	1,022	3,745	1,436	1,969	3,152
Weighted total	427,436	192,369	175,038	30,460	19,908	274,580	127,068	25,791	169,091	73,730	80,660	103,955

Table S_156C

Problem with Children Adjusting to Current Environment
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Serious problem	10.6%	8.6%	11.3%	8.7%	12.2%	10.3%	11.2%	10.6%	9.6%	10.0%	13.1%	10.5%
	+ 1.2	+ 1.5	+ 1.6	+ 3.1	+ 2.0	+ 1.5	+ 2.1	+ 3.3	+ 1.5	+ 2.6	+ 3.8	+ 2.0
Somewhat of a problem	22.8	17.5	24.4	19.6	24.7	22.8	21.5	29.0	22.2	22.5	21.4	24.4
	+ 1.9	+ 1.7	+ 2.7	+ 2.8	+ 2.5	+ 2.5	+ 2.5	+ 6.4	+ 2.2	+ 3.4	+ 4.2	+ 3.6
Slight problem	27.6	24.1	28.3	26.4	31.4	28.8	30.1	24.9	28.1	28.2	25.4	27.9
	+ 1.4	+ 1.6	+ 2.4	+ 3.6	+ 2.1	+ 1.7	+ 2.6	+ 1.9	+ 2.3	+ 3.3	+ 2.9	+ 2.8
Not a problem	39.1	49.8	36.0	45.4	31.8	40.1	37.2	35.5	40.1	39.3	40.1	37.2
	+ 1.8	+ 2.2	+ 2.5	+ 3.3	+ 2.3	+ 2.4	+ 2.1	+ 5.9	+ 2.5	+ 4.6	+ 4.8	+ 3.7
Sample size	5,040	1,440	1,718	699	1,050	3,122	1,466	452	1,692	611	891	1,846
Weighted total	188,727	43,109	114,674	10,367	14,331	128,054	50,112	10,562	68,275	28,249	33,016	59,188

Table S_156D

Problem with Spouse Adjusting to Current Environment
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Serious problem	12.4%	14.7%	12.2%	9.5%	8.1%	11.7%	13.8%	12.9%	13.9%	11.0%	12.7%	11.0%
	+ 1.4	+ 1.6	+ 1.6	+ 2.3	+ 1.9	+ 1.9	+ 1.9	+ 3.2	+ 2.4	+ 2.2	+ 2.6	+ 2.0
Somewhat of a problem	22.6	22.1	22.9	21.0	22.9	22.0	24.2	21.5	23.9	23.5	21.7	21.0
	+ 1.5	+ 1.6	+ 2.2	+ 3.3	+ 2.1	+ 2.0	+ 2.1	+ 7.3	+ 2.4	+ 3.8	+ 3.1	+ 1.7
Slight problem	30.5	30.2	30.9	29.3	27.0	29.5	32.7	31.9	31.0	33.7	29.3	29.0
	+ 1.4	+ 2.2	+ 2.1	+ 3.0	+ 3.0	+ 1.6	+ 3.1	+ 4.3	+ 2.3	+ 5.0	+ 2.9	+ 2.5
Not a problem	34.5	32.9	34.0	40.2	42.0	38.8	29.3	33.7	31.2	31.8	36.4	39.0
	+ 2.2	+ 2.8	+ 2.8	+ 4.6	+ 3.5	+ 3.0	+ 2.5	+ 6.6	+ 3.0	+ 4.5	+ 3.8	+ 3.2
Sample size	6,977	2,246	2,070	1,250	1,246	4,234	2,124	619	2,481	892	1,258	2,346
Weighted total	247,468	67,783	136,376	18,370	17,044	163,347	70,411	13,710	93,058	38,594	43,811	72,005

Table S_1560 (cont.)

Problem with Spouse Adjusting to Current Environment
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse									
		Single					Dual Military				
		Enlisted					Officer				
		No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+
		27.8% + 7.2	37.3% + 12.6	4.9% + 3.3	5.3% + 2.8	13.8% + 2.6	11.6% + 2.3	6.3% + 2.1	9.5% + 2.6	9.8% + 2.4	12.5% + 1.9
		21.8 + 6.6	13.2 + 8.4	12.8 + 4.2	12.7 + 5.4	21.4 + 3.6	25.2 + 2.6	25.8 + 5.8	22.4 + 3.8	23.2 + 3.5	23.4 + 2.2
		21.6 + 6.6	12.1 + 8.7	23.9 + 7.0	18.9 + 4.0	31.4 + 2.8	34.3 + 2.9	30.4 + 4.9	33.4 + 2.9	29.3 + 5.4	33.4 + 2.4
		28.9 + 6.9	37.4 + 11.3	58.4 + 7.6	63.2 + 6.1	33.5 + 3.7	28.9 + 2.6	37.5 + 6.3	34.8 + 4.6	37.7 + 4.4	30.7 + 2.7
Sample size		208	69	303	342	930	1,754	534	875	881	2,852
Weighted total		8,379	3,003	8,823	11,170	38,896	79,886	8,635	13,624	15,038	95,821

Table S 156E

Problem with Self Adjusting to Current Environment
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCNUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Serious problem	5.9%	7.3%	5.4%	2.6%	2.9%	5.2%	7.3%	6.3%	6.3%	6.5%	6.2%	4.3%
	+ 0.9	+ 1.4	+ 0.8	+ 1.0	+ 1.1	+ 1.2	+ 1.3	+ 1.8	+ 1.1	+ 1.8	+ 1.4	+ 1.0
Somewhat of a problem	15.5	16.7	14.8	12.7	12.9	14.9	15.5	20.3	14.9	16.9	16.9	14.3
	+ 1.0	+ 1.5	+ 1.6	+ 2.0	+ 1.6	+ 1.4	+ 1.4	+ 2.7	+ 1.5	+ 1.9	+ 2.0	+ 2.1
Slight problem	28.4	29.3	27.7	28.2	25.3	27.5	30.0	30.3	30.0	27.9	29.2	25.8
	+ 1.1	+ 2.3	+ 1.8	+ 2.4	+ 3.3	+ 1.4	+ 2.0	+ 3.3	+ 1.5	+ 3.7	+ 2.7	+ 1.9
Not a problem	50.3	46.7	52.1	56.5	58.9	52.4	47.2	43.1	48.8	48.8	47.8	55.7
	+ 1.9	+ 2.7	+ 2.3	+ 3.5	+ 3.5	+ 2.5	+ 3.0	+ 6.7	+ 2.2	+ 3.9	+ 3.7	+ 2.6
Sample size	10,289	4,157	2,766	1,704	1,454	6,100	3,161	1,028	3,749	1,437	1,975	3,128
Weighted total	428,407	194,925	174,026	29,974	19,819	274,472	127,559	26,376	169,903	74,170	81,123	103,211

Problem with Self Adjusting to Current Environment by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

861

Table S 158f

Problem with Spouse Finding Employment at Current Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	WCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Serious problem	28.5%	27.0%	29.8%	28.1%	21.8%	29.4%	26.2%	29.9%	27.6%	29.5%	25.8%	31.2%
	+ 3.0	+ 3.2	+ 3.6	+ 3.4	+ 4.8	+ 4.2	+ 3.5	+ 4.8	+ 3.3	+ 4.8	+ 3.6	+ 5.8
Somewhat of a problem	22.2	20.0	23.5	19.4	23.5	22.9	21.8	15.6	24.0	20.0	19.3	22.8
	+ 1.4	+ 2.0	+ 2.2	+ 3.1	+ 2.1	+ 1.7	+ 2.3	+ 2.6	+ 2.4	+ 3.1	+ 3.0	+ 2.3
Slight problem	19.6	20.6	19.6	15.9	19.0	17.9	22.6	26.0	20.2	19.5	22.2	17.3
	+ 1.6	+ 2.4	+ 2.3	+ 2.9	+ 2.4	+ 2.1	+ 1.5	+ 5.0	+ 2.0	+ 3.9	+ 3.7	+ 2.5
Not a problem	29.6	32.4	27.2	36.6	35.7	29.8	29.5	28.5	28.3	31.1	32.8	28.7
	+ 2.0	+ 3.3	+ 2.3	+ 3.4	+ 5.9	+ 2.7	+ 3.1	+ 5.7	+ 2.3	+ 4.1	+ 4.4	+ 4.2
Sample size	5,325	1,811	1,666	888	830	3,250	1,614	461	1,992	703	954	1,676
Weighted total	197,437	55,142	111,280	13,351	11,361	130,681	55,987	10,769	76,858	30,935	35,164	54,480

Table S_156f (cont.)

Problem with Spouse Finding Employment at Current Location
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed	Spouse Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5		
Serious problem	30.0% + 8.2	38.0% + 15.8	8.8% + 6.3	6.0% + 5.4	27.6% + 4.3	29.3% + 4.2	31.4% + 5.3	30.7% + 4.7	30.2% + 5.3	24.6% + 5.1	23.1% + 3.1	23.1% + 3.1	24.6% + 5.1	39.6% + 4.3
Somewhat of a problem	19.1 + 6.4	15.7 + 12.0	5.5 + 5.6	7.4 + 5.2	21.7 + 3.3	23.5 + 3.1	24.5 + 3.6	24.4 + 4.5	19.6 + 3.9	23.9 + 3.4	25.2 + 2.2	25.2 + 2.2	23.9 + 3.4	20.3 + 2.5
Slight problem	18.0 + 6.4	18.7 + 11.8	6.8 + 5.5	4.1 + 3.0	20.6 + 3.3	21.5 + 2.6	20.6 + 4.2	19.4 + 4.3	18.9 + 4.1	20.3 + 3.7	22.7 + 2.3	22.7 + 2.3	20.3 + 3.7	16.8 + 2.4
Not a problem	32.9 + 7.7	27.6 + 10.5	79.0 + 9.3	82.5 + 8.2	30.1 + 3.4	25.7 + 3.1	23.6 + 3.9	25.6 + 5.2	31.3 + 4.4	31.2 + 5.5	29.0 + 2.3	29.0 + 2.3	31.2 + 5.5	23.3 + 2.6
Sample size	170	56	141	159	876	1,376	501	474	520	627	2,810	2,810	627	1,668
Weighted total	6,588	2,449	3,965	5,386	38,522	63,063	32,901	7,761	8,412	11,046	103,450	103,450	61,301	61,301

Table S 1566

Problem Finding Permanent Housing at Current Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	MC0	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Serious problem	14.9% + 2.0 - 1.1	11.4% + 1.6 - 1.1	18.3% + 3.0 - 1.7	13.3% + 3.1 - 2.2	16.7% + 4.1 - 2.3	10.8% + 2.4 - 1.4	24.4% + 3.3 - 1.7	11.6% + 3.2 - 2.1	14.5% + 2.4 - 1.6	15.9% + 3.4 - 1.5	17.4% + 3.5 - 1.8	12.7% + 3.5 - 1.5
Somewhat of a problem	14.3 + 1.1 - 1.1	9.3 + 1.1 - 1.1	17.5 + 1.7 - 1.7	19.8 + 2.2 - 2.2	22.4 + 2.3 - 2.3	14.9 + 1.4 - 1.4	12.8 + 1.7 - 1.7	14.9 + 2.1 - 2.1	13.4 + 1.6 - 1.6	12.4 + 1.5 - 1.5	12.9 + 1.8 - 1.8	18.0 + 1.8 - 1.5
Slight problem	18.1 + 1.0 - 1.0	14.2 + 1.1 - 1.1	20.4 + 1.7 - 1.7	24.8 + 2.7 - 2.7	21.5 + 2.7 - 2.7	19.3 + 1.1 - 1.1	15.7 + 2.2 - 2.2	16.4 + 5.4 - 5.4	17.7 + 1.5 - 1.5	17.4 + 2.2 - 2.2	17.1 + 2.3 - 2.3	19.7 + 2.7 - 2.7
Not a problem	52.8 + 1.9 - 1.9	65.1 + 2.1 - 2.1	43.8 + 3.1 - 3.1	42.1 + 3.6 - 3.6	39.4 + 3.8 - 3.8	55.0 + 2.6 - 2.6	47.2 + 1.9 - 1.9	57.2 + 9.1 - 9.1	54.3 + 2.5 - 2.5	54.3 + 3.7 - 3.7	52.6 + 3.4 - 3.4	49.5 + 4.1 - 4.1
Sample size	10,110	4,001	2,735	1,710	1,457	6,021	3,092	997	3,670	1,399	1,930	3,111
Weighted total	416,256	184,078	172,540	30,170	19,638	268,098	123,185	24,973	164,514	71,111	78,316	102,315

Table S 156G (cont.)

Problem Finding Permanent Housing at Current Location
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse not Employed
Serious problem	5.3% + 1.3	13.6% + 6.6	17.3% + 7.0	15.0% + 5.5	21.1% + 3.3	22.8% + 3.8	18.4% + 4.1	13.4% + 3.6	19.7% + 3.6	17.0% + 3.8	19.8% + 2.9	21.0% + 3.4
Somewhat of a problem	5.5% + 1.0	18.4% + 5.7	12.5% + 3.8	21.1% + 5.4	15.8% + 3.1	19.4% + 2.0	19.5% + 4.0	22.6% + 4.2	22.6% + 3.3	19.6% + 3.3	17.4% + 1.7	20.9% + 1.8
Slight problem	9.4% + 1.1	28.3% + 5.6	23.4% + 4.2	20.6% + 5.1	20.8% + 2.4	22.9% + 2.4	21.9% + 4.3	22.2% + 3.5	24.8% + 3.4	23.6% + 4.3	22.1% + 1.6	22.0% + 2.3
Not a problem	79.7% + 1.9	39.6% + 7.7	46.8% + 7.2	43.2% + 6.3	42.3% + 4.1	34.8% + 3.9	40.2% + 6.1	41.8% + 5.0	32.9% + 4.5	39.9% + 4.8	40.6% + 3.2	36.1% + 3.5
Sample size	2,368	225	452	409	1,190	1,835	622	628	891	900	3,159	3,033
Weighted total	138,933	9,855	14,178	13,594	50,355	83,542	40,247	10,154	13,982	15,411	116,976	102,767

Table S 157

of Months Waited for Permanent Housing at Current Location
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
No wait; moved directly into housing	36.2% + 1.9 -	53.3% + 2.4 -	21.7% + 2.5 -	33.7% + 4.6 -	32.5% + 5.1 -	39.4% + 2.4 -	28.8% + 2.6 -	39.2% + 12.0 -	37.8% + 4.6 -	34.2% + 2.8 -	32.0% + 3.6 -
Waited less than 1 month	14.7 + 1.9 -	8.7 + 1.4 -	17.4 + 3.4 -	23.4 + 2.6 -	20.0 + 2.5 -	16.2 + 2.8 -	10.8 + 2.0 -	17.5 + 3.5 -	15.2 + 2.5 -	12.5 + 2.1 -	20.2 + 4.4 -
Waited 1-2 months	11.2 + 1.3 -	6.2 + 1.2 -	13.3 + 1.9 -	16.8 + 2.8 -	21.5 + 3.8 -	8.6 + 1.3 -	16.6 + 3.6 -	11.8 + 3.4 -	8.6 + 2.3 -	12.4 + 2.5 -	14.5 + 3.5 -
Waited 3-4 months	8.5 + 1.2 -	6.0 + 1.3 -	10.1 + 1.6 -	9.7 + 2.2 -	11.9 + 1.5 -	6.4 + 1.8 -	13.2 + 1.6 -	8.3 + 3.5 -	9.2 + 1.9 -	9.1 + 2.0 -	8.5 + 1.3 -
Waited 5-6 months	6.3 + 0.8 -	4.7 + 1.0 -	7.9 + 1.4 -	6.2 + 1.4 -	4.7 + 1.5 -	5.8 + 0.9 -	6.8 + 1.1 -	9.6 + 4.0 -	5.9 + 1.5 -	6.9 + 1.5 -	6.2 + 1.4 -
Waited 7 or more months	23.0 + 2.8 -	21.1 + 2.5 -	29.6 + 4.4 -	10.3 + 3.3 -	9.4 + 3.0 -	23.6 + 3.9 -	23.8 + 3.5 -	13.6 + 4.8 -	23.3 + 3.8 -	24.9 + 4.2 -	18.6 + 4.4 -
Sample size	9,369	3,492	2,565	1,675	1,437	5,533	2,909	927	1,275	1,781	2,980
Weighted total	376,702	155,904	162,498	29,436	19,587	240,727	113,026	22,949	63,872	70,735	97,278

**# of Months Waited for Permanent Housing at Current Location
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status**

867

Table S 158

Since Moving to Current Location, # of Places You Have Lived a Week or More
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	MCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCNUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	0.8 ± 0.0	0.6 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.1	1.2 ± 0.1	1.0 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.1	0.7 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.2	0.7 ± 0.1	0.7 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.1
50th percentile	1.6 ± 0.1	1.2 ± 0.1	2.0 ± 0.1	2.3 ± 0.2	2.0 ± 0.3	1.7 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 0.1	1.7 ± 0.5	1.4 ± 0.1	1.5 ± 0.3	1.8 ± 0.2	2.0 ± 0.2
75th percentile	3.1 ± 0.1	2.7 ± 0.1	3.3 ± 0.1	3.5 ± 0.1	3.3 ± 0.2	3.2 ± 0.1	3.0 ± 0.2	3.2 ± 0.7	3.0 ± 0.2	3.1 ± 0.2	3.2 ± 0.2	3.3 ± 0.3
Sample size	10,019	3,950	2,692	1,718	1,452	5,961	3,061	997	3,605	1,382	1,909	3,123
Weighted total	410,995	181,702	169,534	30,317	19,828	264,208	121,700	25,089	160,781	70,680	77,174	102,380

Table S.159

Current Housing
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
On-post	49.9% + 3.5	62.3% + 2.5	41.9% + 5.0	27.6% + 4.4	30.3% + 8.1	47.6% + 4.9	50.7% + 3.1	71.5% + 8.2	53.5% + 3.2	55.3% + 4.5	39.3% + 7.6
Off-post government housing	6.8 + 2.2	5.0 + 2.1	9.5 + 2.7	5.0 + 3.2	2.3 + 1.5	3.0 + 3.5	15.9 + 2.4	2.9 + 1.8	7.6 + 3.7	8.9 + 2.7	2.6 + 1.4
Off-post, own	11.7 + 2.6	2.4 + 0.7	16.2 + 3.7	25.6 + 4.2	44.4 + 11.1	17.7 + 4.1	0.6 + 0.2	3.3 + 2.0	7.6 + 2.1	5.8 + 2.5	26.5 + 6.4
Off-post, renting	28.6 + 3.1	28.4 + 3.6	29.7 + 4.1	41.3 + 4.7	22.6 + 7.4	29.0 + 4.3	29.9 + 4.2	19.1 + 6.4	28.4 + 3.9	25.7 + 4.1	29.8 + 5.7
Other	2.9 + 0.6	3.8 + 0.8	2.6 + 0.7	0.6 + 0.6	0.4 + 0.4	2.8 + 0.8	3.0 + 0.9	3.3 + 0.5	3.0 + 0.8	4.4 + 1.4	1.8 + 0.9
Sample size	10,718	4,479	2,833	1,732	1,465	6,378	3,284	1,056	3,966	1,502	3,181
Weighted total	451,753	213,463	178,114	30,544	19,958	290,018	134,481	27,255	183,295	77,748	105,002

Table S_159 (cont.)

Current Housing
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse															
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer						
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Child 6+	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
On-post	77.4 ± 2.0	25.9% ± 6.9	16.5% ± 4.7	20.5% ± 6.6	27.9% ± 5.0	38.8% ± 5.6	41.1% ± 7.6	30.6% ± 6.3	37.5% ± 7.2	37.0% ± 7.7	35.7% ± 5.6	37.2% ± 5.1				
Off-post government housing	0.6 ± 0.3	11.5 ± 4.5	7.6 ± 3.2	9.9 ± 4.4	9.4 ± 3.7	13.7 ± 5.0	10.2 ± 3.5	6.2 ± 3.4	9.0 ± 6.1	4.8 ± 2.6	10.3 ± 3.5	11.1 ± 4.5				
Off-post, own	2.4 ± 0.7	17.0 ± 5.4	16.5 ± 4.8	29.8 ± 6.5	8.3 ± 2.9	9.1 ± 3.2	26.7 ± 6.4	30.2 ± 7.7	32.0 ± 5.8	40.4 ± 9.2	20.1 ± 4.7	12.7 ± 3.0				
Off-post, renting	14.8 ± 2.1	43.8 ± 7.8	55.9 ± 6.0	38.9 ± 5.9	51.8 ± 5.1	37.0 ± 5.4	20.7 ± 4.0	32.2 ± 6.6	21.1 ± 4.6	17.3 ± 5.3	33.0 ± 3.9	36.9 ± 4.9				
Other	4.8 ± 1.2	1.8 ± 2.4	3.5 ± 2.0	0.9 ± 1.2	2.6 ± 1.1	1.4 ± 0.6	1.3 ± 1.0	0.8 ± 0.9	0.5 ± 0.4	0.5 ± 0.5	0.9 ± 0.4	2.1 ± 0.8				
Sample size	2,721	243	474	423	1,259	1,903	633	633	894	906	3,235	3,120				
Weighted total	163,708	10,856	14,966	14,086	53,238	86,350	40,898	10,222	14,010	15,485	119,950	106,377				

Table S. 160

Satisfaction with Current Housing
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very satisfied	19.3% + 1.5	11.7% + 1.3	23.4% + 2.2	33.6% + 3.7	38.9% + 3.7	21.3% + 2.2	16.3% + 1.8	12.9% + 2.9	17.1% + 1.7	15.6% + 2.5	18.2% + 2.2	26.6% + 3.1
Satisfied	32.6 + 1.3	28.5 + 1.9	34.6 + 1.9	42.5 + 2.4	40.0 + 3.0	33.2 + 1.7	31.5 + 2.3	32.1 + 2.1	30.8 + 2.1	32.5 + 3.1	31.3 + 2.8	37.0 + 2.2
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	19.0 + 1.0	22.0 + 1.4	18.3 + 1.7	10.1 + 1.5	9.0 + 1.6	19.1 + 1.3	18.8 + 1.7	18.9 + 2.5	20.0 + 1.4	20.8 + 2.7	18.5 + 2.5	16.4 + 1.5
Dissatisfied	17.8 + 1.1	22.0 + 1.5	15.5 + 1.5	9.9 + 1.8	9.0 + 2.3	16.7 + 1.4	19.7 + 1.8	20.3 + 2.3	19.0 + 1.6	19.3 + 2.2	19.7 + 2.4	13.2 + 1.3
Very dissatisfied	11.2 + 1.3	15.8 + 1.5	8.3 + 1.5	3.9 + 1.5	3.1 + 1.5	9.7 + 1.7	13.7 + 1.9	15.8 + 4.4	13.1 + 1.6	11.8 + 1.8	12.2 + 1.8	6.7 + 2.3
Sample size	10,629	4,408	2,817	1,731	1,465	6,327	3,259	1,043	3,916	1,484	2,050	3,179
Weighted total	446,230	208,882	177,257	30,524	19,958	286,548	132,851	26,831	179,884	76,498	84,781	105,066

Table S_160 (cont..)

Satisfaction with Current Housing
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse															
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
Very satisfied	8.9% + 1.3	19.0% + 4.4	32.6% + 5.3	31.7% + 5.3	22.7% + 3.3	20.0% + 2.5	28.5% + 3.7	38.2% + 5.4	27.9% + 3.9	33.1% + 3.3	24.9% + 2.2	23.6% + 2.1				
Satisfied	24.7 + 2.5	40.9 + 7.7	34.1 + 5.2	34.3 + 5.3	36.4 + 2.5	37.5 + 2.8	35.1 + 3.6	39.0 + 4.5	45.7 + 3.5	42.0 + 4.2	37.1 + 2.0	38.3 + 1.8				
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	23.1 + 1.7	19.6 + 5.4	15.6 + 5.0	15.0 + 5.3	17.9 + 2.4	18.4 + 2.2	16.4 + 3.2	11.3 + 2.7	9.7 + 2.7	10.0 + 2.3	16.3 + 1.7	17.2 + 1.6				
Dissatisfied	24.2 + 1.8	16.5 + 6.4	12.5 + 3.8	14.2 + 4.1	15.0 + 2.2	15.8 + 1.9	13.5 + 2.6	8.5 + 2.4	11.7 + 3.0	10.8 + 2.5	14.4 + 1.6	14.0 + 1.5				
Very dissatisfied	19.0 + 2.2	4.1 + 2.9	5.2 + 1.9	4.8 + 2.4	8.0 + 2.0	8.3 + 1.7	6.5 + 2.4	2.9 + 2.0	5.0 + 2.6	4.2 + 2.2	7.3 + 1.6	7.0 + 1.3				
Sample size	2,654	242	472	423	1,256	1,898	634	633	893	905	3,239	3,107				
Weighted total	158,901	10,809	14,887	14,086	53,349	86,065	40,980	10,222	13,990	15,420	120,183	105,904				

Table S 161

Preference for Current Housing
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Greatly prefer on post	12.2% + 1.3 -	10.4% + 1.4 -	14.0% + 1.9 -	11.0% + 2.3 -	17.3% + 2.4 -	12.5% + 1.9 -	11.1% + 1.9 -	14.4% + 4.7 -	11.8% + 1.8 -	14.0% + 3.4 -	11.3% + 2.0 -	12.1% + 2.1 -
Prefer on post	13.3 + 1.1 -	10.7 + 1.4 -	14.4 + 1.7 -	17.5 + 2.0 -	21.0 + 1.7 -	13.6 + 1.6 -	11.9 + 1.4 -	16.4 + 2.8 -	12.4 + 1.8 -	12.0 + 2.1 -	12.9 + 1.7 -	16.2 + 2.5 -
No preference	11.4 + 0.9 -	14.1 + 1.5 -	9.8 + 1.2 -	7.3 + 1.8 -	5.3 + 1.1 -	10.6 + 1.2 -	12.9 + 1.7 -	12.3 + 3.4 -	11.4 + 1.1 -	13.4 + 1.9 -	12.6 + 2.2 -	8.9 + 1.7 -
Prefer off post	29.0 + 1.1 -	29.7 + 1.6 -	29.0 + 1.7 -	28.4 + 2.8 -	24.6 + 2.6 -	28.3 + 1.4 -	30.4 + 1.8 -	29.6 + 3.4 -	29.0 + 1.9 -	28.4 + 2.8 -	29.1 + 1.8 -	29.5 + 1.9 -
Greatly prefer off post	34.1 + 2.2 -	35.1 + 2.5 -	32.9 + 2.8 -	35.8 + 3.3 -	31.8 + 4.0 -	35.0 + 3.0 -	33.7 + 2.9 -	27.2 + 5.0 -	35.5 + 3.1 -	32.2 + 3.0 -	34.0 + 2.3 -	33.4 + 3.8 -
Sample size	10,613	4,399	2,811	1,731	1,464	6,325	3,248	1,040	3,913	1,482	2,043	3,175
Weighted total	445,320	208,201	176,998	30,521	19,937	288,362	132,195	26,763	179,683	76,289	84,292	105,057

Table S_161 (cont.)

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Greatly prefer on post	6.2% + 1.3	13.4% + 4.6	8.0% + 3.3	10.2% + 4.2	12.6% + 2.5	20.1% + 2.7	14.5% + 3.8	13.6% + 3.1	16.3% + 3.3	17.7% + 3.0	13.5% + 1.9	19.6% + 2.7
Prefer on post	7.8 + 1.5	10.7 + 5.0	9.3 + 3.6	12.7 + 3.8	13.6 + 2.4	17.9 + 2.1	16.2 + 3.2	18.4 + 3.3	26.6 + 3.2	23.7 + 3.1	16.7 + 1.7	18.6 + 2.0
No preference	14.2 + 1.7	13.6 + 4.3	8.4 + 2.6	9.3 + 3.9	11.4 + 2.3	10.0 + 1.3	8.3 + 2.5	7.8 + 2.1	5.3 + 1.5	6.1 + 1.7	9.1 + 1.3	10.0 + 1.3
Prefer off post	30.6 + 2.1	32.6 + 8.4	33.7 + 4.7	32.7 + 4.6	26.6 + 3.2	27.7 + 2.6	26.7 + 4.4	27.6 + 5.5	25.8 + 3.3	24.2 + 3.4	27.4 + 2.1	26.6 + 2.1
Greatly prefer off post	41.3 + 3.0	29.6 + 8.0	40.6 + 5.4	35.2 + 6.5	35.8 + 3.3	24.3 + 2.9	34.2 + 4.3	32.6 + 5.1	26.0 + 3.7	28.4 + 4.5	33.3 + 2.8	25.2 + 2.5
Sample size	2,651	241	469	422	1,257	1,890	633	633	895	905	3,236	3,103
Weighted total	158,514	10,761	14,782	14,057	53,414	85,768	40,958	10,222	14,019	15,467	120,072	105,746

Table TRVLTIME

Commuting Time to Place of Duty
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	WCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Travel 0-5 minutes to get to work	32.0% + 3.1 -	47.4% + 3.6 -	19.9% + 2.8 -	16.6% + 3.2 -	16.1% + 4.6 -	28.4% + 4.1 -	38.2% + 4.7 -	39.8% + 9.5 -	37.0% + 3.7 -	41.9% + 5.1 -	29.7% + 3.5 -	18.5% + 4.1 -
Travel 6-10 minutes to get to work	19.9 + 1.7 -	17.6 + 2.4 -	22.6 + 2.0 -	20.6 + 2.7 -	16.7 + 4.5 -	18.7 + 2.4 -	21.7 + 1.4 -	24.3 + 7.0 -	18.0 + 2.1 -	20.5 + 3.6 -	22.1 + 3.3 -	20.8 + 2.6 -
Travel 11-15 minutes to get to work	20.7 + 1.8 -	16.4 + 1.9 -	25.4 + 2.7 -	23.5 + 4.1 -	18.9 + 4.4 -	22.2 + 2.4 -	17.8 + 2.8 -	17.8 + 5.9 -	19.6 + 2.6 -	17.3 + 3.0 -	21.8 + 2.7 -	24.1 + 3.9 -
Travel 16-20 minutes to get to work	13.4 + 1.6 -	9.5 + 1.2 -	16.2 + 2.4 -	17.2 + 2.8 -	15.9 + 3.3 -	14.9 + 2.1 -	11.1 + 2.3 -	7.5 + 3.6 -	12.7 + 1.8 -	10.0 + 2.7 -	13.2 + 2.2 -	17.0 + 3.2 -
Travel 21-30 minutes to get to work	9.9 + 1.4 -	6.5 + 1.2 -	11.4 + 1.5 -	17.3 + 4.4 -	17.9 + 4.1 -	11.3 + 1.9 -	7.3 + 1.5 -	8.0 + 5.3 -	9.8 + 1.7 -	7.6 + 2.2 -	9.0 + 2.0 -	12.5 + 2.4 -
Travel more than 30 minutes to work	4.2 + 1.5 -	2.5 + 0.6 -	4.5 + 1.4 -	4.8 + 2.1 -	14.5 + 11.9 -	4.5 + 2.1 -	3.8 + 1.7 -	2.6 + 2.2 -	2.9 + 1.0 -	2.7 + 1.1 -	4.4 + 1.7 -	7.1 + 5.3 -
Sample size	10,122	4,110	2,660	1,700	1,447	6,055	3,082	985	3,694	1,390	1,947	3,091
Weighted total	419,647	193,214	167,199	30,058	19,687	270,973	123,702	24,972	167,644	70,921	79,942	101,140

Table TRVLTIME (cont.)

Communting Time to Place of Duty
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Enlisted	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Officer
Travel 0-5 minutes to get to work	62.0% ± 4.5	14.1% ± 6.5	13.2% ± 4.3	12.5% ± 4.8	17.6% ± 3.5	15.3% ± 3.3	13.3% ± 3.5	16.6% ± 4.3	18.4% ± 3.7	16.2% ± 4.7
Travel 6-10 minutes to get to work	14.6% ± 2.4	24.8% ± 8.2	22.2% ± 5.5	19.4% ± 5.4	20.9% ± 3.3	25.0% ± 2.9	23.8% ± 4.1	23.2% ± 4.4	21.3% ± 4.9	18.5% ± 5.3
Travel 11-15 minutes to get to work	12.0% ± 2.0	30.5% ± 7.1	26.3% ± 4.7	25.3% ± 5.9	26.2% ± 3.2	27.1% ± 3.1	25.3% ± 4.2	21.3% ± 4.3	19.7% ± 3.1	18.4% ± 5.3
Travel 16-20 minutes to get to work	6.0% ± 1.3	13.3% ± 4.0	19.7% ± 3.8	22.1% ± 5.4	17.7% ± 3.0	16.2% ± 2.8	19.2% ± 4.1	14.3% ± 3.0	19.8% ± 4.3	16.9% ± 4.1
Travel 21-30 minutes to get to work	4.1% ± 0.9	12.2% ± 4.6	11.7% ± 3.2	15.1% ± 4.9	12.3% ± 3.4	12.4% ± 2.5	11.7% ± 3.0	16.4% ± 4.4	14.7% ± 3.8	17.5% ± 4.4
Travel more than 30 minutes to work	1.4% ± 0.5	5.1% ± 3.7	6.9% ± 3.4	5.7% ± 3.6	5.2% ± 1.6	3.9% ± 1.2	6.7% ± 2.7	8.1% ± 5.9	6.1% ± 4.2	12.6% ± 11.0
Spouse not Employed	2,486	233	456	404	1,177	1,790	607	629	882	894
Spouse Employed	147,066	10,188	14,383	13,246	50,345	81,123	39,205	10,172	13,845	15,237
Weighted total										

Sample size

Weighted total

Table S_163

Is There a Telephone Where You Live
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes, have a telephone	94.5% + 1.3	91.5% + 2.2	96.3% + 0.9	99.3% + 0.6	99.8% + 0.3	94.7% + 1.7	94.1% + 2.2	93.5% + 3.6	93.7% + 1.9	94.1% + 1.8	93.1% + 2.9	97.1% + 1.1
No, don't have a telephone	5.5 + 1.3	8.5 + 2.2	3.7 + 0.9	0.7 + 0.6	0.2 + 0.3	5.3 + 1.7	5.9 + 2.2	6.5 + 3.6	6.3 + 1.9	5.9 + 1.8	6.9 + 2.9	2.9 + 1.1
Sample size	9,903	4,057	2,590	1,660	1,401	5,926	3,025	952	3,653	1,359	1,899	2,992
Weighted total	414,259	193,703	163,079	29,305	19,093	267,101	122,991	24,167	167,784	69,902	78,633	97,940

Table S_163 (cont.)

Is There a Telephone Where You Live
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse									
		Single				Dual Military				Enlisted	
		No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+
										Officer	
		No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+
		91.5%	90.2%	96.2%	98.0%	94.2%	98.7%	99.5%	99.9%	99.4%	99.9%
		+ 2.7	+ 4.1	+ 2.1	+ 1.3	+ 1.7	+ 0.9	+ 0.6	+ 0.2	+ 0.7	+ 0.8
		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		8.5	9.8	3.8	2.0	5.8	1.3	0.5	0.1	0.6	2.8
		+ 2.7	+ 4.1	+ 2.1	+ 1.3	+ 1.7	+ 0.9	+ 0.6	+ 0.2	+ 0.7	+ 0.8
		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		95.9%	95.9%	97.2%	97.2%	99.4%	99.4%	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
		+ 1.1	+ 1.1	+ 0.8	+ 0.8	+ 0.7	+ 0.7	+ 0.8	+ 0.8	+ 0.8	+ 0.8
		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		4.1	4.1	2.8	2.8	0.6	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.6	2.8
		+ 1.1	+ 1.1	+ 0.8	+ 0.8	+ 0.7	+ 0.7	+ 0.8	+ 0.8	+ 0.8	+ 0.8
		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sample size		2,498	227	440	394	1,149	573	613	859	857	2,894
Weighted total		149,501	10,106	13,786	12,954	48,906	37,361	9,991	13,553	14,531	98,088

Table S 164A1

Usefulness of Budget Counselling
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	45.9% + 1.5	42.6% + 2.1	51.8% + 2.3	43.3% + 2.1	38.7% + 5.4	46.0% + 1.8	46.0% + 3.2	43.9% + 4.1	45.6% + 2.5	44.8% + 2.6	47.9% + 2.3	45.5% + 2.8
Somewhat useful	42.4 + 1.4	45.1 + 2.0	38.8 + 1.9	43.5 + 2.8	39.3 + 4.2	42.0 + 1.8	43.5 + 2.7	40.2 + 2.7	42.7 + 2.6	45.3 + 2.5	41.1 + 2.0	40.7 + 2.5
Not useful	11.7 + 0.8	12.3 + 1.2	9.4 + 1.3	13.2 + 2.5	22.1 + 2.8	11.9 + 1.1	10.5 + 1.2	15.9 + 1.6	11.6 + 1.3	9.9 + 1.8	11.0 + 1.9	13.8 + 1.6
Sample size	9,670	3,882	2,541	1,625	1,425	5,766	2,945	959	3,542	1,347	1,828	2,953
Weighted total	401,049	184,656	159,161	28,615	19,428	257,741	119,324	23,984	161,255	69,326	74,765	95,703

Usefulness of Budget Counseling
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status881

Table S.164A2

Have Used Budget Counseling
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	11.7% + 1.0	13.4% + 1.5	13.0% + 1.5	3.5% + 0.9	0.8% + 0.5	11.4% + 1.5	13.0% + 1.4	9.0% + 2.5	12.4% + 1.6	11.0% + 2.0	13.6% + 1.7	9.6% + 1.4
No	88.3 + 1.0	86.6 + 1.5	87.0 + 1.5	96.5 + 0.9	99.2 + 0.5	88.6 + 1.5	87.0 + 1.4	91.0 + 2.5	87.6 + 1.6	89.0 + 2.0	86.4 + 1.7	90.4 + 1.4
Sample size	9,971	4,058	2,609	1,676	1,428	5,901	3,071	999	3,630	1,386	1,908	3,047
Weighted total	415,439	193,178	163,897	29,523	19,420	265,058	124,756	25,625	165,849	71,218	78,374	99,998

Table S_164A2 (cont.)

Have Used Budget Counseling
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse											
		Single		Dual Military		Enlisted				Officer			
		No Children		No Children		No Children		No Children		No Children		No Children	

Table S 16481

Usefulness of Financial Class for PCS
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	47.7% + 1.2	45.7% + 1.7	53.0% + 2.5	43.5% + 2.1	35.7% + 5.4	47.4% + 1.3	48.5% + 2.9	47.1% + 3.8	47.0% + 2.2	48.2% + 2.4	48.1% + 2.2	48.4% + 2.2
Somewhat useful	41.1 + 1.2	42.2 + 1.9	38.2 + 2.2	45.3 + 2.3	42.5 + 4.4	41.1 + 1.5	41.2 + 2.2	39.7 + 4.0	42.0 + 2.1	42.7 + 2.6	41.0 + 2.4	38.4 + 1.9
Not useful	11.2 + 0.8	12.0 + 1.2	8.8 + 1.1	11.2 + 1.9	21.8 + 3.4	11.5 + 0.9	10.2 + 1.6	13.2 + 0.9	11.0 + 1.2	9.2 + 1.7	10.9 + 1.4	13.2 + 1.6
Sample size	9,544	3,784	2,517	1,625	1,421	5,696	2,908	940	3,479	1,328	1,793	2,944
Weighted total	395,896	180,651	158,164	28,556	19,338	254,775	117,544	23,576	158,467	68,411	73,462	95,556

Table S 16481 (cont.)

Usefulness of Financial Class for PCS
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted				Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Very useful	46.2% + 2.2	52.1% + 7.9	49.5% + 5.7	58.5% + 6.7	47.8% + 3.8	51.5% + 2.7	48.9% + 4.4	40.2% + 4.1	36.7% + 4.3	39.8% + 5.3	49.3% + 2.9	46.6% + 2.2
Somewhat useful	42.5 + 2.4	41.0 + 7.8	41.1 + 6.1	30.8 + 6.0	41.6 + 3.6	38.9 + 2.7	41.2 + 4.6	43.7 + 4.8	47.3 + 4.2	42.7 + 4.3	40.2 + 2.5	41.7 + 2.7
Not useful	11.2 + 1.4	6.9 + 3.8	9.4 + 3.4	10.7 + 4.2	10.6 + 2.0	9.6 + 1.5	10.0 + 2.6	16.1 + 3.6	15.9 + 3.1	17.6 + 4.2	10.6 + 1.8	11.7 + 1.4
Sample size	2,381	215	412	370	1,092	1,677	569	602	855	872	2,931	2,843
Weighted total	141,564	9,386	12,738	11,930	46,016	77,078	37,234	9,710	13,409	14,756	107,672	95,629

Table S_16482

Have Used Financial Class for PCS
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	WCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	6.3% + 0.6	6.0% + 0.9	8.0% + 1.2	3.0% + 1.2	1.9% + 1.0	6.5% + 0.7	5.8% + 1.2	6.4% + 2.0	6.4% + 1.0	7.2% + 1.3	6.8% + 1.5	5.2% + 1.0
No	93.7 + 0.6	94.0 + 0.9	92.0 + 1.2	97.0 + 1.2	98.1 + 1.0	93.5 + 0.7	94.2 + 1.2	93.6 + 2.0	93.6 + 1.0	92.8 + 1.3	93.2 + 1.5	94.8 + 1.0
Sample size	9,903	4,026	2,583	1,667	1,425	5,863	3,044	996	3,608	1,379	1,886	3,030
Weighted total	412,346	192,079	162,112	29,339	19,385	263,320	123,511	25,516	164,808	70,903	77,447	99,188

Table S_16482 (cont..)

Have Used Financial Class for PCS
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse														
Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer		
No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
5.7% + 1.0	7.9% + 4.0	2.8% + 1.6	5.3% + 2.4	6.9% + 1.7	7.7% + 1.5	7.1% + 2.5	3.6% + 1.8	2.6% + 1.3	1.9% + 1.1	6.4% + 1.3	7.0% + 1.3			
94.3 + 1.0	92.1 + 4.0	97.2 + 1.6	94.7 + 2.4	93.1 + 1.7	92.3 + 1.5	92.9 + 2.5	96.4 + 1.8	97.4 + 1.3	98.1 + 1.1	93.6 + 1.3	93.0 + 1.3			
2,511	222	434	393	1,152	1,719	588	612	857	885	3,037	2,897			
149,360	9,787	13,481	12,912	49,006	78,124	38,108	9,865	13,395	15,185	111,771	97,512			

Table S.164C1

Usefulness of Emergency Loan Service
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	81.4% + 1.8	62.9% + 2.4	64.5% + 2.1	52.6% + 2.7	42.8% + 4.4	61.9% + 2.1	60.3% + 3.9	61.8% + 3.4	62.7% + 3.8	64.4% + 2.6	58.1% + 3.6
Somewhat useful	30.4 + 1.4	29.0 + 2.0	29.0 + 1.8	37.1 + 2.6	37.6 + 3.0	29.6 + 1.5	32.2 + 3.3	30.3 + 3.1	30.8 + 2.1	28.3 + 2.1	30.9 + 2.9
Not useful	8.2 + 0.8	8.0 + 0.9	6.6 + 1.1	10.3 + 2.0	19.5 + 3.1	8.6 + 1.1	7.5 + 1.1	7.9 + 1.7	6.5 + 1.4	7.2 + 1.5	11.0 + 1.8
Sample size	9,636	3,853	2,545	1,620	1,422	5,752	2,926	958	1,348	1,815	2,949
Weighted total	399,383	183,171	159,339	28,356	19,377	257,284	117,909	24,191	69,459	74,136	95,651

Table S 164C1 (cont.)

Usefulness of Emergency Loan Service
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Very useful	61.8% + 3.0	68.5% + 7.4	63.9% + 5.7	60.2% + 5.8	62.4% + 3.0	66.4% + 2.5	60.4% + 4.7	48.4% + 4.3	45.8% + 5.7	46.1% + 6.3	61.1% + 2.7	61.0% + 2.0	
Somewhat useful	30.3 + 2.7	25.4 + 7.7	28.3 + 4.6	29.9 + 5.4	29.7 + 3.4	27.3 + 2.1	32.6 + 5.0	38.1 + 4.5	40.2 + 4.4	37.7 + 4.9	30.8 + 2.2	30.8 + 1.9	
Not useful	8.0 + 1.1	6.1 + 3.2	7.8 + 3.0	10.0 + 4.2	7.9 + 1.9	6.3 + 1.2	7.0 + 2.5	13.6 + 3.5	13.9 + 3.4	16.2 + 3.1	8.1 + 1.4	8.2 + 1.1	
Sample size	2,388	222	413	366	1,109	1,720	575	605	851	873	2,968	2,879	
Weighted total	142,297	9,815	12,742	11,861	46,537	78,134	37,436	9,748	13,333	14,754	108,756	96,828	

Table S.164C2

Have Used Emergency Loan Service
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	17.7% ± 1.2	17.7% ± 1.7	22.8% ± 1.8	4.0% ± 1.1	1.1% ± 0.5	18.2% ± 1.6	16.5% ± 1.5	17.4% ± 4.0	17.3% ± 1.9	17.6% ± 1.8	20.9% ± 2.6	15.8% ± 1.9
No	82.3 ± 1.2	82.3 ± 1.7	77.2 ± 1.8	98.0 ± 1.1	98.9 ± 0.5	81.8 ± 1.6	83.5 ± 1.5	82.6 ± 4.0	82.7 ± 1.9	82.4 ± 1.8	79.1 ± 2.6	84.2 ± 1.9
Sample size	9,877	4,017	2,570	1,667	1,423	5,848	3,036	993	3,586	1,379	1,885	3,027
Weighted total	410,175	191,174	161,146	29,153	19,362	281,929	122,754	25,492	163,501	70,531	77,131	99,012

Table S. 16401

Usefulness of Spouse Employment Referrals
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	55.6% + 1.5	51.1% + 2.1	59.4% + 2.5	58.9% + 2.8	57.6% + 2.9	54.8% + 1.8	57.8% + 3.4	54.0% + 1.9	55.1% + 2.4	55.8% + 3.7	52.4% + 3.4	58.7% + 2.3
Somewhat useful	31.9 + 1.5	34.1 + 1.9	29.8 + 2.4	30.7 + 2.5	30.7 + 2.6	31.9 + 1.9	31.8 + 2.8	32.1 + 1.2	33.1 + 2.4	32.6 + 3.3	33.2 + 3.3	28.4 + 1.8
Not useful	12.5 + 0.9	14.7 + 1.6	10.8 + 1.4	10.4 + 2.2	11.7 + 1.4	13.3 + 1.0	10.5 + 2.2	14.0 + 2.6	11.8 + 1.6	11.6 + 1.7	14.4 + 1.7	12.9 + 1.6
Sample size	9,354	3,642	2,479	1,625	1,416	5,584	2,839	931	3,401	1,292	1,744	2,917
Weighted total	381,180	168,022	156,521	28,299	19,290	246,268	111,940	22,972	151,542	65,663	69,764	94,211

Table S_16401 (cont.)

Usefulness of Spouse Employment Referrals
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
Very useful	52.4% + 2.5	58.1% + 9.3	57.3% + 7.5	57.0% + 7.2	58.1% + 3.1	55.1% + 2.8	57.6% + 4.4	53.7% + 3.7	59.5% + 3.0	59.5% + 2.6
Somewhat useful	34.1% + 2.3	33.9% + 8.4	29.0% + 6.0	27.0% + 6.8	27.9% + 3.6	34.0% + 3.0	30.7% + 4.4	34.8% + 2.8	31.0% + 2.8	29.3% + 2.3
Not useful	13.6% + 2.0	8.0% + 4.0	13.7% + 4.4	16.0% + 6.2	14.0% + 2.0	11.0% + 1.4	11.6% + 2.7	11.5% + 2.2	9.5% + 1.7	11.2% + 1.6
Sample size	2,147	196	398	359	1,106	1,719	586	859	877	3,010
Weighted total	125,615	8,498	12,241	11,538	46,559	78,435	38,064	13,425	14,939	110,719
										2,855
										95,809

Table S 16402

Have Used Spouse Employment Referrals
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	17.0% + 1.2 -	9.5% + 1.1 -	25.5% + 1.9 -	15.6% + 2.6 -	15.6% + 3.7 -	15.7% + 1.5 -	21.0% + 2.0 -	11.0% + 3.5 -	16.0% + 1.4 -	15.9% + 2.8 -	18.1% + 2.6 -	18.5% + 3.3 -
No	83.0 + 1.2 -	90.5 + 1.1 -	74.5 + 1.9 -	84.4 + 2.6 -	84.4 + 3.7 -	84.3 + 1.5 -	79.0 + 2.0 -	89.0 + 3.5 -	84.0 + 1.4 -	84.1 + 2.8 -	81.9 + 2.6 -	81.5 + 3.3 -
Sample size	9,682	3,897	2,527	1,646	1,414	5,753	2,955	974	3,516	1,344	1,835	2,987
Weighted total	399,016	182,243	159,537	28,751	19,280	255,657	118,641	24,717	158,979	68,263	74,338	97,435

Table S.164D2 (cont.)

Have Used Spouse Employment Referrals
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse													
Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer					
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed		
Yes	1.5% + 0.4	5.2% + 3.6	4.0% + 2.3	2.0% + 1.7	29.2% + 3.2	29.7% + 2.6	32.8% + 4.4	31.7% + 5.3	19.8% + 3.8	22.6% + 5.2	35.7% + 2.4	22.0% + 2.2	
No	98.5 + 0.4	94.8 + 3.6	96.0 + 2.3	98.0 + 1.7	70.8 + 3.2	70.3 + 2.6	67.2 + 4.4	68.3 + 5.3	80.2 + 3.8	77.4 + 5.2	64.3 + 2.4	78.0 + 2.2	
Sample size	2,346	206	432	391	1,141	1,730	576	609	852	880	3,018	2,884	
Weighted total	138,154	8,978	13,351	12,880	48,648	78,417	37,548	9,780	13,312	15,087	111,157	96,988	

Table S 164E1
Usefulness of Spouse Career Planning
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	46.2% + 1.5	43.7% + 1.9	49.9% + 3.1	44.5% + 3.0	42.2% + 2.7	45.8% + 1.8	47.1% + 3.3	45.8% + 3.8	45.8% + 2.6	46.5% + 3.4	45.8% + 3.2	46.9% + 2.6
Somewhat useful	39.3 + 1.4	40.8 + 1.7	37.2 + 3.0	40.6 + 2.5	39.3 + 2.6	39.1 + 1.8	40.1 + 2.9	37.2 + 3.1	40.4 + 2.4	40.1 + 3.0	39.4 + 2.7	36.7 + 2.2
Not useful	14.5 + 0.8	15.6 + 1.7	13.0 + 1.2	14.9 + 2.4	18.5 + 2.2	15.1 + 0.8	12.8 + 2.4	17.0 + 2.0	13.7 + 1.7	13.4 + 1.8	14.7 + 1.8	16.4 + 1.5
Sample size	9,241	3,582	2,437	1,618	1,412	5,525	2,799	917	3,356	1,282	1,704	2,899
Weighted total	376,277	165,969	153,884	28,219	19,234	243,220	110,501	22,556	149,615	65,114	68,172	93,376

Table S-164E1 (cont.)

Usefulness of Spouse Career Planning
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse														
Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer					
No Children	Children		No Children	Children		No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
44.5% + 2.6	53.8% + 8.0		52.4% + 5.4	49.7% + 7.0		45.8% + 3.1	46.3% + 3.4	45.4% + 5.6	46.6% + 5.5	41.3% + 3.4	44.1% + 3.3	46.6% + 3.2	44.7% + 2.5	
40.7 + 2.2	36.0 + 7.2		35.8 + 5.1	33.5 + 6.6		39.4 + 3.5	40.5 + 3.2	40.4 + 5.9	38.1 + 5.4	40.5 + 3.8	40.3 + 3.2	38.6 + 3.0	41.6 + 2.4	
14.8 + 2.2	10.2 + 4.0		11.8 + 3.6	16.8 + 5.6		14.7 + 2.3	13.2 + 1.5	14.2 + 2.5	15.4 + 3.5	18.2 + 2.7	15.6 + 2.4	14.8 + 1.6	13.7 + 1.4	
2,138	194		396	358		1,082	1,679	574	607	857	872	2,359	2,814	
125,147	8,326		12,123	11,576		45,548	76,873	37,233	9,774	13,400	14,819	109,431	94,359	

Table S.164E2

Have Used Spouse Career Planning
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other OCCMUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	5.8% + 0.6	3.2% + 0.4	8.9% + 1.2	5.3% + 1.6	5.1% + 1.8	5.7% + 0.8	6.5% + 1.1	3.1% + 1.4	4.9% + 1.0	7.1% + 1.9	6.9% + 1.5
No	94.2 + 0.6	96.8 + 0.4	91.1 + 1.2	94.7 + 1.6	94.9 + 1.8	94.3 + 0.8	93.5 + 1.1	96.9 + 1.4	95.1 + 1.0	92.9 + 1.9	93.1 + 1.5
Sample size	9,650	3,876	2,536	1,641	1,404	5,729	2,946	975	3,500	1,829	2,974
Weighted total	397,643	181,037	159,778	28,674	19,142	254,305	118,453	24,885	158,177	68,299	96,965

Table S_164E2 (cont.)
Have Used Spouse Career Planning
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse																
Single				Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer						
No Children		Children		No Children	Children		No Children	Child 0-5		Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5		Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Yes	0.7%	0.3%	2.3%	1.7%	7.9%	10.0%	12.3%	9.5%	7.2%	6.0%	11.6%	7.5%				
	+ 0.3	+ 0.5	+ 1.8	+ 1.2	+ 1.9	+ 1.5	+ 2.4	+ 3.1	+ 2.5	+ 2.5	+ 1.8	+ 1.3				
No	99.3	99.7	97.7	98.3	92.1	90.0	87.7	90.5	92.8	94.0	88.4	92.5				
	+ 0.3	+ 0.5	+ 1.8	+ 1.2	+ 1.9	+ 1.5	+ 2.4	+ 3.1	+ 2.5	+ 2.5	+ 1.8	+ 1.3				
Sample size	2,338	204	432	389	1,142	1,721	580	606	847	873	3,005	2,881				
Weighted total	137,505	8,867	13,384	12,829	48,755	77,790	37,805	9,708	13,255	14,935	110,775	97,016				

Table S 16#1

Usefulness of Spouse Employment Skills Training
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	WCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	50.6% + 1.5	47.8% + 2.0	55.7% + 2.7	44.0% + 2.7	42.4% + 2.9	50.4% + 1.9	51.3% + 3.0	49.0% + 3.4	49.9% + 2.6	50.8% + 3.0	50.2% + 3.1	51.8% + 2.6
Somewhat useful	35.5% + 1.4	37.3% + 2.0	32.3% + 2.5	40.9% + 2.6	38.9% + 2.8	35.2% + 1.7	38.6% + 3.0	33.6% + 3.4	37.2% + 2.6	36.3% + 3.0	35.4% + 2.7	32.3% + 2.2
Not useful	13.9% + 0.9	14.9% + 1.6	12.0% + 1.4	15.2% + 2.4	20.8% + 2.3	14.4% + 1.1	12.2% + 2.0	17.4% + 2.0	12.9% + 1.8	12.9% + 1.8	14.3% + 1.7	15.9% + 2.0
Sample size	9,223	3,575	2,436	1,611	1,411	5,512	2,795	916	3,341	1,282	1,708	2,892
Weighted total	376,002	165,967	153,796	28,082	19,229	243,025	110,384	22,593	149,159	65,177	68,472	93,194

Table S 164f1 (cont.)

Usefulness of Spouse Employment Skills Training
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
Very useful	47.6% + 2.4	58.0% + 8.0	55.4% + 6.1	52.1% + 6.9	51.3% + 3.3	53.7% + 2.6	51.8% + 4.9	47.0% + 5.4	41.7% + 3.1	48.2% + 4.2	51.9% + 2.7	50.9% + 2.2
Somewhat useful	38.1 + 2.5	32.3 + 7.9	31.9 + 6.4	32.5 + 6.7	34.1 + 3.5	34.1 + 2.8	35.8 + 4.7	35.8 + 4.5	40.3 + 3.7	35.9 + 3.5	34.2 + 2.7	36.3 + 2.0
Not useful	14.4 + 2.2	9.7 + 3.9	12.7 + 3.9	15.4 + 5.4	14.6 + 1.9	12.2 + 1.5	12.4 + 2.2	17.2 + 3.3	18.0 + 2.9	15.9 + 2.5	14.0 + 1.5	12.9 + 1.5
Sample size	2,138	193	396	358	1,085	1,675	574	606	850	871	2,949	2,812
Weighted total	125,163	8,301	12,116	11,581	45,794	76,853	37,272	9,745	13,288	14,799	108,202	94,515

Table S. 16#2

Have Used Spouse Employment Skills Training
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	5.2% + 0.5 -	3.2% + 0.5 -	8.0% + 1.1 -	4.0% + 1.3 -	2.6% + 1.0 -	4.9% + 0.7 -	6.5% + 0.9 -	2.5% + 1.3 -	4.8% + 0.8 -	4.2% + 1.2 -	6.4% + 1.5 -	5.6% + 1.2 -
No	94.8 + 0.5 -	96.8 + 0.5 -	92.0 + 1.1 -	96.0 + 1.3 -	97.4 + 1.0 -	95.1 + 0.7 -	93.5 + 0.9 -	97.5 + 1.3 -	95.2 + 0.8 -	95.8 + 1.2 -	93.8 + 1.5 -	94.4 + 1.2 -
Sample size	9,634	3,870	2,529	1,639	1,404	5,720	2,941	973	3,496	1,341	1,826	2,971
Weighted total	397,361	180,937	159,660	28,617	19,159	254,276	118,339	24,746	158,044	68,134	74,192	96,990

Have Used Spouse Employment Skills Training
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

903

Table S_16461

Usefulness of Community Orientation
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	50.2%	45.7%	54.4%	53.7%	52.4%	47.0%	56.9%	51.2%	48.8%	49.2%	50.9%	52.7%
	+ 1.6	+ 2.3	+ 2.4	+ 3.8	+ 3.7	+ 1.7	+ 4.3	+ 3.5	+ 2.9	+ 3.5	+ 2.6	+ 2.6
Somewhat useful	40.2	42.9	37.0	40.0	39.9	42.5	35.4	39.7	41.2	42.6	38.3	38.4
	+ 1.4	+ 2.3	+ 2.4	+ 3.7	+ 2.5	+ 1.7	+ 3.3	+ 3.4	+ 3.0	+ 3.3	+ 2.6	+ 2.0
Not useful	9.6	11.4	8.6	6.2	7.8	10.5	7.7	9.1	10.1	8.1	10.7	8.9
	+ 0.7	+ 1.4	+ 1.0	+ 1.5	+ 2.2	+ 0.8	+ 1.6	+ 1.2	+ 1.2	+ 1.6	+ 1.7	+ 1.2
Sample size	9,495	3,703	2,534	1,630	1,429	5,632	2,930	933	3,429	1,312	1,789	2,965
Weighted total	390,381	174,111	158,821	28,873	19,490	249,927	117,363	23,091	154,719	67,148	72,342	96,172

Table S_164G1 (cont.)

Usefulness of Community Orientation
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Officer
Very useful	47.4% + 2.4	56.2% + 6.7	57.6% + 6.9	60.8% + 6.4	48.2% + 3.5	51.2% + 2.4	50.3% + 3.4	48.6% + 4.6	52.3% + 3.4	53.3% + 4.9	52.0% + 2.8	48.9% + 2.1
Somewhat useful	42.9% + 2.4	37.1% + 6.6	32.7% + 5.9	28.7% + 4.7	40.8% + 3.2	39.9% + 2.7	40.3% + 4.0	45.0% + 4.3	40.7% + 3.5	38.9% + 4.0	39.3% + 2.6	41.7% + 2.3
Not useful	9.7% + 1.3	6.7% + 3.7	9.7% + 4.2	10.5% + 4.7	11.0% + 2.2	8.9% + 1.4	9.4% + 2.4	6.4% + 2.1	6.9% + 1.7	7.8% + 2.4	8.7% + 1.2	9.4% + 1.1
Sample size	2,294	215	412	368	1,090	1,697	570	605	864	883	2,967	2,849
Weighted total	134,907	9,324	12,557	11,863	46,264	77,916	37,262	9,746	13,558	15,041	109,031	95,894

Table S_16462

Have Used Community Orientation
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	23.6% + 1.9	16.6% + 1.8	29.1% + 2.2	27.8% + 3.1	36.1% + 7.2	17.6% + 2.3	37.2% + 4.3	19.5% + 6.2	20.3% + 2.4	24.3% + 4.3	25.2% + 4.1	27.3% + 4.4
No	76.4 + 1.9	83.4 + 1.8	70.9 + 2.2	72.2 + 3.1	63.9 + 7.2	82.4 + 2.3	62.8 + 4.3	80.5 + 6.2	79.7 + 2.4	75.7 + 4.3	74.8 + 4.1	72.7 + 4.4
Sample size	9,721	3,923	2,549	1,641	1,409	5,754	2,996	971	3,534	1,350	1,846	2,991
Weighted total	403,036	185,329	160,343	28,861	19,199	257,186	121,046	24,804	160,776	69,219	75,080	97,961

Table S. 16402 (cont.)

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Yes	16.9% + 1.9	25.7% + 6.1	21.8% + 4.3	24.4% + 5.3	23.1% + 3.3	27.8% + 2.6	29.7% + 3.7	31.6% + 5.6	34.8% + 4.3	36.5% + 6.4	27.4% + 2.5	29.4% + 2.6
No	83.1 + 1.9	74.3 + 6.1	78.2 + 4.3	75.6 + 5.3	76.9 + 3.3	72.2 + 2.6	70.3 + 3.7	68.4 + 5.6	65.2 + 4.3	63.5 + 6.4	72.6 + 2.5	70.6 + 2.6
Sample size	2,410	225	425	389	1,139	1,716	580	609	844	873	2,999	2,872
Weighted total	142,648	9,873	13,068	12,758	48,471	77,861	37,746	9,827	13,262	14,931	110,329	97,003

Table S 16411

Usefulness of Promote Information
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	54.0% + 1.6	49.1% + 2.0	57.5% + 2.2	61.5% + 3.2	58.8% + 3.3	52.4% + 2.0	57.3% + 3.4	54.8% + 3.6	52.7% + 2.7	53.2% + 3.1	53.1% + 2.7	57.4% + 2.6
Somewhat useful	36.9 + 1.5	40.1 + 2.1	33.9 + 2.1	33.6 + 3.0	34.8 + 2.7	37.9 + 1.8	34.9 + 3.2	36.1 + 4.2	38.4 + 2.8	38.8 + 3.1	35.4 + 2.3	34.2 + 1.9
Not useful	9.1 + 0.7	10.8 + 1.1	8.6 + 1.1	4.8 + 1.5	6.4 + 1.6	9.7 + 0.9	7.7 + 1.2	9.1 + 0.8	8.9 + 1.0	8.0 + 1.4	11.5 + 1.8	8.4 + 1.4
Sample size	9,439	3,668	2,513	1,627	1,433	5,618	2,886	935	3,411	1,300	1,763	2,965
Weighted total	387,565	172,361	157,855	28,577	19,554	249,123	115,258	23,184	153,535	66,585	71,211	96,234

Table S_164H1 (cont.)

Usefulness of Premise Information
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse									
		Single					Dual Military				
		No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Officer	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 6+
		Enlisted		Officer			Enlisted		Officer		
		No Children	Children	No Children	Children		No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 6+
Very useful		50.3% + 2.4	64.2% + 7.5	59.3% + 6.5	61.0% + 7.2	51.4% + 3.6	55.9% + 2.2	54.8% + 3.2	60.1% + 4.9	57.8% + 3.8	53.7% + 2.2
Somewhat useful		41.2 + 2.5	31.7 + 7.3	30.6 + 5.3	27.9 + 5.9	36.0 + 3.4	35.5 + 2.3	36.5 + 3.2	34.0 + 4.8	35.7 + 3.8	37.5 + 2.3
Not useful		8.5 + 1.3	4.2 + 2.6	10.1 + 4.7	11.1 + 4.5	12.5 + 2.1	8.6 + 1.4	8.7 + 2.2	5.9 + 2.5	6.5 + 2.4	8.7 + 1.3
Sample size		2,274	211	405	368	1,079	1,688	571	602	883	2,838
Weighted total		133,790	9,140	12,376	11,859	45,587	77,573	37,305	9,699	14,976	95,040

Table S_16412

Have Used Premove Information
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	20.0% + 1.6	9.3% + 1.2	26.2% + 2.1	33.1% + 3.1	46.3% + 5.6	19.2% + 1.9	21.3% + 3.2	22.3% + 4.5	16.8% + 1.5	16.3% + 2.9	18.0% + 2.8	29.5% + 3.5
No	80.0 + 1.6	90.7 + 1.2	73.8 + 2.1	66.9 + 3.1	53.7 + 5.6	80.8 + 1.9	78.7 + 3.2	77.7 + 4.5	83.2 + 1.5	83.7 + 2.9	82.0 + 2.8	70.5 + 3.5
Sample size	9,709	3,913	2,546	1,636	1,412	5,753	2,986	970	3,533	1,354	1,835	2,987
Weighted total	402,414	184,777	160,167	28,796	19,253	257,110	120,592	24,712	160,944	69,418	74,354	97,697

Table S 16412 (cont.)
Have Used Premove Information
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse															
Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer			
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children		
6+	6+	0-5	6+	0-5	6+	0-5	6+	0-5	6+	0-5	6+	0-5	6+		
Yes	11.0% ± 1.6	18.8% ± 6.1	10.8% ± 3.7	17.5% ± 5.3	17.0% ± 3.1	24.3% ± 2.6	30.8% ± 4.7	39.5% ± 5.2	41.5% ± 4.3	41.8% ± 5.3	27.5% ± 2.6	26.6% ± 2.6			
No	89.0% ± 1.6	81.2% ± 6.1	89.2% ± 3.7	82.5% ± 5.3	83.0% ± 3.1	75.7% ± 2.6	69.2% ± 4.7	60.5% ± 5.2	58.5% ± 4.3	58.2% ± 5.3	72.5% ± 2.6	73.4% ± 2.6			
Sample size	2,413	220	427	388	1,130	1,713	578	604	842	879	2,983	2,875			
Weighted total	142,565	9,605	13,182	12,673	48,315	77,674	37,666	9,751	13,225	15,087	109,891	97,038			

Table S 16411

Usefulness of Sponsorship Assistance
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	55.1% + 1.8 -	48.4% + 2.5 -	59.3% + 2.2 -	63.6% + 2.6 -	65.5% + 3.9 -	51.3% + 2.2 -	62.6% + 3.4 -	58.7% + 3.8 -	53.0% + 3.1 -	54.6% + 2.9 -	53.9% + 2.4 -	59.8% + 3.1 -
Somewhat useful	34.5 + 1.5 -	38.6 + 2.2 -	31.3 + 2.0 -	31.3 + 2.6 -	28.7 + 3.2 -	37.4 + 1.9 -	28.5 + 2.9 -	33.3 + 4.2 -	35.8 + 3.0 -	35.7 + 2.8 -	35.2 + 2.2 -	30.8 + 2.1 -
Not useful	10.4 + 0.8 -	13.0 + 1.4 -	9.4 + 1.1 -	5.0 + 1.5 -	5.8 + 1.5 -	11.3 + 0.9 -	9.0 + 1.8 -	8.0 + 1.1 -	11.1 + 1.3 -	9.7 + 1.6 -	10.9 + 1.9 -	9.4 + 1.6 -
Sample size	9,477	3,677	2,519	1,646	1,435	5,625	2,913	939	3,420	1,304	1,776	2,977
Weighted total	388,320	172,651	157,884	28,928	19,572	248,577	118,524	23,219	153,549	68,755	71,824	96,192

Table S_16411 (cont.)

Usefulness of Sponsorship Assistance
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	
Very useful	50.2% + 2.8	60.8% + 7.4	60.1% + 6.7	61.5% + 7.1	51.7% + 3.7	57.1% + 2.5	61.5% + 3.0	63.9% + 5.2	58.5% + 2.4	55.8% + 2.2
Somewhat useful	39.0 + 2.6	34.1 + 7.3	30.0 + 5.4	30.1 + 6.2	34.6 + 3.9	32.8 + 2.6	32.4 + 3.2	30.0 + 4.8	31.4 + 2.3	34.0 + 1.9
Not useful	10.9 + 1.4	5.1 + 2.9	9.8 + 3.8	8.4 + 4.5	13.6 + 2.2	10.1 + 1.4	6.1 + 1.8	6.1 + 2.3	10.1 + 1.3	10.1 + 1.5
Sample size	2,279	209	410	369	1,088	1,683	871	887	2,972	2,846
Weighted total	133,874	9,090	12,585	11,793	45,871	77,361	13,649	15,062	108,697	95,420

Table S 16412
Have Used Sponsorship Assistance
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	24.0% + 2.9 -	11.2% + 1.9 -	29.2% + 3.3 -	44.7% + 3.9 -	59.0% + 6.7 -	20.7% + 3.7 -	30.5% + 5.1 -	26.9% + 9.5 -	17.7% + 2.3 -	20.6% + 3.3 -	22.2% + 2.8 -	38.4% + 6.0 -
No	76.0 + 2.9 -	88.8 + 1.9 -	70.8 + 3.3 -	55.3 + 3.9 -	41.0 + 6.7 -	79.3 + 3.7 -	69.5 + 5.1 -	73.1 + 9.5 -	82.3 + 2.3 -	79.4 + 3.3 -	77.8 + 2.8 -	61.6 + 6.0 -
Sample size	9,712	3,909	2,551	1,644	1,408	5,755	2,990	967	3,531	1,351	1,846	2,984
Weighted total	402,549	184,478	160,642	28,949	19,178	257,244	120,724	24,581	160,573	69,069	75,138	97,770

Table S_16412 (cont.)
Have Used Sponsorship Assistance
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse													
Single				Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
14.2% + 2.1	26.1% + 7.3	22.2% + 5.0	25.7% + 6.3	20.3% + 3.7	25.2% + 3.6	30.9% + 5.0	52.3% + 5.7	52.7% + 5.6	58.4% + 6.9	31.3% + 3.9	30.6% + 4.1		
85.8% + 2.1	73.9% + 7.3	77.8% + 5.0	74.3% + 6.3	79.7% + 3.7	74.8% + 3.6	69.1% + 5.0	47.7% + 5.7	47.3% + 5.8	41.6% + 6.9	68.7% + 3.9	69.4% + 4.1		
2,403	222	425	388	1,135	1,715	581	610	842	874	2,995	2,880		
142,005	9,736	13,152	12,776	48,374	77,926	37,806	9,831	13,190	14,956	110,454	97,131		

Table S 164J1

Usefulness of Lending Closet
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	MOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	52.8%	45.8%	61.1%	50.4%	51.1%	50.1%	58.0%	56.1%	50.2%	53.3%	52.8%	56.7%
	+ 1.7	+ 2.5	+ 2.5	+ 2.8	+ 3.8	+ 2.1	+ 3.5	+ 2.6	+ 2.9	+ 3.7	+ 3.0	+ 2.5
Somewhat useful	37.8	41.8	32.3	42.6	38.4	39.8	34.1	34.6	39.3	38.1	37.8	35.0
	+ 1.6	+ 2.1	+ 2.4	+ 2.7	+ 2.6	+ 2.1	+ 2.7	+ 4.8	+ 2.6	+ 3.6	+ 3.2	+ 2.2
Not useful	9.4	12.4	6.6	6.9	10.5	10.1	7.9	9.4	10.5	8.5	9.4	8.3
	+ 0.8	+ 1.5	+ 0.9	+ 1.6	+ 2.6	+ 0.8	+ 2.0	+ 3.4	+ 1.3	+ 1.5	+ 2.1	+ 1.2
Sample size	9,451	3,674	2,525	1,632	1,424	5,604	2,905	942	3,423	1,304	1,769	2,955
Weighted total	387,290	171,350	158,680	28,689	19,409	248,078	115,830	23,382	153,290	68,629	71,611	95,759

Table S_164J1 (cont.)
Usefulness of Lending Closet
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse									
		Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children		No Children		Enlisted	Children		No Children		Officer
		Child	Spouse not Employed	Child	Spouse not Employed		Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
Very useful	44.1% + 2.8	65.0% + 7.4	58.0% + 8.6	63.1% + 5.8	50.9% + 3.0	60.0% + 2.9	58.2% + 4.1	51.2% + 4.6	49.3% + 4.3	56.0% + 5.2	57.0% + 2.3
Somewhat useful	43.5% + 2.5	31.2% + 7.4	33.0% + 5.7	28.6% + 4.4	38.9% + 3.4	33.4% + 2.8	35.8% + 3.9	41.1% + 4.6	42.0% + 4.1	34.8% + 4.2	35.5% + 2.2
Not useful	12.3% + 1.8	3.9% + 2.6	9.0% + 3.3	8.2% + 3.4	10.2% + 1.8	6.6% + 1.0	6.0% + 1.9	7.7% + 2.0	8.7% + 2.7	9.1% + 2.5	7.4% + 1.1
Sample size	2,245	216	404	368	1,089	1,705	576	863	603	880	2,971
Weighted total	131,701	9,447	12,468	11,806	45,792	78,482	37,311	13,579	9,703	14,895	109,220
											95,595

Table S 164J2

Have Used Lending Closet
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	20.5% + 1.5	10.7% + 1.5	29.1% + 2.1	21.3% + 2.9	33.6% + 3.2	17.4% + 1.9	26.7% + 2.4	22.4% + 6.6	17.1% + 2.0	19.2% + 2.9	21.2% + 2.2	26.3% + 2.9
No	79.5 + 1.5	89.3 + 1.5	70.9 + 2.1	78.7 + 2.9	66.4 + 3.2	82.6 + 1.9	73.3 + 2.4	77.6 + 6.6	82.9 + 2.0	80.8 + 2.9	78.8 + 2.2	73.7 + 2.9
Sample size	9,754	3,926	2,567	1,649	1,411	5,779	3,005	970	3,549	1,364	1,848	2,993
Weighted total	404,001	184,826	161,566	29,050	19,193	258,371	120,921	24,710	161,196	69,817	74,994	97,994

Table S 164J2 (cont.)

Civilian Spouse												
Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Yes	5.2% + 0.9	26.4% + 7.3	15.0% + 5.5	23.4% + 5.5	19.2% + 3.3	32.8% + 3.5	35.6% + 4.0	25.5% + 4.7	34.5% + 4.1	39.4% + 4.4	30.1% + 2.9	31.1% + 2.7
No	94.8 + 0.9	73.6 + 7.3	85.0 + 5.5	76.6 + 5.5	80.8 + 3.3	67.2 + 3.5	64.4 + 4.0	74.5 + 4.7	65.5 + 4.1	60.6 + 4.4	69.9 + 2.9	68.9 + 2.7
Sample size	2,410	226	425	394	1,143	1,718	586	608	846	880	3,011	2,885
Weighted total	142,174	9,903	13,089	12,911	48,738	77,948	38,215	9,824	13,297	15,089	110,970	97,530

Table S 164K1

Usefulness of Relocation Counseling
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	43.6% + 1.3	41.7% + 2.3	47.7% + 2.3	38.9% + 2.6	38.6% + 4.1	41.3% + 1.6	48.1% + 2.7	45.2% + 5.2	42.2% + 2.6	44.0% + 2.4	46.5% + 2.3	43.3% + 3.1
Somewhat useful	44.0 + 1.2	45.3 + 2.1	41.1 + 2.2	48.2 + 2.6	44.7 + 3.5	45.4 + 1.3	41.0 + 2.5	43.0 + 5.4	44.8 + 2.5	45.4 + 2.9	40.6 + 2.5	44.1 + 2.5
Not useful	12.5 + 0.7	13.1 + 1.5	11.2 + 1.1	12.9 + 1.9	16.7 + 2.3	13.3 + 0.9	10.9 + 1.3	11.8 + 1.5	13.0 + 1.5	10.6 + 1.6	13.0 + 1.9	12.6 + 1.6
Sample size	9,357	3,636	2,489	1,620	1,420	5,565	2,867	925	3,384	1,294	1,744	2,935
Weighted total	384,049	170,940	158,273	28,545	19,356	246,439	114,740	22,870	152,139	66,286	70,555	95,068

Table S_164K1 (cont.)

Usefulness of Relocation Counseling
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
Very useful	40.7% + 2.7	50.9% + 6.7	48.7% + 7.1	54.1% + 7.4	43.2% + 3.1	45.3% + 3.2	45.1% + 4.5	36.3% + 3.4	35.9% + 3.7	39.8% + 4.5	44.1% + 2.5	43.0% + 2.0		
Somewhat useful	47.4 + 2.6	43.1 + 7.4	39.0 + 5.7	32.8 + 5.8	44.2 + 3.7	42.0 + 2.7	43.5 + 3.8	48.4 + 4.8	50.5 + 3.8	46.4 + 3.5	43.6 + 2.0	44.1 + 2.3		
Not useful	11.9 + 1.5	6.0 + 4.4	12.2 + 4.0	13.1 + 5.0	12.5 + 2.5	12.7 + 1.6	11.4 + 2.6	15.3 + 3.5	13.6 + 2.2	13.8 + 3.2	12.3 + 1.6	13.0 + 1.5		
Sample size	2,252	211	406	365	1,073	1,672	565	599	856	874	2,926	2,816		
Weighted total	132,449	9,173	12,413	11,764	45,217	77,056	36,842	9,662	13,344	14,778	107,382	94,512		

Table S 164K2

Have Used Relocation Counseling
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
Yes	7.3% + 0.8	4.5% + 0.7	10.3% + 1.4	5.1% + 1.0	10.9% + 2.5	6.7% + 1.0	8.4% + 1.4	7.8% + 3.1	5.8% + 1.0	7.2% + 1.5	8.7% + 1.5
No	92.7 + 0.8	95.5 + 0.7	89.7 + 1.4	94.9 + 1.0	89.1 + 2.5	93.3 + 1.0	91.6 + 1.4	92.2 + 3.1	94.2 + 1.0	92.8 + 1.5	91.3 + 1.5
Sample size	9,724	3,928	2,564	1,634	1,399	5,758	3,005	963	3,544	1,351	1,854
Weighted total	403,660	185,319	161,226	28,785	19,033	257,771	121,264	24,625	161,694	69,056	75,294
											2,975
											97,615

Table S_164K2 (cont.)

Have Used Relocation Counseling
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse															
Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer			
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Spouse not Employed	Spouse Employed
Yes	4.3% + 1.0	9.9% + 4.5	4.5% + 1.9	6.7% + 3.0	8.0% + 2.1	9.0% + 1.7	11.3% + 2.9	6.2% + 2.5	8.4% + 3.1	11.1% + 3.0	8.8% + 1.5	10.2% + 1.5			
No	95.7 + 1.0	90.1 + 4.5	95.5 + 1.9	93.3 + 3.0	92.0 + 2.1	91.0 + 1.7	88.7 + 2.9	93.8 + 2.5	91.6 + 3.1	88.9 + 3.0	91.2 + 1.5	89.8 + 1.5			
Sample size	2,416	221	425	389	1,139	1,711	590	608	835	873	3,000	2,872			
Weighted total	142,821	9,740	13,218	12,745	48,602	77,464	38,331	9,818	13,062	15,013	110,626	97,114			

Table S 164.1

Usefulness of Directory of Community Services and Programs
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	51.0% + 1.5	46.8% + 2.2	53.7% + 2.1	58.0% + 2.6	58.9% + 3.3	49.6% + 2.0	53.8% + 3.0	50.9% + 2.7	49.9% + 2.4	48.9% + 2.9	49.7% + 2.5	55.0% + 2.1
Somewhat useful	41.4 + 1.5	43.9 + 2.0	40.0 + 2.1	38.6 + 2.7	34.6 + 2.7	42.5 + 1.9	39.2 + 2.7	40.5 + 3.5	42.5 + 2.2	43.0 + 2.8	42.5 + 2.5	37.5 + 2.3
Not useful	7.7 + 0.6	9.4 + 1.2	6.3 + 1.0	5.4 + 1.5	6.5 + 1.5	7.9 + 0.8	6.9 + 1.4	8.7 + 1.5	7.5 + 1.1	8.0 + 1.5	7.8 + 1.6	7.5 + 1.2
Sample size	9,407	3,656	2,506	1,627	1,425	5,599	2,878	930	3,402	1,304	1,764	2,937
Weighted total	386,667	172,492	157,091	28,663	19,437	248,575	114,930	23,162	153,968	66,392	71,342	94,965

Table S_164L1 (cont.)

Usefulness of Directory of Community Services and Programs
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse														
Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer					
No Children	Children		No Children	Children		No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
48.7%	55.0%		56.1%	61.5%		48.4%	50.7%	48.8%	55.6%	54.2%	57.8%	52.2%	50.0%	
+ 2.2	+ 6.7		+ 6.6	+ 7.1		+ 3.9	+ 2.8	+ 4.0	+ 3.0	+ 3.8	+ 5.1	+ 2.4	+ 2.7	
42.7	40.0		37.5	32.0		42.5	41.3	46.7	39.5	38.5	36.2	41.2	42.3	
+ 2.0	+ 6.9		+ 5.0	+ 7.3		+ 3.9	+ 2.8	+ 4.3	+ 3.2	+ 3.7	+ 4.5	+ 2.5	+ 2.5	
8.6	5.0		6.4	6.5		9.1	8.0	4.6	4.9	7.3	6.0	6.6	7.7	
+ 1.3	+ 3.3		+ 3.9	+ 2.8		+ 1.7	+ 1.5	+ 2.0	+ 1.6	+ 2.4	+ 1.8	+ 1.2	+ 1.1	
2,287	215		409	361		1,071	1,678	562	602	859	876	2,935	2,814	
134,716	9,315		12,686	11,677		45,348	77,187	36,463	9,715	13,372	14,835	107,435	94,525	

Table S 164.2

Have Used Directory of Community Services and Programs
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	28.1% + 2.0	19.2% + 2.3	31.5% + 2.2	43.5% + 3.5	56.3% + 4.5	26.0% + 2.5	32.4% + 3.4	29.6% + 4.1	23.7% + 2.5	24.9% + 2.4	28.2% + 3.3	37.7% + 3.2
No	71.9 + 2.0	80.8 + 2.3	68.5 + 2.2	56.5 + 3.5	43.7 + 4.5	74.0 + 2.5	67.6 + 3.4	70.4 + 4.1	76.3 + 2.5	75.1 + 2.4	71.8 + 3.3	62.3 + 3.2
Sample size	9,606	3,864	2,512	1,632	1,406	5,692	2,961	953	3,512	1,321	1,820	2,953
Weighted total	397,302	182,578	157,914	28,751	19,172	253,453	119,465	24,384	159,640	67,748	73,915	95,999

Table S_164.2 (cont.)

Have Used Directory of Community Services and Programs
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse													
Single				Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Yes	19.7% ± 2.5	35.5% ± 6.0	28.5% ± 5.0	30.2% ± 5.8	30.7% ± 2.9	24.6% ± 3.0	30.7% ± 2.9	31.9% ± 4.9	49.4% ± 5.0	51.3% ± 4.6	56.5% ± 5.7	33.7% ± 2.9	33.9% ± 2.7
No	80.3% ± 2.5	64.5% ± 6.0	71.5% ± 5.0	69.8% ± 5.8	69.3% ± 2.9	75.4% ± 3.0	69.3% ± 2.9	68.1% ± 4.9	50.6% ± 5.0	48.7% ± 4.6	43.5% ± 5.7	66.3% ± 2.9	66.1% ± 2.7
Sample size	2,370	217	421	385	1,115	1,690	579	602	844	871	2,965	2,851	
Weighted total	140,636	9,411	12,940	12,568	47,592	76,290	37,458	9,705	13,189	14,823	108,810	95,639	

Table S 16411

Usefulness of Services for Families Living Off-post
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	50.7% + 1.3	47.3% + 2.4	55.0% + 2.2	50.7% + 2.6	49.7% + 3.1	49.1% + 1.7	54.4% + 2.6	49.0% + 1.3	50.1% + 2.3	49.8% + 2.8	51.0% + 2.5	52.0% + 2.3
Somewhat useful	39.5 + 1.3	41.1 + 2.2	36.9 + 2.1	41.2 + 2.3	39.8 + 2.5	41.1 + 1.7	38.2 + 2.5	40.0 + 2.0	40.7 + 2.2	39.8 + 2.9	38.6 + 2.3	38.2 + 2.3
Not useful	9.8 + 0.7	11.6 + 1.6	8.1 + 0.9	8.1 + 1.4	10.5 + 2.3	9.9 + 0.8	9.4 + 1.6	11.0 + 2.0	9.2 + 1.3	10.4 + 1.7	10.4 + 1.8	9.8 + 1.3
Sample size	9,348	3,620	2,488	1,623	1,425	5,569	2,860	919	3,396	1,294	1,735	2,923
Weighted total	382,899	169,524	156,359	28,649	19,415	246,428	113,807	22,664	153,268	65,843	69,745	94,042

Table S_16442

Have Used Services for Families Living Off-post
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	13.7% + 1.0	8.6% + 1.0	18.8% + 1.8	15.8% + 2.0	18.5% + 3.2	12.7% + 1.3	16.4% + 1.6	11.3% + 3.8	12.6% + 1.5	13.7% + 2.1	16.1% + 2.2
No	86.3 + 1.0	91.4 + 1.0	81.2 + 1.8	84.2 + 2.0	81.5 + 3.2	87.3 + 1.3	83.6 + 1.6	88.7 + 3.8	87.4 + 1.5	86.3 + 2.1	83.9 + 2.2
Sample size	9,559	3,845	2,498	1,624	1,397	5,683	2,930	946	3,498	1,305	1,814
Weighted total	394,976	181,270	156,882	28,692	19,111	253,029	117,688	24,259	159,047	66,628	73,543
											95,758

Table S 16442 (cont.)

Have Used Services for Families Living Off-post
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse												
		Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
		No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Yes		4.7% ± 0.8	17.0% ± 5.5	13.0% ± 4.9	16.8% ± 3.7	18.2% ± 3.0	20.8% ± 2.9	18.8% ± 3.4	16.5% ± 3.3	19.1% ± 3.3	19.3% ± 3.6	19.9% ± 1.9	19.3% ± 2.2	
No		95.3 ± 0.8	83.0 ± 5.5	87.0 ± 4.9	83.2 ± 3.7	81.8 ± 3.0	79.2 ± 2.9	81.2 ± 3.4	83.5 ± 3.3	80.9 ± 3.3	80.7 ± 3.6	80.1 ± 1.9	80.7 ± 2.2	
Sample size		2,361	216	420	380	1,110	1,681	579	602	834	870	2,950	2,840	
Weighted total		139,783	9,361	12,894	12,482	46,981	76,121	37,389	9,741	13,076	14,840	108,165	95,310	

Table S 164W1

Usefulness of Family Separation Services
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	56.3% + 1.3	53.0% + 2.3	60.1% + 2.0	56.4% + 3.1	55.3% + 2.2	56.0% + 1.4	57.1% + 2.8	56.0% + 4.5	55.5% + 2.2	56.3% + 3.2	55.5% + 2.5	58.3% + 2.0
Somewhat useful	33.4% + 1.1	35.2% + 2.2	30.8% + 1.9	38.3% + 3.0	33.4% + 2.3	33.7% + 1.4	32.6% + 2.0	34.0% + 4.7	34.5% + 2.0	32.8% + 2.8	33.4% + 2.3	31.8% + 2.1
Not useful	10.3% + 0.8	11.8% + 1.6	9.2% + 1.0	7.3% + 1.5	11.3% + 2.2	10.3% + 0.9	10.3% + 1.9	9.9% + 2.5	10.0% + 1.4	10.9% + 1.6	11.0% + 2.0	9.9% + 1.4
Sample size	9,280	3,596	2,462	1,611	1,419	5,517	2,845	918	3,372	1,285	1,722	2,901
Weighted total	380,020	168,610	154,758	28,384	19,338	244,134	113,273	22,613	152,290	65,543	69,138	93,049

Table S 164N1 (cont.)

Usefulness of Family Separation Services
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single		Dual Military			Enlisted				Officer				
						No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+
Very useful	53.0% + 2.1	60.0% + 8.9	61.0% + 6.0	67.3% + 6.5	55.7% + 3.4	58.0% + 2.8	58.2% + 4.0	51.7% + 4.0	54.0% + 3.7	58.6% + 4.4	58.8% + 2.5	55.8% + 2.4		
Somewhat useful	36.3 + 2.1	34.6 + 9.3	31.3 + 4.7	24.9 + 4.9	31.4 + 2.9	31.6 + 2.8	32.8 + 3.9	38.1 + 5.3	38.6 + 4.2	31.5 + 3.8	31.2 + 2.2	33.4 + 2.7		
Not useful	10.7 + 1.7	5.4 + 3.3	7.7 + 3.5	7.8 + 3.9	12.9 + 1.9	10.4 + 1.6	9.0 + 2.1	10.2 + 2.6	7.4 + 1.8	9.9 + 2.6	10.0 + 1.4	10.8 + 1.7		
Sample size	2,222	206	403	355	1,065	1,657	566	596	854	874	2,923	2,789		
Weighted total	130,517	8,855	12,411	11,523	44,868	76,287	36,843	9,619	13,330	14,815	107,457	93,206		

Table S 164N2

Have Used Family Separation Services
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	7.5% ± 0.7	5.2% ± 0.8	10.7% ± 1.3	5.2% ± 1.0	6.4% ± 1.4	6.8% ± 0.8	9.1% ± 1.4	7.0% ± 1.8	7.3% ± 1.0	6.7% ± 1.6	8.8% ± 1.8	7.4% ± 1.6
No	92.5 ± 0.7	94.8 ± 0.8	89.3 ± 1.3	94.8 ± 1.0	93.6 ± 1.4	93.2 ± 0.8	90.9 ± 1.4	93.0 ± 1.8	92.7 ± 1.0	93.3 ± 1.6	91.2 ± 1.8	92.6 ± 1.6
Sample size	9,551	3,840	2,506	1,617	1,395	5,667	2,932	952	3,489	1,312	1,814	2,936
Weighted total	395,220	181,023	157,451	28,707	19,081	253,009	117,800	24,411	158,791	66,864	73,657	95,908

Have Used Family Separation Services
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed	Spouse Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
Yes	3.6% + 1.0	8.1% + 4.6	3.1% + 2.1	3.6% + 2.2	10.3% + 2.2	10.9% + 1.7	11.9% + 2.8	7.6% + 3.4	7.1% + 2.1	7.3% + 1.8	10.5% + 1.2	10.6% + 1.6
No	96.4 + 1.0	91.9 + 4.6	96.9 + 2.1	96.4 + 2.2	89.7 + 2.2	89.1 + 1.7	88.1 + 2.8	92.4 + 3.4	92.9 + 2.1	92.7 + 1.8	89.5 + 1.2	89.4 + 1.6
Sample size	2,358	212	423	383	1,105	1,681	580	597	830	870	2,828	2,952
Weighted total	139,937	9,268	13,156	12,566	46,895	75,884	37,469	9,674	12,990	14,862	108,389	94,835

Table S 16401

Usefulness of Information and Referral Services
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	52.5%	48.6%	56.7%	55.6%	52.6%	51.1%	55.6%	52.3%	51.4%	51.4%	53.3%	54.6%
	+ 1.5	+ 2.3	+ 2.4	+ 3.5	+ 3.2	+ 1.8	+ 2.9	+ 3.5	+ 2.6	+ 3.5	+ 2.3	+ 2.0
Somewhat useful	40.1	42.9	36.5	39.6	40.3	41.1	37.8	39.9	41.8	40.8	39.0	37.5
	+ 1.4	+ 2.1	+ 2.2	+ 3.4	+ 3.0	+ 1.8	+ 2.4	+ 3.2	+ 2.6	+ 3.2	+ 2.3	+ 2.0
Not useful	7.4	8.5	6.8	4.8	7.1	7.7	6.6	7.7	6.9	7.8	7.7	7.8
	+ 0.7	+ 1.2	+ 1.0	+ 1.4	+ 1.4	+ 0.8	+ 1.5	+ 1.2	+ 1.1	+ 1.6	+ 1.5	+ 1.1
Sample size	9,376	3,649	2,491	1,619	1,423	5,577	2,874	925	3,402	1,298	1,747	2,929
Weighted total	384,830	171,544	156,215	28,601	19,416	247,316	114,640	22,874	153,775	66,171	70,557	94,327

Table S 16402

Have Used Information and Referral Services
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Services	TDA
Yes	27.8% + 1.6	19.9% + 2.2	33.8% + 1.9	36.0% + 2.5	41.1% + 3.1	26.0% + 1.7	31.9% + 3.4	26.7% + 3.7	24.8% + 2.4	26.6% + 2.6	33.7% + 2.6
No	72.2 + 1.6	80.1 + 2.2	66.2 + 1.9	64.0 + 2.5	58.9 + 3.1	74.0 + 1.7	68.1 + 3.4	73.3 + 3.7	75.2 + 2.4	73.4 + 2.6	66.3 + 2.6
Sample size	9,529	3,838	2,487	1,618	1,393	5,654	2,929	946	3,483	1,311	2,933
Weighted total	394,138	181,521	156,237	28,437	18,988	251,909	118,028	24,202	158,509	67,449	94,985

Table S 16402 (cont.)

Have Used Information and Referral Services
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
Yes	18.4% + 2.2	39.7% + 8.8	33.6% + 5.4	30.5% + 5.3	28.2% + 3.0	32.3% + 2.8	37.1% + 5.3	39.2% + 3.4	42.3% + 4.5	34.1% + 2.3
No	81.6% + 2.2	60.3% + 6.8	66.4% + 5.4	69.5% + 5.3	71.8% + 3.0	67.7% + 2.8	62.9% + 5.3	60.8% + 3.4	57.7% + 4.5	65.9% + 2.3
Sample size	2,361	219	472	381	1,111	1,668	597	835	867	2,935
Weighted total	140,073	9,589	13,037	12,630	47,412	75,164	9,652	13,084	14,767	107,343
										2,822
										94,560

Table S-164P1

Usefulness of Libraries
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	62.1%	59.7%	64.1%	66.1%	61.9%	60.5%	65.3%	63.0%	61.9%	60.9%	61.5%	63.6%
	+ 1.5	+ 2.3	+ 2.1	+ 2.9	+ 4.7	+ 1.8	+ 2.8	+ 3.5	+ 2.2	+ 3.2	+ 2.8	+ 2.5
Somewhat useful	33.8	35.5	32.4	31.0	33.2	35.0	31.4	33.1	34.1	35.5	34.7	31.4
	+ 1.3	+ 2.1	+ 1.9	+ 2.6	+ 2.8	+ 1.6	+ 2.7	+ 3.6	+ 2.0	+ 3.3	+ 2.9	+ 2.1
Not useful	4.1	4.8	3.5	2.9	4.8	4.5	3.3	3.9	4.0	3.7	3.8	4.9
	+ 0.6	+ 1.0	+ 0.8	+ 1.1	+ 2.3	+ 0.9	+ 0.8	+ 1.2	+ 1.1	+ 1.0	+ 1.4	+ 1.2
Sample size	9,799	3,907	2,596	1,661	1,435	5,790	3,032	977	3,573	1,370	1,844	3,012
Weighted total	405,283	184,582	162,468	29,340	19,581	258,623	122,249	24,411	161,972	70,318	75,033	97,962

Table S_164P1 (cont.)
Usefulness of Libraries
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
Very useful	61.5% + 2.8	65.7% + 6.2	65.1% + 5.3	67.6% + 5.8	58.2% + 3.2	62.1% + 2.5	62.2% + 4.1	62.7% + 5.2	60.7% + 4.4	67.3% + 6.3	61.9% + 2.4	62.0% + 2.0
Somewhat useful	34.1 + 2.5	32.1 + 5.9	31.5 + 4.6	30.1 + 5.6	37.1 + 3.3	33.7 + 2.6	34.7 + 4.1	33.9 + 4.3	36.2 + 4.2	29.0 + 4.8	34.2 + 2.3	34.0 + 2.1
Not useful	4.4 + 1.3	2.1 + 1.9	3.3 + 1.9	2.3 + 1.3	4.7 + 1.2	4.2 + 0.9	3.1 + 1.4	3.4 + 2.0	3.0 + 1.7	3.7 + 2.1	3.9 + 0.9	4.0 + 0.7
Sample size	2,410	220	422	384	1,128	1,753	583	606	874	891	3,034	2,912
Weighted total	142,962	9,585	12,969	12,649	47,418	80,157	37,515	9,776	13,615	15,238	111,407	97,785

Table S 1642

Have Used Libraries
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type					
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	64.6% + 1.9 -	57.0% + 2.7 -	70.1% + 2.1 -	72.2% + 3.5 -	75.8% + 5.3 -	60.9% + 2.5 -	71.9% + 2.8 -	67.7% + 7.1 -	62.4% + 2.8 -	62.4% + 4.9 -	65.3% + 2.7 -	69.4% + 4.0 -
No	35.4 + 1.9 -	43.0 + 2.7 -	29.9 + 2.1 -	27.8 + 3.5 -	24.2 + 5.3 -	39.1 + 2.5 -	28.1 + 2.8 -	32.3 + 7.1 -	37.6 + 2.8 -	37.8 + 4.9 -	34.7 + 2.7 -	30.6 + 4.0 -
Sample size	9,612	3,853	2,511	1,636	1,414	5,695	2,957	960	3,503	1,328	1,826	2,955
Weighted total	397,546	182,659	157,663	28,724	19,293	253,699	119,061	24,786	159,375	68,075	74,404	95,693

Table S_164P2 (cont.)

Have Used Libraries
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse															
Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer			
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Yes	58.9% ± 2.9	73.4% ± 6.6	65.0% ± 4.9	66.7% ± 6.4	59.4% ± 3.2	68.1% ± 2.8	72.7% ± 3.4	72.4% ± 4.4	75.7% ± 4.4	78.9% ± 5.1	68.8% ± 2.4	68.9% ± 2.5			
No	41.1 ± 2.9	26.6 ± 6.6	35.0 ± 4.9	33.3 ± 6.4	40.6 ± 3.2	31.9 ± 2.8	27.3 ± 3.4	27.6 ± 4.4	24.3 ± 4.4	21.1 ± 5.1	31.2 ± 2.4	31.1 ± 2.5			
Sample size	2,393	221	418	380	1,116	1,679	571	600	853	873	2,964	2,843			
Weighted total	141,743	9,635	12,948	12,319	47,394	76,134	37,118	9,693	13,347	14,931	108,802	95,312			

Table S.16401
Usefulness of Housing Location Referrals
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location				Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	58.5% + 1.7	52.5% + 2.6	62.7% + 2.4	68.8% + 3.0	68.1% + 3.6	57.0% + 2.2	62.4% + 2.7	55.5% + 5.5	57.4% + 2.4	56.4% + 3.7	57.8% + 3.0	62.4% + 2.5
Somewhat useful	30.1% + 1.6	37.5% + 2.4	30.0% + 2.2	27.7% + 2.6	28.6% + 3.1	35.0% + 2.1	28.7% + 2.2	35.0% + 3.6	34.6% + 2.8	34.4% + 3.3	33.2% + 3.0	29.8% + 2.0
Not useful	8.4% + 0.7	10.0% + 1.3	7.3% + 0.8	5.5% + 1.8	7.3% + 1.7	8.0% + 0.8	8.9% + 1.5	9.5% + 2.4	8.0% + 1.1	9.2% + 1.8	9.0% + 2.1	7.7% + 1.3
Sample size	9,535	3,708	2,550	1,638	1,440	5,647	2,953	935	3,475	1,311	1,780	2,969
Weighted total	389,882	172,347	159,856	28,740	19,638	249,337	117,498	23,046	156,075	66,645	71,073	96,088

Table S. 16401 (cont.)

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted		Officer			Spouse not Employed	Spouse Employed	
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children 0-5	Child 6+	No Children 0-5	Child 6+	Child 6+			
Very useful	53.0% + 2.5	67.9% + 7.2	64.9% + 5.4	65.1% + 5.6	57.2% + 4.3	61.3% + 2.9	58.5% + 4.3	63.9% + 4.9	63.2% + 3.5	64.9% + 3.9	61.1% + 2.9	60.5% + 2.0
Somewhat useful	37.8 + 2.4	28.6 + 7.5	26.9 + 4.3	27.9 + 5.8	33.5 + 4.4	30.4 + 2.8	33.7 + 4.2	29.0 + 4.3	31.3 + 3.4	27.7 + 3.5	31.1 + 2.7	31.3 + 2.1
Not useful	9.3 + 1.5	3.5 + 2.2	8.2 + 3.8	7.0 + 3.6	9.2 + 2.1	8.3 + 1.4	7.8 + 2.3	7.1 + 2.3	5.4 + 1.7	7.4 + 2.0	7.8 + 1.5	8.1 + 1.1
Sample size	2,250	220	417	376	1,102	1,729	577	605	866	885	3,005	2,862
Weighted total	131,709	9,514	12,958	12,115	46,517	79,170	37,303	9,789	13,566	15,027	110,548	95,841

Table S_16402

Have Used Housing Location Referrals
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	41.8% + 2.2	24.0% + 1.8	55.8% + 2.7	59.6% + 2.8	59.2% + 4.6	39.2% + 2.6	49.1% + 4.0	33.9% + 9.3	36.6% + 2.0	38.3% + 4.0	42.7% + 3.6	52.2% + 5.0
No	58.2 + 2.2	76.0 + 1.8	44.2 + 2.7	40.4 + 2.8	40.8 + 4.6	60.8 + 2.6	50.9 + 4.0	66.1 + 9.3	63.4 + 2.0	61.7 + 4.0	57.3 + 3.6	47.8 + 5.0
Sample size	9,582	3,854	2,497	1,629	1,405	5,691	2,937	954	3,500	1,316	1,817	2,949
Weighted total	395,059	181,159	156,869	28,726	19,182	253,114	117,483	24,462	158,714	87,072	73,398	95,875

Table S_18402 (cont.)

Have Used Housing Location Referrals
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse													
Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer					
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Yes	15.5% ± 1.7	63.5% ± 6.5	50.9% ± 6.5	47.9% ± 6.4	48.8% ± 3.8	60.3% ± 3.3	56.8% ± 4.3	63.7% ± 4.9	62.2% ± 4.3	58.2% ± 4.8	57.1% ± 3.0	57.5% ± 3.1	
No	84.5% ± 1.7	36.5% ± 6.5	49.1% ± 6.5	52.1% ± 6.4	51.2% ± 3.8	39.7% ± 3.3	43.2% ± 4.3	36.3% ± 4.9	37.8% ± 4.3	41.8% ± 4.8	42.9% ± 3.0	42.5% ± 3.1	
Sample size	2,353	216	425	379	1,129	1,689	572	603	838	871	2,960	2,857	
Weighted total	139,042	9,285	13,147	12,386	47,832	76,484	37,023	9,679	13,097	14,956	108,401	96,097	

Table S 16411

Usefulness of Legal Services
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	65.1% + 1.6 -	60.5% + 2.4 -	68.2% + 2.3 -	71.4% + 2.8 -	72.6% + 3.1 -	64.5% + 1.9 -	66.5% + 3.3 -	65.0% + 3.6 -	64.9% + 2.7 -	64.1% + 3.3 -	63.1% + 2.6 -	67.9% + 2.3 -
Somewhat useful	29.4 + 1.4 -	32.1 + 2.1 -	27.5 + 2.1 -	26.3 + 2.3 -	24.5 + 2.4 -	30.0 + 1.8 -	28.0 + 2.4 -	29.6 + 2.2 -	29.6 + 2.7 -	30.7 + 2.9 -	30.6 + 2.3 -	27.2 + 1.8 -
Not useful	5.5 + 0.6 -	7.5 + 1.1 -	4.3 + 0.8 -	2.3 + 0.9 -	2.9 + 1.1 -	5.5 + 0.7 -	5.6 + 1.5 -	5.4 + 1.5 -	5.6 + 0.9 -	5.3 + 1.7 -	6.3 + 1.3 -	5.0 + 1.2 -
Sample size	9,732	3,848	2,598	1,651	1,435	5,788	2,987	957	3,539	1,357	1,826	3,010
Weighted total	400,942	180,371	162,842	29,063	19,575	257,580	119,703	23,659	159,945	69,506	73,768	97,722

Table S_164R1 (cont.)

Usefulness of Legal Services
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Very useful	62.0% + 2.7	71.5% + 6.6	70.5% + 6.0	72.9% + 5.4	62.9% + 4.1	65.7% + 3.1	64.6% + 3.5	73.4% + 3.7	68.9% + 3.8	70.9% + 5.2	67.1% + 2.5	65.2% + 2.4		
Somewhat useful	31.3 + 2.1	24.0 + 6.3	25.4 + 5.0	22.7 + 5.1	30.8 + 3.8	28.8 + 3.1	32.0 + 3.4	24.9 + 3.4	29.3 + 3.7	25.5 + 4.4	28.3 + 2.2	30.1 + 2.3		
Not useful	6.7 + 1.4	4.5 + 2.7	4.1 + 2.5	4.4 + 3.1	6.4 + 1.3	5.5 + 1.2	3.4 + 1.6	1.7 + 1.0	1.8 + 1.2	3.6 + 1.5	4.6 + 1.1	4.7 + 0.7		
Sample size	2,366	222	423	381	1,117	1,752	590	606	868	886	3,033	2,892		
Weighted total	139,209	9,738	13,151	12,396	46,784	80,281	38,159	9,763	13,550	15,102	111,711	97,153		

Table S 164R2

Have Used Legal Services
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
Yes	52.6% + 2.2	37.4% + 2.4	65.4% + 2.4	60.8% + 3.3	72.9% + 2.7	51.9% + 2.9	55.0% + 3.8	49.0% + 5.4	46.7% + 2.6	51.6% + 3.7	53.3% + 3.5	62.8% + 3.2
No	47.4 + 2.2	62.6 + 2.4	34.6 + 2.4	39.2 + 3.3	27.1 + 2.7	48.1 + 2.9	45.0 + 3.8	51.0 + 5.4	53.3 + 2.6	48.4 + 3.7	46.7 + 3.5	37.2 + 3.2
Sample size	9,623	3,857	2,510	1,633	1,423	5,698	2,964	961	3,508	1,330	1,826	2,959
Weighted total	396,992	181,954	157,574	28,782	19,394	253,222	119,023	24,746	159,506	67,785	73,908	95,794

Table S 16492 (cont.)
Have Used Legal Services
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse														
Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer					
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
Yes	32.9% + 2.6	78.3% + 5.6	53.5% + 5.2	63.5% + 5.1	54.9% + 4.0	62.8% + 3.2	68.5% + 4.3	73.9% + 4.7	64.8% + 5.1	71.7% + 3.9	64.6% + 2.6	62.7% + 2.3		
No	67.1 + 2.6	21.7 + 5.6	46.5 + 5.2	36.5 + 5.1	45.1 + 4.0	37.2 + 3.2	31.5 + 4.3	26.1 + 4.7	35.2 + 5.1	28.3 + 3.9	35.4 + 2.6	37.3 + 2.3		
Sample size	2,379	219	422	386	1,112	1,690	570	847	606	882	2,975	2,849		
Weighted total	140,879	9,559	13,017	12,474	47,038	76,462	37,025	13,257	9,772	15,084	109,023	95,160		

Table S_164S1
Usefulness of Recreation Services
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	66.4%	63.7%	67.9%	73.2%	69.3%	65.4%	68.1%	68.3%	66.2%	65.0%	63.3%	70.0%
	+ 1.2	+ 2.0	+ 1.9	+ 3.0	+ 3.3	+ 1.5	+ 2.2	+ 3.3	+ 2.1	+ 2.9	+ 2.6	+ 2.3
Somewhat useful	29.5	31.2	28.4	24.4	27.9	30.4	27.7	27.7	30.0	30.5	32.1	25.8
	+ 1.2	+ 2.1	+ 1.8	+ 2.8	+ 2.9	+ 1.6	+ 2.1	+ 3.5	+ 2.4	+ 3.0	+ 2.3	+ 1.8
Not useful	4.2	5.1	3.7	2.4	2.8	4.2	4.2	4.0	3.8	4.5	4.6	4.3
	+ 0.5	+ 0.8	+ 0.8	+ 0.9	+ 0.8	+ 0.7	+ 1.0	+ 0.9	+ 0.7	+ 1.4	+ 1.3	+ 0.9
Sample size	9,842	3,931	2,611	1,661	1,437	5,846	3,022	974	3,597	1,370	1,863	3,012
Weighted total	408,590	186,667	163,720	29,202	19,602	262,157	122,080	24,353	164,168	70,735	75,760	97,977

Table S_164S1 (cont.)

Usefulness of Recreation Services
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Very useful	67.4%	66.2%	69.1%	69.8%	69.8%	63.4%	65.1%	62.7%	69.8%	69.8%	70.1%	65.5%	65.8%
	+ 2.1	+ 6.2	+ 5.7	+ 5.9	+ 5.9	+ 3.2	+ 2.8	+ 3.9	+ 4.4	+ 3.9	+ 5.1	+ 2.5	+ 1.8
Somewhat useful	28.3	31.7	27.2	26.4	26.4	31.7	30.5	33.5	28.4	28.8	26.5	30.7	30.1
	+ 2.2	+ 6.4	+ 4.6	+ 5.5	+ 5.5	+ 3.2	+ 2.5	+ 3.6	+ 4.2	+ 3.8	+ 4.7	+ 2.3	+ 1.8
Not useful	4.4	2.2	3.6	3.9	3.9	4.9	4.4	3.8	1.7	1.4	3.5	3.8	4.1
	+ 0.9	+ 2.3	+ 2.4	+ 2.3	+ 2.3	+ 1.3	+ 1.3	+ 1.6	+ 1.2	+ 0.8	+ 1.6	+ 0.9	+ 0.8
Sample size	2,435	221	429	383	383	1,138	1,750	592	611	871	886	3,054	2,906
Weighted total	144,920	9,626	13,273	12,537	12,537	47,834	80,392	38,270	9,895	13,626	15,085	112,498	98,104

Table S 164S2
Have Used Recreation Services
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	67.0% + 1.8	61.3% + 2.4	70.0% + 2.1	76.8% + 2.1	78.9% + 3.8	65.4% + 2.3	69.4% + 2.7	71.9% + 5.8	65.7% + 2.9	68.6% + 2.7	71.2% + 3.0
No	33.0 + 1.8	38.7 + 2.4	30.0 + 2.1	23.2 + 2.1	21.1 + 3.8	34.6 + 2.3	30.6 + 2.7	28.1 + 5.8	34.3 + 2.9	31.4 + 2.7	28.8 + 3.0
Sample size	9,650	3,875	2,516	1,841	1,420	5,723	2,968	961	1,332	1,837	2,973
Weighted total	398,408	183,112	157,784	28,988	19,351	254,256	119,402	24,749	67,871	74,673	96,396

Table S_164S2 (cont.)
Have Used Recreation Services
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse														
Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed		
No Children	Children		No Children	Children		No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
64.4% + 2.3	72.6% + 7.1		67.0% + 5.0	62.0% + 6.1		63.5% + 3.8	68.3% + 2.6	68.3% + 3.2	76.1% + 4.4	79.8% + 3.7	80.0% + 4.3	69.5% + 2.6	69.7% + 2.7	
35.6 + 2.3	27.4 + 7.1		33.0 + 5.0	38.0 + 6.1		36.5 + 2.8	31.7 + 2.6	31.7 + 3.2	23.9 + 4.4	20.2 + 3.7	20.0 + 4.3	30.5 + 2.6	30.3 + 2.7	
Sample size														
Weighted total														

Table S_164T1

Usefulness of Crisis Hot Line
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type					
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	60.3% + 1.6 -	60.7% + 2.5 -	62.1% + 2.0 -	57.2% + 3.6 -	48.5% + 4.5 -	60.5% + 1.9 -	60.1% + 3.2 -	59.4% + 3.3 -	61.8% + 2.4 -	58.9% + 3.2 -	61.0% + 3.0 -	58.3% + 3.1 -
Somewhat useful	32.0 + 1.5 -	30.5 + 2.3 -	31.7 + 1.7 -	36.5 + 3.4 -	39.1 + 2.8 -	32.1 + 1.8 -	31.6 + 3.0 -	33.2 + 4.4 -	30.8 + 2.4 -	33.1 + 2.9 -	31.3 + 2.3 -	33.7 + 2.6 -
Not useful	7.7 + 0.8 -	8.7 + 1.3 -	6.2 + 1.1 -	6.3 + 1.3 -	12.5 + 2.8 -	7.4 + 0.9 -	8.3 + 1.7 -	7.5 + 2.0 -	7.4 + 1.2 -	7.9 + 1.5 -	7.7 + 1.6 -	8.1 + 1.5 -
Sample size	9,311	3,632	2,453	1,615	1,419	5,543	2,847	921	3,369	1,293	1,735	2,914
Weighted total	382,315	171,518	154,073	28,446	19,343	245,516	114,051	22,747	152,062	66,070	70,224	93,959

Table S.164T1 (cont.)

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Very useful	61.5% ± 2.3	68.9% ± 7.2	66.4% ± 5.6	64.7% ± 6.5	59.1% ± 3.4	59.3% ± 2.9	58.9% ± 4.1	51.7% ± 4.4	54.5% ± 4.1	51.5% ± 6.3	58.4% ± 2.8	57.5% ± 2.8		
Somewhat useful	30.0 ± 2.4	25.7 ± 6.6	28.2 ± 4.7	27.9 ± 5.9	33.1 ± 3.1	33.4 ± 2.8	34.4 ± 4.6	39.2 ± 3.8	37.0 ± 3.4	38.2 ± 4.6	34.2 ± 2.4	34.9 ± 2.7		
Not useful	8.1 ± 1.4	5.4 ± 3.0	5.4 ± 2.6	7.4 ± 2.5	7.8 ± 1.9	7.3 ± 1.3	6.6 ± 2.3	9.1 ± 2.7	8.5 ± 2.0	10.3 ± 2.7	7.5 ± 1.6	7.6 ± 1.0		
Sample size	2,269	208	402	365	1,067	1,637	560	597	854	871	2,898	2,793		
Weighted total	133,638	9,041	12,430	11,713	44,955	75,279	36,381	9,637	13,351	14,759	106,467	92,903		

Table S 16412
Have Used Crisis Hot Line
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type		
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
Yes	2.9% + 0.5	2.7% + 0.5	3.5% + 0.7	2.3% + 0.9	0.6% + 0.3	2.9% + 0.6	2.9% + 0.7	2.6% + 1.6	2.9% + 0.9	3.5% + 1.1
No	97.1 + 0.5	97.3 + 0.5	96.5 + 0.7	97.7 + 0.9	99.4 + 0.3	97.1 + 0.6	97.1 + 0.7	97.4 + 1.6	97.3 + 0.9	96.5 + 1.1
Sample size	9,824	3,961	2,580	1,659	1,424	5,831	3,014	979	1,367	1,870
Weighted total	407,475	187,217	162,323	29,198	19,438	261,001	121,342	25,132	70,008	76,067
										98,583

Table S_16AT2 (cont.)
Have Used Crisis Hot Line
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse													
Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer					
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
Yes	2.4% + 0.8	6.1% + 4.0	1.4% + 1.5	1.7% + 1.8	2.4% + 0.9	3.4% + 1.0	5.3% + 1.8	1.4% + 1.2	2.0% + 1.5	1.2% + 0.8	3.3% + 0.9	3.2% + 0.7	
No	97.6 + 0.8	93.9 + 4.0	98.6 + 1.5	98.3 + 1.8	97.6 + 0.9	96.6 + 1.0	94.7 + 1.8	98.6 + 1.2	98.0 + 1.5	98.8 + 0.8	96.7 + 0.9	96.8 + 0.7	
Sample size	2,446	219	433	389	1,141	1,736	583	610	854	884	3,028	2,897	
Weighted total	144,861	9,518	13,342	12,782	48,632	78,672	37,755	9,833	13,323	15,197	111,510	97,393	

Table S 164U1

Usefulness of Emergency Food
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
Very useful	62.7% ± 1.9	63.2% ± 2.4	65.6% ± 2.8	58.7% ± 3.5	47.0% ± 5.4	63.5% ± 2.4	61.5% ± 3.8	60.4% ± 1.5	64.5% ± 3.1	63.4% ± 3.4	61.7% ± 2.7
Somewhat useful	29.7% ± 1.6	28.4% ± 2.2	28.5% ± 2.3	34.7% ± 3.0	37.6% ± 3.2	29.3% ± 2.0	30.5% ± 3.3	30.1% ± 2.9	28.7% ± 2.9	29.5% ± 2.8	30.0% ± 2.7
Not useful	7.6% ± 0.9	8.3% ± 1.3	5.9% ± 1.1	6.6% ± 1.5	15.4% ± 3.8	7.2% ± 1.2	7.9% ± 1.4	9.5% ± 3.1	6.8% ± 1.2	7.2% ± 1.5	8.3% ± 2.2
Sample size	9,307	3,634	2,451	1,613	1,417	5,546	2,838	923	3,381	1,284	1,732
Weighted total	381,461	171,085	153,715	28,416	19,309	245,255	113,380	22,826	152,265	65,504	69,936

Table S. 164U1 (cont.)

Usefulness of Emergency Food
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse									
		Single				Dual Military				Enlisted	
		No Children		Children		No Children		Children		No Children	
		Child		Child		Child		Child		Child	
		6+		6+		0-5		0-5		6+	
		Officer		Officer		Officer		Officer		Officer	
		Child		Child		Child		Child		Child	
		6+		6+		0-5		0-5		6+	
		Spouse not Employed		Spouse not Employed		Spouse not Employed		Spouse not Employed		Spouse not Employed	
		Employed		Employed		Employed		Employed		Employed	
		62.1%		62.1%		62.1%		62.1%		62.1%	
		+ 2.8		+ 2.8		+ 2.8		+ 2.8		+ 2.8	
		62.4%		62.4%		62.4%		62.4%		62.4%	
		+ 3.3		+ 3.3		+ 3.3		+ 3.3		+ 3.3	
		50.7%		50.7%		50.7%		50.7%		50.7%	
		+ 7.3		+ 7.3		+ 7.3		+ 7.3		+ 7.3	
		54.9%		54.9%		54.9%		54.9%		54.9%	
		+ 5.3		+ 5.3		+ 5.3		+ 5.3		+ 5.3	
		37.7		37.7		37.7		37.7		37.7	
		+ 4.8		+ 4.8		+ 4.8		+ 4.8		+ 4.8	
		30.4		30.4		30.4		30.4		30.4	
		+ 2.7		+ 2.7		+ 2.7		+ 2.7		+ 2.7	
		11.6		11.6		11.6		11.6		11.6	
		+ 3.4		+ 3.4		+ 3.4		+ 3.4		+ 3.4	
		7.2		7.2		7.2		7.2		7.2	
		+ 1.5		+ 1.5		+ 1.5		+ 1.5		+ 1.5	
		2,263		2,263		2,263		2,263		2,263	
		132,924		132,924		132,924		132,924		132,924	
		9,154		9,154		9,154		9,154		9,154	
		12,355		12,355		12,355		12,355		12,355	
		11,635		11,635		11,635		11,635		11,635	
		44,783		44,783		44,783		44,783		44,783	
		75,636		75,636		75,636		75,636		75,636	
		36,227		36,227		36,227		36,227		36,227	
		9,586		9,586		9,586		9,586		9,586	
		13,299		13,299		13,299		13,299		13,299	
		14,765		14,765		14,765		14,765		14,765	
		106,383		106,383		106,383		106,383		106,383	
		2,894		2,894		2,894		2,894		2,894	
		2,799		2,799		2,799		2,799		2,799	
		93,029		93,029		93,029		93,029		93,029	

Table S 16402
Have Used Emergency Food
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	4.2% + 0.5	4.9% + 0.7	4.7% + 0.8	1.2% + 0.6	0.3% + 0.3	4.6% + 0.6	3.1% + 0.9	5.0% + 1.2	4.3% + 0.7	3.7% + 1.3	4.7% + 1.2	4.1% + 0.9
No	95.8 + 0.5	95.1 + 0.7	95.3 + 0.8	98.8 + 0.6	99.7 + 0.3	95.4 + 0.6	96.9 + 0.9	95.0 + 1.2	95.7 + 0.7	96.3 + 1.3	95.3 + 1.2	95.9 + 0.9
Sample size	9,829	3,967	2,583	1,659	1,420	5,828	3,020	981	3,577	1,369	1,872	3,011
Weighted total	407,633	187,173	162,579	29,200	19,380	260,909	121,553	25,171	162,929	70,165	75,946	98,593

Table S 164U2 (cont.)

Have Used Emergency Food
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse													
Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Yes	2.3% ± 0.6	7.9% ± 4.1	1.1% ± 1.1	3.0% ± 2.2	3.9% ± 1.2	8.1% ± 1.6	6.7% ± 1.8	1.0% ± 0.8	0.4% ± 0.4	0.8% ± 0.6	4.7% ± 0.9	6.4% ± 1.3	
No	97.7 ± 0.6	92.1 ± 4.1	98.9 ± 1.1	97.0 ± 2.2	96.1 ± 1.2	91.9 ± 1.6	93.3 ± 1.8	99.0 ± 0.8	99.6 ± 0.4	99.2 ± 0.6	95.3 ± 0.9	93.6 ± 1.3	
Sample size	2,441	218	433	388	1,146	1,743	585	607	853	884	3,032	2,903	
Weighted total	144,448	9,495	13,352	12,735	48,747	79,024	37,969	9,794	13,308	15,191	111,871	97,643	

Table S_164VI

Usefulness of Emergency Home Furnishings
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	58.1% ± 1.6	58.0% ± 2.3	61.1% ± 2.1	53.5% ± 3.5	45.4% ± 5.6	57.1% ± 1.9	60.3% ± 3.3	59.2% ± 3.8	59.9% ± 2.8	58.5% ± 3.1	58.0% ± 2.7
Somewhat useful	33.8 ± 1.6	33.2 ± 2.3	32.1 ± 2.0	39.6 ± 3.0	41.5 ± 3.3	34.8 ± 2.0	32.5 ± 3.1	31.9 ± 2.4	32.3 ± 2.9	33.5 ± 2.7	35.7 ± 2.0
Not useful	8.0 ± 0.8	8.8 ± 1.2	6.7 ± 1.1	7.0 ± 1.5	13.1 ± 3.6	8.4 ± 1.0	7.2 ± 1.2	8.8 ± 3.9	7.7 ± 1.1	8.0 ± 1.6	8.4 ± 1.7
Sample size	9,313	3,622	2,466	1,615	1,418	5,534	2,853	926	3,366	1,291	1,739
Weighted total	381,778	170,383	154,678	28,460	19,320	244,791	113,958	23,029	151,725	65,833	70,291
											93,928

Table S_164V1 (cont.)
Usefulness of Emergency Home Furnishings
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
Very useful	56.8% ± 2.6	66.1% ± 6.9	65.0% ± 6.7	61.7% ± 4.6	58.6% ± 3.6	61.1% ± 2.6	57.4% ± 4.9	46.7% ± 4.9	51.7% ± 4.4	50.6% ± 7.9	57.3% ± 2.6	58.4% ± 2.5
Somewhat useful	34.3 ± 2.6	29.1 ± 6.3	28.6 ± 5.8	30.5 ± 5.4	32.9 ± 3.7	32.0 ± 2.6	36.4 ± 5.2	43.5 ± 4.4	40.0 ± 4.5	38.5 ± 5.8	34.6 ± 2.4	34.7 ± 2.4
Not useful	9.0 ± 1.2	4.9 ± 3.1	6.5 ± 3.3	7.8 ± 3.1	8.5 ± 2.4	6.9 ± 1.2	6.1 ± 2.2	9.8 ± 2.9	8.3 ± 2.5	10.9 ± 3.4	8.1 ± 1.5	6.8 ± 1.1
Sample size	2,248	212	402	368	1,069	1,655	559	596	853	873	2,909	2,803
Weighted total	132,120	9,199	12,476	11,910	45,069	76,164	36,145	9,615	13,316	14,789	106,878	93,318

Table S_164V2
Have Used Emergency Home Furnishings
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	7.1% + 1.1 -	6.0% + 1.2 -	9.4% + 1.7 -	4.0% + 1.1 -	4.8% + 2.1 -	4.6% + 1.1 -	11.4% + 2.2 -	12.1% + 4.7 -	6.5% + 1.4 -	6.7% + 1.8 -	8.0% + 1.6 -	7.6% + 2.2 -
No	92.9 + 1.1 -	94.0 + 1.2 -	90.6 + 1.7 -	96.0 + 1.1 -	95.2 + 2.1 -	95.4 + 1.1 -	88.6 + 2.2 -	87.9 + 4.7 -	93.5 + 1.4 -	93.3 + 1.8 -	92.0 + 1.6 -	92.4 + 2.2 -
Sample size	9,811	3,960	2,575	1,656	1,420	5,823	3,007	981	3,573	1,366	1,870	3,002
Weighted total	406,990	187,069	162,100	29,152	19,367	260,807	121,047	25,136	162,952	70,059	75,895	98,085

Table S_164V2 (cont.)

Have Used Emergency Home Furnishings
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse														
Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer					
No Children	Children		No Children	Children		No Children	Children		No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Yes	2.5% ± 0.7	16.3% ± 5.3	6.8% ± 4.3	6.4% ± 3.3	8.0% ± 1.8	12.1% ± 2.6	9.8% ± 2.5	5.7% ± 2.3	5.9% ± 2.4	4.2% ± 1.8	9.1% ± 1.8	10.2% ± 2.0		
No	97.5% ± 0.7	83.7% ± 5.3	93.2% ± 4.3	93.6% ± 3.3	92.0% ± 1.8	87.9% ± 2.6	90.2% ± 2.5	94.3% ± 2.3	94.1% ± 2.4	95.8% ± 1.8	90.9% ± 1.8	89.8% ± 2.0		
Sample size	2,444	218	433	387	1,146	1,734	580	606	851	885	3,020	2,900		
Weighted total	144,602	9,495	13,397	12,682	48,802	78,614	37,744	9,780	13,285	15,195	111,305	97,652		

Table S 164W1

Usefulness of Emergency Long Distance Phone Calls
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	59.0% + 1.3	61.6% + 2.0	59.3% + 1.9	54.9% + 3.6	44.0% + 3.9	56.5% + 1.6	63.7% + 2.7	62.6% + 6.5	59.7% + 2.5	61.5% + 3.3	60.8% + 2.7	54.9% + 2.7
Somewhat useful	32.1 + 1.2	29.2 + 1.8	32.8 + 1.8	37.1 + 2.8	41.2 + 2.3	34.0 + 1.5	28.6 + 2.7	28.7 + 5.7	31.6 + 2.4	30.4 + 2.9	30.5 + 2.6	35.1 + 2.1
Not useful	8.9 + 0.7	9.2 + 1.2	8.0 + 1.1	8.0 + 1.7	14.8 + 3.1	9.5 + 1.0	7.7 + 0.9	8.7 + 1.7	8.7 + 1.2	8.1 + 1.7	8.6 + 1.6	10.0 + 1.7
Sample size	9,310	3,643	2,451	1,612	1,413	5,538	2,847	925	3,363	1,295	1,739	2,913
Weighted total	382,873	172,441	153,903	28,364	19,259	245,698	114,227	22,949	152,250	66,299	70,437	93,887

Table S 164W1 (cont.)

Usefulness of Emergency Long Distance Phone Calls
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
Very useful	62.1% + 2.1	59.2% + 6.8	61.1% + 5.7	62.5% + 5.1	57.8% + 3.5	59.7% + 2.9	56.6% + 4.1	47.4% + 5.1	49.8% + 3.3	56.5% + 2.6
Somewhat useful	29.7% + 1.8	34.0% + 6.7	31.8% + 5.4	29.2% + 6.6	33.2% + 3.3	30.9% + 2.7	34.8% + 4.3	40.4% + 4.7	40.2% + 3.5	33.5% + 2.4
Not useful	8.2% + 1.2	6.8% + 3.4	7.2% + 3.2	8.3% + 3.3	9.1% + 2.5	9.4% + 1.6	8.6% + 2.6	12.1% + 2.7	10.0% + 2.5	10.0% + 1.5
Sample size	2,278	210	402	367	1,064	1,640	555	595	851	2,890
Weighted total	134,371	9,186	12,418	11,791	44,864	75,543	36,019	9,595	13,287	106,064
										2,792
										93,128

Table S_164W2

Have Used Emergency Long Distance Phone Calls
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	5.4% + 0.6	6.1% + 1.0	5.9% + 1.0	2.1% + 0.7	1.7% + 0.6	4.9% + 0.6	6.3% + 1.4	7.1% + 3.2	5.5% + 0.8	5.4% + 1.2	6.6% + 1.2	4.4% + 1.1
No	94.6 + 0.6	93.9 + 1.0	94.1 + 1.0	97.9 + 0.7	98.3 + 0.6	95.1 + 0.6	93.7 + 1.4	92.9 + 3.2	94.5 + 0.8	94.6 + 1.2	93.4 + 1.2	95.6 + 1.1
Sample size	9,828	3,969	2,584	1,656	1,420	5,829	3,019	980	3,571	1,374	1,873	3,010
Weighted total	408,184	187,858	162,547	29,130	19,377	281,106	121,945	25,133	163,172	70,395	76,257	98,359

Table S.16/W2 (cont.)

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Yes	6.0% ± 1.1	7.7% ± 3.5	3.2% ± 2.6	6.5% ± 3.2	5.1% ± 1.6	5.8% ± 1.4	5.2% ± 2.3	1.3% ± 0.7	2.2% ± 1.3	2.2% ± 1.3	4.8% ± 1.0	5.1% ± 0.9		
No	94.0 ± 1.1	92.3 ± 3.5	96.8 ± 2.6	93.5 ± 3.2	94.9 ± 1.6	94.2 ± 1.4	94.8 ± 2.3	98.7 ± 0.7	97.8 ± 1.3	97.8 ± 1.3	95.2 ± 1.0	94.9 ± 0.9		
Sample size	2,449	221	433	385	1,145	1,739	584	608	852	884	3,028	2,901		
Weighted total	145,234	9,594	13,352	12,598	48,765	78,969	37,982	9,789	13,292	15,203	111,786	97,650		

Table S_164X1

Usefulness of Drug and Alcohol Treatment
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCCMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
Very useful	62.6% + 1.2 -	61.0% + 2.1 -	65.3% + 2.2 -	63.7% + 3.0 -	58.1% + 4.1 -	62.6% + 1.4 -	62.5% + 2.7 -	63.0% + 3.9 -	62.0% + 2.0 -	63.2% + 2.7 -	62.7% + 2.6 -
Somewhat useful	29.4 + 1.1 -	29.7 + 1.8 -	28.0 + 2.1 -	30.6 + 2.9 -	32.2 + 3.3 -	29.3 + 1.2 -	29.8 + 2.5 -	28.8 + 3.3 -	29.8 + 1.8 -	28.4 + 2.4 -	30.3 + 2.5 -
Not useful	8.0 + 0.7 -	9.4 + 1.3 -	6.8 + 0.9 -	5.7 + 1.0 -	9.7 + 2.2 -	8.1 + 1.0 -	7.7 + 1.3 -	8.2 + 0.7 -	8.2 + 1.0 -	8.4 + 1.5 -	7.0 + 0.9 -
Sample size	9,358	3,675	2,467	1,611	1,411	5,566	2,867	925	3,393	1,295	1,749
Weighted total	386,223	174,700	154,936	28,388	19,171	248,130	115,104	22,988	154,050	68,353	71,254
											94,566

Table S_164X1 (cont.)

Usefulness of Drug and Alcohol Treatment
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Enlisted	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Officer
Very useful	62.7% ± 2.1	70.7% ± 7.2	68.7% ± 6.1	70.0% ± 4.9	59.3% ± 3.4	63.0% ± 2.6	59.3% ± 4.7	58.0% ± 5.6	61.3% ± 2.6	61.7% ± 1.8
Somewhat useful	29.0% ± 1.8	20.1% ± 5.5	24.1% ± 5.5	24.0% ± 4.3	32.3% ± 3.3	28.7% ± 2.5	32.8% ± 3.9	33.0% ± 4.2	31.0% ± 2.4	30.7% ± 1.9
Not useful	8.3% ± 1.5	9.2% ± 4.7	7.3% ± 3.2	6.0% ± 2.5	8.4% ± 2.4	8.3% ± 1.4	7.9% ± 2.3	9.0% ± 2.4	7.7% ± 1.2	7.5% ± 1.2
Sample size	2,315	212	405	365	1,064	1,643	849	871	2,890	2,793
Weighted total	136,946	9,277	12,553	11,666	44,752	75,652	13,261	14,793	106,049	93,319

Table S_164X2
Have Used Drug and Alcohol Treatment
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	7.5% ± 0.9	9.6% ± 1.4	7.1% ± 1.1	2.1% ± 0.9	1.6% ± 0.8	6.5% ± 0.9	10.1% ± 2.1	5.8% ± 2.1	7.9% ± 1.5	9.1% ± 2.2	9.2% ± 2.1	4.5% ± 1.1
No	92.5 ± 0.9	90.4 ± 1.4	92.9 ± 1.1	97.9 ± 0.9	98.4 ± 0.8	93.5 ± 0.9	89.9 ± 2.1	94.2 ± 2.1	92.1 ± 1.5	90.9 ± 2.2	90.8 ± 2.1	95.5 ± 1.1
Sample size	9,800	3,960	2,582	1,645	1,414	5,811	3,017	972	3,568	1,368	1,865	2,999
Weighted total	406,922	187,202	162,241	28,954	19,283	260,070	121,891	24,960	162,808	70,096	75,946	98,071

Table S_164X2 (cont.)

Have Used Drug and Alcohol Treatment
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse															
Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer							
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Yes	9.3% + 1.4	7.0% + 4.2	6.3% + 3.2	5.5% + 2.8	6.9% + 1.6	6.9% + 1.3	9.1% + 2.7	1.7% + 1.4	1.6% + 1.0	1.8% + 1.4	5.9% + 1.5	5.9% + 1.5	7.0% + 1.3		
No	90.7 + 1.4	93.0 + 4.2	93.7 + 3.2	94.5 + 2.8	93.1 + 1.6	93.1 + 1.3	90.9 + 2.7	98.3 + 1.4	98.4 + 1.0	98.2 + 1.4	94.1 + 1.5	94.1 + 1.5	93.0 + 1.3		
Sample size	2,440	220	432	383	1,139	1,736	586	607	848	885	3,021	3,021	2,892		
Weighted total	144,744	9,605	13,360	12,586	48,538	78,796	37,972	9,792	13,235	15,157	111,426	111,426	97,329		

Table S_164Y1

Usefulness of Individual Counseling
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	56.2%	53.5%	60.0%	58.5%	53.4%	58.1%	58.4%	55.4%	54.9%	55.4%	57.0%	58.1%
	+ 1.3	+ 2.4	+ 1.8	+ 2.5	+ 4.4	+ 1.6	+ 2.5	+ 3.6	+ 2.1	+ 3.0	+ 2.5	+ 3.0
Somewhat useful	36.0	37.3	33.3	37.9	37.9	36.0	35.9	36.3	37.0	36.2	35.5	34.6
	+ 1.1	+ 2.0	+ 1.7	+ 2.4	+ 4.4	+ 1.4	+ 2.0	+ 3.5	+ 1.7	+ 2.6	+ 2.4	+ 2.9
Not useful	7.8	9.2	6.7	5.6	8.7	7.9	7.6	8.3	8.1	8.4	7.4	7.3
	+ 0.7	+ 1.3	+ 0.9	+ 1.1	+ 2.0	+ 0.9	+ 1.2	+ 0.5	+ 1.1	+ 1.6	+ 1.5	+ 0.9
Sample size	9,342	3,662	2,462	1,612	1,414	5,567	2,854	921	3,382	1,291	1,754	2,915
Weighted total	385,025	173,972	154,488	28,411	19,214	247,568	114,596	22,861	153,114	68,128	71,640	94,144

Table S_164Y1 (cont.)

Usefulness of Individual Counseling
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Very useful	55.4%	61.3%	63.0%	65.8%	53.5%	56.2%	57.1%	53.3%	50.6%	53.2%	55.8%	54.7%	
	+ 2.7	+ 7.2	+ 6.2	+ 5.3	+ 4.0	+ 2.7	+ 3.9	+ 4.2	+ 5.4	+ 4.8	+ 2.6	+ 1.9	
Somewhat useful	36.7	31.6	30.8	29.6	36.8	35.5	36.4	39.6	42.8	38.8	36.2	37.6	
	+ 2.5	+ 6.0	+ 5.6	+ 4.7	+ 3.9	+ 2.6	+ 4.4	+ 3.8	+ 4.9	+ 3.8	+ 2.4	+ 2.0	
Not useful	7.9	7.1	6.1	4.6	9.6	8.3	6.5	7.1	6.5	8.0	8.0	7.7	
	+ 1.4	+ 4.4	+ 3.0	+ 2.3	+ 2.0	+ 1.5	+ 2.4	+ 2.0	+ 2.3	+ 2.2	+ 1.2	+ 1.2	
Sample size	2,305	215	410	363	1,058	1,643	558		591	873	2,888	2,787	
Weighted total	136,276	9,397	12,760	11,685	44,516	75,643	36,179	13,284	9,491	14,773	105,891	92,852	

Table S 164Y2

Have Used Individual Counseling
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	WCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	12.0% + 1.0 -	12.5% + 1.4 -	13.8% + 1.4 -	5.3% + 0.9 -	5.4% + 1.5 -	11.1% + 1.1 -	14.3% + 2.2 -	10.7% + 2.1 -	11.6% + 1.5 -	12.0% + 2.5 -	12.9% + 2.3 -	12.1% + 1.8 -
No	88.0 + 1.0 -	87.5 + 1.4 -	86.2 + 1.4 -	94.7 + 0.9 -	94.6 + 1.5 -	88.9 + 1.1 -	85.7 + 2.2 -	89.3 + 2.1 -	88.4 + 1.5 -	88.0 + 2.5 -	87.1 + 2.3 -	87.9 + 1.8 -
Sample size	9,769	3,941	2,570	1,645	1,414	5,791	3,009	969	3,548	1,362	1,860	2,999
Weighted total	405,223	186,109	161,606	28,978	19,291	259,023	121,387	24,813	161,645	69,742	75,568	98,268

Table S_164Y2 (cont.)

Have Used Individual Counseling
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse														
Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer					
No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
Yes	12.0% ± 1.5	20.8% ± 6.4	12.5% ± 4.9	13.6% ± 4.1	10.9% ± 1.7	12.4% ± 2.0	14.6% ± 2.9	3.1% ± 1.9	4.1% ± 1.3	6.9% ± 2.1	11.5% ± 1.5	11.1% ± 1.8		
No	88.0 ± 1.5	79.2 ± 6.4	87.5 ± 4.9	86.4 ± 4.1	89.1 ± 1.7	87.6 ± 2.0	85.4 ± 2.9	96.9 ± 1.9	95.9 ± 1.3	93.1 ± 2.1	88.5 ± 1.5	88.9 ± 1.8		
Sample size	2,426	218	429	382	1,133	1,736	584	607	847	885	3,016	2,888		
Weighted total	143,749	9,492	13,236	12,532	48,190	78,853	37,850	9,792	13,223	15,157	111,276	97,053		

Table S_164Z1
Usefulness of Marriage and Family Therapy
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	56.2% + 1.2	53.5% + 2.1	59.3% + 1.8	59.0% + 3.0	54.7% + 3.7	56.4% + 1.4	56.3% + 2.3	53.3% + 4.5	55.7% + 2.0	55.5% + 3.0	56.2% + 2.9	57.4% + 2.4
Somewhat useful	35.1 + 1.0	36.0 + 1.7	33.3 + 1.6	35.5 + 3.0	36.8 + 3.4	34.7 + 1.1	35.3 + 1.8	38.2 + 4.3	35.3 + 1.7	35.8 + 2.6	34.7 + 2.6	34.5 + 2.5
Not useful	8.7 + 0.8	10.5 + 1.5	7.4 + 0.9	5.5 + 1.1	8.5 + 1.8	8.9 + 1.0	8.4 + 1.2	8.5 + 1.9	9.0 + 1.0	8.7 + 1.7	9.1 + 1.7	8.2 + 1.2
Sample size	9,240	3,580	2,445	1,611	1,413	5,512	2,808	920	3,336	1,277	1,724	2,903
Weighted total	377,883	167,833	153,574	28,352	19,186	243,615	111,442	22,825	149,872	64,885	69,579	93,546

Table S 164Z1 (cont.)

Usefulness of Marriage and Family Therapy
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse													
Single				Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
54.1% + 2.3	59.1% + 6.2	64.1% + 5.9	63.6% + 6.3	53.5% + 3.6	58.0% + 2.2	57.8% + 3.1	53.4% + 6.0	53.6% + 5.1	54.6% + 5.3	57.1% + 2.7	55.5% + 2.0		
36.2 + 2.1	32.9 + 5.9	27.5 + 5.5	31.5 + 6.2	37.4 + 3.1	32.8 + 2.0	36.2 + 3.6	39.6 + 5.0	38.1 + 5.0	37.2 + 4.4	34.6 + 2.1	36.6 + 2.0		
9.7 + 1.7	8.0 + 4.3	8.5 + 3.4	4.9 + 2.4	9.1 + 2.0	9.2 + 1.4	6.0 + 2.0	7.1 + 2.5	8.2 + 2.3	8.2 + 2.2	8.3 + 1.3	7.9 + 1.2		
2,224	212	406	359	1,059	1,629	560	593	847	876	2,884	2,783		
130,168	9,212	12,558	11,458	44,505	75,055	36,374	9,519	13,217	14,835	105,742	92,665		

Table S_16472
Have Used Marriage and Family Therapy
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	7.6% + 0.7	5.3% + 0.6	10.6% + 1.4	5.9% + 1.3	6.7% + 2.1	7.6% + 0.9	7.8% + 1.3	6.2% + 1.2	6.7% + 0.9	8.2% + 1.5	9.2% + 1.2
No	92.4 + 0.7	94.7 + 0.6	89.4 + 1.4	94.1 + 1.3	93.3 + 2.1	92.4 + 0.9	92.2 + 1.3	93.8 + 1.2	93.3 + 0.9	91.8 + 1.5	90.8 + 1.2
Sample size	9,736	3,910	2,571	1,645	1,412	5,774	2,997	965	3,538	1,356	2,988
Weighted total	402,908	183,660	161,803	29,000	19,262	257,770	120,440	24,698	160,922	69,240	97,751

Table S_164Z2 (cont.)

Have Used Marriage and Family Therapy
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse													
Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer					
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
Yes	3.6% ± 0.7	14.0% ± 4.9	6.6% ± 2.7	14.8% ± 3.7	7.6% ± 1.5	9.5% ± 1.7	12.4% ± 2.5	4.8% ± 2.8	5.6% ± 1.7	6.3% ± 1.7	9.5% ± 1.4	8.7% ± 1.3	
No	96.4 ± 0.7	86.0 ± 4.9	93.4 ± 2.7	85.2 ± 3.7	92.4 ± 1.5	90.5 ± 1.7	87.6 ± 2.5	95.2 ± 2.8	94.4 ± 1.7	93.7 ± 1.7	90.5 ± 1.4	91.3 ± 1.3	
Sample size	2,406	218	427	379	1,131	1,736	583	607	847	880	3,010	2,888	
Weighted total	141,751	9,506	13,214	12,552	48,112	78,833	37,824	9,792	13,233	15,062	111,103	97,094	

Table S_164AA1

Usefulness of Services for Handicapped Family Members
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	65.3%	62.4%	69.9%	62.5%	60.8%	65.6%	65.4%	62.0%	64.9%	65.2%	66.9%
	+ 1.3	+ 2.4	+ 1.8	+ 3.4	+ 4.1	+ 1.6	+ 2.9	+ 2.3	+ 2.3	+ 3.1	+ 2.8
Somewhat useful	27.4	28.8	24.1	32.3	31.1	27.6	26.8	29.2	27.8	27.2	26.6
	+ 1.1	+ 2.1	+ 1.6	+ 3.2	+ 3.3	+ 1.2	+ 2.8	+ 2.3	+ 2.1	+ 2.9	+ 2.5
Not useful	7.3	8.8	6.0	5.2	8.0	6.9	7.8	8.8	7.4	7.6	6.5
	+ 0.8	+ 1.4	+ 0.8	+ 1.1	+ 2.1	+ 0.9	+ 1.7	+ 1.6	+ 1.3	+ 1.4	+ 1.2
Sample size	9,159	3,541	2,421	1,601	1,408	5,465	2,786	908	3,304	1,263	2,889
Weighted total	374,708	166,562	151,994	28,237	19,112	241,515	110,792	22,401	148,621	64,384	68,759
											92,944

Table S 164AA1 (cont.)

Usefulness of Services for Handicapped Family Members
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Very useful	62.4%	68.9%	70.0%	76.1%	64.6%	67.8%	68.9%	57.7%	61.5%	65.2%	66.6%	66.1%	
	+ 2.4	+ 6.6	+ 6.1	+ 5.0	+ 3.9	+ 2.8	+ 3.2	+ 4.5	+ 4.3	+ 6.0	+ 2.6	+ 2.2	
Somewhat useful	29.7	25.4	23.4	18.9	27.0	25.5	25.4	36.7	32.5	28.0	26.4	27.5	
	+ 2.2	+ 6.7	+ 5.4	+ 4.6	+ 3.6	+ 2.3	+ 3.5	+ 4.4	+ 3.9	+ 5.2	+ 2.1	+ 2.0	
Not useful	8.0	5.8	6.6	5.0	8.4	6.7	5.7	5.6	6.0	6.8	7.0	6.4	
	+ 1.5	+ 3.6	+ 3.2	+ 2.2	+ 1.8	+ 1.2	+ 2.0	+ 1.7	+ 2.0	+ 2.2	+ 1.2	+ 1.0	
Sample size	2,213	208	397	358	1,043	1,616	554	587	847	870	2,851	2,767	
Weighted total	129,681	9,033	12,229	11,497	44,020	74,417	35,964	9,383	13,213	14,763	104,522	92,115	

Table S 164A2
Have Used Services for Handicapped Family Members
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	2.7% + 0.4	1.5% + 0.4	4.1% + 0.8	2.2% + 0.7	2.6% + 1.2	2.7% + 0.5	2.7% + 0.8	1.5% + 0.9	2.2% + 0.6	1.6% + 0.6	2.9% + 1.0	4.0% + 0.8
No	97.3 + 0.4	98.5 + 0.4	95.9 + 0.8	97.8 + 0.7	97.4 + 1.2	97.3 + 0.5	97.3 + 0.8	98.5 + 0.9	97.8 + 0.6	98.4 + 0.6	97.1 + 1.0	96.0 + 0.8
Sample size	9,747	3,925	2,565	1,647	1,412	5,781	2,993	973	3,558	1,351	1,854	2,984
Weighted total	403,391	184,521	161,369	28,994	19,297	258,232	120,248	24,911	161,534	69,071	75,206	97,580

Table S 164AA2 (cont.)

Have Used Services for Handicapped Family Members
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse														
Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer						
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
0.9% ± 0.4	1.9% ± 2.6	1.4% ± 1.3	3.0% ± 1.8	2.3% ± 1.0	3.4% ± 1.1	8.6% ± 2.3	1.0% ± 1.1	2.8% ± 1.1	3.7% ± 1.6	3.8% ± 1.0	4.1% ± 1.1			
99.1 ± 0.4	98.1 ± 2.6	98.6 ± 1.3	97.0 ± 1.8	97.7 ± 1.0	96.6 ± 1.1	91.4 ± 2.3	99.0 ± 1.1	97.2 ± 1.1	96.3 ± 1.6	96.2 ± 1.0	95.9 ± 1.1			
Sample size		2,411	216	433	381	1,133	1,731	586	850	881	3,012	2,886		
Weighted total		142,179	9,440	13,433	12,625	48,202	78,413	37,846	13,268	15,103	111,123	96,759		

Table S. 164881

Usefulness of Child Daycare - Drop-In
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	65.2% + 1.3	61.4% + 2.5	67.5% + 2.0	72.9% + 2.8	68.0% + 4.6	65.4% + 1.5	65.2% + 3.0	63.3% + 1.6	63.7% + 2.0	64.0% + 2.7	65.3% + 3.6	68.2% + 2.3
Somewhat useful	27.3 + 1.1	29.4 + 2.0	28.3 + 1.9	22.1 + 2.6	24.9 + 4.1	27.4 + 1.2	26.9 + 2.7	28.7 + 1.8	28.4 + 2.0	28.3 + 2.3	28.7 + 3.1	25.4 + 2.4
Not useful	7.5 + 0.8	9.2 + 1.5	6.2 + 0.9	5.0 + 1.2	7.0 + 1.7	7.2 + 1.0	7.9 + 1.4	8.0 + 2.3	7.8 + 1.1	7.7 + 1.5	8.0 + 1.8	8.4 + 1.3
Sample size	9,248	3,567	2,451	1,617	1,418	5,526	2,808	914	3,335	1,275	1,727	2,911
Weighted total	378,074	167,445	153,760	28,459	19,233	244,093	111,264	22,717	149,860	65,100	69,441	93,673

Table S_164881 (cont.)

Usefulness of Child Daycare - Drop-In
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Very useful	61.2% + 2.6	68.9% + 6.6	71.6% + 6.3	76.9% + 5.6	62.3% + 3.5	68.6% + 2.3	63.0% + 3.4	63.1% + 4.7	77.0% + 3.4	68.6% + 4.9	67.6% + 2.0	65.6% + 2.1	
Somewhat useful	30.0 + 2.3	26.7 + 6.6	21.6 + 5.4	18.7 + 5.4	29.6 + 3.5	24.2 + 2.1	30.9 + 3.7	29.7 + 4.2	18.9 + 3.0	24.5 + 4.5	25.3 + 2.0	27.7 + 1.8	
Not useful	8.8 + 1.7	4.4 + 3.0	6.8 + 3.2	4.5 + 2.7	8.2 + 1.6	7.2 + 1.3	6.1 + 2.0	7.1 + 1.8	4.1 + 1.6	6.9 + 1.5	7.1 + 1.2	6.7 + 1.2	
Sample size	2,210	211	397	368	1,037	1,660	553	589	866	873	2,896	2,788	
Weighted total	129,697	9,165	12,191	11,781	43,697	76,405	35,977	9,503	13,535	14,870	106,102	92,945	

Table S.164882

Have Used Child Daycare - Drop-In
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	10.0% + 1.0	3.9% + 0.8	14.3% + 1.4	16.6% + 2.5	20.5% + 2.9	9.9% + 1.2	10.2% + 2.1	11.0% + 4.2	7.8% + 1.3	10.0% + 1.8	10.0% + 1.5	13.9% + 2.5
No	90.0 + 1.0	96.1 + 0.8	85.7 + 1.4	83.4 + 2.5	79.5 + 2.9	90.1 + 1.2	89.8 + 2.1	89.0 + 4.2	92.2 + 1.3	90.0 + 1.8	90.0 + 1.5	86.1 + 2.5
Sample size	9,686	3,906	2,544	1,638	1,401	5,751	2,965	970	3,536	1,345	1,840	2,965
Weighted total	399,954	182,712	160,104	28,793	19,165	256,272	118,862	24,821	160,332	68,496	74,342	96,784

Table S 164882 (cont.)

Have Used Child Daycare - Drop-In
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse													
Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer					
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
Yes	0.7% + 0.3	17.8% + 5.9	0.8% + 1.2	19.9% + 4.7	1.6% + 0.9	20.9% + 2.8	14.4% + 2.8	1.0% + 0.9	45.4% + 5.1	18.8% + 3.5	15.0% + 1.6	16.7% + 2.2	
No	99.3 + 0.3	82.2 + 5.9	99.2 + 1.2	80.1 + 4.7	98.4 + 0.9	79.1 + 2.8	85.6 + 2.8	99.0 + 0.9	54.6 + 5.1	81.2 + 3.5	85.0 + 1.6	83.3 + 2.2	
Sample size	2,379	220	429	384	1,128	1,725	581	586	857	877	2,993	2,875	
Weighted total	140,220	9,593	13,255	12,635	47,757	78,086	37,552	9,509	13,399	15,047	110,252	96,479	

Table S_164CC1
Usefulness of Child Daycare - Full-day
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	68.8%	63.3%	69.3%	73.6%	69.5%	66.8%	67.6%	63.2%	65.2%	65.7%	67.1%	70.1%
	+ 1.3	+ 2.3	+ 2.0	+ 2.6	+ 4.0	+ 1.5	+ 2.8	+ 1.5	+ 2.0	+ 2.8	+ 3.4	+ 2.4
Somewhat useful	25.8	27.7	24.9	21.1	23.1	26.0	24.9	28.6	27.2	26.7	25.0	23.7
	+ 1.2	+ 2.2	+ 1.9	+ 2.5	+ 3.3	+ 1.4	+ 2.7	+ 2.6	+ 2.1	+ 2.5	+ 2.8	+ 2.6
Not useful	7.3	9.0	5.9	5.3	7.4	7.2	7.5	8.3	7.6	7.6	7.9	6.3
	+ 0.8	+ 1.5	+ 0.9	+ 1.4	+ 2.0	+ 1.0	+ 1.5	+ 2.7	+ 1.0	+ 1.5	+ 1.8	+ 1.4
Sample size	9,239	3,572	2,440	1,619	1,415	5,525	2,804	910	3,326	1,275	1,723	2,915
Weighted total	377,523	167,618	153,121	28,492	19,247	243,915	111,007	22,601	149,571	65,025	69,171	93,755

Table S_1640C1 (cont.)

Usefulness of Child Daycare - Full-day
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+				
Very useful	63.6% + 2.3	69.9% + 6.2	74.4% + 5.6	80.9% + 5.5	64.5% + 3.6	69.2% + 2.7	63.7% + 3.6	75.7% + 3.8	69.5% + 4.3	65.5% + 5.0	75.7% + 3.8	69.4% + 2.0	65.7% + 2.4	
Somewhat useful	28.2 + 2.3	25.3 + 6.5	18.7 + 4.6	15.2 + 5.1	27.7 + 3.4	23.7 + 2.3	30.3 + 4.1	19.1 + 3.8	22.6 + 3.5	27.4 + 4.4	19.1 + 3.8	24.0 + 1.9	27.1 + 2.1	
Not useful	8.2 + 1.7	4.8 + 3.3	6.9 + 3.3	3.9 + 2.6	7.8 + 1.8	7.1 + 1.3	6.0 + 2.2	5.2 + 2.6	7.9 + 1.9	7.1 + 1.8	5.2 + 2.6	6.7 + 1.3	7.2 + 1.3	
Sample size	2,212	211	400	374	1,038	1,648	548	862	874	588	862	2,885	2,777	
Weighted total	129,798	9,179	12,238	12,071	43,790	75,673	35,582	13,456	14,853	9,458	13,456	105,518	92,272	

Table S 164002

Have Used Child Daycare - Full-day
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	8.3% + 0.9	3.3% + 0.5	12.8% + 1.6	11.0% + 1.7	11.9% + 2.3	8.0% + 1.2	8.8% + 1.6	8.7% + 4.1	6.0% + 0.9	7.5% + 1.9	9.6% + 1.2	11.6% + 1.8
No	91.7 + 0.9	96.7 + 0.5	87.2 + 1.6	89.0 + 1.7	88.1 + 2.3	92.0 + 1.2	91.2 + 1.6	91.3 + 4.1	94.0 + 0.9	92.5 + 1.9	90.4 + 1.2	88.4 + 1.8
Sample size	9,678	3,890	2,547	1,642	1,404	5,751	2,959	968	3,533	1,345	1,830	2,970
Weighted total	399,428	182,043	160,199	28,881	19,185	256,116	118,505	24,807	160,181	68,578	73,799	96,889

Table S_164022 (cont.)

Have Used Child Daycare - Full-day
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse															
Single		Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer					
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed		
Yes	0.5% + 0.3	24.6% + 6.8	0.8% + 1.2	31.8% + 6.4	1.8% + 0.9	16.5% + 2.2	10.4% + 2.9	0.3% + 0.4	26.2% + 4.1	11.8% + 3.3	13.8% + 1.8	9.5% + 1.4			
No	99.5 + 0.3	75.4 + 6.8	99.2 + 1.2	68.2 + 6.4	98.2 + 0.9	83.5 + 2.2	89.6 + 2.9	99.7 + 0.4	73.8 + 4.1	88.2 + 3.3	86.2 + 1.8	90.5 + 1.4			
Sample size	2,376	219	429	386	1,124	1,723	584	592	851	878	2,998	2,869			
Weighted total	139,931	9,555	13,254	12,659	47,613	77,994	37,671	9,601	13,267	15,060	110,308	96,244			

Table S 164001
Usefulness of Child Abuse Services
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCINUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	66.5%	65.0%	69.5%	64.9%	61.7%	66.9%	65.9%	66.0%	65.8%	66.1%	67.8%
	+ 1.5	+ 2.4	+ 2.1	+ 3.1	+ 5.1	+ 1.8	+ 3.3	+ 2.4	+ 2.2	+ 3.2	+ 2.8
Somewhat useful	26.0	26.4	24.1	29.0	29.2	25.7	26.6	26.0	26.5	26.5	25.1
	+ 1.3	+ 1.7	+ 1.9	+ 2.9	+ 4.1	+ 1.5	+ 3.0	+ 2.8	+ 2.2	+ 2.9	+ 2.5
Not useful	7.5	8.6	6.4	6.0	9.1	7.4	7.5	8.0	7.8	7.3	7.1
	+ 0.8	+ 1.5	+ 0.9	+ 1.1	+ 2.3	+ 1.0	+ 1.5	+ 2.2	+ 1.1	+ 1.4	+ 1.2
Sample size	9,162	3,541	2,417	1,604	1,408	5,471	2,788	903	3,300	1,273	2,887
Weighted total	374,946	166,687	151,896	28,263	19,127	241,960	110,667	22,318	148,672	64,844	92,894

Table S 164001 (cont.)
Usefulness of Child Abuse Services
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse																	
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer					
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children
Very useful	66.1%	71.7%	74.4%	77.0%	64.9%	67.6%	66.5%	59.7%	61.5%	63.2%	67.1%	64.2%	66.1%	71.7%	74.4%	77.0%	64.9%	67.6%
	+ 2.3	+ 7.0	+ 5.7	+ 4.9	+ 3.7	+ 3.0	+ 4.0	+ 4.4	+ 5.0	+ 7.1	+ 2.5	+ 2.5	+ 2.3	+ 7.0	+ 5.7	+ 4.9	+ 3.7	+ 3.0
Somewhat useful	26.2%	22.3%	19.4%	17.9%	26.9%	24.7%	28.4%	33.1%	30.9%	28.9%	25.5%	28.6%	26.2%	22.3%	19.4%	17.9%	26.9%	24.7%
	+ 2.0	+ 5.5	+ 4.9	+ 4.7	+ 3.4	+ 2.6	+ 3.9	+ 4.0	+ 4.1	+ 6.0	+ 2.3	+ 2.2	+ 2.0	+ 5.5	+ 4.9	+ 4.7	+ 3.4	+ 2.6
Not useful	7.7%	6.0%	6.2%	5.1%	8.2%	7.7%	5.1%	7.2%	7.6%	7.9%	7.4%	7.2%	7.7%	6.0%	6.2%	5.1%	8.2%	7.7%
	+ 1.6	+ 3.8	+ 3.1	+ 2.1	+ 1.8	+ 1.2	+ 1.8	+ 2.2	+ 2.3	+ 2.2	+ 1.3	+ 1.1	+ 1.6	+ 3.8	+ 3.1	+ 2.1	+ 1.8	+ 1.2
Sample size	2,215	210	397	360	1,036	1,621	551	588	844	871	2,854	2,760						
Weighted total	129,943	9,117	12,139	11,583	43,583	74,758	35,844	9,452	13,174	14,790	104,580	91,887						

Table S_164002
Have Used Child Abuse Services
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	1.2% + 0.3	0.7% + 0.2	2.2% + 0.6	0.5% + 0.3	0.3% + 0.3	1.3% + 0.4	1.1% + 0.5	1.1% + 0.8	0.8% + 0.4	1.0% + 0.5	1.7% + 0.6
No	98.8 + 0.3	99.3 + 0.2	97.8 + 0.6	99.5 + 0.3	99.7 + 0.3	98.7 + 0.4	98.9 + 0.5	98.9 + 0.8	99.2 + 0.4	99.0 + 0.5	98.3 + 0.6
Sample size	9,711	3,902	2,565	1,634	1,412	5,773	2,969	969	3,538	1,346	1,842
Weighted total	401,270	182,774	161,291	28,707	19,288	257,111	119,250	24,909	160,500	68,831	74,533
											97,406

Table S_164002 (cont.)

Have Used Child Abuse Services
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse										
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer	
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children 0-5	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children 0-5	Child 6+
Yes	0.2% + 0.2	4.0% + 2.8	0.0% + 0.0	1.8% + 1.5	0.6% + 0.6	2.2% + 0.6	3.1% + 1.8	0.0% + 0.0	0.6% + 0.5	0.5% + 0.4	1.2% + 0.5
No	99.8 + 0.2	96.0 + 2.8	100.0 + 0.0	98.2 + 1.5	99.4 + 0.6	97.8 + 0.6	96.9 + 1.8	100.0 + 0.0	99.4 + 0.5	99.5 + 0.4	98.8 + 0.5
Spouse not Employed	2,381	219	426	385	1,126	1,734	589	599	849	881	3,010
Weighted total	140,427	9,576	13,218	12,632	47,824	78,512	38,061	9,698	13,253	15,108	111,112
											96,651

Table S 164EE1

Usefulness of Spouse Abuse Services
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	65.6% + 1.5 -	63.6% + 2.3 -	69.2% + 2.1 -	64.7% + 3.0 -	60.6% + 5.2 -	65.7% + 1.7 -	65.6% + 3.3 -	65.0% + 2.4 -	64.9% + 2.1 -	65.8% + 2.8 -	67.2% + 2.8 -
Somewhat useful	26.7 + 1.3 -	27.5 + 1.7 -	24.5 + 1.9 -	29.5 + 2.9 -	29.8 + 4.0 -	26.8 + 1.5 -	26.6 + 2.7 -	26.7 + 2.7 -	27.7 + 2.0 -	26.4 + 2.3 -	25.4 + 2.5 -
Not useful	7.6 + 0.9 -	8.9 + 1.6 -	6.3 + 0.8 -	5.8 + 0.9 -	9.6 + 2.5 -	7.4 + 1.0 -	7.8 + 2.0 -	8.3 + 1.6 -	7.4 + 0.9 -	7.8 + 1.9 -	7.4 + 1.2 -
Sample size	9,171	3,549	2,414	1,603	1,412	5,477	2,789	905	3,303	1,274	2,886
Weighted total	375,209	166,963	151,808	28,240	19,192	242,287	110,631	22,291	148,724	64,780	92,853

Table S_164EE1 (cont.)

Usefulness of Spouse Abuse Services
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Very useful	64.6% + 2.2	69.8% + 7.0	75.2% + 5.8	75.0% + 4.6	64.4% + 3.6	66.9% + 3.2	60.6% + 4.0	61.1% + 4.9	61.5% + 6.6	66.9% + 2.7	63.4% + 2.7			
Somewhat useful	27.3 + 2.0	24.2 + 5.7	18.9 + 4.8	20.4 + 4.3	27.7 + 3.4	25.3 + 2.6	32.3 + 3.7	31.4 + 4.1	29.8 + 5.4	25.6 + 2.4	29.4 + 2.5			
Not useful	8.1 + 1.8	6.0 + 3.8	5.9 + 3.0	4.6 + 2.2	7.9 + 1.9	7.8 + 1.3	7.1 + 2.5	7.4 + 2.3	8.7 + 2.3	7.5 + 1.3	7.2 + 1.1			
Sample size	2,215	205	398	362	1,044	1,616	551	846	871	2,860	2,760			
Weighted total	129,975	8,902	12,187	11,631	43,966	74,340	35,906	13,219	14,790	104,871	91,715			

Table S_164E2

Have Used Spouse Abuse Services
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
Yes	1.9% ± 0.3	1.3% ± 0.3	2.8% ± 0.6	1.2% ± 0.4	0.5% ± 0.5	1.6% ± 0.4	2.4% ± 0.6	1.9% ± 1.0	1.2% ± 0.4	2.3% ± 0.8	3.1% ± 0.9	1.7% ± 0.5
No	98.1 ± 0.3	98.7 ± 0.3	97.2 ± 0.6	98.8 ± 0.4	99.5 ± 0.5	98.4 ± 0.4	97.6 ± 0.6	98.1 ± 1.0	98.8 ± 0.4	97.7 ± 0.8	96.9 ± 0.9	98.3 ± 0.5
Sample size	9,710	3,901	2,557	1,642	1,413	5,763	2,978	969	3,541	1,347	1,844	2,978
Weighted total	400,861	182,613	160,916	28,868	19,290	256,598	119,446	24,816	160,444	68,695	74,645	97,077

Table S_164FF1

Usefulness of Youth Recreation Programs
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	64.1% + 1.3 -	60.3% + 2.2 -	67.6% + 2.0 -	65.7% + 2.8 -	68.8% + 3.9 -	63.9% + 1.2 -	64.9% + 3.3 -	61.8% + 2.8 -	63.9% + 2.0 -	62.2% + 2.7 -	62.8% + 3.4 -	66.6% + 2.7 -
Somewhat useful	29.7 + 1.1 -	31.4 + 2.0 -	27.9 + 1.8 -	30.3 + 2.8 -	25.7 + 2.7 -	30.0 + 1.0 -	28.8 + 2.9 -	30.8 + 3.2 -	29.6 + 2.1 -	31.2 + 2.6 -	30.8 + 3.3 -	28.0 + 2.2 -
Not useful	6.2 + 0.7 -	8.2 + 1.2 -	4.5 + 0.7 -	4.1 + 0.9 -	5.5 + 1.8 -	6.0 + 0.8 -	6.3 + 1.4 -	7.4 + 1.6 -	6.5 + 0.9 -	6.6 + 1.3 -	6.4 + 1.6 -	5.3 + 1.1 -
Sample size	9,265	3,585	2,453	1,616	1,417	5,536	2,815	914	3,341	1,280	1,724	2,920
Weighted total	380,434	169,300	154,374	28,422	19,250	245,776	111,975	22,684	151,113	65,403	69,554	94,365

Table S 164FF2

Have Used Youth Recreation Programs
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	12.7% + 1.4	2.8% + 0.5	20.6% + 1.9	9.5% + 2.0	34.8% + 5.5	12.1% + 1.6	13.7% + 2.9	15.0% + 4.0	9.8% + 1.0	10.0% + 2.1	11.5% + 2.2	20.4% + 2.8
No	87.3 + 1.4	97.2 + 0.5	79.4 + 1.9	90.5 + 2.0	65.2 + 5.5	87.9 + 1.6	86.3 + 2.9	85.0 + 4.0	90.2 + 1.0	90.0 + 2.1	88.5 + 2.2	79.6 + 2.8
Sample size	9,556	3,809	2,528	1,621	1,403	5,683	2,913	960	3,476	1,322	1,809	2,949
Weighted total	392,798	177,127	158,916	28,464	19,183	252,241	118,061	24,495	156,329	67,730	72,777	95,961

Table S. 164FF2 (cont.)

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
Yes	0.5% + 0.3	22.5% + 7.4	0.3% + 0.6	21.6% + 6.2	3.2% + 1.4	16.4% + 2.5	38.5% + 3.9	2.9% + 2.0	24.4% + 4.6	49.8% + 6.9	21.2% + 2.0	19.1% + 2.6
No	99.5% + 0.3	77.5% + 7.4	99.7% + 0.6	78.4% + 6.2	96.8% + 1.4	83.6% + 2.5	61.5% + 3.9	97.1% + 2.0	75.6% + 4.6	50.2% + 6.9	78.8% + 2.0	80.9% + 2.6
Sample size	2,303	216	421	384	1,100	1,725	578	585	854	876	2,970	2,860
Weighted total	135,194	9,493	12,972	12,597	46,582	78,140	37,358	9,505	13,344	14,970	109,462	95,704

Table S_164GG1

Usefulness of Youth Employment Programs
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	59.5%	56.6%	63.5%	57.9%	58.1%	58.9%	61.2%	57.9%	59.2%	58.3%	58.8%	61.4%
	+ 1.3	+ 2.3	+ 1.8	+ 3.7	+ 3.4	+ 1.3	+ 3.1	+ 3.1	+ 2.1	+ 3.1	+ 3.4	+ 2.7
Somewhat useful	32.7	34.1	29.7	35.9	34.9	33.4	31.1	32.4	32.9	33.8	32.6	31.6
	+ 1.1	+ 2.0	+ 1.7	+ 3.8	+ 2.9	+ 1.1	+ 3.0	+ 3.6	+ 2.0	+ 3.2	+ 3.3	+ 2.4
Not useful	7.8	9.3	6.8	6.2	7.0	7.7	7.7	9.7	7.9	7.9	8.7	7.1
	+ 0.7	+ 1.3	+ 0.8	+ 1.2	+ 1.6	+ 0.8	+ 1.5	+ 2.0	+ 1.2	+ 1.4	+ 1.8	+ 1.1
Sample size	9,175	3,551	2,419	1,598	1,414	5,470	2,799	906	3,308	1,272	1,704	2,891
Weighted total	375,580	167,338	151,780	28,219	19,220	242,026	111,200	22,354	149,084	64,862	68,783	92,852

Table S 164GG1 (cont.)

Usefulness of Youth Employment Programs
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed		
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+				
Very useful	57.6% + 2.5	63.6% + 5.9	64.6% + 6.4	64.8% + 5.4	56.6% + 3.6	61.9% + 2.5	62.1% + 4.0	51.5% + 5.6	54.8% + 4.3	62.1% + 6.1	61.6% + 2.4	58.0% + 2.3		
Somewhat useful	33.7 + 2.3	32.1 + 4.9	28.3 + 5.8	30.0 + 5.3	34.4 + 3.2	29.9 + 2.2	32.3 + 3.9	41.2 + 5.7	38.3 + 4.2	32.7 + 5.2	30.5 + 2.4	35.1 + 2.4		
Not useful	8.7 + 1.4	4.3 + 3.2	7.2 + 4.1	5.2 + 2.3	9.0 + 1.8	8.1 + 1.4	5.5 + 1.9	7.3 + 1.9	7.0 + 1.9	5.2 + 1.7	7.9 + 1.2	6.9 + 1.1		
Sample size	2,223	206	396	359	1,040	1,614	558	588	847	874	2,867	2,757		
Weighted total	130,557	8,903	12,134	11,484	43,784	74,340	36,196	9,449	13,242	14,881	104,987	91,838		

Table S 164GG2

Have Used Youth Employment Programs
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	3.8% + 0.6	0.8% + 0.2	6.5% + 1.2	1.8% + 0.7	10.8% + 2.3	3.0% + 0.7	5.8% + 1.2	3.0% + 0.9	3.1% + 0.6	3.5% + 1.2	4.4% + 1.3
No	96.2 + 0.6	99.2 + 0.2	93.5 + 1.2	98.2 + 0.7	89.2 + 2.3	97.0 + 0.7	94.2 + 1.2	97.0 + 0.9	96.9 + 0.6	96.5 + 1.2	95.6 + 1.3
Sample size	9,658	3,882	2,551	1,623	1,406	5,740	2,951	967	3,525	1,342	1,825
Weighted total	399,295	181,885	160,455	28,594	19,222	256,183	118,335	24,776	160,170	68,702	73,502

Table S. 164G2 (cont.)

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted				Officer				Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+				
Yes	0.1% + 0.1	5.0% + 3.0	0.1% + 0.2	4.2% + 2.8	1.3% + 0.8	4.2% + 1.1	14.4% + 3.3	0.8% + 0.6	3.9% + 1.8	16.4% + 3.6	7.7% + 1.5	4.7% + 0.7		
No	99.9 + 0.1	95.0 + 3.0	99.9 + 0.2	95.8 + 2.8	98.7 + 0.8	95.8 + 1.1	85.6 + 3.3	99.2 + 0.6	96.1 + 1.8	83.6 + 3.6	92.3 + 1.5	95.3 + 0.7		
Sample size	2,374	216	427	383	1,117	1,726	581	589	851	876	2,988	2,865		
Weighted total	140,215	9,369	13,203	12,468	47,371	78,382	37,507	9,559	13,282	15,010	110,168	96,275		

Table S_164#11

Usefulness of Services for Foreign Born Spouses
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	53.5%	51.4%	58.0%	49.7%	44.8%	52.7%	55.0%	54.8%	53.9%	54.2%	52.4%
	+ 1.4	+ 2.4	+ 2.1	+ 2.6	+ 3.2	+ 1.6	+ 3.2	+ 7.1	+ 2.5	+ 3.3	+ 2.4
Somewhat useful	35.8	36.5	32.7	42.4	42.1	36.4	34.9	33.8	35.6	34.6	36.7
	+ 1.5	+ 2.3	+ 2.3	+ 2.6	+ 3.1	+ 1.7	+ 3.1	+ 4.8	+ 2.6	+ 3.1	+ 2.4
Not useful	10.7	12.1	9.2	7.9	13.1	10.9	10.1	11.4	10.5	11.2	11.0
	+ 0.8	+ 1.5	+ 1.2	+ 1.4	+ 2.0	+ 1.1	+ 1.6	+ 2.8	+ 1.3	+ 1.8	+ 1.6
Sample size	9,124	3,535	2,401	1,594	1,405	5,441	2,776	907	3,291	1,266	2,872
Weighted total	373,074	166,146	150,767	28,137	19,100	240,565	110,176	22,333	148,288	64,354	92,068

Table S.164H1 (cont.)

Usefulness of Services for Foreign Born Spouses
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
Very useful	52.9% + 2.6	53.4% + 8.1	59.6% + 5.8	54.4% + 5.5	55.2% + 3.5	55.5% + 2.4	53.3% + 3.2	46.2% + 4.4	45.8% + 5.3	54.0% + 2.9
Somewhat useful	36.3 + 2.5	36.6 + 7.9	31.1 + 5.3	35.5 + 6.2	34.7 + 3.8	33.8 + 2.1	36.1 + 3.5	42.9 + 3.9	42.4 + 4.4	35.3 + 2.8
Not useful	10.8 + 1.7	10.0 + 4.7	9.3 + 3.8	10.1 + 3.6	10.2 + 1.8	10.7 + 1.6	10.5 + 1.9	10.9 + 2.4	11.8 + 2.7	10.7 + 1.5
Sample size	2,210	205	395	357	1,037	1,611	548	842	867	2,841
Weighted total	129,605	8,861	12,105	11,532	43,471	73,987	35,629	13,186	14,759	103,760
										91,654

Table S 16#H2

Have Used Services for Foreign Born Spouses
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	2.5% + 0.4	1.8% + 0.5	3.5% + 0.7	1.8% + 0.8	0.9% + 0.5	2.2% + 0.5	3.1% + 0.8	2.3% + 1.6	2.0% + 0.6	3.2% + 1.2	2.9% + 1.0	2.3% + 0.7
No	97.5 + 0.4	98.2 + 0.5	96.5 + 0.7	98.2 + 0.8	99.1 + 0.5	97.8 + 0.5	96.9 + 0.8	97.7 + 1.6	98.0 + 0.6	96.8 + 1.2	97.1 + 1.0	97.7 + 0.7
Sample size	9,694	3,899	2,556	1,633	1,408	5,756	2,967	971	3,539	1,351	1,834	2,970
Weighted total	401,457	183,300	160,938	28,775	19,233	257,206	119,321	24,930	160,880	69,055	74,397	97,086

Table S_164#12 (cont.)

Have Used Services for Foreign Born Spouses
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse													
Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer					
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
Yes	1.4% ± 0.4	0.9% ± 1.4	1.1% ± 1.2	1.1% ± 1.4	3.5% ± 1.2	3.4% ± 1.0	3.9% ± 1.6	3.4% ± 1.0	1.7% ± 1.4	3.4% ± 2.2	1.5% ± 1.0	3.1% ± 0.7	3.6% ± 0.9
No	98.6 ± 0.4	99.1 ± 1.4	98.9 ± 1.2	98.9 ± 1.4	96.5 ± 1.2	96.6 ± 1.0	96.1 ± 1.6	96.6 ± 1.0	98.3 ± 1.4	96.6 ± 2.2	98.5 ± 1.0	96.9 ± 0.7	96.4 ± 0.9
Sample size	2,401	213	432	378	1,123	1,721	586	845	602	875	3,001	2,863	
Weighted total	141,562	9,278	13,381	12,449	47,930	77,883	38,043	13,196	9,714	15,027	110,831	96,266	

Table S 164111

Usefulness of Programs for Spouses During TDYs/Deployment/Mobilizations
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	57.7%	56.3%	62.1%	54.9%	46.3%	57.7%	57.3%	59.4%	58.1%	58.1%	58.8%	56.0%
	+ 1.3	+ 2.0	+ 2.2	+ 3.2	+ 4.8	+ 1.4	+ 3.0	+ 3.4	+ 2.1	+ 3.1	+ 2.7	+ 2.6
Somewhat useful	33.1%	33.3%	29.7%	39.1%	41.8%	33.4%	32.9%	31.4%	33.0%	32.7%	31.7%	34.6%
	+ 1.2	+ 1.8	+ 2.0	+ 2.7	+ 3.4	+ 1.3	+ 3.1	+ 3.8	+ 2.2	+ 3.0	+ 2.2	+ 1.8
Not useful	9.2%	10.5%	8.1%	6.0%	11.9%	8.9%	9.8%	9.1%	8.9%	9.2%	9.5%	9.4%
	+ 0.8	+ 1.6	+ 1.4	+ 1.4	+ 2.5	+ 1.0	+ 1.7	+ 1.0	+ 1.0	+ 1.7	+ 2.2	+ 1.8
Sample size	9,152	3,537	2,411	1,606	1,405	5,463	2,780	909	3,308	1,263	1,702	2,879
Weighted total	374,092	166,391	151,311	28,283	19,094	241,512	110,191	22,389	148,971	64,278	68,470	92,372

Table S_164111 (cont.)

Usefulness of Programs for Spouses During TDYs/Deployment/Mobilizations
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse									
		Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer	
		Single		No Children		Children		No Children		Child 0-5	
		No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Child 6+	Spouse not Employed
											Employed
Very useful	56.5%	56.1%	61.4%	60.4%	57.7%	61.8%	58.4%	48.2%	51.6%	46.6%	57.7%
	+ 2.3	+ 7.4	+ 5.6	+ 5.1	+ 3.1	+ 2.5	+ 4.1	+ 4.9	+ 3.9	+ 5.7	+ 2.6
Somewhat useful	33.9	36.8	31.3	31.8	33.4	29.0	32.8	43.0	41.2	42.6	33.4
	+ 2.0	+ 7.1	+ 5.9	+ 4.4	+ 3.7	+ 2.6	+ 4.3	+ 4.8	+ 4.0	+ 3.7	+ 2.2
Not useful	9.7	7.0	7.2	7.8	8.9	9.2	8.8	8.8	7.1	10.8	9.0
	+ 1.5	+ 3.6	+ 3.1	+ 3.6	+ 2.2	+ 1.7	+ 2.5	+ 2.1	+ 2.0	+ 3.3	+ 1.5
Sample size	2,209	206	392	359	1,043	1,614	552	591	848	868	2,758
Weighted total	129,559	8,881	12,011	11,581	43,780	74,311	35,845	9,506	13,256	14,759	104,686

Table S_164112

Have Used Programs for Spouses During TDYs/Deployment/Mobilizations
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	WCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	4.5% + 0.6	2.0% + 0.4	6.9% + 1.2	5.9% + 1.7	5.0% + 1.8	4.4% + 0.9	4.7% + 0.8	3.5% + 1.2	5.4% + 1.0	5.1% + 1.1	4.0% + 1.2	2.9% + 1.0
No	95.5 + 0.6	98.0 + 0.4	93.1 + 1.2	94.1 + 1.7	95.0 + 1.8	95.6 + 0.9	95.3 + 0.8	96.5 + 1.2	94.6 + 1.0	94.9 + 1.1	96.0 + 1.2	97.1 + 1.0
Sample size	9,558	3,843	2,516	1,619	1,385	5,680	2,925	953	3,488	1,335	1,808	2,927
Weighted total	394,418	179,376	158,734	28,351	18,896	253,267	116,799	24,353	157,821	68,322	72,616	96,659

Table S_164112 (cont.)

Have Used Programs for Spouses During TDYs/Deployment/Mobilizations
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse														
Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer						
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
Yes	0.4% + 0.2	0.6% + 0.9	2.9% + 1.9	2.7% + 2.1	5.5% + 1.9	7.0% + 1.5	10.2% + 2.9	7.7% + 2.9	9.1% + 3.4	6.9% + 2.3	7.9% + 1.6	7.2% + 1.3		
No	99.6 + 0.2	99.4 + 0.9	97.1 + 1.9	97.3 + 2.1	94.5 + 1.9	93.0 + 1.5	89.8 + 2.9	92.3 + 2.9	90.9 + 3.4	93.1 + 2.3	92.1 + 1.6	92.8 + 1.3		
Sample size	2,337	210	425	380	1,118	1,704	572	596	839	863	2,962	2,841		
Weighted total	137,307	9,151	13,178	12,471	47,555	77,339	37,141	9,629	13,119	14,767	109,479	95,380		

Table S 164JJ1

Usefulness of Premarriage Counseling
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other CONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	50.2% + 1.4 - 1.4	49.2% + 2.4 - 2.4	54.7% + 2.5 - 2.5	45.2% + 2.8 - 2.8	38.7% + 3.3 - 3.3	49.5% + 1.7 - 1.7	51.8% + 2.9 - 2.9	50.9% + 4.0 - 4.0	50.8% + 2.6 - 2.6	48.9% + 3.7 - 3.7	52.3% + 2.6 - 2.6	48.8% + 3.2 - 3.2
Somewhat useful	38.2% + 1.3 - 1.3	38.3% + 2.0 - 2.0	35.5% + 2.1 - 2.1	43.1% + 2.7 - 2.7	46.7% + 2.4 - 2.4	38.6% + 1.6 - 1.6	37.3% + 2.9 - 2.9	38.8% + 2.6 - 2.6	38.1% + 2.2 - 2.2	38.5% + 3.6 - 3.6	36.7% + 2.3 - 2.3	39.3% + 2.6 - 2.6
Not useful	11.6% + 0.8 - 0.8	12.5% + 1.6 - 1.6	9.9% + 1.2 - 1.2	11.7% + 1.6 - 1.6	14.7% + 2.2 - 2.2	12.0% + 0.9 - 0.9	10.9% + 1.9 - 1.9	10.3% + 2.0 - 2.0	11.1% + 1.2 - 1.2	12.6% + 2.0 - 2.0	11.0% + 2.1 - 2.1	11.9% + 1.7 - 1.7
Sample size	8,724	3,389	2,249	1,552	1,353	5,203	2,658	863	3,146	1,207	1,615	2,756
Weighted total	358,988	163,695	141,068	27,460	18,385	231,397	106,134	21,457	143,177	62,324	65,539	87,948

Table S.164JJ1 (cont.)

Usefulness of Premarriage Counseling
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
Very useful	49.0% + 2.7	43.1% + 6.9	51.0% + 5.2	54.1% + 7.0	52.2% + 4.5	53.1% + 3.2	53.8% + 4.4	40.2% + 5.1	40.0% + 4.6	41.7% + 4.7	52.2% + 3.0	49.6% + 2.5	
Somewhat useful	39.7 + 2.5	44.9 + 7.3	36.7 + 5.3	35.3 + 6.3	35.3 + 4.2	36.2 + 3.1	35.4 + 4.0	45.3 + 4.5	46.2 + 4.2	45.1 + 4.5	36.3 + 2.5	39.0 + 2.3	
Not useful	11.3 + 1.5	12.1 + 4.4	12.4 + 3.9	10.6 + 3.9	12.5 + 2.7	10.7 + 1.8	10.8 + 2.3	14.5 + 3.6	13.7 + 3.4	13.2 + 2.6	11.5 + 1.7	11.4 + 1.3	
Sample size	2,230	205	388	338	964	1,455	501	570	811	828	2,660	2,562	
Weighted total	131,631	8,915	12,006	10,758	40,774	67,302	32,972	9,151	12,688	13,859	96,708	84,479	

Table S_164JJ2

Have Used Premarriage Counseling
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	4.0% + 0.5	3.9% + 0.6	4.1% + 0.8	5.5% + 1.2	2.1% + 0.8	3.7% + 0.6	4.4% + 0.7	4.9% + 2.7	3.6% + 0.7	3.4% + 1.0	5.4% + 1.1	4.0% + 1.0
No	96.0 + 0.5	96.1 + 0.6	95.9 + 0.8	94.5 + 1.2	97.9 + 0.8	96.3 + 0.6	95.6 + 0.7	95.1 + 2.7	96.4 + 0.7	96.6 + 1.0	94.6 + 1.1	96.0 + 1.0
Sample size	9,246	3,740	2,369	1,593	1,357	5,485	2,840	923	3,355	1,287	1,747	2,859
Weighted total	384,525	180,436	148,659	28,231	18,513	246,202	114,659	23,663	153,715	66,830	71,385	92,595

Table S 164JJ2 (cont.)

Have Used Premarriage Counseling
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse													
Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer	
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Yes	3.1% + 0.6	5.3% + 3.2	8.5% + 2.8	7.7% + 3.3	5.9% + 1.5	3.9% + 1.3	2.3% + 1.3	5.7% + 2.0	5.1% + 1.9	2.1% + 1.3	4.2% + 1.0	4.1% + 0.9	
No	96.9 + 0.6	94.7 + 3.2	91.5 + 2.8	92.3 + 3.3	94.1 + 1.5	96.1 + 1.3	97.7 + 1.3	94.3 + 2.0	94.9 + 1.9	97.9 + 1.3	95.8 + 1.0	95.9 + 0.9	
Sample size	2,415	214	417	358	1,044	1,545	531	585	812	837	2,800	2,659	
Weighted total	143,194	9,383	12,910	11,600	44,479	70,284	34,778	9,445	12,702	14,131	102,389	88,303	

Table S_164KK1

Usefulness of Single Parent Support Groups
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	57.1% + 1.5 -	55.4% + 2.5 -	62.2% + 1.9 -	51.6% + 3.6 -	45.9% + 3.5 -	56.2% + 1.9 -	59.2% + 2.9 -	56.1% + 3.4 -	56.4% + 2.6 -	58.8% + 3.0 -	56.7% + 3.0 -	57.2% + 3.0 -
Somewhat useful	33.6 + 1.5 -	33.8 + 2.4 -	30.1 + 2.0 -	41.2 + 3.5 -	42.6 + 2.9 -	34.6 + 1.9 -	31.4 + 2.7 -	34.1 + 3.9 -	34.1 + 2.5 -	31.9 + 3.0 -	33.7 + 2.5 -	34.1 + 2.8 -
Not useful	9.3 + 0.8 -	10.8 + 1.4 -	7.6 + 1.0 -	7.2 + 1.3 -	11.5 + 1.5 -	9.2 + 1.0 -	9.5 + 1.5 -	9.7 + 1.7 -	9.5 + 1.2 -	9.3 + 1.8 -	9.6 + 1.9 -	8.7 + 1.4 -
Sample size	8,684	3,368	2,242	1,543	1,351	5,180	2,646	858	3,131	1,199	1,609	2,745
Weighted total	357,204	162,567	140,668	27,291	18,364	230,104	105,711	21,389	142,611	61,902	65,072	87,619

Table S_164KK1 (cont.)

Usefulness of Single Parent Support Groups
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse															
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed		
Very useful	55.3%	61.3%	60.4%	65.1%	56.2%	61.5%	58.2%	46.5%	47.5%	47.3%	57.9%	56.1%				
	+ 2.7	+ 6.7	+ 6.2	+ 6.7	+ 3.7	+ 3.3	+ 4.7	+ 5.6	+ 4.6	+ 4.8	+ 2.5	+ 2.5				
Somewhat useful	34.6	34.1	31.8	27.1	34.0	30.3	32.7	43.4	43.0	42.4	33.2	35.0				
	+ 2.5	+ 6.3	+ 5.3	+ 6.6	+ 3.4	+ 3.3	+ 4.3	+ 5.9	+ 4.2	+ 4.2	+ 2.3	+ 2.3				
Not useful	10.1	4.6	7.7	7.8	9.8	8.2	9.1	10.2	9.5	10.3	8.9	8.9				
	+ 1.7	+ 2.9	+ 3.6	+ 3.6	+ 2.2	+ 1.5	+ 2.2	+ 2.4	+ 2.0	+ 2.6	+ 1.1	+ 1.3				
Sample size	2,216	209	385	334	958	1,416	502	564	810	827	2,646	2,551				
Weighted total	130,734	9,151	11,886	10,640	40,504	66,794	33,058	9,022	12,651	13,852	96,194	84,041				

Table S_164KK2

Have Used Single Parent Support Groups
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	1.1% + 0.3	0.8% + 0.3	1.6% + 0.6	0.5% + 0.4	0.4% + 0.4	1.0% + 0.4	1.2% + 0.5	1.0% + 1.2	0.6% + 0.3	1.4% + 0.6	1.6% + 0.6	1.3% + 0.5
No	98.9 + 0.3	99.2 + 0.3	98.4 + 0.6	99.5 + 0.4	99.6 + 0.4	99.0 + 0.4	98.8 + 0.5	99.0 + 1.2	99.4 + 0.3	98.6 + 0.6	98.4 + 0.6	98.7 + 0.5
Sample size	9,188	3,701	2,366	1,578	1,354	5,452	2,813	923	3,331	1,279	1,729	2,849
Weighted total	381,637	177,909	148,632	27,932	18,477	244,564	113,422	23,651	152,758	66,387	70,310	92,182

Table S_164KK2 (cont.)

Have Used Single Parent Support Groups
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse															
		Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer			
		No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children
Yes	0.4% ± 0.2	9.7% ± 4.1	0.0% ± 0.0	2.7% ± 1.9	0.6% ± 0.6	1.5% ± 0.7	1.1% ± 0.9	0.1% ± 0.2	1.1% ± 1.0	0.6% ± 0.4	1.0% ± 0.5	1.2% ± 0.5					
No	99.6% ± 0.2	90.3% ± 4.1	100.0% ± 0.0	97.3% ± 1.9	99.4% ± 0.6	98.5% ± 0.7	98.9% ± 0.9	99.9% ± 0.2	98.9% ± 1.0	99.4% ± 0.4	99.0% ± 0.5	98.8% ± 0.5					
Sample size	2,378	218	415	357	1,035	1,541	531	579	811	834	2,790	2,646					
Weighted total	140,796	9,634	12,816	11,632	44,221	69,987	34,905	9,372	12,697	14,105	102,257	87,861					

Table S 164LL1

Usefulness of Social/Recreation Programs for Singles
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Very useful	54.9%	56.6%	57.3%	48.2%	40.3%	52.5%	59.5%	58.0%	56.1%	57.5%	55.0%	51.1%
	+ 1.4	+ 2.1	+ 2.5	+ 3.3	+ 3.4	+ 1.8	+ 2.4	+ 6.6	+ 2.3	+ 2.9	+ 2.7	+ 3.3
Somewhat useful	34.8	32.8	33.7	40.4	44.2	38.8	31.9	30.3	34.2	32.1	34.3	38.1
	+ 1.5	+ 2.1	+ 2.7	+ 2.8	+ 2.6	+ 1.8	+ 2.8	+ 5.2	+ 2.3	+ 2.6	+ 2.4	+ 2.6
Not useful	10.3	10.6	9.0	11.4	15.5	10.9	8.7	11.7	9.7	10.4	10.7	10.8
	+ 0.8	+ 1.4	+ 1.2	+ 1.8	+ 1.8	+ 1.1	+ 1.4	+ 1.8	+ 1.2	+ 1.5	+ 1.9	+ 2.0
Sample size	8,726	3,411	2,239	1,546	1,349	5,194	2,666	866	3,149	1,212	1,610	2,755
Weighted total	359,813	165,423	140,319	27,358	18,341	230,884	107,263	21,666	143,671	62,858	65,345	87,940

Table S_164LL1 (cont.)

Usefulness of Social/Recreation Programs for Singles
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Very useful	58.5%	51.3%	56.1%	53.9%	54.0%	56.7%	51.3%	39.1%	40.7%	40.8%	52.0%	52.2%		
	+ 2.5	+ 7.4	+ 5.8	+ 5.8	+ 4.2	+ 3.1	+ 5.1	+ 5.2	+ 4.0	+ 4.8	+ 3.0	+ 2.5		
Somewhat useful	31.7	38.6	33.7	35.5	34.9	33.8	39.7	47.2	45.2	45.6	36.8	38.0		
	+ 2.3	+ 7.2	+ 5.7	+ 5.4	+ 3.9	+ 2.9	+ 5.1	+ 4.2	+ 3.6	+ 4.2	+ 2.5	+ 2.4		
Not useful	9.8	10.1	10.2	10.5	11.1	9.5	9.0	13.7	14.1	13.6	11.2	9.8		
	+ 1.3	+ 4.1	+ 3.6	+ 4.0	+ 2.3	+ 1.8	+ 2.5	+ 3.6	+ 3.0	+ 2.4	+ 1.3	+ 1.5		
Sample size	2,260	202	383	336	961	1,448	501	567	809	825	2,649	2,554		
Weighted total	133,641	8,726	11,837	10,707	40,616	66,810	32,914	9,101	12,639	13,834	96,212	84,118		

Table S 164LL2

Have Used Social/Recreation Programs for Singles
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	7.2% + 1.0	11.1% + 1.7	4.3% + 0.9	2.6% + 1.0	1.1% + 0.6	5.9% + 1.0	9.7% + 2.4	8.0% + 2.4	7.9% + 1.1	8.5% + 2.4	7.9% + 1.7	4.5% + 1.0
No	92.8 + 1.0	88.9 + 1.7	95.7 + 0.9	97.4 + 1.0	98.9 + 0.6	94.1 + 1.0	90.3 + 2.4	92.0 + 2.4	92.1 + 1.1	91.5 + 2.4	92.1 + 1.7	95.5 + 1.0
Sample size	9,211	3,725	2,359	1,591	1,350	5,465	2,824	922	3,338	1,285	1,744	2,844
Weighted total	382,848	179,498	148,137	28,207	18,428	245,251	113,935	23,662	152,977	66,729	71,199	91,943

Table S_164LL2 (cont.)

Have Used Social/Recreation Programs for Singles
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse													
Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer					
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed		
13.9% + 2.0	7.1% + 4.4	4.4% + 2.5	5.2% + 3.1	4.4% + 1.4	2.6% + 0.9	2.2% + 1.5	1.5% + 1.2	2.0% + 1.5	1.0% + 0.6	2.8% + 1.0	2.6% + 0.8		
86.1 + 2.0	92.9 + 4.4	95.6 + 2.5	94.8 + 3.1	95.6 + 1.4	97.4 + 0.9	97.8 + 1.5	98.5 + 1.2	98.0 + 1.5	99.0 + 0.6	97.2 + 1.0	97.4 + 0.8		
2,396	215	419	359	1,042	1,535	533	583	808	833	2,795	2,642		
142,202	9,439	12,960	11,659	44,529	69,539	34,959	9,400	12,612	14,102	102,375	87,492		
Sample size													
Weighted total													

Table S 164MM1

Usefulness of Special Child Care Services for Single Parents
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
Very useful	62.3% + 1.3	60.5% + 2.1	68.4% + 2.0	60.1% + 3.1	54.0% + 3.6	61.6% + 1.6	63.5% + 2.8	63.3% + 3.8	61.5% + 2.4	63.1% + 2.6	62.9% + 2.8	62.5% + 3.0
Somewhat useful	28.8 + 1.4	29.2 + 2.0	26.1 + 1.9	33.2 + 3.0	34.8 + 3.0	29.5 + 1.6	27.4 + 3.0	28.3 + 3.7	29.7 + 2.4	27.6 + 2.7	28.3 + 2.4	28.6 + 2.7
Not useful	8.9 + 0.7	10.2 + 1.5	7.5 + 0.9	6.7 + 1.1	11.2 + 1.5	8.9 + 0.8	9.1 + 1.6	8.5 + 1.7	8.8 + 1.3	9.4 + 1.8	8.8 + 1.5	8.9 + 1.3
Sample size	8,659	3,354	2,233	1,544	1,349	5,165	2,638	856	3,114	1,199	1,602	2,744
Weighted total	355,394	161,457	139,987	27,289	18,346	228,862	105,165	21,368	141,401	61,918	64,565	87,510

Table S_164MM1 (cont.)

Usefulness of Special Child Care Services for Single Parents
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse																			
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer							
	No Children		Children		No Children		Children		No Children		Children		No Children		Children		No Children		Children	
Very useful	59.9%	67.8%	70.8%	71.5%	63.4%	67.1%	60.6%	52.4%	58.5%	52.8%	63.8%	61.3%								
	+ 2.3	+ 6.4	+ 5.2	+ 6.8	+ 3.8	+ 3.0	+ 4.5	+ 4.5	+ 4.2	+ 4.9	+ 2.6	+ 2.5								
Somewhat useful	30.5	26.2	21.7	20.0	27.4	25.5	30.7	37.7	32.9	36.6	27.7	30.6								
	+ 2.1	+ 6.7	+ 4.0	+ 7.1	+ 3.5	+ 2.6	+ 4.3	+ 4.7	+ 3.8	+ 4.4	+ 2.4	+ 1.9								
Not useful	9.6	5.9	7.5	8.5	9.2	7.4	8.7	9.9	8.6	10.6	8.6	8.2								
	+ 1.7	+ 3.0	+ 3.2	+ 3.7	+ 2.0	+ 1.3	+ 2.3	+ 2.6	+ 1.9	+ 2.4	+ 1.1	+ 1.2								
Sample size	2,197	208	385	336	956	1,446	499	564	809	825	2,639	2,552								
Weighted total	129,277	9,099	11,878	10,679	40,498	66,632	32,794	9,076	12,604	13,835	95,905	83,929								

Table S_164MM2

Have Used Special Child Care Services for Single Parents
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Yes	0.9% + 0.2	0.7% + 0.3	1.4% + 0.5	0.5% + 0.3	0.6% + 0.5	0.9% + 0.2	0.8% + 0.3	1.5% + 1.1	0.5% + 0.3	0.9% + 0.5	1.6% + 0.6	1.3% + 0.4
No	99.1 + 0.2	99.3 + 0.3	98.6 + 0.5	99.5 + 0.3	99.4 + 0.5	99.1 + 0.2	99.2 + 0.3	98.5 + 1.1	99.5 + 0.3	99.1 + 0.5	98.4 + 0.6	98.7 + 0.4
Sample size	9,175	3,694	2,360	1,581	1,354	5,448	2,810	917	3,325	1,280	1,731	2,839
Weighted total	380,721	177,418	148,249	27,971	18,489	244,173	113,057	23,491	152,233	66,445	70,454	91,590

Table S_164MM2 (cont.)

Have Used Special Child Care Services for Single Parents
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse									
		Single					Dual Military				
		No Children		Children		No Children		Children		Enlisted	
		No	Child	No	Child	No	Child	No	Child	Child	Officer
										0-5	Child 6+
Yes		0.4%	7.8%	0.1%	2.0%	0.4%	1.3%	1.0%	1.0%	0.7%	1.0%
		± 0.2	± 4.1	± 0.2	± 1.7	± 0.6	± 0.6	± 0.9	± 0.9	± 0.5	± 0.5
No		99.6	92.2	99.9	98.0	99.6	98.7	99.0	99.0	99.3	99.0
		± 0.2	± 4.1	± 0.2	± 1.7	± 0.6	± 0.6	± 0.9	± 0.9	± 0.5	± 0.4
Sample size		2,372	217	417	359	1,032	1,536	532	809	833	2,642
Weighted total		140,350	9,570	12,883	11,659	44,124	69,699	34,863	12,647	14,113	102,105
											87,530

SUPERVISOR RATINGS

Table TEAMRK1

Rating 1 -- Ratee Cooperation/Teamwork
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Seldom promotes cooperation/teamwork	2.3% + 0.4	3.2% + 0.6	1.7% + 0.5	0.7% + 0.7	0.3% + 0.4	2.2% + 0.5	2.6% + 0.6	1.9% + 0.9	2.7% + 0.7	3.0% + 1.1	2.1% + 0.9	1.3% + 0.6
2	3.6 + 0.6	5.2 + 1.0	2.5 + 0.6	0.9 + 0.4	0.4 + 0.5	3.4 + 0.8	3.9 + 1.0	4.2 + 2.5	4.1 + 0.9	4.3 + 1.6	4.2 + 1.4	1.6 + 0.8
3	6.3 + 0.8	9.1 + 1.1	4.4 + 0.9	2.2 + 0.8	1.1 + 0.6	6.0 + 1.0	7.3 + 1.5	4.6 + 0.7	7.0 + 1.0	7.3 + 2.0	7.5 + 1.4	3.3 + 1.4
Generally promotes cooperation/teamwork	13.2 + 1.0	17.8 + 1.4	10.4 + 1.4	4.9 + 1.3	3.2 + 1.6	12.2 + 1.3	15.6 + 1.6	13.0 + 4.2	14.2 + 1.6	15.5 + 2.6	14.0 + 2.0	9.2 + 1.4
5	18.1 + 1.3	21.9 + 1.5	15.4 + 1.5	12.5 + 2.3	9.0 + 3.0	17.5 + 1.5	18.8 + 2.5	21.0 + 2.1	19.7 + 1.9	17.0 + 2.5	19.8 + 2.5	14.6 + 1.9
6	28.1 + 1.2	25.5 + 1.6	29.9 + 2.0	31.4 + 3.3	29.7 + 3.4	28.6 + 1.7	27.0 + 1.4	27.6 + 3.2	27.2 + 1.8	28.9 + 3.2	26.0 + 2.6	30.8 + 3.0
Actively promotes cooperation/teamwork	28.4 + 1.9	17.2 + 1.4	35.5 + 2.5	47.5 + 3.7	56.3 + 4.0	30.2 + 2.7	24.7 + 2.2	27.8 + 5.9	25.1 + 2.4	24.1 + 2.8	26.4 + 2.6	39.3 + 3.2
Sample size	9,383	4,225	2,596	1,429	949	5,623	2,865	895	3,647	1,353	1,854	2,529
Weighted total	457,319	219,850	177,899	30,103	19,741	295,709	134,437	27,173	189,284	78,403	86,229	103,403

Table TEAMWK1 (cont.)

Ratee Cooperation/Teamwork
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
Seldom promotes cooperation/teamwork	3.2% + 0.7	4.6% + 3.6	1.6% + 1.4	1.2% + 0.9	1.7% + 0.8	1.8% + 0.7	1.1% + 1.0	0.0% + 0.0	0.2% + 0.3	0.0% + 0.0	1.2% + 0.6	1.9% + 0.8
2	5.0 + 1.1	7.1 + 3.9	3.5 + 1.8	1.8 + 1.7	3.6 + 1.1	2.9 + 0.8	2.2 + 1.3	0.6 + 0.7	0.4 + 0.4	0.8 + 0.7	2.8 + 0.7	2.3 + 0.7
3	8.4 + 1.4	7.2 + 3.7	4.4 + 2.5	4.3 + 2.8	6.7 + 1.4	5.9 + 1.2	3.6 + 1.7	1.4 + 1.3	1.5 + 0.8	0.9 + 0.8	5.0 + 1.0	4.8 + 0.9
Generally promotes cooperation/teamwork	16.2 + 1.3	9.4 + 4.4	10.1 + 2.8	15.5 + 5.0	15.4 + 2.6	12.2 + 1.8	11.8 + 2.9	4.8 + 2.2	4.1 + 1.6	5.0 + 2.0	11.2 + 1.6	11.5 + 1.5
5	20.9 + 1.8	21.9 + 5.8	17.9 + 5.0	18.2 + 4.7	18.3 + 2.0	17.3 + 2.6	14.5 + 3.3	13.4 + 4.2	10.6 + 2.9	11.2 + 2.8	15.6 + 1.9	16.6 + 1.8
6	25.7 + 1.8	24.6 + 6.4	29.7 + 4.9	26.8 + 5.7	28.6 + 2.9	29.8 + 2.8	28.1 + 4.4	33.7 + 5.2	29.4 + 4.4	34.1 + 5.7	29.2 + 2.2	29.7 + 2.5
Actively promotes cooperation/teamwork	20.6 + 1.7	25.2 + 6.7	32.8 + 5.9	32.2 + 6.5	25.7 + 3.8	30.1 + 3.1	38.8 + 4.8	46.1 + 5.7	53.9 + 5.3	48.0 + 6.4	35.0 + 3.3	33.2 + 2.9
Sample size	2,563	212	415	364	1,154	1,717	568	486	695	601	2,725	2,625
Weighted total	171,015	10,493	14,734	13,563	53,043	84,159	40,134	10,206	14,029	14,860	118,151	105,223

Table EFFORT1

Rating 1 — Ratee Effort and Initiative
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Makes little effort / gives up easily	2.3% + 0.5	3.2% + 0.7	1.8% + 0.6	0.6% + 0.6	0.3% + 0.4	2.4% + 0.6	2.3% + 0.8	1.5% + 0.6	3.1% + 0.7	2.4% + 1.0	1.9% + 0.9	1.1% + 0.7
2	5.1 + 0.7	7.6 + 1.1	3.5 + 0.7	1.0 + 0.5	0.6 + 0.4	4.8 + 0.8	5.5 + 1.1	7.2 + 2.3	6.2 + 0.9	5.6 + 1.5	5.5 + 1.6	2.6 + 0.8
3	7.9 + 0.7	12.1 + 1.0	4.4 + 1.0	3.0 + 1.1	1.6 + 0.9	7.3 + 1.0	9.2 + 1.2	7.7 + 3.2	8.7 + 1.2	9.0 + 1.4	9.4 + 1.5	4.5 + 1.1
Puts in effort / keeps trying	14.4 + 0.9	19.3 + 1.3	11.4 + 1.4	5.9 + 1.3	4.9 + 2.0	14.0 + 1.3	15.6 + 1.2	13.3 + 2.5	16.0 + 1.5	16.4 + 2.9	14.3 + 2.1	10.2 + 1.4
5	22.2 + 1.3	25.9 + 2.3	20.1 + 1.5	15.8 + 2.5	11.9 + 1.8	21.4 + 1.6	23.2 + 2.2	24.9 + 1.0	22.4 + 1.9	23.5 + 2.9	23.4 + 2.0	19.6 + 2.1
6	22.1 + 1.2	18.2 + 1.7	25.8 + 1.8	25.2 + 2.7	25.3 + 2.9	22.5 + 1.8	21.8 + 1.4	20.4 + 1.5	21.2 + 1.6	21.2 + 2.9	21.3 + 2.6	25.2 + 2.1
Makes great effort / works extra hours	25.9 + 1.9	13.7 + 1.4	32.9 + 2.7	48.4 + 3.6	55.4 + 3.3	27.6 + 2.7	22.5 + 2.4	25.1 + 5.1	22.3 + 2.5	21.9 + 2.9	24.3 + 2.8	36.9 + 2.0
Sample size	9,366	4,209	2,591	1,433	949	5,613	2,861	892	3,639	1,349	1,846	2,532
Weighted total	456,466	219,000	177,689	30,298	19,755	295,078	134,451	26,938	188,987	77,947	86,021	103,512

Table EFFORT1 (cont.)

Rating 1 -- Ratee Effort and Initiative
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Officer	Spouse not Employed
Makes little effort / gives up easily	3.1% + 0.8	4.2% + 4.0	1.1% + 0.8	0.6% + 0.7	1.9% + 0.9	2.1% + 0.7	1.5% + 1.4	0.2% + 0.4	0.4% + 0.6	0.1% + 0.2	1.6% + 0.5	1.9% + 0.7
2	7.1 + 1.3	4.0 + 3.0	3.4 + 2.5	2.2 + 1.4	4.9 + 1.2	4.5 + 1.0	2.9 + 1.6	1.0 + 1.0	1.1 + 1.1	0.6 + 0.5	3.6 + 0.9	3.9 + 1.1
3	10.7 + 1.3	12.1 + 5.4	6.6 + 2.5	8.6 + 3.8	9.1 + 1.9	6.5 + 1.3	3.8 + 1.8	3.3 + 2.1	2.6 + 1.4	3.5 + 1.7	6.0 + 1.1	5.9 + 1.2
Puts in effort / keeps trying	17.8 + 1.6	13.2 + 4.8	14.0 + 4.5	13.5 + 4.3	16.3 + 2.3	12.9 + 1.8	11.0 + 3.0	5.5 + 2.7	4.3 + 1.8	4.7 + 1.8	11.4 + 1.6	12.6 + 1.8
5	23.8 + 2.3	23.8 + 5.6	23.6 + 4.7	24.3 + 5.7	23.2 + 3.0	23.1 + 2.1	20.1 + 3.7	13.8 + 3.9	12.4 + 2.2	14.6 + 3.1	20.3 + 2.4	21.2 + 1.9
6	18.7 + 1.7	23.5 + 7.3	23.4 + 4.4	22.6 + 6.0	22.8 + 3.0	24.4 + 3.0	24.3 + 3.9	29.1 + 4.6	25.4 + 3.6	25.3 + 4.2	25.0 + 2.1	23.4 + 2.2
Makes great effort / works extra hours	18.6 + 1.8	19.3 + 5.1	28.0 + 5.1	28.2 + 5.2	21.8 + 3.6	26.4 + 2.8	36.2 + 4.9	47.1 + 5.7	53.7 + 4.0	51.3 + 5.0	32.2 + 2.9	31.1 + 2.4
Sample size	2,559	212	410	362	1,152	1,712	565	486	698	601	2,723	2,620
Weighted total	170,631	10,514	14,584	13,526	52,754	84,180	40,022	10,215	14,158	14,859	118,109	104,985

Table SOLSKILL1

Rater 1 -- Ratee General Soldiering Skills
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Does not show basic soldiering skills	1.2% + 0.3	1.6% + 0.4	0.9% + 0.4	0.8% + 0.6	0.5% + 0.5	1.1% + 0.3	1.1% + 0.5	1.9% + 1.3	1.3% + 0.4	1.3% + 0.7	1.1% + 0.6	0.9% + 0.5
2	2.2 + 0.4	3.5 + 0.7	1.0 + 0.4	0.8 + 0.5	0.8 + 0.7	2.1 + 0.5	2.3 + 0.9	1.9 + 0.8	2.4 + 0.6	1.7 + 1.2	2.8 + 1.0	1.5 + 0.5
3	4.8 + 0.8	6.9 + 1.1	2.9 + 0.9	2.5 + 1.7	2.1 + 0.9	4.4 + 1.0	5.3 + 1.4	6.0 + 2.7	5.0 + 1.1	5.9 + 1.9	5.1 + 1.3	3.1 + 1.1
Displays some basic soldiering skills	14.1 + 1.0	20.0 + 1.4	8.2 + 1.0	10.3 + 2.5	9.3 + 3.5	13.6 + 1.2	15.4 + 1.7	13.3 + 2.5	15.0 + 1.5	13.6 + 2.1	17.4 + 2.5	10.0 + 1.6
5	21.9 + 1.0	26.6 + 1.5	17.3 + 1.9	16.9 + 4.0	19.9 + 2.9	21.9 + 1.3	21.7 + 1.5	23.4 + 2.3	21.1 + 1.5	23.2 + 2.8	22.7 + 2.4	21.7 + 2.3
6	29.4 + 1.4	26.3 + 1.6	32.4 + 2.6	31.8 + 3.6	28.7 + 4.2	29.4 + 1.7	29.7 + 2.7	28.3 + 2.3	28.9 + 2.4	31.3 + 3.8	27.5 + 2.0	30.7 + 3.4
Displays all basic soldiering skills	26.5 + 1.6	15.1 + 1.3	37.3 + 2.5	37.0 + 5.0	38.7 + 4.4	27.5 + 2.1	24.4 + 2.3	25.1 + 5.7	26.3 + 2.4	22.9 + 3.8	23.3 + 2.8	32.0 + 3.2
Sample size	9,276	4,212	2,585	1,402	895	5,536	2,860	880	3,633	1,349	1,841	2,453
Weighted total	453,961	219,230	177,090	29,552	18,510	293,012	134,120	26,828	189,118	77,978	85,532	101,333

Table SOLSKILL (cont.)

Ratee 1 -- Ratee General Soldiering Skills
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse												
Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
No Children	Children		No Children	Children		No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	

Table DPLARMY1

Rating 1 -- Ratee Deployability (Army Task/Mission Viewpoint)
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
Not likely to be ready for deployment	1.5% + 0.3	2.1% + 0.5	1.1% + 0.5	0.7% + 0.7	0.3% + 0.4	1.7% + 0.4	1.3% + 0.4	1.4% + 0.6	1.5% + 0.4	1.2% + 0.6	2.2% + 0.9	1.3% + 0.7
2	2.0 + 0.4	2.7 + 0.6	1.7 + 0.5	0.3 + 0.2	0.9 + 0.6	2.0 + 0.5	2.0 + 0.6	2.1 + 0.3	1.9 + 0.5	1.8 + 1.1	2.1 + 0.9	2.4 + 0.7
3	4.0 + 0.5	5.8 + 0.9	2.7 + 0.7	0.8 + 0.5	2.5 + 1.7	3.8 + 0.8	4.2 + 0.5	5.2 + 2.3	4.2 + 0.9	4.6 + 1.6	4.0 + 1.1	3.2 + 1.1
Deployment could be delayed	6.7 + 0.7	9.0 + 1.0	4.8 + 1.1	3.7 + 1.7	3.8 + 1.7	6.8 + 1.0	6.6 + 1.1	4.9 + 1.8	6.5 + 1.1	7.3 + 1.5	5.8 + 1.4	7.4 + 1.3
5	12.5 + 1.0	14.6 + 1.4	11.2 + 1.5	8.4 + 2.2	9.4 + 2.5	12.3 + 1.2	12.1 + 1.9	16.7 + 2.8	11.4 + 1.4	11.3 + 2.2	13.7 + 2.4	14.5 + 2.5
16	33.4 + 1.7	34.8 + 1.9	33.5 + 2.5	26.2 + 3.1	25.2 + 4.2	32.0 + 2.1	36.9 + 3.1	31.3 + 4.4	34.2 + 2.1	34.3 + 3.6	33.0 + 4.1	31.5 + 3.3
Ready for deployment	39.9 + 2.0	31.0 + 1.8	45.1 + 2.8	59.9 + 5.0	57.9 + 4.3	41.3 + 2.8	37.0 + 2.5	38.3 + 3.8	40.2 + 3.2	39.5 + 3.6	39.5 + 4.2	39.7 + 3.7
Sample size	9,283	4,213	2,582	1,399	907	5,542	2,861	880	3,641	1,352	1,847	2,443
Weighted total	454,198	219,256	176,994	29,471	18,863	293,110	134,228	26,860	189,320	78,005	85,956	100,917

Ratee 1 -- Ratee Deployability (Army Task/Mission Viewpoint) by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

1045

Table DPLFMLY1

Rater 1 -- Ratee Deployability (Personal/Family Problems Viewpoint)
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Not likely to be ready for deployment	2.6% + 0.4 -	3.5% + 0.6 -	2.3% + 0.8 -	0.7% + 0.4 -	0.4% + 0.4 -	2.9% + 0.6 -	2.3% + 0.7 -	1.7% + 0.2 -	2.8% + 0.6 -	2.0% + 1.2 -	3.4% + 1.0 -	2.2% + 0.8 -
2	2.6 + 0.5 -	3.2 + 0.8 -	2.4 + 0.7 -	1.1 + 0.8 -	0.8 + 0.8 -	2.6 + 0.7 -	2.5 + 1.1 -	2.6 + 1.3 -	2.7 + 0.8 -	2.6 + 1.1 -	2.3 + 0.9 -	2.5 + 0.8 -
3	4.2 + 0.6 -	5.4 + 0.8 -	3.9 + 0.8 -	1.1 + 0.6 -	1.2 + 0.9 -	3.9 + 0.8 -	4.8 + 0.9 -	4.7 + 2.4 -	4.4 + 0.9 -	4.7 + 1.2 -	4.4 + 1.1 -	3.3 + 0.6 -
Deployment could be delayed	6.4 + 0.5 -	7.8 + 0.8 -	5.9 + 0.9 -	2.3 + 0.7 -	3.3 + 1.9 -	6.6 + 0.7 -	6.1 + 1.0 -	6.1 + 0.7 -	6.0 + 0.6 -	7.1 + 2.1 -	7.2 + 1.3 -	5.9 + 1.0 -
5	9.9 + 1.0 -	10.8 + 1.5 -	10.1 + 1.4 -	5.1 + 1.0 -	5.7 + 1.4 -	9.9 + 1.2 -	9.8 + 1.6 -	11.0 + 3.7 -	9.2 + 1.4 -	12.5 + 1.6 -	9.7 + 1.7 -	9.5 + 1.7 -
6	27.4 + 1.5 -	28.2 + 2.1 -	28.4 + 2.2 -	20.3 + 2.5 -	21.5 + 3.2 -	26.5 + 2.1 -	28.9 + 2.2 -	29.0 + 3.7 -	27.8 + 2.2 -	25.9 + 3.0 -	27.3 + 2.6 -	27.8 + 3.5 -
Ready for deployment	46.8 + 2.0 -	41.1 + 3.0 -	47.1 + 2.3 -	69.4 + 3.1 -	67.1 + 4.6 -	47.5 + 2.7 -	45.7 + 2.8 -	44.9 + 3.0 -	47.0 + 3.3 -	45.0 + 3.6 -	45.7 + 2.9 -	48.9 + 3.3 -
Sample size	9,323	4,188	2,579	1,426	947	5,582	2,849	892	3,621	1,344	1,845	2,513
Weighted total	453,625	217,492	176,685	30,067	19,667	293,166	133,477	26,982	187,503	77,821	85,770	102,531

Table DPLFMLY1 (cont.)

Ratee 1 -- Ratee Deployability (Personal/Family Problems Viewpoint)
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Enlisted	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	1.5%	0.3%	0.7%
	2.4%	10.7%	1.7%	4.8%	3.2%	3.0%	1.5%	0.0%	1.8%	3.2%
	± 0.5	± 7.1	± 1.3	± 2.8	± 1.0	± 0.9	± 1.2	± 0.0	± 0.6	± 1.0
Not likely to be ready for deployment										
2	2.1	4.4	1.6	3.7	3.3	3.4	2.4	1.3	2.4	3.3
	± 1.0	± 2.9	± 1.4	± 1.8	± 1.3	± 1.1	± 1.1	± 1.2	± 0.9	± 0.7
3	3.5	9.9	3.5	4.7	4.7	6.3	3.3	0.7	4.2	4.7
	± 0.7	± 4.6	± 2.5	± 2.6	± 1.6	± 1.4	± 1.6	± 0.8	± 0.9	± 1.1
Deployment could be delayed										
5	4.2	15.3	6.9	12.9	8.7	9.2	4.6	2.2	6.1	8.0
	± 0.7	± 4.1	± 2.7	± 4.3	± 1.5	± 1.7	± 2.1	± 1.0	± 1.0	± 1.4
6	7.8	15.9	14.1	13.1	11.4	12.2	10.0	6.7	9.6	11.4
	± 1.3	± 4.1	± 3.5	± 2.6	± 2.2	± 1.7	± 3.2	± 2.6	± 1.5	± 1.5
Ready for deployment										
6	26.8	23.3	25.5	28.2	28.9	29.0	30.9	23.1	28.9	27.6
	± 2.1	± 5.6	± 4.0	± 7.3	± 3.4	± 2.7	± 4.2	± 4.7	± 2.4	± 2.3
Ready for deployment										
53.3	20.5	46.7	32.7	39.9	39.9	36.9	47.3	66.0	47.1	41.8
	± 3.0	± 6.6	± 5.5	± 6.7	± 3.7	± 2.7	± 4.3	± 4.7	± 2.4	± 2.9
Sample size	2,539	215	412	361	1,146	1,704	562	694	2,710	2,608
Weighted total	169,145	10,628	14,714	13,426	52,234	83,728	39,704	13,983	117,091	104,474

Table DISPLNE1

Rating 1 -- Ratee Job Discipline
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Often does not finish job on time	1.8% + 0.4	2.5% + 0.5	1.3% + 0.5	0.7% + 0.7	0.1% + 0.2	1.9% + 0.5	1.6% + 0.6	1.7% + 1.0	2.0% + 0.6	2.5% + 1.0	1.5% + 0.7	1.2% + 0.6
2	3.1 + 0.5	4.5 + 0.8	2.3 + 0.6	0.6 + 0.4	0.5 + 0.5	2.7 + 0.7	3.5 + 1.0	3.6 + 1.1	3.7 + 0.9	3.8 + 1.5	3.3 + 1.3	1.5 + 0.5
3	4.7 + 0.6	6.7 + 1.1	3.2 + 0.7	1.8 + 0.9	0.9 + 0.6	4.4 + 0.8	5.3 + 1.0	4.3 + 1.5	5.6 + 1.1	4.3 + 1.0	5.0 + 1.3	3.1 + 0.8
Completes most jobs on time	10.3 + 0.9	14.0 + 1.3	7.8 + 1.1	4.8 + 1.3	3.2 + 1.4	9.6 + 1.2	11.8 + 1.6	10.2 + 3.9	10.7 + 1.4	11.6 + 2.2	12.7 + 2.1	6.5 + 1.4
5	20.1 + 1.0	24.2 + 1.3	17.8 + 1.3	12.4 + 2.7	8.5 + 2.1	19.4 + 1.3	22.1 + 1.4	17.5 + 2.9	21.6 + 1.7	23.0 + 2.2	19.9 + 3.5	15.3 + 2.1
6	29.6 + 1.2	28.1 + 1.9	31.7 + 2.1	30.8 + 3.0	23.5 + 3.3	28.8 + 1.7	30.6 + 1.4	32.8 + 3.7	29.2 + 1.7	30.1 + 2.7	29.9 + 2.7	29.6 + 2.2
Completes jobs on time, follows orders	30.5 + 1.9	20.0 + 1.5	35.8 + 2.4	48.9 + 4.1	63.4 + 4.0	33.1 + 2.9	24.7 + 1.4	29.9 + 6.2	27.2 + 2.4	25.0 + 3.3	27.8 + 3.0	42.9 + 2.8
Sample size	9,352	4,205	2,586	1,429	948	5,600	2,862	890	3,632	1,353	1,845	2,522
Weighted total	455,590	218,773	177,256	30,148	19,688	294,317	134,323	26,949	188,650	78,369	85,661	102,909

Table DISPLINE1 (cont.)

Rater 1 -- Ratee Job Discipline
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse																
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Often does Not finish job on time	2.3% + 0.6	2.3% + 2.3	1.7% + 1.3	0.8% + 0.7	1.8% + 0.8	1.5% + 0.6	0.6% + 0.8	0.2% + 0.3	0.3% + 0.6	0.4% + 0.5	1.0% + 0.5	1.7% + 0.6					
2	4.1 + 0.9	4.9 + 3.6	2.5 + 1.8	1.4 + 1.3	2.8 + 1.2	3.4 + 0.9	2.1 + 1.2	1.1 + 1.1	0.5 + 0.5	0.3 + 0.4	2.5 + 0.7	2.5 + 0.9					
3	5.5 + 1.0	7.1 + 3.6	3.9 + 2.5	5.0 + 2.7	4.8 + 1.1	5.4 + 1.2	2.1 + 1.1	1.2 + 0.9	1.9 + 1.2	1.6 + 1.1	3.8 + 1.1	4.1 + 0.8					
Completes most jobs on time	12.3 + 1.3	12.5 + 5.8	6.7 + 3.1	10.0 + 3.4	12.5 + 2.0	9.3 + 1.6	7.9 + 2.4	3.4 + 2.1	3.9 + 1.8	2.8 + 1.5	9.6 + 1.2	8.0 + 1.6					
5	23.3 + 1.4	23.7 + 7.3	19.5 + 5.6	18.8 + 5.8	19.3 + 2.5	18.9 + 2.1	18.9 + 3.3	14.4 + 3.7	9.0 + 2.2	11.0 + 2.8	16.7 + 1.7	18.9 + 1.5					
6	29.0 + 2.0	20.2 + 6.1	31.7 + 5.4	26.9 + 6.8	32.7 + 3.4	32.3 + 2.4	29.4 + 4.8	26.1 + 4.5	27.3 + 3.0	27.9 + 4.7	31.0 + 2.2	30.1 + 2.3					
Completes jobs on time, follows orders	23.5 + 1.9	29.3 + 7.9	34.0 + 4.5	37.1 + 6.5	26.1 + 3.1	29.1 + 2.5	39.0 + 4.7	53.7 + 6.1	57.1 + 3.8	56.1 + 4.3	35.4 + 3.6	34.7 + 2.3					
Sample size	2,551	215	410	364	1,151	1,714	559	487	694	598	2,719	2,614					
Weighted total	170,321	10,603	14,605	13,533	52,548	84,299	39,507	10,244	13,975	14,795	117,550	104,747					

Table KNOWGE I

Rater 1 -- Ratees Job Knowledge/Skills
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Does not display skills to perform jobs	1.1% + 0.2	1.7% + 0.4	0.7% + 0.3	0.3% + 0.3	0.0% + 0.1	1.0% + 0.2	1.4% + 0.5	1.0% + 0.4	1.2% + 0.4	1.3% + 0.8	1.1% + 0.5	0.7% + 0.4
2	2.3 + 0.5	3.6 + 0.8	1.1 + 0.4	0.7 + 0.5	0.6 + 0.8	2.1 + 0.5	2.6 + 1.1	2.9 + 1.6	2.6 + 0.6	2.5 + 1.3	2.7 + 1.2	1.1 + 0.5
3	4.0 + 0.6	5.4 + 0.9	3.1 + 0.7	1.9 + 1.0	0.6 + 0.5	3.6 + 0.8	4.5 + 1.0	5.0 + 1.9	4.6 + 1.0	5.0 + 1.7	3.5 + 1.0	2.5 + 0.8
Displays some skills to perform jobs	9.6 + 1.1	15.0 + 1.4	5.3 + 1.1	3.6 + 1.0	1.9 + 1.1	9.2 + 1.4	10.6 + 1.7	8.9 + 5.0	11.3 + 1.5	8.7 + 1.7	12.0 + 2.2	5.3 + 1.2
5	20.9 + 1.4	27.8 + 1.5	15.9 + 2.0	13.3 + 2.2	6.3 + 1.7	20.9 + 1.8	21.3 + 2.3	19.3 + 2.4	21.5 + 1.7	27.3 + 3.3	20.8 + 2.3	14.3 + 2.3
6	29.6 + 1.2	28.2 + 1.5	31.8 + 2.4	32.7 + 3.2	21.1 + 2.8	29.6 + 1.6	29.8 + 1.6	27.5 + 2.5	30.6 + 2.0	29.3 + 3.0	28.5 + 2.4	28.7 + 2.5
Displays all skills to perform jobs	32.6 + 2.3	18.2 + 1.7	42.0 + 2.7	47.5 + 4.6	69.4 + 3.5	33.6 + 3.2	29.8 + 2.7	35.4 + 6.1	27.8 + 2.4	25.9 + 3.7	31.5 + 3.3	47.5 + 3.1
Sample size	9,326	4,191	2,576	1,424	952	5,607	2,838	881	3,624	1,343	1,847	2,512
Weighted total	453,970	217,930	176,454	30,129	19,808	294,448	132,930	26,592	188,102	77,911	85,932	102,025

Table KNOWLEDGE I (cont.)

Ratee 1 --- Ratee Job Knowledge/Skills
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Officer	Spouse not Employed
Does Not display skills to perform jobs	1.3% + 0.5	3.1% + 2.9	0.6% + 0.9	0.6% + 0.0	1.0% + 0.5	1.1% + 0.5	0.6% + 0.7	0.2% + 0.4	0.3% + 0.5	0.0% + 0.0	0.7% + 0.4	1.2% + 0.6
2	3.4 + 1.1	0.9 + 1.8	2.0 + 1.6	0.6 + 0.7	1.8 + 0.7	1.9 + 0.7	1.1 + 0.9	1.2 + 1.6	0.7 + 0.6	0.4 + 0.5	1.4 + 0.5	1.8 + 0.8
3	5.0 + 1.1	5.5 + 4.3	2.5 + 1.6	3.6 + 2.2	3.9 + 1.2	4.4 + 1.1	1.6 + 1.2	1.2 + 1.1	1.3 + 0.9	0.3 + 0.4	2.7 + 0.6	3.6 + 0.9
Displays some skills to perform jobs	13.5 + 1.5	11.6 + 5.6	8.4 + 3.4	10.6 + 4.1	10.3 + 2.0	7.8 + 1.4	5.2 + 1.7	2.1 + 1.3	2.1 + 1.0	2.2 + 1.3	6.4 + 1.2	7.2 + 1.3
5	26.1 + 1.9	17.5 + 5.8	23.0 + 4.1	20.0 + 5.2	23.3 + 3.1	19.6 + 2.2	11.4 + 2.6	11.6 + 3.4	9.3 + 2.4	9.1 + 2.7	16.9 + 2.1	17.5 + 2.0
6	28.4 + 2.0	32.3 + 6.9	26.6 + 4.7	26.4 + 4.6	31.1 + 3.0	30.3 + 2.8	33.5 + 4.8	29.7 + 4.9	26.1 + 4.1	22.0 + 3.7	30.9 + 2.5	29.5 + 2.1
Displays all skills to perform jobs	22.2 + 2.1	29.0 + 5.9	36.9 + 4.8	38.8 + 6.5	28.6 + 3.7	34.9 + 3.0	46.7 + 4.7	54.0 + 6.6	60.3 + 5.3	66.0 + 3.9	41.0 + 3.1	39.2 + 3.7
Sample size	2,541	217	408	362	1,149	1,700	565	486	690	601	2,711	2,608
Weighted total	169,436	10,605	14,468	13,445	52,701	83,210	39,955	10,219	13,933	14,854	117,415	104,334

Table PRESURE1

Rater 1 — Ratee Performance Under Pressure
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Loses composure in stressful conditions	1.9% + 0.3	2.9% + 0.5	1.3% + 0.4	0.6% + 0.6	0.1% + 0.2	1.8% + 0.4	2.1% + 0.4	2.5% + 0.5	2.3% + 0.5	1.6% + 0.9	2.2% + 0.8	1.2% + 0.6
2	3.3 + 0.6	5.2 + 1.0	1.9 + 0.6	0.4 + 0.4	0.9 + 0.6	3.2 + 0.8	3.6 + 1.0	3.4 + 0.9	3.9 + 0.8	4.1 + 1.8	3.1 + 1.3	1.7 + 0.7
3	6.3 + 0.7	9.0 + 1.1	4.5 + 0.9	1.8 + 0.8	1.2 + 0.7	5.7 + 0.9	7.4 + 1.0	7.6 + 1.9	7.0 + 1.1	7.2 + 1.1	6.7 + 1.6	3.9 + 1.1
Loses some composure under stress	12.6 + 1.1	17.9 + 1.9	8.2 + 1.2	5.7 + 1.7	3.7 + 1.9	12.6 + 1.6	12.5 + 1.4	12.3 + 3.1	13.3 + 1.3	13.1 + 1.8	14.8 + 2.9	8.8 + 1.9
5	20.4 + 1.0	23.9 + 1.5	19.0 + 2.0	12.3 + 2.2	8.1 + 2.1	19.4 + 1.2	22.8 + 2.1	20.4 + 2.5	20.8 + 1.7	22.9 + 3.9	22.8 + 2.6	16.0 + 1.7
1052	31.0 + 1.3	28.7 + 1.7	34.1 + 2.2	33.5 + 3.0	25.4 + 2.5	31.3 + 1.6	30.9 + 2.8	28.2 + 2.4	31.5 + 2.0	31.0 + 3.3	29.3 + 2.5	31.6 + 2.1
Keeps composure in stressful conditions	24.5 + 1.9	12.3 + 1.4	31.1 + 2.2	45.7 + 3.7	60.6 + 3.2	28.1 + 2.6	20.7 + 2.7	25.5 + 5.8	21.1 + 2.3	20.1 + 2.5	21.1 + 2.9	36.9 + 2.8
Sample size	9,355	4,216	2,578	1,427	951	5,616	2,849	890	3,634	1,347	1,858	2,516
Weighted total	455,374	219,349	176,525	30,077	19,773	294,842	133,503	27,029	188,379	77,921	86,740	102,335

Table PRESURE1 (cont.)

Rater 1 -- Ratee Performance Under Pressure
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
Loses composure in stressful conditions	2.9% + 0.6	2.9% + 2.3	0.5% + 0.6	0.2% + 0.3	1.6% + 0.7	1.4% + 0.6	1.2% + 1.0	0.5% + 0.5	0.3% + 0.6	0.0% + 0.0	0.9% + 0.4	1.8% + 0.7
2	4.6 + 1.0	6.0 + 4.4	3.5 + 2.3	2.1 + 1.9	2.8 + 0.9	2.8 + 1.0	1.9 + 1.4	0.4 + 0.6	0.8 + 0.9	0.6 + 0.5	1.8 + 0.6	2.9 + 0.9
3	7.9 + 1.2	10.9 + 4.2	5.6 + 2.5	7.3 + 2.6	7.2 + 1.5	5.9 + 1.0	3.6 + 1.6	1.7 + 1.7	2.2 + 1.4	1.0 + 0.9	4.7 + 0.9	5.3 + 1.2
Loses some composure under stress	16.0 + 1.8	14.0 + 5.1	13.2 + 4.4	10.8 + 3.8	13.9 + 2.3	11.8 + 2.1	6.9 + 2.3	6.2 + 2.8	3.3 + 1.5	4.3 + 2.1	9.7 + 1.3	10.6 + 1.5
5	23.0 + 2.1	20.7 + 6.2	18.3 + 4.6	22.6 + 7.0	21.8 + 2.7	20.3 + 2.7	18.9 + 3.7	11.9 + 3.7	10.3 + 2.9	10.8 + 2.7	18.3 + 2.1	19.0 + 1.7
6	29.0 + 1.9	24.0 + 5.8	32.0 + 5.2	33.0 + 6.2	32.9 + 3.1	32.5 + 2.9	32.5 + 3.6	29.6 + 4.4	28.8 + 4.1	29.2 + 5.2	32.6 + 2.6	31.3 + 2.4
Keeps composure in stressful conditions	16.6 + 1.8	21.6 + 6.0	27.0 + 4.5	24.0 + 6.2	19.8 + 2.7	25.3 + 3.1	35.0 + 4.4	49.7 + 4.7	54.2 + 4.3	53.9 + 6.0	31.9 + 2.8	29.1 + 2.8
Sample size	2,547	213	409	362	1,162	1,709	564	488	694	600	2,726	2,619
Weighted total	170,194	10,516	14,564	13,418	53,249	83,687	39,754	10,214	13,981	14,831	118,013	104,554

Table CONCERNI

Rater 1 -- Ratee Care/Concern for Subordinates
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Falls to provide concern for subordinate	1.6% + 0.4	2.9% + 0.8	1.2% + 0.5	0.1% + 0.2	0.2% + 0.4	1.7% + 0.5	1.5% + 0.7	1.4% + 1.4	2.3% + 0.6	1.5% + 0.8	1.3% + 0.8	0.6% + 0.5
2	2.7 + 0.6	4.9 + 1.2	2.1 + 0.8	0.4 + 0.3	0.3 + 0.4	2.3 + 0.8	3.5 + 1.1	3.3 + 1.3	3.3 + 1.1	2.6 + 1.5	2.6 + 1.3	1.7 + 0.8
3	3.9 + 0.6	6.2 + 1.2	3.3 + 0.8	1.4 + 0.9	0.6 + 0.5	3.5 + 0.7	4.8 + 0.9	3.2 + 2.3	4.7 + 0.8	4.5 + 1.6	4.6 + 1.7	1.5 + 0.8
Usually provides concern for subordinate	9.8 + 1.4	14.5 + 2.9	8.8 + 1.4	4.3 + 1.5	3.2 + 1.8	8.3 + 1.2	13.4 + 3.4	9.6 + 5.9	10.3 + 1.4	13.3 + 4.0	10.3 + 2.3	6.1 + 1.6
5	19.8 + 1.5	25.5 + 2.2	18.1 + 1.9	15.6 + 2.7	9.8 + 2.8	19.2 + 1.9	20.6 + 2.5	22.7 + 2.7	19.4 + 2.2	23.4 + 3.1	22.3 + 4.0	16.0 + 3.0
1054	27.4 + 1.7	24.4 + 2.7	28.8 + 2.2	30.2 + 3.2	24.7 + 3.5	28.2 + 2.3	25.5 + 2.7	27.5 + 3.9	28.3 + 3.0	26.1 + 4.5	25.6 + 3.2	28.2 + 2.9
Provides much concern for subordinates	34.8 + 2.2	21.6 + 2.7	37.7 + 2.4	47.9 + 4.4	61.2 + 4.8	38.8 + 3.2	30.7 + 2.4	32.2 + 5.8	31.8 + 3.4	28.4 + 3.2	33.3 + 3.4	45.8 + 3.2
Sample size	6,436	1,923	2,243	1,271	848	3,869	1,945	622	2,524	887	1,238	1,787
Weighted total	305,158	98,887	154,834	26,269	17,407	199,245	87,695	18,217	126,785	51,175	56,307	70,891

Table CONCERN1 (cont.)

Rater 1 — Rates Care/Concern for Subordinates
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Officer	Spouse not Employed
Falls to provide concern for subordinate	2.6% + 0.9	1.4% + 2.7	0.4% + 0.7	1.8% + 1.6	1.5% + 0.9	1.4% + 0.8	0.7% + 0.9	0.4% + 0.7	0.3% + 0.4	0.0% + 0.0	0.8% + 0.5	1.4% + 0.7
2	4.3 + 1.3	6.6 + 5.1	4.0 + 4.0	0.3 + 0.6	2.9 + 1.4	2.1 + 1.3	1.9 + 1.7	0.2 + 0.5	0.4 + 0.5	0.1 + 0.3	2.0 + 0.7	1.7 + 1.0
3	5.1 + 1.2	1.1 + 1.2	1.9 + 2.1	3.2 + 2.9	5.2 + 2.0	4.0 + 1.1	2.9 + 1.6	0.5 + 0.5	0.8 + 0.7	1.9 + 1.5	3.4 + 1.1	3.6 + 1.0
Usually provides concern for subordinate	11.4 + 3.0	14.3 + 6.0	9.8 + 4.1	7.1 + 4.2	12.1 + 2.7	10.2 + 1.6	7.8 + 2.6	5.4 + 3.2	4.3 + 1.9	3.2 + 1.3	9.0 + 1.4	8.9 + 1.8
5	22.5 + 2.3	17.0 + 9.4	21.5 + 6.3	17.2 + 6.0	19.1 + 3.2	21.4 + 2.4	17.8 + 4.1	16.5 + 3.7	9.9 + 2.6	11.5 + 3.9	17.4 + 2.1	18.6 + 2.3
6	26.3 + 2.9	23.3 + 7.9	22.5 + 6.2	28.7 + 6.8	28.9 + 3.8	27.4 + 3.1	29.8 + 5.3	27.9 + 6.0	27.8 + 4.7	29.6 + 5.0	28.7 + 2.8	28.0 + 2.7
Provides much concern for subordinates	27.7 + 2.5	36.3 + 8.1	39.9 + 6.2	41.7 + 8.4	30.4 + 4.7	33.5 + 3.2	39.1 + 5.2	48.9 + 6.2	56.6 + 5.9	53.8 + 6.4	38.7 + 3.4	37.8 + 3.2
Sample size	1,501	139	265	246	697	1,108	455	432	615	527	2,051	1,880
Weighted total	91,789	6,851	9,263	9,368	34,316	62,039	33,529	8,684	12,127	12,726	91,650	77,217

Table SUBFAM Y1

Rater 1 -- Ratee Care/Concern for Subordinates' family
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
No concern for subordinates' family	1.8% + 0.5	3.6% + 1.2	1.3% + 0.4	0.1% + 0.2	0.2% + 0.3	1.8% + 0.6	1.8% + 0.8	1.4% + 1.2	2.3% + 0.8	1.5% + 0.8	1.9% + 0.9	1.1% + 0.6
2	2.3 + 0.7	4.1 + 1.4	1.9 + 0.7	0.2 + 0.3	0.4 + 0.5	2.2 + 0.9	2.5 + 1.3	3.5 + 2.1	3.1 + 1.4	2.0 + 1.3	2.5 + 1.1	1.1 + 0.7
3	4.2 + 0.6	6.3 + 1.5	3.7 + 0.8	1.3 + 0.7	1.0 + 0.9	3.3 + 0.6	6.4 + 1.4	3.4 + 1.6	4.8 + 1.0	5.9 + 2.3	3.4 + 1.2	2.4 + 1.0
Some concern for subordinates' family	9.9 + 1.5	16.0 + 2.5	7.9 + 1.7	5.8 + 2.1	3.1 + 2.0	8.9 + 1.6	12.3 + 3.2	8.9 + 5.2	10.1 + 1.5	14.9 + 5.3	10.2 + 2.3	5.6 + 1.4
5	18.8 + 1.6	23.3 + 2.5	17.8 + 2.0	16.4 + 3.2	9.1 + 2.1	18.0 + 2.0	20.5 + 3.2	20.2 + 5.4	18.6 + 2.2	20.9 + 3.9	21.4 + 3.8	15.7 + 2.5
6	30.3 + 1.6	25.0 + 2.7	32.6 + 2.0	34.0 + 3.2	27.5 + 4.0	31.2 + 2.2	27.9 + 2.2	31.4 + 1.7	31.3 + 2.8	28.2 + 4.0	27.8 + 3.6	31.9 + 3.0
Much concern for subordinates' family	32.7 + 2.5	21.8 + 2.6	34.8 + 3.0	42.2 + 4.4	58.7 + 5.2	34.6 + 3.5	28.7 + 3.0	31.1 + 6.2	29.8 + 3.2	28.8 + 3.4	32.8 + 3.9	42.2 + 4.0
Sample size	6,285	1,832	2,207	1,254	841	3,793	1,901	591	2,461	878	1,197	1,749
Weighted total	297,537	93,990	152,812	25,702	17,273	195,019	85,472	17,046	122,960	50,914	54,511	69,152

Table LEADER1

Rater 1 — Ratee Leadership of Subordinates
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Organizes and executes missions poorly	1.7% + 0.5	2.7% + 1.0	1.5% + 0.6	0.5% + 0.4	0.4% + 0.4	1.8% + 0.5	1.8% + 0.9	1.0% + 0.9	2.1% + 0.7	2.3% + 1.0	1.1% + 0.8	1.1% + 0.6
2	3.5 + 0.6	5.8 + 1.5	2.9 + 0.8	0.8 + 0.5	0.4 + 0.5	3.1 + 0.8	4.5 + 1.3	3.1 + 2.0	4.3 + 1.0	3.8 + 2.0	3.7 + 1.0	1.8 + 0.8
3	5.9 + 0.8	8.7 + 1.4	5.3 + 0.9	2.8 + 1.2	1.6 + 1.2	5.5 + 1.0	6.5 + 1.0	7.2 + 3.6	5.8 + 0.9	7.0 + 2.5	7.4 + 1.8	3.9 + 1.7
Organizes & executes missions adequately	11.1 + 1.2	15.8 + 2.8	9.9 + 1.2	6.0 + 2.0	5.4 + 2.0	10.2 + 1.2	12.6 + 2.5	15.1 + 6.3	12.8 + 1.6	12.5 + 3.5	11.7 + 2.5	6.9 + 1.6
5	21.7 + 1.2	26.5 + 2.5	21.3 + 1.6	15.8 + 3.1	9.8 + 3.2	20.8 + 1.6	23.4 + 1.9	24.0 + 5.6	21.9 + 1.9	24.6 + 3.5	24.3 + 3.2	17.4 + 2.7
6	27.0 + 1.7	23.2 + 2.7	27.7 + 2.5	34.0 + 3.3	28.3 + 3.6	28.2 + 1.9	25.3 + 3.4	21.6 + 5.3	27.2 + 2.6	25.7 + 4.0	25.0 + 3.0	29.2 + 2.8
Organizes and executes missions very well	29.0 + 2.1	17.2 + 2.7	31.4 + 2.5	40.1 + 4.2	54.1 + 3.0	30.4 + 2.9	26.1 + 3.6	28.0 + 4.2	26.0 + 3.0	24.1 + 2.8	26.9 + 3.5	39.8 + 3.6
Sample size	6,386	1,875	2,233	1,276	852	3,860	1,928	598	2,502	892	1,212	1,780
Weighted total	302,718	97,198	154,120	26,144	17,505	198,293	86,808	17,616	125,739	51,430	55,517	70,031

Ratee 1 -- Ratee Leadership of Subordinates by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
Organizes and executes missions poorly	2.3% + 1.0	4.6% + 4.4	0.6% + 1.1	0.8% + 1.1	2.2% + 1.5	2.2% + 1.1	0.7% + 0.9	0.7% + 0.7	0.6% + 0.2	1.1% + 0.5	1.9% + 1.0		
2	5.1 + 1.6	4.9 + 4.1	1.8 + 1.6	2.4 + 2.4	3.7 + 1.5	2.6 + 1.1	2.7 + 1.7	0.0 + 0.0	1.0 + 0.7	1.2 + 1.4	2.6 + 1.0		
3	7.0 + 1.6	5.6 + 4.2	4.2 + 3.2	6.7 + 4.1	9.2 + 2.1	5.7 + 1.4	4.5 + 2.3	3.2 + 2.0	1.8 + 1.2	1.5 + 1.2	5.6 + 1.4	4.9 + 1.1	
Organizes & executes missions adequately	14.1 + 2.4	13.9 + 6.1	9.7 + 4.3	6.1 + 2.9	12.3 + 2.4	11.1 + 1.7	9.5 + 3.1	7.8 + 3.4	3.7 + 1.7	6.4 + 2.5	10.7 + 1.9	9.2 + 1.5	
5	23.4 + 2.6	21.7 + 6.3	23.6 + 6.2	23.0 + 6.6	21.9 + 3.2	24.2 + 2.9	20.1 + 3.8	13.1 + 3.8	14.1 + 3.3	12.3 + 4.8	19.6 + 2.0	21.2 + 2.4	
6	25.1 + 2.5	21.4 + 7.5	26.0 + 5.6	31.4 + 7.3	26.5 + 3.9	27.9 + 3.0	29.5 + 4.5	30.3 + 5.1	29.2 + 4.8	31.0 + 5.4	28.3 + 2.7	28.1 + 2.9	
Organizes and executes missions very well	23.0 + 2.5	27.9 + 8.9	34.2 + 5.5	29.5 + 7.4	24.2 + 3.3	26.4 + 3.4	33.0 + 5.6	44.9 + 6.7	49.6 + 5.6	47.4 + 7.3	32.0 + 3.0	32.1 + 3.2	
Sample size	1,472	140	260	246	684	1,100	447	436	622	532	2,045	1,868	
Weighted total	90,032	7,001	9,071	9,355	33,913	61,765	33,362	8,774	12,325	12,816	91,269	76,840	

Table TRAINER1

Rating 1 -- Ratee Ability as Trainer of Subordinates
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
Indifferent to soldiers' training needs	1.6% + 0.4	3.0% + 1.0	1.3% + 0.4	0.1% + 0.2	0.1% + 0.1	1.6% + 0.5	2.0% + 0.6	0.6% + 0.9	2.1% + 0.7	1.9% + 1.0	1.2% + 0.8	0.9% + 0.6
2	3.6 + 0.8	5.8 + 1.8	3.1 + 0.7	1.0 + 1.1	0.8 + 0.7	3.2 + 1.0	4.2 + 1.3	4.2 + 1.1	4.0 + 1.2	4.6 + 2.0	3.8 + 1.5	1.8 + 0.8
3	6.1 + 1.0	9.3 + 1.7	5.6 + 1.1	2.0 + 1.0	0.8 + 0.6	5.2 + 1.2	8.1 + 1.7	6.9 + 3.4	6.7 + 1.3	8.3 + 2.2	6.7 + 2.0	3.1 + 1.5
Helps soldiers identify training needs	11.4 + 1.1	17.1 + 2.5	9.7 + 1.3	6.2 + 1.3	3.7 + 1.9	10.0 + 1.2	14.5 + 2.4	11.5 + 4.1	12.3 + 1.7	11.9 + 3.6	13.4 + 2.2	7.6 + 1.6
5	19.7 + 1.5	23.9 + 2.7	18.9 + 1.8	18.5 + 3.1	8.6 + 2.9	18.5 + 2.0	21.8 + 2.6	23.7 + 3.6	19.6 + 1.8	23.7 + 3.0	22.7 + 3.5	14.7 + 2.9
6	29.1 + 1.8	24.5 + 3.5	30.9 + 2.3	33.3 + 3.5	31.1 + 3.7	30.7 + 2.5	26.8 + 2.5	23.5 + 4.4	29.1 + 2.7	29.4 + 4.4	25.1 + 3.2	32.3 + 3.0
Provides comprehensive training	28.4 + 2.3	16.5 + 2.9	30.6 + 2.3	39.0 + 4.1	54.9 + 3.7	30.9 + 3.2	22.6 + 2.6	29.5 + 4.5	26.2 + 2.9	20.2 + 3.5	27.0 + 3.5	39.5 + 3.0
Sample size	6,377	1,848	2,234	1,267	838	3,816	1,920	601	2,489	893	1,195	1,760
Weighted total	301,274	96,124	154,190	25,997	17,192	196,760	86,827	17,667	125,467	51,718	54,782	69,288

Table TRAINER1 (cont.)

Rating 1 -- Ratee Ability as Trainer of Subordinates
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Child 6+	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Officer
Indifferent to soldiers' training needs	2.4 ± 0.9	3.7 ± 4.1	0.4 ± 0.7	0.9 ± 1.1	1.4 ± 0.9	0.9 ± 1.0	1.9 ± 1.0	0.3 ± 0.4	0.9 ± 1.0	0.0 ± 0.0
2	5.4 ± 1.8	1.1 ± 1.5	2.2 ± 1.9	1.8 ± 1.5	4.2 ± 1.8	3.2 ± 1.5	2.0 ± 1.3	1.0 ± 1.0	0.1 ± 0.3	0.7 ± 0.7
3	7.3 ± 1.7	8.6 ± 5.0	6.0 ± 2.7	6.7 ± 4.0	7.4 ± 2.0	6.2 ± 1.6	5.7 ± 2.4	0.7 ± 0.7	2.1 ± 1.8	1.9 ± 1.4
Helps soldiers identify training needs	12.9 ± 2.4	12.1 ± 6.1	13.2 ± 4.9	10.4 ± 5.5	13.3 ± 2.1	12.6 ± 1.6	9.2 ± 2.3	8.6 ± 2.7	5.6 ± 2.1	4.4 ± 1.8
5	23.1 ± 3.1	24.0 ± 5.8	19.6 ± 5.7	18.6 ± 6.2	20.3 ± 3.5	18.5 ± 2.9	18.2 ± 4.3	14.7 ± 3.5	11.5 ± 3.4	12.6 ± 3.1
6	27.0 ± 3.6	24.6 ± 8.3	23.0 ± 5.4	32.3 ± 7.3	27.9 ± 3.7	31.7 ± 3.1	31.0 ± 5.3	29.0 ± 6.0	34.4 ± 4.4	30.5 ± 5.5
Provides comprehensive training	21.8 ± 3.0	26.0 ± 7.6	35.6 ± 6.6	29.3 ± 7.2	25.4 ± 3.8	25.9 ± 2.8	33.0 ± 4.6	45.8 ± 7.3	45.9 ± 7.2	50.0 ± 5.9
Spouse not Employed	1,460	141	256	246	684	1,092	445	433	521	614
Spouse Employed	89,412	7,080	8,916	9,332	34,071	61,536	33,303	8,691	12,586	12,195
Sample size										
Weighted total										

SOLDIER SCALES

Table UPERSUPP

Unit Personnel Support
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Services Support	TDA
25th percentile	13.1 ± 0.3	11.9 ± 0.3	13.9 ± 0.3	16.1 ± 0.4	17.2 ± 0.4	13.3 ± 0.4	12.7 ± 0.4	13.1 ± 1.2	12.8 ± 0.4	12.9 ± 0.5	12.5 ± 0.4	14.4 ± 0.5
50th percentile	16.3 ± 0.3	15.0 ± 0.3	17.1 ± 0.2	18.6 ± 0.3	19.6 ± 0.3	16.6 ± 0.3	15.8 ± 0.4	16.2 ± 1.1	15.9 ± 0.3	15.9 ± 0.4	15.9 ± 0.5	17.7 ± 0.3
75th percentile	19.4 ± 0.2	18.0 ± 0.2	20.0 ± 0.2	20.9 ± 0.2	21.8 ± 0.3	19.6 ± 0.3	18.9 ± 0.3	19.3 ± 0.9	19.1 ± 0.3	18.8 ± 0.4	18.9 ± 0.2	20.5 ± 0.2
Sample size	10,928	4,660	2,875	1,725	1,459	6,500	3,360	1,068	4,104	1,527	2,107	3,190
Weighted total	466,647	225,804	180,841	30,468	19,884	300,092	139,133	27,422	192,917	80,119	87,632	105,979

Table UPERSUPP (cont.)
Unit Personnel Support
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+
25th percentile	12.4 + 0.3	11.3 + 0.9	12.5 + 0.6	13.1 + 0.8	13.3 + 0.4	12.7 + 0.4	14.5 + 0.5	16.2 + 0.4	16.5 + 0.5	16.9 + 0.9	13.8 + 0.3	13.7 + 0.4
50th percentile	15.5 + 0.3	15.5 + 1.5	16.2 + 0.9	16.3 + 0.7	16.2 + 0.3	15.9 + 0.4	17.7 + 0.4	18.9 + 0.5	19.0 + 0.4	19.3 + 0.4	17.0 + 0.3	16.9 + 0.3
75th percentile	18.7 + 0.3	19.1 + 0.5	19.3 + 0.4	19.3 + 0.6	19.0 + 0.3	19.1 + 0.4	20.8 + 0.4	21.0 + 0.3	21.3 + 0.3	21.5 + 0.3	19.9 + 0.2	19.9 + 0.3
Sample size	2,866	244	478	422	1,908	1,288	632	891	624	902	3,247	3,139
Weighted total	175,379	10,937	15,123	13,988	86,301	54,599	40,703	13,952	9,999	15,399	120,457	107,056

Table USPSUPP

Unit Supervision Support
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
25th percentile	11.3 ± 0.2	10.5 ± 0.2	11.8 ± 0.2	13.7 ± 0.3	14.3 ± 0.3	11.4 ± 0.3	11.0 ± 0.1	11.0 ± 0.5	10.9 ± 0.2	10.9 ± 0.5	11.1 ± 0.3	13.2 ± 0.3
50th percentile	14.5 ± 0.2	13.6 ± 0.2	15.0 ± 0.2	15.5 ± 0.2	16.8 ± 0.2	14.7 ± 0.2	14.1 ± 0.3	14.1 ± 0.5	14.2 ± 0.2	14.1 ± 0.4	14.3 ± 0.2	15.5 ± 0.2
75th percentile	17.4 ± 0.2	15.8 ± 0.2	17.7 ± 0.1	18.1 ± 0.2	18.5 ± 0.2	17.5 ± 0.2	17.0 ± 0.3	16.9 ± 0.6	17.1 ± 0.2	16.0 ± 0.4	17.2 ± 0.2	18.0 ± 0.1
Sample size	10,898	4,644	2,870	1,723	1,452	6,485	3,351	1,062	4,094	1,525	2,101	3,178
Weighted total	465,566	224,965	180,715	30,432	19,804	299,408	138,890	27,267	192,449	80,058	87,418	105,640

Table USUSUPP (cont.)
Unit Supervision Support
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
25th percentile	10.9 + 0.3	11.0 + 0.6	11.4 + 0.4	11.9 + 0.5	10.7 + 0.3	11.2 + 0.2	13.0 + 0.4	14.1 + 0.4	13.9 + 0.4	14.2 + 0.5	11.6 + 0.2	11.5 + 0.2	
50th percentile	14.0 + 0.2	14.3 + 0.5	14.7 + 0.5	14.9 + 0.4	14.3 + 0.5	14.5 + 0.3	15.5 + 0.4	15.7 + 0.3	15.7 + 0.3	16.8 + 0.4	15.0 + 0.3	14.8 + 0.2	
75th percentile	16.0 + 0.2	17.3 + 0.9	17.5 + 0.3	17.9 + 0.4	17.1 + 0.3	17.3 + 0.3	18.0 + 0.3	18.2 + 0.2	18.1 + 0.2	18.4 + 0.2	17.8 + 0.2	17.4 + 0.2	
Sample size	2,851	244	477	422	1,288	1,907	633	623	889	897	3,239	3,136	
Weighted total	174,529	10,937	15,100	13,988	54,599	86,283	40,848	9,994	13,916	15,336	120,466	106,937	

Table WORKPRED

Work Predictability
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	17.3 + 0.4	17.3 + 0.4	17.3 + 0.6	17.1 + 0.6	17.9 + 0.5	17.5 + 0.6	16.9 + 0.6	17.4 + 0.6	16.1 + 0.5	16.8 + 0.9	17.9 + 0.5	19.0 + 0.4
50th percentile	20.3 + 0.4	20.3 + 0.4	20.4 + 0.6	19.6 + 0.6	20.3 + 0.6	20.6 + 0.5	19.8 + 0.6	20.3 + 1.1	19.1 + 0.2	19.7 + 0.6	20.8 + 0.6	22.8 + 0.5
75th percentile	24.2 + 0.2	24.3 + 0.2	24.3 + 0.3	23.2 + 0.6	24.1 + 0.3	24.4 + 0.3	23.7 + 0.7	24.1 + 0.5	23.0 + 0.5	23.6 + 0.6	24.4 + 0.2	25.6 + 0.6
Sample size	10,972	4,677	2,889	1,731	1,465	6,526	3,373	1,073	4,117	1,536	2,114	3,205
Weighted total	468,575	226,573	181,729	30,562	19,985	301,368	139,620	27,589	193,506	80,382	88,010	106,677

Table WORKPREO (cont.)

Work Predictability
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
25th percentile	17.4 + 0.5	17.9 + 2.1	18.1 + 0.5	18.8 + 0.5	18.8 + 0.7	17.0 + 0.6	17.6 + 0.8	17.6 + 0.9	16.5 + 0.7	17.7 + 0.8
50th percentile	20.4 + 0.5	21.3 + 1.0	21.5 + 1.0	22.0 + 0.7	20.0 + 0.6	19.9 + 0.5	20.5 + 0.8	20.1 + 0.8	19.1 + 0.3	20.0 + 0.8
75th percentile	24.2 + 0.3	24.9 + 0.6	25.0 + 0.5	24.9 + 0.5	24.1 + 0.3	24.0 + 0.3	24.2 + 0.5	24.1 + 0.4	22.9 + 0.8	24.1 + 0.5
Spouse not Employed	2,879	244	479	425	1,293	1,916	635	631	892	902
Spouse Employed	176,093	10,937	15,184	14,151	54,714	86,673	40,942	10,170	13,966	15,399
Sample size										
Weighted total										

Table WORKNOT
Work Motivation
by Rank, Location, and Unit type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	9.5 ± 0.1	8.3 ± 0.1	10.0 ± 0.1	10.8 ± 0.2	11.3 ± 0.2	9.5 ± 0.1	9.4 ± 0.2	9.6 ± 0.2	9.2 ± 0.1	9.2 ± 0.2	9.5 ± 0.2	10.4 ± 5.7
50th percentile	11.2 ± 0.1	10.1 ± 0.1	12.2 ± 0.1	12.3 ± 0.1	12.7 ± 0.1	11.2 ± 0.1	11.2 ± 0.2	11.4 ± 0.1	11.0 ± 0.1	10.9 ± 0.1	11.3 ± 0.2	12.5 ± 0.1
75th percentile	12.8 ± 0.1	12.4 ± 0.1	13.1 ± 0.1	13.1 ± 0.1	14.0 ± 0.1	12.9 ± 0.1	12.8 ± 0.1	13.0 ± 0.3	12.7 ± 0.1	12.5 ± 0.1	12.8 ± 0.1	13.9 ± 0.1
Sample size	10,967	4,675	2,888	1,730	1,465	6,523	3,371	1,073	4,117	1,535	2,112	3,203
Weighted total	468,300	226,480	181,638	30,554	19,978	301,178	139,533	27,589	193,550	80,301	87,935	106,513

Work Motivation
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

1071

Table ULFSUPP
Unit Leader Family Support
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	6.8 ± 0.0	6.6 ± 0.1	7.0 ± 0.1	8.3 ± 0.2	8.2 ± 0.3	8.8 ± 0.1	8.8 ± 0.1	8.9 ± 0.3	8.8 ± 0.1	6.7 ± 0.2	6.7 ± 0.1	7.1 ± 0.1
50th percentile	9.2 ± 0.1	8.3 ± 0.1	9.4 ± 0.1	10.0 ± 0.2	10.1 ± 0.3	9.2 ± 0.1	9.2 ± 0.2	9.2 ± 0.5	9.2 ± 0.2	9.1 ± 0.2	9.1 ± 0.2	9.4 ± 0.1
75th percentile	11.0 ± 0.1	10.0 ± 0.1	11.2 ± 0.1	12.2 ± 0.1	12.4 ± 0.2	11.1 ± 0.2	11.0 ± 0.1	10.9 ± 0.3	11.1 ± 0.2	10.1 ± 0.2	10.9 ± 0.2	11.4 ± 0.2
Sample size	10,842	4,616	2,857	1,714	1,448	6,456	3,337	1,049	4,066	1,521	2,097	3,158
Weighted total	42,863	223,507	179,762	30,266	19,733	297,884	137,973	27,006	191,144	79,699	87,253	104,767

Table ULFSUPP (cont.)

Unit Leader Family Support
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse															
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted				Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
25th percentile	6.8 + 0.1	6.7 + 0.3	6.7 + 0.3	6.7 + 0.3	6.8 + 0.3	6.7 + 0.1	6.7 + 0.1	6.6 + 0.1	7.1 + 0.2	8.3 + 0.2	8.1 + 0.3	8.2 + 0.3	7.0 + 0.1	6.8 + 0.1		
50th percentile	9.2 + 0.1	9.0 + 0.3	9.2 + 0.2	9.2 + 0.2	9.2 + 0.2	9.1 + 0.1	9.1 + 0.1	9.1 + 0.1	9.4 + 0.2	10.1 + 0.3	9.8 + 0.2	10.0 + 0.3	9.3 + 0.1	9.2 + 0.1		
75th percentile	10.8 + 0.1	10.9 + 0.3	11.0 + 0.3	10.8 + 0.4	10.9 + 0.2	10.9 + 0.2	10.1 + 0.1	10.1 + 0.1	12.1 + 0.2	12.4 + 0.2	12.2 + 0.1	12.4 + 0.2	11.3 + 0.2	11.0 + 0.1		
Sample size	2,828	244	477	420	1,279	1,897	629	888	619	893	3,222	119,740	106,535			
Weighted total	173,032	10,937	15,111	13,895	54,268	85,872	40,596	9,949	13,894	15,222	119,740	106,535				

Table UMORALE
Unit Morale
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCCINUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	7.1 + 0.1	6.6 + 0.1	8.2 + 0.2	9.8 + 0.2	10.9 + 0.1	7.8 + 0.2	6.9 + 0.1	8.0 + 0.3	7.0 + 0.2	6.9 + 0.3	6.8 + 0.2	9.1 + 0.2
50th percentile	9.7 + 0.1	9.1 + 0.1	10.0 + 0.1	11.3 + 0.1	12.4 + 0.2	9.8 + 0.2	9.4 + 0.2	9.8 + 0.2	9.5 + 0.2	9.4 + 0.2	9.4 + 0.2	10.9 + 0.1
75th percentile	11.4 + 0.1	10.9 + 0.1	12.1 + 0.1	12.8 + 0.1	13.9 + 0.1	12.1 + 0.1	11.2 + 0.1	11.4 + 0.2	11.3 + 0.1	11.1 + 0.2	11.2 + 0.1	12.4 + 0.1
Sample size	10,757	4,569	2,825	1,712	1,446	6,419	3,231	1,047	4,042	1,503	2,065	3,147
Weighted total	458,893	221,127	178,311	30,306	19,688	295,985	136,009	26,899	189,735	78,116	86,435	104,608

Table UMORALE (cont.)

Unit Morale
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5
	6.8 ± 0.1	6.6 ± 0.6	7.1 ± 0.3	7.1 ± 0.4	6.9 ± 0.2	7.1 ± 0.4	7.2 ± 0.2	9.1 ± 0.2	10.0 ± 0.3	9.9 ± 0.2	10.1 ± 0.5	8.0 ± 0.2
25th percentile												8.1 ± 0.2
	9.3 ± 0.1	9.4 ± 0.4	9.6 ± 0.3	9.7 ± 0.4	9.6 ± 0.2	9.7 ± 0.4	9.7 ± 0.1	10.8 ± 0.2	12.0 ± 0.2	12.0 ± 0.2	12.3 ± 0.2	10.0 ± 0.1
50th percentile												12.2 ± 0.1
	11.1 ± 0.1	11.1 ± 0.3	11.3 ± 0.2	11.3 ± 0.2	11.2 ± 0.1	11.3 ± 0.2	11.3 ± 0.1	12.4 ± 0.2	13.0 ± 0.2	13.1 ± 0.2	13.1 ± 0.1	12.2 ± 0.1
75th percentile												
Sample size	2,806	240	475	414	1,283	1,871	627	622	885	889	3,215	3,093
Weighted total	171,846	10,815	15,059	13,800	54,422	84,768	40,424	9,935	13,875	15,187	119,262	105,415

Table UCDMCON

Unit Combat Confidence
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	12.5 + 0.3	11.6 + 0.4	13.1 + 0.3	15.5 + 0.5	16.1 + 0.7	12.5 + 0.4	12.3 + 0.4	13.0 + 0.4	13.1 + 0.5	12.4 + 0.5	11.4 + 0.6	12.4 + 0.5
50th percentile	15.6 + 0.2	14.8 + 0.4	16.0 + 0.2	18.4 + 0.5	19.8 + 0.5	15.6 + 0.3	15.5 + 0.4	15.8 + 0.5	16.1 + 0.5	15.4 + 0.5	14.5 + 0.4	15.8 + 0.3
75th percentile	18.7 + 0.3	17.6 + 0.4	19.1 + 0.4	20.9 + 0.2	22.1 + 0.5	18.7 + 0.4	18.7 + 0.4	18.9 + 0.6	19.4 + 0.4	18.2 + 0.5	17.2 + 0.4	19.1 + 0.5
Sample size	10,325	4,603	2,797	1,578	1,144	6,006	3,303	1,016	4,107	1,531	2,080	2,607
Weighted total	451,806	223,837	175,262	27,836	15,510	288,860	138,311	26,635	193,515	80,308	87,229	90,755

Table UCOMCON (cont.)

Unit Combat Confidence
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single				Dual Military				Enlisted			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse not Employed
25th percentile	12.0 ± 0.4	10.9 ± 1.0	11.8 ± 1.0	11.7 ± 0.5	12.3 ± 0.5	11.7 ± 0.5	12.6 ± 0.4	12.3 ± 0.5	15.7 ± 0.5	15.6 ± 0.5	15.9 ± 0.6	13.1 ± 0.4
50th percentile	15.3 ± 0.3	14.4 ± 0.6	15.2 ± 0.4	14.6 ± 0.6	15.3 ± 0.3	14.6 ± 0.6	15.5 ± 0.3	15.3 ± 0.3	18.4 ± 0.5	18.7 ± 0.6	19.4 ± 0.8	16.1 ± 0.3
75th percentile	18.1 ± 0.3	17.7 ± 1.2	18.4 ± 0.5	17.5 ± 0.7	18.1 ± 0.6	17.5 ± 0.7	18.6 ± 0.4	18.1 ± 0.6	21.0 ± 0.4	21.1 ± 0.5	21.8 ± 0.4	19.5 ± 0.4
Sample size	2,803	230	450	393	1,272	393	1,871	1,272	553	758	727	3,026
Weighted total	173,376	10,575	14,447	13,155	53,541	13,155	84,082	53,541	8,945	11,998	12,848	115,378
												101,985

Table SOLDIER
Soldier Ing
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	12.2 + 0.1	11.9 + 0.0	13.5 + 0.1	13.2 + 0.2	12.4 + 0.2	12.2 + 0.1	12.2 + 0.1	12.2 + 0.3	12.2 + 0.1	12.1 + 0.1	12.2 + 0.1	12.4 + 0.1
50th percentile	13.9 + 0.1	13.3 + 0.1	15.4 + 0.1	14.9 + 0.1	14.1 + 0.1	14.0 + 0.1	13.8 + 0.1	14.2 + 0.3	13.9 + 0.1	13.7 + 0.1	13.9 + 0.2	14.9 + 0.1
75th percentile	15.6 + 0.0	15.1 + 0.2	15.7 + 0.0	15.6 + 0.0	15.5 + 0.1	15.6 + 0.0	15.5 + 0.0	15.6 + 0.1	15.5 + 0.0	15.5 + 0.1	15.5 + 0.1	15.6 + 0.1
Sample size	10,904	4,639	2,875	1,722	1,460	6,499	3,334	1,071	4,100	1,523	2,094	3,187
Weighted total	465,434	224,763	180,760	30,386	19,907	300,207	137,710	27,516	192,881	79,293	87,180	106,080

Table SOLDIER (cont.)

Soldiering
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
25th percentile	11.9 + 0.1	12.2 + 0.3	12.2 + 0.2	13.2 + 0.3	12.2 + 0.1	13.1 + 0.1	12.3 + 0.2	13.1 + 0.3	13.2 + 0.3	12.3 + 0.2	13.1 + 0.3	13.1 + 0.1	13.0 + 0.1
50th percentile	13.3 + 0.1	13.9 + 0.5	14.0 + 0.2	15.0 + 0.5	13.9 + 0.1	15.0 + 0.1	14.1 + 0.2	14.8 + 0.2	14.9 + 0.2	14.1 + 0.2	14.8 + 0.2	15.0 + 0.1	14.9 + 0.1
75th percentile	15.2 + 0.2	15.6 + 0.1	15.5 + 0.1	15.7 + 0.1	15.6 + 0.0	15.6 + 0.0	15.5 + 0.1	15.6 + 0.1	15.6 + 0.1	15.5 + 0.1	15.6 + 0.1	15.6 + 0.0	15.6 + 0.0
Sample size	2,865	241	475	423	1,283	1,903	892	897	626	892	897	3,250	3,126
Weighted total	175,305	10,771	14,966	14,086	54,377	86,147	13,881	15,319	10,083	13,881	15,319	120,521	106,705

Table WEAPPREP
Weapon Preparedness
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	11.1 + 0.2	10.9 + 0.3	11.5 + 0.1	10.2 + 0.3	9.8 + 0.2	11.0 + 0.3	11.3 + 0.1	10.9 + 0.5	11.3 + 0.1	11.3 + 0.2	11.3 + 0.1	10.1 + 0.4
50th percentile	13.4 + 0.1	13.3 + 0.1	13.9 + 0.2	12.9 + 0.2	12.0 + 0.3	13.4 + 0.1	13.7 + 0.3	13.1 + 0.7	13.7 + 0.2	13.5 + 0.2	13.4 + 0.2	13.0 + 0.3
75th percentile	15.5 + 0.1	15.4 + 0.1	15.7 + 0.1	14.9 + 0.3	14.7 + 0.3	15.4 + 0.1	15.6 + 0.1	15.3 + 0.3	15.6 + 0.1	15.4 + 0.2	15.4 + 0.1	15.4 + 0.2
Sample size	10,898	4,660	2,867	1,718	1,445	6,488	3,339	1,071	4,098	1,536	2,098	3,166
Weighted total	465,942	225,893	180,407	30,331	19,693	300,170	138,031	27,741	192,750	80,281	87,390	105,541

Table WEAPPREP (cont.)

Weapon Preparedness
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted				Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
25th percentile	10.9 + 0.3	11.3 + 0.2	10.3 + 0.7	10.2 + 0.5	11.3 + 0.2	11.5 + 0.2	11.4 + 0.2	10.3 + 0.7	10.2 + 0.4	10.1 + 0.5	11.2 + 0.1	11.3 + 0.2
50th percentile	13.3 + 0.1	13.3 + 0.5	13.1 + 0.3	11.9 + 0.2	13.6 + 0.3	13.8 + 0.3	13.9 + 0.4	13.0 + 0.3	13.0 + 0.2	13.1 + 0.3	13.5 + 0.2	13.6 + 0.2
75th percentile	15.4 + 0.1	15.3 + 0.3	15.1 + 0.1	15.1 + 0.7	15.5 + 0.1	15.7 + 0.2	15.7 + 0.2	14.8 + 0.4	14.8 + 0.3	15.3 + 0.3	15.6 + 0.1	15.5 + 0.1
Sample size	2,861	241	477	418	1,288	1,910	633	624	890	891	3,245	3,133
Weighted total	175,323	10,782	15,121	14,031	54,541	86,460	40,869	9,997	13,934	15,204	120,684	106,936

Table WORKSAT
Work Satisfaction
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	30.3 + 0.4	28.5 + 0.3	31.7 + 0.4	34.2 + 0.5	35.2 + 1.0	30.2 + 0.6	30.6 + 0.5	30.5 + 1.0	29.5 + 0.4	30.0 + 0.7	30.5 + 0.5	32.1 + 0.4
50th percentile	34.9 + 0.4	32.9 + 0.4	36.1 + 0.4	38.0 + 0.5	39.0 + 0.7	34.7 + 0.5	35.0 + 0.5	35.6 + 0.8	34.2 + 0.4	34.0 + 0.5	35.1 + 0.5	36.6 + 0.4
75th percentile	39.1 + 0.3	37.2 + 0.3	40.0 + 0.3	41.5 + 0.4	42.9 + 0.5	39.1 + 0.5	39.2 + 0.5	39.5 + 0.8	38.6 + 0.4	38.1 + 0.7	39.3 + 0.4	40.5 + 0.5
Sample size	11,011	4,698	2,895	1,742	1,465	6,557	3,373	1,081	4,137	1,541	2,121	3,212
Weighted total	470,293	227,612	182,134	30,753	20,001	302,751	139,588	27,954	194,486	80,581	88,384	106,842

Table WORKSAT (cont.)

Work Satisfaction
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+
25th percentile	29.0 ± 0.7	29.5 ± 1.4	31.0 ± 1.0	31.3 ± 0.9	29.8 ± 0.7	30.5 ± 0.4	32.4 ± 0.5	34.9 ± 0.8	33.9 ± 0.8	34.6 ± 0.7	31.4 ± 0.5	31.1 ± 0.5
50th percentile	33.5 ± 0.5	34.8 ± 1.3	35.5 ± 1.2	35.7 ± 1.4	34.5 ± 0.4	34.7 ± 0.4	36.8 ± 0.6	38.6 ± 0.7	37.5 ± 0.6	38.7 ± 0.8	35.8 ± 0.4	35.6 ± 0.5
75th percentile	37.9 ± 0.4	39.1 ± 1.4	39.8 ± 0.9	39.0 ± 0.8	38.6 ± 0.4	38.7 ± 0.4	40.5 ± 0.6	42.3 ± 0.6	41.2 ± 0.6	42.2 ± 0.5	39.8 ± 0.3	39.6 ± 0.4
Sample size	2,891	244	483	426	1,298	1,920	637	631	898	904	3,275	3,158
Weighted total	176,795	10,937	15,274	14,170	54,937	86,851	41,107	10,196	14,055	15,495	121,646	107,776

Table COMSAT
Community Satisfaction
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	14.9 + 0.4 -	14.3 + 0.5 -	15.0 + 0.2 -	15.6 + 0.3 -	16.4 + 0.4 -	15.1 + 0.3 -	14.3 + 0.5 -	14.1 + 1.0 -	14.5 + 0.4 -	14.2 + 0.6 -	15.1 + 0.3 -	15.5 + 0.4 -
50th percentile	17.2 + 0.2 -	16.8 + 0.3 -	17.4 + 0.3 -	17.9 + 0.3 -	18.7 + 0.4 -	17.4 + 0.3 -	16.8 + 0.4 -	16.7 + 0.8 -	17.0 + 0.2 -	16.7 + 0.4 -	17.2 + 0.4 -	18.1 + 0.4 -
75th percentile	20.0 + 0.1 -	19.6 + 0.4 -	20.1 + 0.1 -	20.3 + 0.1 -	20.7 + 0.2 -	20.1 + 0.2 -	19.5 + 0.5 -	19.9 + 0.7 -	19.8 + 0.4 -	19.3 + 0.5 -	20.1 + 0.2 -	20.4 + 0.2 -
Sample size	10,407	4,297	2,765	1,696	1,448	6,207	3,207	993	3,867	1,430	1,993	3,117
Weighted total	432,945	199,377	174,784	29,576	19,810	278,051	129,598	25,296	176,022	73,040	81,465	102,418

Table COMSAT (cont.)

Community Satisfaction
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+			
25th percentile	14.9 + 0.8	14.9 + 0.7	15.4 + 0.7	15.9 + 0.6	14.0 + 0.4	14.4 + 0.4	15.1 + 0.5	15.6 + 0.5	15.3 + 0.5	16.0 + 0.5	14.9 + 0.4	14.9 + 0.4	14.6 + 0.4	
50th percentile	17.0 + 0.4	17.6 + 1.0	17.7 + 0.7	18.4 + 0.7	16.9 + 0.4	16.9 + 0.4	17.5 + 0.4	18.0 + 0.4	17.9 + 0.5	18.2 + 0.4	17.4 + 0.3	17.4 + 0.3	17.1 + 0.3	
75th percentile	20.1 + 0.2	20.1 + 0.4	20.4 + 0.2	20.5 + 0.3	19.4 + 0.5	19.6 + 0.3	20.0 + 0.3	20.2 + 0.2	20.2 + 0.2	20.2 + 0.2	19.8 + 0.2	19.8 + 0.2	19.8 + 0.3	
Sample size	2,430	241	455	425	1,252	1,905	633	617	898	903	3,222	3,123		
Weighted total	145,376	10,795	14,294	14,140	53,056	86,166	40,853	9,962	14,055	15,477	119,546	106,427		

Table TIMESAT

Satisfaction with Personal Freedom/Time
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	7.9 + 0.1	7.0 + 0.1	8.2 + 0.2	8.1 + 0.3	9.3 + 0.3	8.0 + 0.2	7.1 + 0.3	7.0 + 0.3	6.9 + 0.1	7.0 + 0.3	8.2 + 0.2	9.4 + 0.3
50th percentile	9.8 + 0.2	9.4 + 0.1	10.1 + 0.2	10.1 + 0.2	11.2 + 0.2	9.9 + 0.2	9.6 + 0.3	9.5 + 0.3	9.3 + 0.1	9.5 + 0.2	10.0 + 0.2	11.3 + 0.2
75th percentile	12.2 + 0.1	11.3 + 0.1	12.3 + 0.1	12.3 + 0.1	12.6 + 0.2	12.2 + 0.1	12.0 + 0.2	12.1 + 0.5	11.2 + 0.1	11.3 + 0.2	12.2 + 0.1	12.6 + 0.1
Sample size	10,977	4,681	2,881	1,739	1,465	6,538	3,364	1,075	4,121	1,537	2,116	3,203
Weighted total	468,246	226,604	181,174	30,675	20,001	301,413	139,101	27,733	193,419	80,322	88,116	106,389

Table TIMESAT (cont.)

Satisfaction with Personal Freedom/Time
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
25th percentile	7.1 + 0.2	7.9 + 0.4	8.2 + 0.4	9.0 + 0.3	7.0 + 0.2	7.8 + 0.2	9.1 + 0.3	8.3 + 0.4	8.2 + 0.3	9.3 + 0.3	8.0 + 0.2	7.9 + 0.2	
50th percentile	9.5 + 0.1	10.1 + 0.5	10.1 + 0.3	10.2 + 0.3	9.6 + 0.2	9.7 + 0.2	10.8 + 0.3	11.0 + 0.4	10.1 + 0.3	11.1 + 0.2	10.0 + 0.2	9.9 + 0.2	
75th percentile	12.0 + 0.1	12.4 + 0.2	12.3 + 0.2	12.3 + 0.1	12.1 + 0.1	12.1 + 0.1	12.4 + 0.1	12.4 + 0.1	12.3 + 0.1	12.5 + 0.1	12.3 + 0.1	12.2 + 0.1	
Sample size	2,871	243	483	426	1,292	1,916	636	631	897	904	3,265	3,156	
Weighted total	175,576	10,869	15,274	14,170	54,665	86,527	41,012	10,196	14,032	15,495	120,988	107,720	

Table JOBCOMP
Army-Civilian Job Comparisons
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	21.9 + 0.4	20.2 + 0.4	23.7 + 0.5	24.7 + 0.4	26.4 + 0.5	22.0 + 0.6	21.4 + 0.6	22.7 + 1.4	21.1 + 0.5	21.2 + 0.5	22.0 + 0.6	24.5 + 0.6
50th percentile	26.6 + 0.4	24.7 + 0.3	27.9 + 0.4	28.7 + 0.5	30.1 + 0.4	26.7 + 0.5	26.2 + 0.7	27.1 + 0.8	25.9 + 0.4	25.3 + 0.6	26.9 + 0.5	28.6 + 0.5
75th percentile	31.1 + 0.3	29.5 + 0.4	31.9 + 0.3	32.4 + 0.4	33.2 + 0.4	31.2 + 0.4	30.9 + 0.5	31.1 + 0.8	30.6 + 0.4	30.1 + 0.6	31.4 + 0.4	32.4 + 0.5
Sample size	10,856	4,661	2,817	1,721	1,449	6,489	3,308	1,059	4,090	1,515	2,090	3,161
Weighted total	462,629	225,618	177,077	30,445	19,790	298,858	136,429	27,342	192,270	79,132	87,080	104,148

Table JOBCOMP (cont.)

Army-Civilian Job Comparisons
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
25th percentile	20.5 + 0.5	22.1 + 1.9	22.8 + 1.0	23.8 + 0.9	21.1 + 0.6	22.6 + 0.6	24.4 + 0.8	25.5 + 0.8	25.2 + 0.8	25.6 + 1.3	22.9 + 0.5	23.0 + 0.5	
50th percentile	25.1 + 0.5	27.1 + 1.2	27.2 + 1.0	27.8 + 0.8	26.1 + 0.7	27.0 + 0.4	28.1 + 0.7	29.4 + 0.6	28.9 + 0.6	29.2 + 0.5	27.4 + 0.4	27.5 + 0.4	
75th percentile	30.0 + 0.5	31.5 + 1.0	31.5 + 0.8	32.1 + 1.5	31.0 + 0.4	31.2 + 0.3	32.1 + 0.7	32.7 + 0.8	32.7 + 0.5	32.6 + 0.4	31.6 + 0.3	31.7 + 0.4	
Sample size	2,860	241	477	411	1,287	1,892	623	625	888	898	3,238	3,117	
Weighted total	175,072	10,792	15,090	13,621	54,299	85,177	40,138	10,122	13,924	15,395	119,898	105,662	

Table COMCOMP
Army-Civilian Community Comparisons
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	10.1 + 0.3	8.8 + 0.4	10.7 + 0.3	11.3 + 0.4	11.7 + 0.5	10.4 + 0.4	9.0 + 0.5	10.2 + 0.9	9.4 + 0.5	9.4 + 0.7	10.1 + 0.4	11.5 + 0.6
50th percentile	13.2 + 0.2	12.2 + 0.3	14.0 + 0.3	13.8 + 0.3	14.2 + 0.4	13.6 + 0.3	12.2 + 0.4	13.3 + 0.5	12.5 + 0.3	12.6 + 0.4	13.3 + 0.4	14.5 + 0.4
75th percentile	15.6 + 0.1	15.4 + 0.2	15.9 + 0.1	15.6 + 0.1	15.8 + 0.2	15.8 + 0.1	15.3 + 0.3	15.8 + 0.3	15.4 + 0.2	15.5 + 0.2	15.7 + 0.2	15.9 + 0.1
Sample size	10,169	4,290	2,648	1,631	1,405	6,057	3,126	986	3,833	1,413	1,951	2,972
Weighted total	424,595	201,203	166,523	28,447	19,240	273,317	126,673	24,605	175,807	72,504	79,821	96,462

Table COMCOMP (cont.)

Army-Civilian Community Comparisons
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse										
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer	
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed
25th percentile	8.8 ± 0.5	10.6 ± 0.9	10.5 ± 0.5	11.1 ± 0.8	9.4 ± 0.6	10.3 ± 0.4	11.3 ± 0.7	11.1 ± 0.5	11.0 ± 0.6	11.6 ± 0.4	10.4 ± 0.4
50th percentile	12.2 ± 0.4	13.4 ± 1.4	13.8 ± 0.5	14.2 ± 0.6	12.8 ± 0.6	13.3 ± 0.3	14.3 ± 0.5	13.9 ± 0.4	13.7 ± 0.5	13.9 ± 0.3	13.5 ± 0.3
75th percentile	15.4 ± 0.2	15.9 ± 0.5	15.8 ± 0.2	15.9 ± 0.3	15.6 ± 0.2	15.8 ± 0.2	15.9 ± 0.2	15.7 ± 0.2	15.5 ± 0.2	15.5 ± 0.3	15.8 ± 0.2
Sample size	2,448	236	427	401	1,224	1,848	611	602	874	883	3,033
Weighted total	148,730	10,632	13,484	13,150	51,108	82,803	39,317	9,635	13,729	15,121	101,913

Table TIMECOMP
Army-Civilian Freedom/Time Comparisons
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA	
25th percentile	3.7 ± 0.1	3.5 ± 0.0	4.0 ± 0.2	4.1 ± 0.2	6.0 ± 0.2	3.8 ± 0.1	3.5 ± 0.1	3.6 ± 0.2	3.5 ± 0.0	3.6 ± 0.1	3.7 ± 0.2	5.2 ± 0.3
50th percentile	6.1 ± 0.1	5.1 ± 0.1	6.3 ± 0.1	6.3 ± 0.1	7.0 ± 0.2	6.2 ± 0.2	5.3 ± 0.3	6.0 ± 0.4	5.1 ± 0.1	5.3 ± 0.2	6.1 ± 0.1	6.9 ± 0.3
75th percentile	7.4 ± 1.5	6.7 ± 0.1	8.1 ± 0.2	7.9 ± 0.3	9.2 ± 0.1	8.0 ± 0.3	6.9 ± 0.3	7.1 ± 0.1	6.7 ± 0.1	6.8 ± 0.3	7.2 ± 0.2	9.2 ± 0.1
Sample size	10,622	4,572	2,735	1,690	1,423	6,342	3,243	1,037	4,035	1,488	2,040	3,059
Weighted total	452,037	221,306	172,151	29,784	19,399	291,656	133,762	26,620	189,008	77,655	84,914	100,461

Table TIMECOMP (cont.)

Army-Civilian Freedom/Time Comparisons
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	0-5	
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	0-5	Child 6+
25th percentile	3.5 + 0.0	3.7 + 0.4	4.1 + 0.5	4.0 + 0.3	4.2 + 0.4	3.5 + 0.1	3.8 + 0.1	4.9 + 0.2	5.2 + 0.3	3.9 + 0.1
50th percentile	5.2 + 0.1	6.3 + 0.3	6.2 + 0.2	6.4 + 0.2	6.5 + 0.2	5.3 + 0.2	6.1 + 0.1	6.5 + 0.2	6.8 + 0.3	6.2 + 0.1
75th percentile	6.8 + 0.2	8.1 + 0.5	7.6 + 3.0	8.1 + 0.5	8.4 + 0.3	7.0 + 0.2	7.6 + 1.8	8.2 + 0.3	9.1 + 0.2	7.9 + 0.2
Sample size	2,789	235	459	396	613	1,262	1,862	614	879	3,071
Weighted total	170,993	10,587	14,498	12,980	39,556	53,044	83,578	9,928	13,781	103,829

Table LFSUPP
Leader Support for Families
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	9.5 + 0.1	9.2 + 0.1	9.6 + 0.1	10.9 + 0.1	10.9 + 0.2	9.5 + 0.1	9.4 + 0.1	9.4 + 0.3	9.4 + 0.1	9.4 + 0.2	9.3 + 0.1	9.8 + 0.2
50th percentile	11.2 + 0.1	10.6 + 0.5	11.3 + 0.1	12.2 + 0.1	12.3 + 0.1	11.3 + 0.2	11.0 + 0.2	11.0 + 0.3	11.2 + 0.2	11.0 + 0.2	10.9 + 0.2	12.1 + 0.2
75th percentile	12.5 + 0.0	12.3 + 0.1	12.5 + 0.0	12.9 + 0.2	13.2 + 0.1	12.5 + 0.0	12.4 + 0.1	12.4 + 0.2	12.5 + 0.1	12.4 + 0.1	12.4 + 0.1	12.6 + 0.0
Sample size	10,017	4,099	2,673	1,670	1,375	5,971	3,097	949	3,820	1,401	1,925	2,871
Weighted total	420,088	194,345	168,568	29,142	18,736	270,677	125,601	23,810	175,476	72,230	78,653	93,729

Table LFSUPP (cont.)

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted		Officer		Child 8+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5				
25th percentile	9.4 + 0.1	9.4 + 0.3	9.4 + 0.2	9.3 + 0.3	9.3 + 0.1	9.4 + 0.1	9.7 + 0.2	11.2 + 0.3	10.1 + 0.2	10.5 + 0.7	9.5 + 0.1	9.5 + 0.1
50th percentile	11.1 + 0.1	10.9 + 0.6	11.1 + 0.3	11.0 + 0.4	10.9 + 0.2	10.9 + 0.2	12.0 + 0.1	12.4 + 0.1	12.1 + 0.1	12.2 + 0.1	11.2 + 0.2	11.3 + 0.1
75th percentile	12.4 + 0.0	12.5 + 0.2	12.4 + 0.1	12.4 + 0.1	12.4 + 0.1	12.4 + 0.1	12.6 + 0.1	13.2 + 0.2	12.6 + 0.2	12.9 + 0.3	12.5 + 0.0	12.5 + 0.1
Sample size	2,409	225	438	404	1,174	1,812	605	614	862	859	3,076	2,977
Weighted total	146,128	10,117	13,761	13,417	49,799	82,190	38,893	9,894	13,539	14,735	113,734	101,415

Table ARMYVAGR

Army Values Agreement
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	17.7 + 0.3 -	15.3 + 0.2 -	21.1 + 0.2 -	21.1 + 0.5 -	24.4 + 0.4 -	17.7 + 0.4 -	17.5 + 0.5 -	18.0 + 0.6 -	17.1 + 0.3 -	17.1 + 0.4 -	17.6 + 0.4 -	21.1 + 0.4 -
50th percentile	22.1 + 0.3 -	19.4 + 0.4 -	24.3 + 0.2 -	24.6 + 0.3 -	28.1 + 0.3 -	22.1 + 0.4 -	21.9 + 0.3 -	22.5 + 0.4 -	21.5 + 0.2 -	21.4 + 0.3 -	22.0 + 0.3 -	24.6 + 0.4 -
75th percentile	26.0 + 0.5 -	22.8 + 0.4 -	28.1 + 0.3 -	28.4 + 0.3 -	30.8 + 0.3 -	26.1 + 0.7 -	25.8 + 0.8 -	26.2 + 1.2 -	25.0 + 0.3 -	24.8 + 0.4 -	25.7 + 0.5 -	28.4 + 0.4 -
Sample size	10,977	4,674	2,888	1,738	1,467	6,542	3,356	1,079	4,130	1,531	2,107	3,209
Weighted total	468,714	226,591	181,707	30,664	20,019	301,790	139,028	27,897	194,197	80,154	87,720	106,644

Table ARMYVAGR (cont.)

Army Values Agreement
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse													
Single				Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
15.5 + 0.5	19.4 + 2.2	17.7 + 0.5	20.0 + 2.3	17.5 + 0.4	18.8 + 0.6	21.9 + 0.4	22.1 + 0.6	21.6 + 0.6	24.1 + 0.4	20.0 + 0.6	19.7 + 0.5		
19.9 + 0.5	22.7 + 1.5	21.8 + 0.5	24.0 + 0.6	21.8 + 0.3	22.9 + 0.7	25.1 + 0.3	26.1 + 0.6	25.4 + 0.7	27.9 + 0.6	24.0 + 0.3	23.9 + 0.3		
23.7 + 0.5	26.8 + 1.9	25.5 + 0.9	27.1 + 0.6	25.2 + 0.7	26.5 + 0.5	28.9 + 0.4	29.4 + 0.4	29.0 + 0.4	30.6 + 0.5	28.0 + 0.3	27.9 + 0.5		
2,880	244	480	424	1,289	1,918	637	633	895	905	3,268	3,150		
176,326	10,937	15,157	14,112	54,509	86,744	41,088	10,222	14,010	15,502	121,150	107,497		

Table MARIEQY
Marital Equity
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	7.6 ± 0.0	7.2 ± 0.1	7.6 ± 0.0	7.6 ± 0.0	7.0 ± 0.1	7.6 ± 0.0	7.6 ± 0.0	7.6 ± 0.0	7.2 ± 0.1	7.2 ± 0.1	7.6 ± 0.0	7.6 ± 0.0
50th percentile	7.9 ± 0.0	7.9 ± 0.0	7.9 ± 0.0	7.9 ± 0.0	7.8 ± 0.1	7.9 ± 0.0	7.9 ± 0.0	7.8 ± 0.2	7.8 ± 0.1	7.9 ± 0.1	7.9 ± 0.1	7.9 ± 0.1
75th percentile	9.2 ± 0.0	9.2 ± 0.0	9.2 ± 0.1	9.2 ± 0.1	9.0 ± 0.1	9.2 ± 0.0	9.2 ± 0.1	9.1 ± 0.1	9.1 ± 0.1	9.1 ± 0.1	9.6 ± 0.0	9.6 ± 0.0
Sample size	10,906	4,636	2,876	1,724	1,462	6,495	3,341	1,070	4,088	1,521	2,101	3,196
Weighted total	464,670	223,531	181,097	30,382	19,979	299,085	138,064	27,522	191,683	79,362	87,424	106,201

Table MARIEQY (cont.)

Marital Equity
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
25th percentile	7.0 + 0.1	7.7 + 0.1	7.7 + 0.1	7.8 + 0.0	7.6 + 0.0	7.7 + 0.0	7.7 + 0.0	7.2 + 0.1	7.1 + 0.1	7.7 + 0.0
50th percentile	7.8 + 0.0	8.9 + 0.2	8.0 + 0.1	9.0 + 0.2	7.9 + 0.0	7.9 + 0.0	7.9 + 0.1	7.8 + 0.0	7.8 + 0.1	7.9 + 0.0
75th percentile	9.1 + 0.1	9.7 + 0.1	9.7 + 0.1	9.8 + 0.1	9.6 + 0.0	9.2 + 0.1	9.2 + 0.1	9.1 + 0.1	9.0 + 0.1	9.6 + 0.0
Sample size	2,830	242	479	425	1,286	1,911	635	895	902	3,258
Weighted total	172,719	10,900	15,197	14,141	54,305	86,561	40,986	13,955	15,492	120,856
										107,183

Table ALIEN

Alienation
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type		
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CANUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support TDA
25th percentile	10.8 ± 0.2	10.1 ± 0.2	12.2 ± 0.1	12.5 ± 0.2	13.4 ± 0.2	11.0 ± 0.3	10.7 ± 0.3	10.2 ± 0.7	10.6 ± 0.2	10.8 ± 0.2
50th percentile	13.3 ± 0.1	12.7 ± 0.1	13.6 ± 0.1	13.8 ± 0.2	15.4 ± 0.2	13.4 ± 0.2	13.1 ± 0.2	12.9 ± 0.4	13.1 ± 0.2	13.2 ± 0.2
75th percentile	15.4 ± 0.1	14.2 ± 0.1	15.6 ± 0.1	15.8 ± 0.2	16.6 ± 0.2	15.5 ± 0.1	15.3 ± 0.1	15.3 ± 0.4	15.3 ± 0.1	15.3 ± 0.1
Sample size	10,910	4,652	2,869	1,724	1,455	6,501	3,341	1,068	4,099	2,097
Weighted total	465,780	225,331	180,507	30,290	19,897	299,548	138,578	27,654	192,538	87,384
									79,981	105,876

Table ALIEN (cont.)

Alienation
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+			
25th percentile	10.0 + 0.3	10.1 + 0.6	10.9 + 0.3	11.2 + 0.6	11.0 + 0.2	12.2 + 0.2	12.6 + 0.3	13.0 + 0.3	12.9 + 0.3	13.3 + 0.2	12.3 + 0.2	12.2 + 0.2	
50th percentile	12.7 + 0.2	12.8 + 0.3	13.1 + 0.4	13.5 + 0.4	13.4 + 0.2	13.6 + 0.1	13.9 + 0.2	15.1 + 0.2	14.2 + 0.2	15.3 + 0.2	13.8 + 0.1	13.7 + 0.1	
75th percentile	14.0 + 0.1	14.2 + 0.4	15.4 + 0.3	15.5 + 0.2	15.5 + 0.1	15.6 + 0.1	15.8 + 0.2	16.3 + 0.2	16.1 + 0.2	16.5 + 0.3	15.7 + 0.1	15.7 + 0.1	
Sample size	2,860	243	478	421	1,284	1,909	631	630	889	900	3,249	3,130	
Weighted total	174,915	10,897	15,123	14,052	54,304	86,315	40,648	10,184	13,922	15,459	120,685	106,632	

Table SELFESTM
Self Esteem
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	MCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	10.5 ± 0.1	10.0 ± 0.1	10.9 ± 0.2	12.2 ± 0.2	12.5 ± 0.3	10.6 ± 0.2	10.4 ± 0.2	10.7 ± 0.2	10.3 ± 0.2	10.3 ± 0.3	10.5 ± 0.2	11.1 ± 0.2
50th percentile	13.0 ± 0.1	12.5 ± 0.1	13.2 ± 0.1	13.5 ± 0.2	13.9 ± 0.2	13.0 ± 0.1	12.9 ± 0.2	13.1 ± 0.5	12.7 ± 0.1	12.8 ± 0.2	13.0 ± 0.2	13.4 ± 0.1
75th percentile	15.2 ± 0.1	14.1 ± 0.1	15.3 ± 0.1	15.4 ± 0.1	15.6 ± 0.1	15.2 ± 0.1	15.2 ± 0.1	15.2 ± 0.5	15.0 ± 0.2	15.1 ± 0.2	15.2 ± 0.1	15.3 ± 0.1
Sample size	10,926	4,660	2,874	1,726	1,456	6,511	3,347	1,068	4,105	1,532	2,102	3,187
Weighted total	466,593	225,855	180,722	30,346	19,915	300,186	138,734	27,673	192,903	80,109	87,509	106,071

Table WORKSTRS
Work Stress
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCCINUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	8.1 ± 0.1	7.9 ± 0.1	8.2 ± 0.2	9.2 ± 0.3	9.7 ± 0.3	8.2 ± 0.2	8.1 ± 0.2	8.2 ± 0.3	8.0 ± 0.2	8.0 ± 0.3	8.1 ± 0.2	9.5 ± 0.3
50th percentile	10.7 ± 0.1	10.5 ± 0.1	10.8 ± 0.2	10.8 ± 0.2	12.2 ± 0.3	10.7 ± 0.2	10.6 ± 0.2	10.6 ± 0.5	10.5 ± 0.1	10.5 ± 0.2	10.6 ± 0.2	12.1 ± 0.2
75th percentile	13.3 ± 0.1	13.1 ± 0.1	13.4 ± 0.2	13.1 ± 0.2	13.8 ± 0.3	13.4 ± 0.2	13.2 ± 0.2	13.2 ± 0.6	13.0 ± 0.2	13.0 ± 0.2	13.2 ± 0.2	14.0 ± 0.2
Sample size	10,948	4,663	2,881	1,732	1,461	6,517	3,355	1,076	4,112	1,532	2,105	3,199
Weighted total	466,688	225,355	181,060	30,539	19,940	300,349	138,543	27,795	192,839	79,920	87,563	106,366

Table WORKSTRS (cont.)

Work Stress
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single					Dual Military				
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Enlisted	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Officer
25th percentile	8.2 ± 0.2	7.5 ± 0.6	8.0 ± 0.3	9.1 ± 0.4	7.9 ± 0.2	8.0 ± 0.2	8.1 ± 0.4	9.5 ± 0.4	9.2 ± 0.3	8.2 ± 0.2
50th percentile	10.8 ± 0.1	10.1 ± 0.6	10.4 ± 0.3	10.7 ± 0.4	10.4 ± 0.2	10.5 ± 0.2	10.8 ± 0.3	11.0 ± 0.4	10.9 ± 0.3	10.7 ± 0.1
75th percentile	13.4 ± 0.1	13.0 ± 0.4	13.1 ± 0.3	12.9 ± 0.7	13.1 ± 0.3	13.1 ± 0.3	13.5 ± 0.3	13.4 ± 0.4	13.4 ± 0.2	13.3 ± 0.2
Sample size	2,868	245	477	423	1,293	1,916	633	630	892	3,262
Weighted total	174,965	11,007	14,988	14,043	54,602	86,772	40,722	10,185	13,955	121,001
										107,258

Table MMRKSTRS

Work Stress for Married Soldiers
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	10.9 ± 0.2	10.3 ± 0.3	11.0 ± 0.3	11.4 ± 0.5	12.2 ± 0.5	10.9 ± 0.3	10.8 ± 0.4	10.9 ± 0.2	10.5 ± 0.4	10.7 ± 0.5	12.1 ± 0.5
50th percentile	13.7 ± 0.3	13.0 ± 0.2	14.0 ± 0.3	13.9 ± 0.4	15.0 ± 0.5	13.8 ± 0.3	13.5 ± 0.5	13.4 ± 1.1	13.2 ± 0.3	13.6 ± 0.3	15.0 ± 0.5
75th percentile	16.6 ± 0.4	15.8 ± 0.3	16.9 ± 0.5	16.5 ± 0.5	17.7 ± 0.4	16.8 ± 0.5	16.3 ± 0.5	16.3 ± 1.5	16.0 ± 0.4	16.3 ± 0.4	17.9 ± 0.5
Sample size	7,757	2,678	2,261	1,340	1,308	4,670	2,361	726	2,754	989	2,541
Weighted total	276,529	82,665	148,057	19,710	17,895	180,468	80,074	15,987	102,939	42,588	79,480

Table MARKSTRS (cont.)

Work Stress for Married Soldiers
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse											
Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer					
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
--	--	11.0 ± 0.5	11.2 ± 0.9	10.5 ± 0.3	10.6 ± 0.5	11.1 ± 0.6	11.9 ± 0.4	11.3 ± 0.5	12.1 ± 0.6	11.0 ± 0.3	10.7 ± 0.3		
--	--	13.6 ± 0.4	13.7 ± 0.7	13.5 ± 0.3	13.4 ± 0.4	14.0 ± 0.4	14.3 ± 0.7	14.2 ± 0.5	14.7 ± 0.5	13.8 ± 0.3	13.7 ± 0.3		
--	--	16.6 ± 0.6	16.2 ± 0.9	16.4 ± 0.5	16.3 ± 0.4	17.1 ± 0.8	17.1 ± 0.5	16.8 ± 0.5	17.6 ± 0.5	16.7 ± 0.4	16.5 ± 0.5		
Sample size		0	0	482	424	1,294	1,920	636	893	905	3,271	3,148	
Weighted total		0	0	15,194	14,069	54,628	86,921	40,996	13,970	15,497	121,331	107,476	

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table LOCCNTL

Locus of Control
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	15.1 + 0.2	14.6 + 0.2	15.3 + 0.2	17.4 + 0.5	18.4 + 0.4	15.2 + 0.3	15.1 + 0.3	15.4 + 0.8	14.8 + 0.2	14.8 + 0.4	15.2 + 0.3	16.1 + 0.4
50th percentile	17.4 + 0.2	16.6 + 0.2	17.5 + 0.2	19.6 + 0.2	20.2 + 0.4	17.5 + 0.3	17.1 + 0.4	17.6 + 0.8	16.9 + 0.2	17.0 + 0.3	17.3 + 0.2	18.5 + 0.3
75th percentile	19.7 + 0.2	18.9 + 0.2	19.8 + 0.2	21.0 + 0.2	21.9 + 0.4	19.8 + 0.2	19.5 + 0.3	19.9 + 0.6	19.4 + 0.2	19.4 + 0.4	19.5 + 0.2	20.5 + 0.2
Sample size	10,978	4,681	2,886	1,735	1,465	6,539	3,365	1,074	4,123	1,537	2,109	3,209
Weighted total	468,826	226,838	181,556	30,642	19,997	301,885	139,275	27,666	193,935	80,388	87,851	106,651

Table LOCCNTL (cont.)

Locus of Control
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	
25th percentile	15.0 ± 0.3	14.9 ± 0.5	15.5 ± 0.5	15.5 ± 0.8	14.7 ± 0.3	15.0 ± 0.3	17.6 ± 0.5	18.3 ± 0.5	15.3 ± 0.3	15.5 ± 0.3
50th percentile	17.1 ± 0.3	17.3 ± 0.5	18.0 ± 0.6	17.8 ± 0.4	17.1 ± 0.3	16.9 ± 0.3	19.7 ± 0.3	20.1 ± 0.4	17.5 ± 0.4	17.8 ± 0.3
75th percentile	19.4 ± 0.2	19.5 ± 0.6	20.1 ± 0.5	19.7 ± 0.4	19.3 ± 0.3	19.4 ± 0.3	21.7 ± 0.4	21.7 ± 0.4	20.1 ± 0.4	20.1 ± 0.2
Sample size	2,881	244	481	424	1,295	1,918	630	906	637	3,268
Weighted total	176,184	10,971	15,164	14,069	54,739	86,844	10,175	15,514	41,108	121,362

Table SOCSUPP

Social Support Availability
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	11.2 + 0.1	11.3 + 0.1	11.0 + 0.1	11.2 + 0.1	11.2 + 0.1	11.1 + 0.1	11.2 + 0.1	11.0 + 0.2	11.1 + 0.1	11.1 + 0.2	11.3 + 0.2	11.1 + 0.2
50th percentile	13.0 + 0.1	13.1 + 0.1	13.0 + 0.2	13.8 + 0.2	12.9 + 0.2	13.0 + 0.2	13.1 + 0.1	12.8 + 0.3	13.0 + 0.2	12.9 + 0.2	13.2 + 0.2	13.2 + 0.2
75th percentile	16.2 + 0.1	16.1 + 0.2	16.2 + 0.1	17.0 + 0.2	16.1 + 0.2	16.2 + 0.1	16.1 + 0.2	16.8 + 0.3	16.1 + 0.1	16.0 + 0.2	16.9 + 0.2	16.9 + 0.2
Sample size	10,932	4,660	2,871	1,731	1,459	6,506	3,353	1,073	4,108	1,533	2,105	3,186
Weighted total	466,282	225,297	180,684	30,586	19,923	299,906	138,618	27,758	192,574	80,253	87,599	105,856

Table SOCSUPP (cont.)
Social Support Availability
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Civilian Spouse				
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
25th percentile	12.0 + 0.1	11.0 + 0.5	11.0 + 0.2	11.1 + 0.4	11.1 + 0.2	10.9 + 0.2	11.1 + 0.2	10.9 + 0.2	11.1 + 0.3	11.2 + 0.2	11.1 + 0.2	11.2 + 0.2	11.2 + 0.1	10.9 + 0.1
50th percentile	13.8 + 0.2	13.1 + 0.4	12.8 + 0.5	12.8 + 0.5	13.0 + 0.3	12.7 + 0.2	13.1 + 0.3	12.7 + 0.2	13.2 + 0.4	13.1 + 0.3	12.9 + 0.3	12.9 + 0.3	13.0 + 0.2	12.7 + 0.2
75th percentile	17.0 + 0.2	17.0 + 0.5	16.1 + 0.5	16.1 + 0.4	16.8 + 0.3	15.7 + 0.2	16.9 + 0.2	15.7 + 0.2	16.2 + 0.2	16.9 + 0.2	15.9 + 0.3	16.0 + 0.2	16.1 + 0.2	15.9 + 0.2
Sample size	2,854	242	479	424	1,291	1,915	639	896	904	3,263	107,454	121,075	107,454	107,454
Weighted total	174,448	10,867	15,091	14,151	54,548	86,489	41,253	14,036	15,486	121,075	107,454	107,454	107,454	107,454

Table COMUSUPP
Community Support Network
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	17.8 ± 0.3	17.8 ± 0.4	17.7 ± 0.5	18.2 ± 0.2	17.7 ± 0.7	18.0 ± 0.2	17.4 ± 0.4	17.0 ± 0.6	17.8 ± 0.3	17.9 ± 0.5	17.7 ± 0.6	17.8 ± 0.6
50th percentile	20.0 ± 0.2	19.8 ± 0.2	20.1 ± 0.3	21.0 ± 0.4	20.9 ± 0.6	20.2 ± 0.3	19.7 ± 0.3	19.6 ± 0.6	19.9 ± 0.3	20.0 ± 0.4	20.0 ± 0.5	20.4 ± 0.4
75th percentile	23.7 ± 0.2	23.2 ± 0.3	24.1 ± 0.2	24.4 ± 0.2	24.5 ± 0.3	23.8 ± 0.3	23.6 ± 0.3	23.5 ± 1.2	23.7 ± 0.3	23.4 ± 0.4	23.5 ± 0.4	24.1 ± 0.3
Sample size	10,951	4,668	2,877	1,731	1,465	6,523	3,354	1,074	4,119	1,530	2,102	3,200
Weighted total	466,901	225,597	180,996	30,540	19,999	300,415	138,719	27,767	193,256	79,987	87,396	106,261

Table COMSUPP (cont.)

Community Support Network
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
25th percentile	18.0 + 0.2	17.8 + 2.5	17.6 + 0.7	17.9 + 1.3	17.6 + 0.7	17.5 + 0.5	17.4 + 0.9	18.3 + 0.4	18.2 + 0.3	18.1 + 0.4	17.8 + 0.5	17.6 + 0.5
50th percentile	20.0 + 0.3	20.1 + 0.8	20.0 + 0.7	20.4 + 0.9	20.0 + 0.4	19.6 + 0.4	20.3 + 0.6	21.1 + 0.6	20.7 + 0.6	20.6 + 0.7	20.2 + 0.3	19.8 + 0.3
75th percentile	23.6 + 0.3	23.6 + 1.3	23.4 + 0.5	24.1 + 0.5	23.4 + 0.5	23.1 + 0.4	24.3 + 0.3	24.5 + 0.2	24.3 + 0.3	24.3 + 0.3	23.8 + 0.3	23.6 + 0.3
Sample size	2,863	243	479	424	1,294	1,915	638	629	895	904	3,264	3,152
Weighted total	174,995	10,866	15,143	14,134	54,615	86,523	41,170	10,165	14,007	15,443	121,151	107,395

Table SPARINTR
Spouse-Army Job Interference
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	7.7 ± 0.0	7.0 ± 0.2	7.7 ± 0.1	7.6 ± 0.1	7.7 ± 0.1	7.6 ± 0.0	7.7 ± 0.1	7.6 ± 0.1	7.6 ± 0.1	7.2 ± 0.3	7.7 ± 0.1	7.7 ± 0.1
50th percentile	9.6 ± 0.0	9.1 ± 0.2	9.6 ± 0.0	9.2 ± 0.2	9.1 ± 0.1	9.2 ± 0.1	9.6 ± 0.0	9.6 ± 0.0	9.1 ± 0.1	9.6 ± 0.0	9.6 ± 0.0	9.6 ± 0.0
75th percentile	9.8 ± 0.0	9.8 ± 0.0	9.8 ± 0.0	9.8 ± 0.1	9.8 ± 0.1	9.8 ± 0.0	9.8 ± 0.1	9.8 ± 0.2	9.8 ± 0.0	9.8 ± 0.1	9.8 ± 0.0	9.8 ± 0.1
Sample size	3,874	1,223	1,174	685	687	2,332	1,193	349	1,293	469	779	1,333
Weighted total	140,628	38,771	76,880	10,386	9,475	91,018	41,348	8,262	50,620	20,324	27,696	41,988

Table SPARINTR (cont.)

Spouse-Army Job Interference
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

Civilian Spouse														
Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer					
No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
9.6 + 0.1	--	9.0 + 1.0	7.7 + 0.2	7.7 + 0.1	6.9 + 0.2	7.8 + 0.1	7.8 + 0.1	7.8 + 0.1	7.8 + 0.1	6.9 + 0.2	7.7 + 0.1	7.6 + 0.0	--	
9.7 + 0.1	--	9.7 + 0.0	9.7 + 0.0	9.6 + 0.0	8.0 + 0.1	9.7 + 0.0	9.7 + 0.0	9.7 + 0.0	9.7 + 0.0	7.9 + 0.1	9.6 + 0.0	9.1 + 0.1	--	
9.9 + 0.1	--	9.9 + 0.0	9.8 + 0.1	9.8 + 0.0	9.7 + 0.0	9.8 + 0.0	9.8 + 0.0	9.8 + 0.0	9.8 + 0.1	9.7 + 0.1	9.8 + 0.1	9.8 + 0.0	--	
68	19	320	257	748	727	383	424	297	516	3,165	0			
3,113	707	9,513	8,315	32,103	34,610	25,570	7,196	4,741	9,187	117,071	0			

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table CAREER
Careerism
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NAF	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCINUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	27.7 ± 0.4	28.7 ± 0.7	28.2 ± 0.6	28.6 ± 0.3	24.7 ± 0.6	27.7 ± 0.5	28.1 ± 0.9	26.3 ± 0.6	28.4 ± 0.6	27.3 ± 0.9	28.4 ± 0.8	26.9 ± 0.4
50th percentile	31.0 ± 0.2	31.4 ± 0.2	31.1 ± 0.3	30.4 ± 0.4	28.5 ± 0.5	31.0 ± 0.3	31.1 ± 0.4	30.3 ± 0.6	31.3 ± 0.3	30.8 ± 0.4	31.3 ± 0.2	30.4 ± 0.3
75th percentile	34.6 ± 0.2	35.0 ± 0.3	34.8 ± 0.3	33.9 ± 0.4	31.2 ± 0.2	34.6 ± 0.3	34.6 ± 0.4	33.6 ± 0.5	34.8 ± 0.3	34.6 ± 0.6	35.0 ± 0.5	34.0 ± 0.5
Sample size	7,109	2,507	2,062	1,226	1,156	4,262	2,189	658	2,525	923	1,357	2,304
Weighted total	254,059	78,426	134,177	17,960	15,835	164,937	74,683	14,439	94,222	39,804	47,737	72,296

Table CAREER (cont.)

Careerism
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
25th percentile	27.1 + 3.0 -	29.1 + 1.9 -	29.4 + 0.7 -	29.8 + 1.1 -	27.7 + 0.7 -	28.8 + 0.5 -	27.0 + 0.6 -	26.5 + 0.6 -	26.0 + 0.5 -	25.4 + 1.3 -	27.8 + 0.5 -	27.1 + 0.3 -	
50th percentile	30.4 + 1.2 -	31.3 + 1.5 -	31.7 + 0.6 -	32.3 + 1.1 -	31.1 + 0.3 -	31.3 + 0.3 -	30.6 + 0.3 -	30.0 + 0.6 -	29.3 + 0.4 -	28.9 + 0.5 -	31.0 + 0.3 -	30.6 + 0.3 -	
75th percentile	33.6 + 3.1 -	36.5 + 5.0 -	36.0 + 1.4 -	35.9 + 1.0 -	34.8 + 0.4 -	34.8 + 0.3 -	34.1 + 0.6 -	33.5 + 1.0 -	32.0 + 0.5 -	31.5 + 0.4 -	34.5 + 0.3 -	34.2 + 0.4 -	
Sample size	100	30	460	401	1,237	1,826	598	595	785	826	3,209	2,804	
Weighted total	4,448	1,194	14,303	13,225	52,503	82,626	38,413	9,681	12,190	14,229	119,029	97,703	

Table SEPANXTY

Separation Anxiety
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCOMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	6.9 + 0.2	5.8 + 0.2	6.9 + 0.3	10.6 + 0.3	11.7 + 0.5	6.8 + 0.3	7.1 + 0.3	6.6 + 0.7	6.5 + 0.3	6.7 + 0.3	6.5 + 0.3	7.7 + 0.4
50th percentile	10.2 + 0.2	7.9 + 0.1	10.0 + 0.2	13.4 + 0.3	14.5 + 0.2	10.2 + 0.3	10.1 + 0.3	10.0 + 0.7	9.7 + 0.2	9.9 + 0.4	9.9 + 0.3	11.3 + 0.4
75th percentile	13.6 + 0.3	11.4 + 0.3	13.3 + 0.2	15.3 + 0.3	17.0 + 0.3	13.8 + 0.4	13.4 + 0.4	13.5 + 0.6	13.2 + 0.2	13.2 + 0.4	13.4 + 0.5	14.4 + 0.5
Sample size	7,548	2,610	2,162	1,315	1,293	4,519	2,325	704	2,657	971	1,435	2,485
Weighted total	267,534	81,270	141,120	19,354	17,686	173,366	78,801	15,367	98,791	41,867	50,369	76,507

Table SEPANXTY (cont.)

Separation Anxiety
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
25th percentile	6.2 + 1.5	5.0 + 1.4	7.4 + 0.5	7.7 + 0.5	6.4 + 0.3	6.2 + 0.2	7.2 + 0.4	10.2 + 0.4	10.9 + 0.5	7.1 + 0.3
50th percentile	10.3 + 1.4	7.8 + 1.0	11.5 + 0.5	11.0 + 0.4	9.4 + 0.4	9.0 + 0.3	10.2 + 0.3	13.2 + 0.2	14.0 + 0.4	10.3 + 0.3
75th percentile	13.7 + 1.2	10.3 + 0.5	15.0 + 0.6	14.1 + 0.6	13.1 + 0.4	11.5 + 0.3	13.4 + 0.3	15.0 + 0.2	15.7 + 0.5	13.8 + 0.3
Sample size	98	35	458	421	1,281	1,910	634	896	906	3,262
Weighted total	4,340	1,418	14,370	14,078	54,062	86,547	40,927	14,014	15,485	120,923

Table SEPCOP

Separation Coping
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	11.5 + 0.1	10.2 + 0.2	11.9 + 0.2	13.2 + 0.5	13.7 + 0.3	11.4 + 0.2	11.5 + 0.2	11.8 + 0.7	11.3 + 0.2	11.2 + 0.5	11.3 + 0.3	11.9 + 0.3
50th percentile	14.7 + 0.1	13.2 + 0.2	15.1 + 0.2	15.1 + 0.2	15.5 + 0.3	14.7 + 0.1	14.6 + 0.2	14.8 + 0.4	14.5 + 0.2	14.5 + 0.3	14.6 + 0.3	14.9 + 0.2
75th percentile	17.5 + 0.1	15.5 + 0.1	17.9 + 0.2	17.6 + 0.2	18.1 + 0.2	17.6 + 0.1	17.4 + 0.2	17.7 + 0.3	17.3 + 0.2	17.5 + 0.3	17.5 + 0.3	17.8 + 0.2
Sample size	7,526	2,604	2,150	1,314	1,290	4,517	2,309	700	2,647	984	1,427	2,488
Weighted total	266,827	81,148	140,536	19,347	17,712	173,295	78,199	15,333	98,315	41,594	50,258	76,660

Table SEPCOP (cont.)

Separation Coping
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer		
	No	Children	No	Children	No	Children	No	Children	No	Children	No	Children
	Children	Children	Children	Children	Children	Children	Children	Children	Children	Children	Children	Children
25th percentile	11.9 + 3.1	11.7 + 1.2	11.8 + 0.5	11.4 + 0.3	10.5 + 0.4	11.2 + 0.2	13.0 + 0.4	13.7 + 0.3	13.1 + 0.5	14.2 + 0.5	11.7 + 0.2	11.2 + 0.2
50th percentile	18.2 + 2.7	15.5 + 1.2	14.6 + 0.5	14.7 + 0.5	14.0 + 0.3	14.2 + 0.2	15.3 + 0.4	15.3 + 0.3	15.0 + 0.3	15.9 + 0.4	14.8 + 0.2	14.4 + 0.2
75th percentile	19.6 + 0.2	19.5 + 0.4	17.5 + 0.4	17.5 + 0.5	17.2 + 0.3	17.0 + 0.2	18.0 + 0.3	17.9 + 0.4	17.5 + 0.3	18.3 + 0.2	17.6 + 0.2	17.3 + 0.2
Sample size	98	31	469	419	1,272	1,906	626	629	895	904	3,248	3,127
Weighted total	4,389	1,302	14,833	13,980	53,857	86,175	40,484	10,102	14,009	15,503	120,393	106,541

Table FAMDISAG
Family Disagreements
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	14.6 + 0.3	13.9 + 0.4	14.3 + 0.4	16.0 + 0.4	17.3 + 0.4	14.6 + 0.4	14.6 + 0.7	15.1 + 1.2	14.4 + 0.5	14.3 + 0.7	15.8 + 0.6
50th percentile	18.5 + 0.2	17.9 + 0.3	18.5 + 0.3	18.6 + 0.3	19.9 + 0.3	18.5 + 0.3	18.5 + 0.4	19.0 + 0.4	18.4 + 0.3	18.0 + 0.8	19.0 + 0.2
75th percentile	21.0 + 0.1	20.8 + 0.2	21.0 + 0.1	20.7 + 0.2	21.6 + 0.2	20.9 + 0.1	20.9 + 0.2	21.4 + 0.8	20.9 + 0.2	20.9 + 0.2	21.2 + 0.3
Sample size	7,382	2,562	2,113	1,284	1,256	4,417	2,273	692	2,612	946	2,422
Weighted total	261,601	79,827	137,618	18,897	17,247	169,468	77,020	15,115	97,381	40,537	74,388

Table FAMDISAG (cont.)

Family Disagreements
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+			
25th percentile	12.7 + 1.2	8.7 + 1.6	15.8 + 1.2	13.3 + 1.0	15.0 + 0.4	13.9 + 0.7	16.1 + 0.5	17.1 + 0.5	14.6 + 0.7	16.9 + 1.0	18.7 + 0.4	19.6 + 0.3	14.9 + 0.4	14.7 + 0.5
50th percentile	16.1 + 1.3	12.9 + 1.6	19.2 + 0.5	18.0 + 1.0	18.6 + 0.4	17.8 + 0.4	18.8 + 0.5	19.6 + 0.3	18.8 + 0.5	19.8 + 0.5	18.7 + 0.4	19.6 + 0.3	18.6 + 0.3	18.5 + 0.3
75th percentile	20.3 + 2.0	23.2 + 0.6	21.9 + 0.7	20.7 + 0.4	21.2 + 0.3	20.6 + 0.2	21.0 + 0.5	21.3 + 0.3	21.0 + 0.5	21.8 + 0.4	20.7 + 0.2	21.3 + 0.3	20.9 + 0.2	21.0 + 0.2
Sample size	96	30	471	419	1,246	1,874	620	885	620	616	870	885	3,184	3,057
Weighted total	4,234	1,318	14,876	14,051	52,609	84,497	40,061	15,178	40,061	9,964	13,649	15,178	117,946	104,071

Table SP01NW
Spouse Involvement
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank			Location			Unit Type					
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NOJ	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	19.2 + 0.3 -	18.6 + 0.2 -	19.5 + 0.4 -	20.8 + 0.5 -	20.7 + 0.4 -	19.2 + 0.2 -	19.4 + 0.5 -	19.2 + 0.6 -	19.2 + 0.3 -	19.2 + 0.3 -	19.4 + 0.4 -	19.1 + 0.2 -
50th percentile	22.6 + 0.2 -	21.6 + 0.3 -	22.8 + 0.3 -	23.7 + 0.4 -	23.8 + 0.5 -	22.6 + 0.2 -	22.7 + 0.5 -	22.3 + 1.0 -	22.6 + 0.3 -	22.1 + 0.4 -	22.7 + 0.5 -	22.8 + 0.3 -
75th percentile	24.9 + 0.1 -	24.4 + 0.1 -	25.0 + 0.1 -	25.4 + 0.5 -	26.0 + 0.5 -	24.9 + 0.1 -	24.9 + 0.2 -	24.7 + 0.1 -	24.8 + 0.2 -	24.6 + 0.2 -	24.9 + 0.2 -	25.0 + 0.2 -
Sample size	7,628	2,652	2,195	1,317	1,294	4,577	2,341	710	2,686	984	1,447	2,511
Weighted total	271,149	82,778	143,078	19,365	17,735	175,837	79,650	15,662	99,978	42,581	50,996	77,595

Table SP01NW (cont.)

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse not Employed	
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
25th percentile	9.7 + 1.2	9.6 + 1.8	21.7 + 0.6	21.1 + 0.7	18.7 + 0.3	19.1 + 0.2	19.5 + 0.4	20.4 + 0.6	20.6 + 0.6	20.2 + 0.7	19.2 + 0.2	19.2 + 0.3
50th percentile	13.2 + 0.9	13.8 + 1.8	24.1 + 0.3	23.9 + 0.6	21.6 + 0.4	22.4 + 0.3	22.6 + 0.4	23.6 + 0.5	23.5 + 0.5	23.6 + 0.8	22.4 + 0.3	22.6 + 0.2
75th percentile	18.2 + 1.9	20.6 + 4.2	25.6 + 0.8	26.1 + 0.8	24.5 + 0.2	24.6 + 0.1	24.8 + 0.2	25.6 + 0.6	25.3 + 0.6	25.3 + 0.6	24.7 + 0.2	24.8 + 0.1
Sample size	101	30	478	423	1,282	1,911	635	631	892	907	3,257	3,139
Weighted total	4,562	1,303	15,124	14,114	54,034	86,502	40,992	10,193	13,911	15,528	120,607	107,005

Table ARMYSER

Army Services Resistance
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank					Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	COMUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	5.2 ± 0.1	5.1 ± 0.1	5.2 ± 0.1	5.7 ± 0.0	5.7 ± 0.0	5.6 ± 0.0	5.2 ± 0.1	5.6 ± 0.1	5.6 ± 0.0	5.1 ± 0.2	5.1 ± 0.2	5.6 ± 0.0
50th percentile	6.0 ± 0.0	5.9 ± 0.0	6.0 ± 0.0	7.0 ± 0.1	7.0 ± 0.1	5.9 ± 0.1	6.0 ± 0.1	5.9 ± 0.3	6.0 ± 0.1	5.9 ± 0.1	5.9 ± 0.1	6.0 ± 0.1
75th percentile	7.7 ± 0.0	7.6 ± 0.0	7.7 ± 0.1	7.9 ± 0.0	7.8 ± 0.1	7.7 ± 0.1	7.8 ± 0.0	7.8 ± 0.1	7.7 ± 0.1	7.7 ± 0.1	7.7 ± 0.1	7.7 ± 0.1
Sample size	7,520	2,601	2,156	1,307	1,288	4,511	2,307	702	2,652	962	1,421	2,485
Weighted total	266,607	80,959	140,668	19,215	17,645	173,066	78,252	15,289	98,558	41,522	50,024	76,504

Table ARMYSER (cont.)

Army Services Resistance
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse									
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	
25th percentile	3.9 ± 0.3	--	5.6 ± 0.1	5.1 ± 0.2	5.1 ± 0.1	5.1 ± 0.1	5.2 ± 0.1	5.7 ± 0.1	5.7 ± 0.1	5.6 ± 0.0
50th percentile	5.7 ± 0.1	--	6.0 ± 0.1	5.9 ± 0.1	5.9 ± 0.1	5.9 ± 0.0	6.0 ± 0.1	7.0 ± 0.1	7.0 ± 0.2	6.0 ± 0.0
75th percentile	7.0 ± 0.6	--	7.7 ± 0.1	7.7 ± 0.1	7.7 ± 0.1	7.7 ± 0.1	7.8 ± 0.1	7.9 ± 0.1	7.9 ± 0.1	7.7 ± 0.0
Sample size	98	29	469	422	1,272	1,905	632	628	891	3,125
Weighted total	4,463	1,283	14,773	14,098	53,658	86,247	40,763	10,142	13,896	106,460

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table FAMWORK

Family-Work Precedence
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	3.0 + 0.0	2.3 + 0.0	3.1 + 0.1	3.6 + 0.1	3.7 + 0.1	3.0 + 0.1	2.9 + 0.1	2.9 + 0.1	2.9 + 0.1	2.9 + 0.1	2.8 + 0.1	3.6 + 0.0
50th percentile	3.9 + 0.0	3.7 + 0.0	4.8 + 0.0	4.9 + 0.1	5.0 + 0.1	3.9 + 0.1	3.9 + 0.1	3.9 + 0.2	3.8 + 0.1	3.9 + 0.1	3.8 + 0.1	4.9 + 0.1
75th percentile	5.7 + 0.0	5.0 + 0.1	5.7 + 0.0	5.8 + 0.1	5.8 + 0.1	5.7 + 0.1	5.6 + 0.1	5.7 + 0.1	5.2 + 0.1	5.2 + 0.1	5.6 + 0.1	5.8 + 0.1
Sample size	7,558	2,617	2,177	1,312	1,285	4,536	2,319	703	2,657	975	1,434	2,492
Weighted total	268,552	81,707	141,843	19,304	17,607	174,305	78,724	15,523	98,977	42,181	50,529	76,865

Table FAMWORK (cont.)
Family-Work Precedence
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse									
		Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer
		No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	
25th percentile	3.6 ± 0.1	—	2.3 ± 0.1	2.9 ± 0.1	2.8 ± 0.1	2.4 ± 0.0	3.7 ± 0.1	3.6 ± 0.1	3.8 ± 0.1	3.0 ± 0.1	2.9 ± 0.1
50th percentile	4.0 ± 0.1	—	3.8 ± 0.1	3.9 ± 0.1	3.8 ± 0.1	3.8 ± 0.1	5.0 ± 0.1	4.8 ± 0.1	5.0 ± 0.1	4.0 ± 0.1	3.9 ± 0.1
75th percentile	5.8 ± 0.2	—	5.1 ± 0.1	5.2 ± 0.1	5.2 ± 0.1	5.2 ± 0.1	5.9 ± 0.1	5.7 ± 0.1	5.8 ± 0.1	5.7 ± 0.0	5.6 ± 0.1
Sample size	99	29	467	420	1,264	1,903	629	889	902	3,232	3,115
Weighted total	4,496	1,283	14,550	14,039	53,497	86,077	10,156	13,879	15,469	119,724	106,182

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table MARISEP
Marital Separation Risk
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCCINUS	Combat Support	Combat Services Support	TDA
25th percentile	6.0 + 0.0	5.9 + 0.0	5.9 + 0.0	7.8 + 0.0	7.8 + 0.0	6.0 + 0.0	6.0 + 0.1	6.0 + 0.1	5.9 + 0.1	5.9 + 0.1	6.8 + 0.0
50th percentile	7.8 + 0.0	7.8 + 0.0	7.8 + 0.0	7.9 + 0.0	7.9 + 0.0	7.8 + 0.0	7.8 + 0.0	7.8 + 0.0	7.8 + 0.0	7.8 + 0.0	7.8 + 0.0
75th percentile	7.9 + 0.0	7.9 + 0.0	7.9 + 0.0	7.9 + 0.0	7.9 + 0.1	7.9 + 0.0	7.9 + 0.0	7.9 + 0.1	7.9 + 0.0	7.9 + 0.0	7.9 + 0.0
Sample size	7,480	2,593	2,161	1,293	1,268	4,483	2,297	700	972	1,413	2,453
Weighted total	266,139	80,908	140,862	19,018	17,400	172,479	78,131	15,528	41,851	49,809	75,954

Table MARISEP (cont.)

Marital Separation Risk
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

		Civilian Spouse											
Single		Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer					
No Children	Children	No Children	Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed	
4.1 ± 0.0	--	6.8 ± 0.1	5.0 ± 0.0	5.9 ± 0.1	6.0 ± 0.0	6.9 ± 0.1	7.8 ± 0.0	7.0 ± 0.1	7.8 ± 0.0	6.8 ± 0.0	6.8 ± 0.0	6.8 ± 0.0	
4.1 ± 0.0	--	7.8 ± 0.0	7.8 ± 0.0	7.8 ± 0.0	7.8 ± 0.0	7.9 ± 0.0	7.9 ± 0.0	7.9 ± 0.0	7.9 ± 0.0	7.8 ± 0.0	7.8 ± 0.0	7.8 ± 0.0	
4.2 ± 0.0	--	7.9 ± 0.0	7.9 ± 0.0	7.9 ± 0.0	7.9 ± 0.0	7.9 ± 0.0	7.9 ± 0.0	7.9 ± 0.0	7.9 ± 0.0	7.9 ± 0.0	7.9 ± 0.0	7.9 ± 0.0	
Sample size		95	29	465	416	1,259	1,877	628	620	878	892	3,209	3,065
Weighted total		4,339	1,247	14,543	13,962	53,200	84,814	40,533	10,015	13,694	15,284	118,945	104,513

(--) Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table PARHSAT
Parent-Child Satisfaction
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	9.9 + 0.2	9.7 + 0.2	9.8 + 0.3	10.1 + 0.3	10.3 + 0.4	9.9 + 0.2	9.9 + 0.3	9.6 + 1.1	9.6 + 0.2	9.7 + 0.4	9.6 + 0.5	10.7 + 0.5
50th percentile	13.2 + 0.4	11.9 + 0.2	13.3 + 0.5	13.3 + 0.4	13.5 + 0.4	13.3 + 0.5	13.1 + 0.7	11.8 + 1.0	11.7 + 0.3	11.8 + 0.3	13.1 + 0.5	14.3 + 0.4
75th percentile	15.5 + 0.1	15.4 + 0.1	15.6 + 0.2	15.3 + 0.2	15.5 + 0.2	15.5 + 0.2	15.5 + 0.2	15.1 + 0.4	15.3 + 0.1	15.3 + 0.2	15.4 + 0.2	15.8 + 0.3
Sample size	5,253	1,544	1,706	822	1,045	3,242	1,562	449	1,758	643	945	1,907
Weighted total	193,085	46,453	113,799	12,086	14,326	129,753	53,205	10,128	68,643	29,168	34,247	61,028

Table PARHSAT (cont.)

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
25th percentile	--	9.1 + 1.1	--	9.7 + 1.0	--	9.9 + 0.2	10.3 + 0.3	9.9 + 0.4	--	10.3 + 0.3	10.3 + 0.4	10.0 + 0.3	9.9 + 0.2
50th percentile	--	13.0 + 0.8	--	13.2 + 0.6	--	12.9 + 0.5	13.6 + 0.5	13.6 + 0.7	--	13.6 + 0.5	13.6 + 0.5	13.4 + 0.4	13.1 + 0.5
75th percentile	--	15.3 + 0.6	--	15.5 + 0.3	--	15.5 + 0.1	15.4 + 0.2	15.5 + 0.2	--	15.4 + 0.2	15.5 + 0.2	15.5 + 0.1	15.5 + 0.1
Sample size	0	238	0	420	0	1,913	895	637	0	895	906	1,978	2,365
Weighted total	0	10,675	0	13,986	0	86,492	13,985	41,218	0	13,985	15,514	76,632	80,539

(-- Sample size is insufficient for valid estimates

Table FAMSTRNG
Family Coherence and Strength
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	15.1 + 0.2	14.6 + 0.3	15.0 + 0.2	16.7 + 0.2	16.6 + 0.3	15.0 + 0.2	15.2 + 0.3	15.1 + 1.0	15.1 + 0.3	14.9 + 0.3	14.9 + 0.3	15.2 + 0.3
50th percentile	17.6 + 0.1	17.4 + 0.2	17.6 + 0.1	18.2 + 0.1	18.0 + 0.2	17.6 + 0.1	17.7 + 0.1	17.6 + 0.3	17.6 + 0.2	17.5 + 0.2	17.5 + 0.2	17.8 + 0.2
75th percentile	19.4 + 0.1	19.3 + 0.2	19.3 + 0.2	19.9 + 0.2	19.8 + 0.2	19.4 + 0.2	19.5 + 0.2	19.5 + 0.4	19.5 + 0.2	19.2 + 0.2	19.5 + 0.4	19.5 + 0.3
Sample size	8,206	2,895	2,443	1,353	1,333	4,940	2,501	765	2,863	1,063	1,580	2,700
Weighted total	298,479	94,316	157,216	20,115	18,260	194,682	86,613	17,184	109,743	46,838	58,740	85,158

Table FAMSTRNG (cont.)

Family Coherence and Strength
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+			
25th percentile	10.9 ± 0.7	13.6 ± 1.0	15.8 ± 0.5	15.2 ± 0.6	15.2 ± 0.3	15.3 ± 0.2	15.7 ± 0.4	16.6 ± 0.2	17.4 ± 0.2	16.6 ± 0.2	16.4 ± 0.4	15.6 ± 0.2	15.5 ± 0.2	
50th percentile	14.4 ± 0.8	15.7 ± 1.0	17.9 ± 0.3	17.6 ± 0.3	17.7 ± 0.2	17.6 ± 0.2	17.6 ± 0.2	18.0 ± 0.2	18.5 ± 0.4	18.0 ± 0.2	18.0 ± 0.2	17.8 ± 0.1	17.8 ± 0.1	
75th percentile	18.0 ± 0.5	18.5 ± 0.5	19.9 ± 0.4	19.4 ± 0.5	19.5 ± 0.3	19.3 ± 0.3	19.5 ± 0.4	19.7 ± 0.3	20.2 ± 0.1	19.7 ± 0.3	19.8 ± 0.3	19.5 ± 0.2	19.6 ± 0.2	
Sample size	383	237	447	424	1,222	1,908	636	891	608	891	905	3,196	3,095	
Weighted total	17,606	10,483	13,947	14,124	51,629	86,479	41,000	13,928	9,814	13,928	15,507	118,634	105,283	

Table APPOLSUP
Army Policies Support
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	22.7 + 0.1	22.7 + 0.1	22.7 + 0.2	22.8 + 0.2	22.8 + 0.2	22.8 + 0.1	22.6 + 0.1	22.4 + 0.3	22.9 + 0.2	22.7 + 0.2	22.7 + 0.2	22.7 + 0.1
50th percentile	24.8 + 0.3	24.6 + 0.4	25.2 + 0.5	24.5 + 0.6	24.2 + 0.5	24.9 + 0.4	24.8 + 0.4	24.0 + 1.1	25.3 + 0.5	24.7 + 0.7	24.6 + 0.6	24.2 + 0.6
75th percentile	28.8 + 0.4	28.8 + 0.5	29.6 + 0.5	27.3 + 0.7	26.9 + 0.4	28.8 + 0.5	29.1 + 0.6	28.3 + 0.7	29.1 + 0.5	29.1 + 0.6	29.0 + 0.8	28.3 + 0.8
Sample size	7,608	2,582	2,285	1,284	1,281	4,546	2,344	718	2,691	970	1,455	2,492
Weighted total	274,705	82,811	147,135	19,004	17,499	178,438	80,246	16,021	102,430	42,461	51,976	77,837

Table ARPOL SUP (cont.)

Army Policies Support
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse													
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+			
25th percentile	22.3 + 1.2	22.7 + 0.4	22.8 + 0.2	22.6 + 0.3	22.7 + 0.2	22.7 + 0.2	22.9 + 0.2	22.7 + 0.3	22.9 + 0.2	22.7 + 0.3	22.8 + 0.2	22.8 + 0.2	22.8 + 0.1	
50th percentile	23.7 + 0.4	25.4 + 1.3	23.9 + 0.3	23.9 + 0.3	24.4 + 0.9	24.4 + 0.9	25.7 + 0.4	24.7 + 0.8	24.3 + 0.6	24.7 + 0.5	24.3 + 0.6	24.8 + 0.5	25.2 + 0.4	
75th percentile	26.6 + 0.8	29.5 + 2.0	27.2 + 0.6	28.6 + 0.9	28.6 + 0.7	28.6 + 0.7	29.6 + 0.4	28.8 + 1.0	27.4 + 1.1	27.1 + 0.3	26.8 + 0.4	28.8 + 0.5	28.9 + 0.5	
Sample size	302	227	368	395	1,084	1,805	604	575	863	881	3,024	2,900		
Weighted total	13,366	10,116	11,301	13,226	45,814	81,452	39,061	9,272	13,469	15,059	111,555	98,099		

Table ARFMINTR
Army-Family Interference
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location				Unit Type			
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other OCONUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	10.3 + 0.2	10.2 + 0.3	11.1 + 0.4	10.5 + 0.3	11.6 + 0.3	10.8 + 0.3	10.8 + 0.3	10.6 + 0.6	10.1 + 0.3	10.5 + 0.4	10.9 + 0.4	11.7 + 0.4
50th percentile	13.9 + 0.3	13.1 + 0.3	14.4 + 0.3	13.3 + 0.4	14.6 + 0.3	14.1 + 0.4	13.8 + 0.3	13.5 + 0.2	13.2 + 0.3	13.5 + 0.4	13.9 + 0.4	15.2 + 0.3
75th percentile	17.1 + 0.4	15.7 + 0.2	17.7 + 0.4	15.6 + 0.4	17.8 + 0.3	17.3 + 0.5	16.0 + 0.3	15.8 + 0.4	15.7 + 0.2	15.7 + 0.4	17.1 + 0.4	18.6 + 0.5
Sample size	8,049	2,817	2,384	1,341	1,324	4,882	2,425	742	2,806	1,040	1,532	2,671
Weighted total	291,780	91,584	153,510	19,925	18,177	191,560	83,681	16,538	107,124	45,670	54,619	84,366

Table ARFMINTR (cont.)

	Civilian Spouse											
	Single		Dual Military		Enlisted			Officer			Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
	No Children	Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+		
25th percentile	11.2 + 0.9	10.0 + 1.1	10.4 + 0.9	10.6 + 0.5	10.4 + 0.4	10.6 + 0.3	11.4 + 0.4	11.1 + 0.5	10.9 + 0.5	11.8 + 0.3	10.8 + 0.3	10.9 + 0.3
50th percentile	14.6 + 1.4	13.6 + 0.7	13.4 + 0.6	13.4 + 0.5	13.6 + 0.4	13.6 + 0.4	14.9 + 0.5	14.0 + 0.5	13.8 + 0.3	14.8 + 0.4	14.0 + 0.3	14.1 + 0.4
75th percentile	18.6 + 1.7	15.8 + 0.5	15.7 + 0.6	15.6 + 0.4	17.0 + 0.5	15.9 + 0.3	18.1 + 0.4	16.9 + 0.8	15.9 + 0.4	17.7 + 0.4	17.1 + 0.5	17.2 + 0.5
Sample size	330	238	442	421	1,186	1,888	628	602	885	903	3,153	3,056
Weighted total	14,996	10,568	13,836	13,982	50,146	85,478	40,491	9,733	13,840	15,487	116,698	104,092

Table RELOCADJ
Relocation Adjustment
by Rank, Location, and Unit Type

	Rank				Location			Unit Type				
	Total	Junior Enlisted	NCO	Company Grade Officer	Field Grade Officer	CONUS	Europe	Other COMUS	Combat	Combat Support	Combat Service Support	TDA
25th percentile	10.1 + 0.1	11.0 + 0.2	8.9 + 0.2	10.1 + 0.2	9.2 + 0.3	10.2 + 0.2	9.1 + 0.2	10.2 + 0.5	10.2 + 0.2	10.2 + 0.4	10.1 + 0.3	9.3 + 0.3
50th percentile	13.1 + 0.1	13.8 + 0.1	11.8 + 0.1	12.2 + 0.2	11.1 + 0.2	13.2 + 0.1	12.2 + 0.1	13.1 + 0.6	13.2 + 0.1	13.2 + 0.3	13.0 + 0.2	12.1 + 0.2
75th percentile	15.1 + 0.1	15.4 + 0.0	14.0 + 0.1	14.2 + 0.2	13.6 + 0.2	15.1 + 0.1	14.9 + 0.1	15.1 + 0.4	15.2 + 0.1	15.2 + 0.2	15.1 + 0.2	14.9 + 0.1
Sample size	10,352	4,161	2,792	1,727	1,464	6,153	3,174	1,025	3,767	1,446	1,984	3,155
Weighted total	429,924	194,197	175,633	30,466	19,965	276,078	127,976	25,870	170,245	74,337	81,249	104,092

Table RELOCADJ (cont.)

Relocation Adjustment
by Marital Status, Children, and Spouse Employment Status

	Civilian Spouse												
	Single			Dual Military			Enlisted			Officer			
	No Children	Children	No Children	No Children	Children	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	No Children	Child 0-5	Child 6+	Spouse Employed	Spouse not Employed
25th percentile	13.1 ± 0.2	9.1 ± 0.4	10.5 ± 0.5	9.2 ± 0.5	9.2 ± 0.2	9.2 ± 0.2	8.1 ± 0.2	8.2 ± 0.2	10.1 ± 0.2	9.0 ± 0.3	9.2 ± 0.4	8.9 ± 0.2	8.9 ± 0.2
50th percentile	14.8 ± 0.1	11.9 ± 0.4	13.1 ± 0.4	12.1 ± 0.3	12.1 ± 0.2	12.1 ± 0.2	10.8 ± 0.2	10.8 ± 0.3	12.1 ± 0.3	10.9 ± 0.3	11.1 ± 0.2	11.1 ± 0.2	11.0 ± 0.2
75th percentile	15.5 ± 0.0	13.8 ± 0.4	15.1 ± 0.2	14.9 ± 0.3	14.3 ± 4.1	14.3 ± 4.1	13.5 ± 0.1	13.5 ± 0.2	14.1 ± 0.2	13.6 ± 0.3	13.6 ± 0.2	13.8 ± 0.1	13.6 ± 0.1
Sample size	2,527	236	461	412	1,214	1,847	625	894	631	894	905	3,182	3,060
Weighted total	149,232	10,468	14,504	13,707	51,348	84,069	40,466	14,019	10,191	14,019	15,487	117,657	103,996